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### 1.0 INIRODUCTION

SCS Engineers, on behalf of N.E. Land Fill, LLC, is submitting the necessary documents for a Tier III permit modification to horizontally and vertically expand the existing C\&D landfill (landfill), located at 2601 N. Midwest Boulevard in Spencer, Oklahoma County. (see application narrative, Figure 1).

The existing C\&D landfill (landfill) permit boundary contains 90.1 acres, of which 67.7 acres has been or will be developed for C\&D waste disposal under Permit No. 3555050. The proposed expansion will have a permit boundary of 73.2 acres, of which 53.5 acres will be developed for disposal of C\&D waste. The combined total property boundary area (existing and expansion) is 163.3 acres, out of which 121.2 acres will be available for C\&D waste disposal upon obtaining approval of the permit application.

SCS Engineers (SCS) has completed a global slope stability analysis of the proposed landfill expansion. The slope stability analyses presented in this Appendix were performed on the critical slopes of the foundation soils, waste mass, final cover and liner systems for the expanded landfill. This Appendix includes the following analyses:

- Excavation Slope Stability;
- Foundation and Waste Slope Stability (Interim Conditions, Static and Seismic Analyses);
- Foundation and Waste Slope Stability (Final Conditions, Static and Seismic Analyses);
- Liner System Veneer Stability (During Construction); and
- Final Cover System Veneer Stability (Final Conditions, Static and Seismic Analyses).


### 2.0 STABILTY ANALYSIS

### 2.1 LANDFLGEOMEIRICS AND SCENARIOS

The key landfill dimensions used in these analyses are as follows:

- Maximum final cover slope was assumed to be at 4 horizontal to 1 vertical ( $4 \mathrm{H}: 1 \mathrm{~V}$ ),
- Permanent excavation slopes (i.e., slopes that will be lined) will be graded to no steeper than 3H:1V, and
- Proposed maximum top of final cover elevation will be at $1,408.0$ feet above mean sea level.


### 2.2 MEIHOD OF ANALYSIS

Analyses were performed to calculate the overall stability of the interim and final slopes of the landfill. Specifically, the following slope stability analyses were performed in this submittal:

- Excavation stability following cell construction;
- Stability of waste slopes and foundation soils during filling - interim conditions (block mode);
- Stability of waste slopes and foundation soils after closure - final conditions (circular mode);
- Veneer stability of liner system during cell construction; and
- Veneer stability of final cover system - final conditions.

As presented in Attachment C-3 (also in Section 2.5), the landfill is located within a seismic impact zone as defined by DEQ Rule 252:515-1-2. Therefore, both static and seismic stability analyses were performed in this submittal for final and interim conditions.

Interim and final conditions stability analyses include both circular and block failure modes, which provide factors of safety (FSs) against failure of the constructed landfill, C\&D waste, the critical interface of the liner and final cover systems, and the underlying foundation soils.

Slope stability analyses were performed using the computer program PCSTABL5M (FHWA, 1995). This program uses two-dimensional limit equilibrium methods to calculate a FS against shear failure for slope sections analyzed. The PCSTABL5M program uses an automatic search routine to generate multiple shear failure surfaces for both circular failures and block or wedge-type failure modes until the surface with the lowest FS-value (i.e., critical failure surface) is found. The analytical methods used for the circular and sliding block failure modes in the slope stability analysis are the Modified Bishop and Modified Janbu methods, respectively. Circular failure search mode was used to evaluate excavation stability, and stability under interim and final conditions, while sliding block failure mode was used to analyze stability of the critical interfaces in the bottom liner system.

A minimum acceptable FS of 1.5 was utilized for global static slope stability analyses under final conditions. The recommended minimum FS for the conditions analyzed are consistent with the recommendations from the Corps of Engineers "Design and Construction of Levees" manual (EM 1110-2-1913) and EPA's "Technical Guidance Manual for Design of Solid Waste Disposal Facilities." A minimum acceptable FS of 1.3 was assumed for global static slope stability analyses under interim conditions.

Seismic slope stability analyses were performed consistent with a guidance document prepared for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), "RCRA Subtitle D (258) Seismic Deformation Design Guidance for Municipal Solid Waste Landfill Facilities" (USEPA, 1995). Consistent with this guidance document, the peak horizontal acceleration $\left(a_{\max }\right)$ is estimated using the peak ground acceleration (PGA). For NELF, due to shallow bedrock and relatively stiff soils, $a_{\max }$ is assumed to be equal to PGA. A seismic slope stability analysis is performed to evaluate the yield acceleration ( $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}}$ ). The yield acceleration ( $k y$ ) is the horizontal acceleration that will lead to a factor of safety of 1.0 (i.e., marginally stable condition) for the potential slip surface. Then, $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}}$ is compared to $\mathrm{a}_{\text {max. }}$. If the $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}}$ is greater than $a_{\text {max }}$, it is concluded that the landfill will not likely undergo permanent deformations. If $k_{y}$ is less than $a_{\text {max, }}$ then the landfill will likely undergo permanent deformations and a displacement analysis needs to be performed to estimate the magnitude of the permanent deformations. In this submittal, the seismic deformation is estimated using the " $k_{y} / a_{\max }$ versus permanent displacements" curve by Makdisi and Seed (1978) as presented in the USEPA guidance document (USEPA, 1995). This chart is presented in Attachment C-4.

Cross sections selected for analyses, input parameters and assumptions, and results of the analyses are presented in the following sections. PCSTABL5M output files are presented in Attachment C-4.

Stability of the liner and final cover systems in veneer mode are discussed in Sections 3.2 and 3.3. Stability of the liner system during construction is evaluated in the veneer mode. The limit equilibrium method of Koerner and Soong (1998) is used to analyze the stability of the liner system on the 3H:1V side slopes. The results are presented in Attachment C-5. A minimum FS of 1.5 was assumed for static veneer stability.

Stability of the final cover system is also evaluated using the same method used for liner system stability in veneer mode. This analysis is performed for both static and seismic conditions. The results are also presented in Attachment C-5. A minimum FS of 1.5 and 1.0 was assumed for static and seismic veneer stability, respectively.

### 2.3 SECTIONS SELECTED FOR ANALYSIS

Slope stability analyses were performed for selected critical cross-sections of the landfill. The locations of these cross sections were selected based on review of the proposed excavation grades and the final cover grading plan. A critical slope section is considered to have a maximum waste height, a maximum exterior slope angle, and a perimeter berm. All slope sections except the interim slopes are buttressed by the excavation and the landfill perimeter, including a perimeter berm of various heights.

Drawings C1.1 and C1.2 in Attachment C-1 include plan views of the landfill showing where the various sections were cut. Drawings C1.3 through C1.4 show the analyzed sections. Profiles of the sections can also be seen on the model outputs provided in Attachment C-4.

One cross section was selected for excavation stability analysis on the southwest corner of Phases 11 and 14 (Section AA'). This cross section was selected as the most critical because the excavation cut
is deepest in this area. The stability of this slope was analyzed under undrained (short-term) conditions.

Slope stability analyses under final conditions (waste stability, foundation soils stability, and liner stability) were performed for two cross sections at final grades (Sections AA' and BB'). The analyses were performed on these sections assuming that the landfill has reached its maximum height. Section $\mathrm{BB}^{\prime}$, passing though the maximum waste height and also with a relatively low perimeter berm height, was analyzed in both circular and block failure modes. Section AA' was analyzed in both circular and waste block modes to analyze the condition with cell floor sloping towards the west with relatively high waste height since the waste does not reach maximum height in these areas of the landfill (Phases 11 and 14).

Interim fill condition was analyzed using cross section $\mathrm{BB}^{\prime}$, using the south end of this section within the lateral expansion area (i.e., referred to as cross section BB'). This end of the cross section assumes Phase 12 is constructed and C\&D waste placed with a $3 \mathrm{H}: 1 \mathrm{~V}$ slope in this phase is abutting to the existing C\&D area. This analysis was performed to evaluate the interim stability of this area. This phase was selected since it was the most critical interim case based on the preliminary analyses done for the proposed phases.

### 2.4 INPUTPARAMEIERS AND ASSUMPIIONS

### 2.4.1 Foundation Soils

A Hydrogeological and Geotechnical Investigation Report for the landfill expansion area is prepared as part of this permit application and is provided in Appendix B. According to this investigation, the proposed landfill expansion is located within the Permian-age sandstone known as the Garber Sandstone and is underlain by the Wellington Formation which is also of Permian age. Both the Garber Sandstone and the Wellington Formation consist of lenticular beds of massive appearing, crossbedded sandstone irregularly inter-bedded with shale which is part sandy to silty. As part of this hydrogeological and geotechnical investigation, geotechnical testing was done on soil samples obtained during the site subsurface exploration conducted from February 2020 to March 2020.

During this site exploration, 16 borings were advanced between 35 to 101 feet in depth. To characterize the proposed expansion area in terms of geotechnical properties, samples were collected from boreholes drilled during this investigation. A total of 17 samples were collected from 13 boreholes. The following tests were conducted on these samples: moisture content, particle-size analysis, percent fines, Atterberg Limits, soil classification, moisture-density relationship (select samples), ad hydraulic conductivity (select samples). These test results were used to classify the soils according to the USCS and evaluate physical properties of the soils. These results are summarized in Table C2.1 of Attachment C-2 of this Appendix as obtained from the Hydrogeological and Geotechnical Report. As seen in Table C2.1, the foundation soils consist of sandy lean clay (CL), silty sand (SM), clayey sand (SC), poorly graded sand with silt (SP-SM) silty clay, sandy silty clay (CL-ML) sandy clay, silty sand, sand, shale, sandstones, and siltstone.

Standard Penetration Tests (SPTs) were also performed at select borings, which are presented in Attachment B of the Hydrogeological and Geotechnical Report, during the subsurface investigation. SPTs performed during the subsurface investigation are also depicted in the geological cross sections presented in Attachment C-2. As seen in Attachment C-2 figures, relatively hard material (i.e., 50/5", over 50 blows for less than 5 -inch penetration) was encountered at 10 feet to 40 feet below subgrade elevations in the borings within the expansion area. Above this relatively hard material (but still below subgrade elevations), recorded SPTs varies from 10 to 42, in the borings SPTs were recorded. If the

SPTs recorded in these expansion area borings (EB-2, EB-3, EB-4, EB-6, and EB-7) are averaged, an average SPT of 25 is estimated.

Based on these observations, the foundation soils could be analyzed as two layers in the stability models. The top of the high SPT material (i.e., lower layer) was conservatively assumed at el. 1,150 ft. Above this elevation, below subgrade soil (i.e., upper layer) is assumed to have an average SPT of 25.

### 2.4.1.1 Shear Strength Properties of Foundation Soils - Undrained Shear Strength

Undrained shear strength is used in short-term slope stability analyses such as cell excavation stability. In this submittal, undrained shear strength of foundation soils are estimated with empirical correlations published in the literature using SPT numbers. Unconfined compressive strength is a soil property commonly used for undrained conditions. SPT versus unconfined compressive strength (qu) correlations are presented in Attachment C-2 (Figure C2.1, Table C2.2, and Table C2.3) and estimated qu and corresponding cohesion values are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Foundation Soils - Undrained Shear Strength

| Material | SPT | $q_{u}$ <br> $(t s f)$ | $c^{(1)}$ <br> $(t s f)$ | $c$ <br> $(p s f)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upper Layer | 25 | $1.8^{(2)}$ | 0.9 | 1,800 |
| Lower Layer | +50 | $4^{(3)}$ | 2 | 4,000 |

1. cohesion $\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{u}} / 2$
2. $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{u}}$ as estimated from Figure C 2.1 for clays of low plasticity and silts (Attachment $\mathrm{C}-2$ )
3. SPT>30, $\mathrm{c}=4,000 \mathrm{psf}$ (see Tables C2.2 and C2.3 in Attachment C-2)

### 2.4.1.2 Shear Strength Properties of Foundation Soils - Drained Shear Strength

Drained shear strength properties of the foundation soils was used for stability analyses in interim and final conditions. In this submittal, drained shear strength of foundation soils are estimated with empirical correlations published in the literature using SPT numbers and Plasticity Index (PI) as shown in Attachment C-2.

Table 2. Foundation Soils - Drained Shear Strength

| Material | SPT | $\Phi\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upper Layer | 25 | $25(1)$ |  |  |  |
| Lower Layer |  |  |  | 50 | 40 |
| 1. Average Pl for upper layer (Table C2.1) $=14$. Using Figure C2.2, an effective friction |  |  |  |  |  |
| angle of 30 degrees is estimated, which is consistent with the SPT correlation table C2.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| in Attachment C-2. Conservatively, 25 degrees was selected for the analyses. |  |  |  |  |  |

2. See Table C2.4 in Attachment C-2.
3. Cohesion $=0$ (to be conservative).

### 2.4.2 Material Properties for Stability Analysis - Exc avation Sope

Table 1 summarizes the unit weight and shear strength properties of foundation soils used for excavation stability. Attachment $\mathrm{C}-2$ includes more information on these assumptions. Cell excavation stability is evaluated under undrained conditions (short-term).

Table 3. Summary of Geotechnical Shear Strength Properties - Exc avation Slope

| Material |  | Y <br> $(\mathrm{pcf})$ | C <br> $(\mathrm{psf})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Foundation Soils (undrained) | 120 | 1,800 | 0 <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ |

The cross section analyzed for excavation stability is located on the western side slope of future Phase 11. Conservatively, upper layer undrained shear strength was used for this analysis.

### 2.4.3 Material Properties for Stability Analysis - Interim and Final Conditions

Table 4 summarizes the unit weight and shear strength properties used for interim waste slope and final slope conditions. Foundation soil shear strength properties under drained conditions are used for these analyses as summarized in Table 2.

Unit weight and shear strength of C\&D waste were based on values reported in the literature as summarized in Table 4. Unit weight and shear strength properties for soil liner and final cover material summarized in Table 4 were also based on values reported in the literature.

Table 4. Summary of Geotechnical Strength Properties - Interim and Final Conditions

| Material | Y <br> $($ pcf $)$ | $c$ <br> $(p c f)$ | $\Phi\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Foundation Soils (drained) ${ }^{(1,2)}$ | 120 | 0 | 25 |
| C\&D Waste | 70 | 400 | 35 |
| Soil Liner/Final Cover Soils ${ }^{(3)}$ | 120 | 200 | 20 |

1. $\quad$ Cohesion $=0$ (to be conservative).
2. Conservatively, Upper Layer properties reported above were also used for foundation soils in the global stability analyses.
3. Conservatively, the cohesion for the soil liner/final cover soils was assumed to be 100 pcf for veneer stability analyses.

### 2.4.4 Additional Slope Stability Analyses Assumptions

The slope stability analyses were performed based on the following assumptions:

- The analyses assumes that either a block-type failure surface occurs along the weakest interface of the liner system or, if a failure occurs within the waste mass or the foundation soil layer that a circular failure surface occurs.
- A liner system will be constructed to protect groundwater quality for the proposed landfill that will conform to specifications included in OAC 252:515-11 and consist from top to bottom of 1 -foot of protective soil and 3 -feet of recompacted clay.
- The representative friction angles for the interfaces of the proposed liner system is summarized in Table 4. Consistent with the recommendations of Stark and Choi (2004),
residual shear strengths are assigned to the side slopes and peak shear strength are assigned to the base of the liner system to satisfy a FS greater than 1.5.
- According to Federal guidance on the application of Subtitle D regulations, an acceptable factor of safety for long-term global static slope stability analyses is 1.5 . For the temporary short-term slope stability condition such as the interim waste slope, a factor of safety of 1.3 is considered acceptable. An acceptable factor of safety for a static veneer stability analysis is 1.50 for the final cover system and 1.3 for the liner system (i.e., interim conditions).
- A safety factor 1.0 is the minimum value acceptable for seismic (i.e., psuedo-static) slope stability analyses.
- The highest ground water table as obtained from the Hydrogeological and Geological Investigation report was used for these stability analyses.
- Existing lined area of NELF has 3-ft thick recompacted clay liner and 1-ft protective cover with no geosynthetics or leachate collection system.


### 2.5 SESMIC HAZARD LOADING

According to DEQ regulations (252:515-1-2), seismic impact zone means an area with a ten percent or greater probability that the maximum horizontal acceleration, which is depicted on a seismic hazard map, in lithified earth material (bedrock) will exceed 0.1 g in 250 years. $10 \%$ probability of exceedance in 250 years is equivalent to $2 \%$ probability of exceedance in 50 years. The maximum horizontal acceleration is also referred to as peak ground acceleration (PGA).

The PGA for NELF is estimated to be 0.17g from U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) Unified Hazard Tool and USGS Hazard Map 2014 as presented in Attachment C-3. Therefore, the landfill is located in a seismic impact zone as defined by DEQ, and a seismic slope stability analysis needs to be performed. Due to shallow bedrock at the site, the design maximum horizontal acceleration (amax) is assumed to be equal to PGA for global stability analyses in circular and block modes.

It should be noted that USGS has a more recent seismic hazard map dated 2018. This 2018 dated seismic hazard map shows a similar PGA for the site. Since USGS Unified Hazard Tool is still using the 2014 dated USGS map, the PGA estimated using the 2014 seismic hazard map (i.e., 0.17 g ) was used in these analyses.

For final cover veneer analysis, a soft-soil amplification curve is used to estimate the amax. As shown in Attachment $\mathrm{C}-3$, amax at the top of the landfill is estimated using the "peak outcrop acceleration versus amax" curve for soft soils and landfill sites as obtained from the USEPA Guidance Document (USEPA, 1995). As shown in Attachment C-3, an amax of 0.27 g for a PGA of 0.17 g is estimated for seismic veneer final cover stability analysis.

### 2.6 VENEER SLOPE STABILTY ANALYSIS OF UNER SYSTEM

Stability of the liner system (static) was evaluated using a veneer stability model within the final cover system using a set of equations and method developed by Koerner and Soong (1998). This analysis used the basic equations shown on the calculation spreadsheets in Attachment C-5.

For the sideslope liner system, the veneer slope stability analysis was performed based on the following assumptions:

- Maximum liner system slope was assumed to be at a $3 \mathrm{H}: 1 \mathrm{~V}$ slope, or 18.44 degrees.
- Length of the slope was assumed to be 111 feet ( 34 m , i.e., longest excavation slope length at $3 \mathrm{H}: 1 \mathrm{~V}$, which is located in Phase 11).
- The average liner soil internal friction angle was assumed to be 20 degrees and 50 pcf $\left(7.85 \mathrm{KN} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ cohesion to be conservative. The assumed friction angle is consistent with the literature values reported for compacted clayey soils.

The basic equations and calculations of the veneer slope stability analysis of the liner systems are presented in Attachment C-5.

### 2.7 VENEER SLOPE STABILTY ANALYSSS OF RNALCOVER SYSTEM

Stability of the final cover system (static and seismic) was evaluated using a veneer stability model within the final cover system using a set of equations and method developed by Koerner and Soong (1998). Basic equations are as shown on the calculation spreadsheets in Attachment C-5. The representative friction angles for the interfaces of the proposed final system were used in this analysis.

The veneer slope stability analysis was performed based on the following assumptions:

- Maximum final cover slope was assumed to be at a 4H:1V slope, or 14.04 degrees.
- Length of the slope was assumed to be 915 feet ( 279 m , longest $4 \mathrm{H}: 1 \mathrm{~V}$ slope of the expansion area).
- The average liner soil internal friction angle was assumed to be 20 degrees and 50 pcf $\left(7.85 \mathrm{KN} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ cohesion to be conservative. The assumed friction angle is consistent with the literature values reported for compacted clayey soils.

The seismic veneer slope stability analysis of the final cover system is based on the same method (i.e., Koerner and Soong, 1998). The basic equations and calculations for the static and seismic veneer stability of the final cover system are shown on the calculation spreadsheets in Attachment C-5.

### 3.0 SLOPE STABIUTY ANALYSES RESULIS

### 3.1 SLOPE STABILTY ANALYSES RESULTS - EXCAVATION, INIERIM, AND RNALCONDIIONS

The results of the global static and seismic slope stability analyses for the various scenarios considered are presented in Tables 5 to 8 . The locations of the critical failure surfaces for each crosssection are presented in Attachment C-4, in which detailed computer graphical printouts and output files for each model run are also presented.

Table 5. Stability Analyses Results - Excavation Stability

| Cross Section | Output <br> File Name | Slope Stability Analysis | Calculated <br> Factor of Safety |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{AA}^{\prime}$ | nelf_xs_aa_circ <br> (Excavation) | Undrained, Circular | 2.67 | Target <br> Factor of Safety |

Table 6. Stability Analyses Results - Interim Conditions, Static

| Cross Section | Output <br> File Name | Slope Stability Analysis | Calculated <br> Factor of <br> Safety | Target <br> Factor of Safety |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BB' | nelf_xs_b_ph12 WB | Block | 2.29 | 1.30 |

1. This section is analyzed only in block mode due to relative low waste height, this section is not critical in circular mode.

Table 7. Stability Analyses Results - Final Conditions, Static

| Cross <br> Section | Output <br> File Name | Slope Stability Analysis | Calculated <br> Factor of Safety | Target <br> Factor of Safety |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A A^{\prime}$ | nelf_xs_a_circ | Circular | 2.88 | 1.50 |
| $A A^{\prime}$ | nelf_xs_a_WB | Block | 2.62 | 1.50 |
| $\mathrm{BB}^{\prime}$ | nelf_xs_b_circ | Circular | 2.54 | 1.50 |
| $\mathrm{BB}^{\prime}$ | nelf_xs_b_block | Block | 2.45 | 1.50 |

Table 8. Stability Analyses Results - Interim and Final Conditions, Seismic

| Cross |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Section | Output <br> File <br> Name | Slope <br> Stability <br> Analysis | Minimum <br> Yield <br> Acc. ky (g) | Peak <br> Horizontal <br> Acceleration <br> amax (g) | ky/a <br> max | Deformation <br> Analysis <br> Required? | Deformation <br> (in) | Acceptable <br> (?) |
| BB' | nelf_xs_ <br> b_ph12 <br> wb <br> (seismic) | Block¹ | 0.25 | 0.17 | $>1$ | No | - | Yes |
| AA' $^{\prime}$ | nelf_xs_- <br> aa_circ <br> (seismic) | Circular <br> 2 | 0.33 | 0.17 | $>1$ | No | - | Yes |

Northeast C\&D Landfill
Slope Stability and Final Cover
Veneer Slope Analysis

| $\mathrm{AA}^{\prime}$ | nelf_xs_ <br> a_WB <br> (Seismic) | Block $^{2}$ | 0.30 | 0.17 | $>1$ | No | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{BB}^{\prime}$ | nelf_xs_ <br> b_circ <br> (Seismic) | Circular <br> 2 | 0.27 | 0.17 | $>1$ | No | Yes |
| $\mathrm{BB}^{\prime}$ | nelf_xs_ <br> b_block <br> (Seismic) | Block $^{2}$ | 0.28 | 0.17 | $>1$ | No | Yes |

1. Indicates slope stability analysis conducted on interim conditions.
2. Indicates slope stability analysis conducted on final conditions.

As presented in Table 5, the calculated FS for excavation stability analysis are greater than the minimum acceptable value of 1.3. The calculated FS for static interim conditions are greater than the minimum acceptable value of 1.3 as shown in Table 6. As presented in Table 7, the calculated FSs for the global static slope stability analysis (final conditions) are greater than the minimum acceptable value of 1.5.

Interface friction angles used in the block-type failure mode are considered typical and conservative for the analyzed interfaces. Should there be a different interface present due to selection of materials not considered before, the global slope stability analyses should be reevaluated during construction in order to validate the requirements of the FS values.

Under both circular and block failure surface mode (static - final conditions), the calculated factors of safety are greater than 2.45.

Results of the seismic stability analyses, presented in Table 8, indicate that the calculated yield accelerations for final conditions were greater than the peak horizontal acceleration (i.e., 0.17 g ) at the site. Therefore, permanent deformations are not anticipated when subjected to the PGA.

The results of the global stability analyses indicate that the proposed excavation, interim waste fill slopes and the final waste fill slopes are stable under the conditions analyzed.

### 3.2 VENEER SLOPE STABILTY ANALYSIS RESULTS - LNER SYSTEM

The results of the veneer slope stability analysis of the sideslope liner system is presented in Attachment C-5. As shown in Attachment C-5, utilizing the values and assumptions stated in the attachment, a factor of safety of 1.94 was estimated for the sideslope liner system. The results of the veneer slope stability analysis for the proposed liner system indicates that the slope is stable under the conditions analyzed.

### 3.3 VENEER SLOPE STABILTY ANALYSIS RESULTS - RNAL COVER SYSTEM

The results of the veneer slope stability (static and seismic) analysis of the final cover system are presented in Attachment C5. The basic equations and calculations are shown on the calculation spreadsheets in Attachment C-5. As shown in Attachment C-5, static and seismic factor of safety of 2.63 and 1.24 , respectively. Based on the static and seismic analyses, the proposed final cover system is stable under static and seismic conditions analyzed.

### 4.0 CONCLUSIONS

The following general conclusions were presented in this Appendix:

- The slope stability of the proposed landfill excavation slopes, interim side slopes, and final side slopes are acceptable as designed for both static and seismic conditions.
- The veneer stability of the bottom liner and final cover systems is acceptable as designed for both static and seismic conditions.
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## Attachment C-1

## Sections Selected for Analysis





Section A-A' (Excavation)


Notes:

1. EXISTING GRADES ARE FROM AERAL PHOTOGRAPHY PERFORMED
BY COOPER AERAL SURVEYS Co. ON $01 / 23 / 2023$.


S Section B-B' (Interim Conditions)


S Section B-B' (Final Conditions)
LEGEND

$\qquad$ Existing GRade (SEE Note 1) Proposed ExCavation/EXISting
proposed final cover
INTERM GRADE
HIGHEST RECORDED GROUNDWATER
ELEEATION CONTOUR
notes:
ExIITTNG CONTOURS ARE FRRM AERAL Photography Performed
BY COOPER AERAL SURVEYS Co. ON $01 / 23 / 2023$.

## Attachment C-2

Material Properties and Supporting Information

TABLE C2.1
Geotechnical Sample Summary



FIGURE 4-2
Correlations of Standard Penetration Resistance

Figure C2.1. SPT versus unconfined compressive strength ( $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{u}}$ ) correlation from NAVFAC Design Manual 7.01

Table C2.2. SPT - Soil Strength Correlations - I

Table 2. Estimated values of soil friction and cohesion based on uncorrected Standard Penetration Test (SPT) blow counts, taken from Karol (1960). Although bereft of overburden corrections, these simple correlations were generally used for shallow foundation investigations.

| Soil Type and SPT Blow Counts |  | Undisturbed Soil |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cohesion (psf) | Friction Angle ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) |
| Cohesive soils |  |  |  |
| Very soft | $(<2)$ | 250 | 0 |
| Soft | (2-4) | 250-500 | 0 |
| Firm | (4-8) | 500-1,000 | 0 |
| Stiff | (8-15) | $1,000-2,000$ | 0 |
| Very stiff | (15-30) | 2,000-4,000 | 0 |
| Hard | $(>30)$ | 4,000 | 0 |
| Cohesionless soils |  |  |  |
| Loose | ( $<10$ ) | 0 | 28 |
| Medium | (10-30) | 0 | 28-30 |
| Dense | $(>30)$ | 0 | 32 |
| Intermediate soils |  |  |  |
| Loose | (<10) | 100 | 8 |
| Medium | (10-30) | 100-1,000 | 8-12 |
| Dense | $(>30)$ | 1,000 | 12 |

(Reference for Table C2.2: Rogers, J.D., "Subsurface Exploration Using the Standard Penetration Test and the Cone Penetrometer Test", Environmental \& Engineering Geoscience, Vol. XII, No. 2, May 2006.)

Table C2.2. SPT - Soil Strength Correlations -II

(Reference for Table C2.3: Das, B. M., "Principles of Geotechnical Engineering", $5^{\text {th }}$ Edition, 2002)


To be conservative, stability analyses were performed assuming the clay is normally consolidated. For drained analysis (long-term), effective friction angle was estimated based on the plasticity index (PI) using the following relationship (EPRI, 1990):
$\sin \phi^{\prime}=0.8-0.094 \ln (\mathrm{PI})$; for foundation soils:
Average PI = 14

Upper Layer Drained Shear Strength (Long-Term Stability)

Upper Layer Average PI=14

Table C2.3. SPT - Soil Strength Correlations -III

## Friction Angle Based on SPT

Table 34 presents baseline relationships for evaluating the drained friction angle of cohesionless soils. This table is based on data for relatively clean sands. Given this, selected values of $\phi^{\prime}$ based on SPT N values should be reduced by $5^{\circ}$ for clayey sands and the value from the table should be increased by $5^{\circ}$ for gravelly sands.

Table 34. Relationship among relative density, SPT N value, and internal friction angle of cohesionless soils (after Meyerhof, 1956).

| State of <br> Packing | Relative Density <br> $(\%)$ | Standard Penetration Resistance, $\mathbf{N}$ <br> (blows/300 $\mathbf{~ m m}$ ) | Friction angle, $\boldsymbol{\phi}^{\prime}$ <br> $\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very loose | $<20$ | $<4$ | $<30$ |
| Loose | $20-40$ | $4-10$ | $30-35$ |
| Compact | $40-60$ | $10-30$ | $35-40$ |
| Dense | $60-80$ | $30-50$ | $40-45$ |
| Very dense | $>80$ | $>50$ | $>45$ |

(Reference for Table C2.4: FHWA, Geotechnical Engineering Circular No. 5, Evaluation of Soil and Rock Properties, FHWA-IF-02-034, April 2002.)

Upper Later Drained Shear Strength (Long-Term Stability)
Upper Layer Average SPT=25:
35 degrees -5 degrees $=30$ degrees (clayey soil adjustment)
Lower Layer Average SPT= +50:
45 degrees -5 degrees $=40$ degrees (clayey soil adjustment)










## Attachment C-3

Seismic Analysis Supporting Information


Two-percent probability of exceedance in $\mathbf{5 0}$ years map of peak ground acceleration
U.S. Geological Survey - Earthquake Hazards Program

## Unified Hazard Tool

Please do not use this tool to obtain ground motion parameter values for the design code reference documents covered by the U.S. Seismic Design Maps web tools (e.g., the International Building Code and the ASCE 7 or 41 Standard). The values returned by the two applications are not identical.

ヘ Input

## Edition

Conterminous U.S. 2014 (v4.0.x)
Latitude
Decimal degrees
35.501

Longitude
Decimal degrees, negative values for western longitudes
-97.392

Site Class
$760 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ (B/C boundary)

## Spectral Period

## Peak Ground Acceleration

Time Horizon
Return period in years
2475

| $2 \%$ in 50 years |
| :--- |
| (2475 years) |

## ^ Hazard Curve



View Raw Data


Figure C3.2. Observed Variations of Peak Horizontal Accelerations on Soft Soil and MSW Sites in Comparison to Rock Sites (Kavazanjian and Matasović, 1994).

## Attachment C-4

## PCSTABL5M Slope Stability Analyses Results

## Northeast Landfill Cross Section A, Circular (Excavation)

m:|projects\16219107.001task 3 - tier iii modification applpermit applicationlapp c - liner and final cover system stability analysislstabl fileslnelff2023.4.13 nelf_xs_a_circ (excavation).pl2 Run By: Username 4/19/2023 0


```
            ** PCSTABL5M3 **
                by Purdue University 1985
        rev. for SCS Engineers HVA 2008
            --Slope Stability Analysis--
        Simplified Janbu, Simplified Bishop
            or Spencer`s Method of Slices
    Run Date:
                4/13/2023
Time of Run:
                07:03PM
Run By:
Username
Input Data Filename: M:2023.4.13 nelf_xs_a_circ (Excavation).in
Output Filename: M:2023.4.13 nelf_xs_a_circ (Excavation).OUT
Unit:
                    ENGLISH
Plotted Output Filename: M:2023.4.13 nelf_xs_a_circ (Excavation).PLT
PROBLEM DESCRIPTION Northeast Landfill
                        Cross Section A, Circular (Excavation)
    BOUNDARY COORDINATES
        Note: User origin value specified.
        Add 0.00 to X-values and 0.00 to Y-values listed.
        3 Top Boundaries
        3 Total Boundaries
\begin{tabular}{cccccc}
\begin{tabular}{c} 
Boundary \\
No.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
X-Left \\
\((\mathrm{ft})\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Y-Left \\
\((\mathrm{ft})\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
X-Right \\
\((\mathrm{ft})\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Y-Right \\
\((\mathrm{ft})\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Soil Type \\
Below Bnd
\end{tabular} \\
1 & 121.00 & 1178.30 & 438.90 & 1175.40 & 5 \\
2 & 438.90 & 1175.40 & 545.30 & 1210.50 & 5 \\
3 & 545.30 & 1210.50 & 700.00 & 1212.00 & 5
\end{tabular}
ISOTROPIC SOIL PARAMETERS
    5 Type(s) of Soil
    Soil Total Saturated Cohesion Friction Pore Pressure Piez.
    Type Unit Wt. Unit Wt. Intercept Angle Pressure Constant Surface
        No. (pcf) (pcf) (psf) (deg) Param. (psf) No.
        1
        rrrrrrr
        3 120.0 120.0 0.0 0.0 25,
1 PIEZOMETRIC SURFACE(S) HAVE BEEN SPECIFIED
    Unit Weight of Water = 62.40
    Piezometric Surface No. 1 Specified by 2 Coordinate Points
        Point X-Water Y-Water
                            (ft) (ft)
                            121.00 1177.60
                            612.00 1168.30
    A Critical Failure Surface Searching Method, Using A Random
    Technique For Generating Circular Surfaces, Has Been Specified.
10000 Trial Surfaces Have Been Generated.
    100 Surfaces Initiate From Each Of100 Points Equally Spaced
    Along The Ground Surface Between X = 388.90 ft.
                        and }X=460.00 ft
    Each Surface Terminates Between X = 492.30 ft.
                and }X=595.30 ft
    Unless Further Limitations Were Imposed, The Minimum Elevation
    At Which A Surface Extends Is Y = 0.00 ft.
    15.00 ft. Line Segments Define Each Trial Failure Surface.
    Following Are Displayed The Ten Most Critical Of The Trial
        Failure Surfaces Examined. They Are Ordered - Most Critical
        First.
        * * Safety Factors Are Calculated By The Modified Bishop Method * *
        Failure Surface Specified By 17 Coordinate Points
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
Point & X-Surf & Y-Surf \\
No. & (ft) & (ft) \\
1 & 401.11 & 1175.74 \\
2 & 411.72 & 1165.14 \\
3 & 423.62 & 1156.00 \\
4 & 436.60 & 1148.49 \\
5 & 450.45 & 1142.74 \\
6 & 464.93 & 1138.83 \\
7 & 479.80 & 1136.84 \\
8 & 494.80 & 1136.80 \\
9 & 509.68 & 1138.71
\end{tabular}
```

Failure Surface Specified By 17 Coordinate Points

| Point <br> No. | X-Surf <br> $(\mathrm{ft})$ | Y-Surf <br> $(\mathrm{ft})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 401.11 | 1175.74 |
| 2 | 411.73 | 1165.15 |
| 3 | 423.63 | 1156.02 |
| 4 | 436.62 | 1148.52 |
| 5 | 450.48 | 1142.78 |
| 6 | 464.97 | 1138.89 |
| 7 | 479.84 | 1136.92 |
| 8 | 494.84 | 1136.90 |
| 9 | 509.71 | 1138.83 |


| 10 | 524.21 | 1142.68 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 538.08 | 1148.39 |  |  |
| 12 | 551.09 | 1155.85 |  |  |
| 13 | 563.02 | 1164.95 |  |  |
| 14 | 573.66 | 1175.52 |  |  |
| 15 | 582.84 | 1187.38 |  |  |
| 16 | 590.40 | 1200.34 |  |  |
| 17 | 594.86 | 1210.98 |  |  |
| Circle | $\begin{gathered} \text { At X }= \\ 2.673 \end{gathered}$ | $487.5 ; Y$ | $=1251.7$ and Radius, | 115.0 |
| Failure Surface Specified By 17 Coordinate Points |  |  |  |  |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |  |
| 1 | 401.83 | 1175.74 |  |  |
| 2 | 412.43 | 1165.13 |  |  |
| 3 | 424.34 | 1156.00 |  |  |
| 4 | 437.33 | 1148.51 |  |  |
| 5 | 451.20 | 1142.79 |  |  |
| 6 | 465.69 | 1138.92 |  |  |
| 7 | 480.57 | 1136.99 |  |  |
| 8 | 495.57 | 1137.02 |  |  |
| 9 | 510.43 | 1139.01 |  |  |
| 10 | 524.91 | 1142.93 |  |  |
| 11 | 538.75 | 1148.71 |  |  |
| 12 | 551.72 | 1156.25 |  |  |
| 13 | 563.59 | 1165.42 |  |  |
| 14 | 574.15 | 1176.07 |  |  |
| 15 | 583.23 | 1188.01 |  |  |
| 16 | 590.68 | 1201.03 |  |  |
| 17 | 594.74 | 1210.98 |  |  |
| Circle Center At $X=487.8$; $Y=1251.2$ and Radius, *** 2.673 *** |  |  |  | 114.4 |
| Failure Surface Specified By 17 Coordinate Points |  |  |  |  |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |  |
| 1 | 398.95 | 1175.76 |  |  |
| 2 | 409.60 | 1165.20 |  |  |
| 3 | 421.52 | 1156.09 |  |  |
| 4 | 434.50 | 1148.58 |  |  |
| 5 | 448.34 | 1142.79 |  |  |
| 6 | 462.81 | 1138.83 |  |  |
| 7 | 477.66 | 1136.75 |  |  |
| 8 | 492.66 | 1136.60 |  |  |
| 9 | 507.56 | 1138.36 |  |  |
| 10 | 522.10 | 1142.03 |  |  |
| 11 | 536.06 | 1147.52 |  |  |
| 12 | 549.20 | 1154.76 |  |  |
| 13 | 561.30 | 1163.62 |  |  |
| 14 | 572.16 | 1173.97 |  |  |
| 15 | 581.62 | 1185.62 |  |  |
| 16 | 589.49 | 1198.38 |  |  |
| 17 | 595.19 | 1210.98 |  |  |
| Circle Center At $\mathrm{X}=486.4$; $\mathrm{Y}=1253.2$ and Radius, 116.8 *** 2.674 *** |  |  |  |  |
| Failure Surface Specified By 17 Coordinate Points |  |  |  |  |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |  |
| 1 | 398.95 | 1175.76 |  |  |
| 2 | 409.61 | 1165.20 |  |  |
| 3 | 421.53 | 1156.10 |  |  |
| 4 | 434.52 | 1148.60 |  |  |
| 5 | 448.36 | 1142.83 |  |  |
| 6 | 462.83 | 1138.88 |  |  |
| 7 | 477.69 | 1136.82 |  |  |
| 8 | 492.69 | 1136.69 |  |  |
| 9 | 507.58 | 1138.49 |  |  |
| 10 | 522.12 | 1142.18 |  |  |
| 11 | 536.07 | 1147.71 |  |  |
| 12 | 549.19 | 1154.98 |  |  |
| 13 | 561.26 | 1163.88 |  |  |

## Northeast Landfill Cross Section B-B', PH12 WB




```
                    ** PCSTABL5M3 **
                by Purdue University 1985
    rev. for SCS Engineers HVA 2008
            --Slope Stability Analysis--
        Simplified Janbu, Simplified Bishop
            or Spencer`s Method of Slices
Run Date:
                        5/11/2023
Time of Run:
                        05:17PM
Run By:
Username
Input Data Filename
M:2023.4.13 nelf_xs_b_ph12 wb.in
M:2023.4.13 nelf_xs_b_ph12 wb.OUT
    ENGLISH
Output Filename:
Unit:
                            M:2023.4.13 nelf_xs_b_ph12 wb.PLT
Plotted Output Filename: M:2023.4.13 ne
                Cross Section B-B', PH12 WB
BOUNDARY COORDINATES
            Note: User origin value specified.
            Add 0.00 to X-values and 0.00 to Y-values listed.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 6 Top 19 Total & Boundaries
Boundaries & & & & \\
\hline Boundary & X-Left & Y-Left & X-Right & Y-Right & Soil Type \\
\hline No. & (ft) & (ft) & (ft) & (ft) & Below Bnd \\
\hline 1 & 341.50 & 1171.90 & 651.40 & 1174.70 & 3 \\
\hline 2 & 651.40 & 1174.70 & 663.40 & 1178.70 & 2 \\
\hline 3 & 663.40 & 1178.70 & 1596.50 & 1408.00 & 4 \\
\hline 4 & 1596.50 & 1408.00 & 1635.60 & 1408.00 & 4 \\
\hline 5 & 1635.60 & 1408.00 & 1735.70 & 1404.00 & 4 \\
\hline 6 & 1735.70 & 1404.00 & 1991.20 & 1340.20 & 4 \\
\hline 7 & 663.40 & 1178.70 & 807.10 & 1180.00 & 2 \\
\hline 8 & 807.10 & 1180.00 & 1218.60 & 1181.00 & 2 \\
\hline 9 & 1218.60 & 1181.00 & 1305.60 & 1206.00 & 2 \\
\hline 10 & 1305.60 & 1206.00 & 1310.60 & 1206.00 & 2 \\
\hline 11 & 1310.60 & 1206.00 & 1369.90 & 1186.00 & 2 \\
\hline 12 & 1369.90 & 1186.00 & 1991.20 & 1184.40 & 2 \\
\hline 13 & 651.40 & 1174.70 & 663.40 & 1178.40 & 3 \\
\hline 14 & 663.40 & 1178.40 & 807.10 & 1176.00 & 3 \\
\hline 15 & 807.10 & 1176.00 & 1218.60 & 1177.00 & 3 \\
\hline 16 & 1218.60 & 1177.00 & 1305.60 & 1202.00 & 3 \\
\hline 17 & 1305.60 & 1202.00 & 1310.60 & 1202.00 & 3 \\
\hline 18 & 1310.60 & 1202.00 & 1369.90 & 1182.00 & 3 \\
\hline 19 & 1369.90 & 1182.00 & 1991.20 & 1180.40 & 3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
ISOTROPIC SOIL PARAMETERS
    5 Type(s) of Soil
Soil Total Saturated Cohesion Friction Pore Pressure Piez.
Type Unit Wt. Unit Wt. Intercept Angle Pressure Constant Surface
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrr} 
No. & (pcf) & (pcf) & (psf) & (deg) & Param. & (psf) & No. \\
1 & 120.0 & 120.0 & 0.0 & 25.0 & 0.00 & 0.0 & 1 \\
2 & 120.0 & 120.0 & 200.0 & 20.0 & 0.00 & 0.0 & 1 \\
3 & 120.0 & 120.0 & 0.0 & 25.0 & 0.00 & 0.0 & 1 \\
4 & 70.0 & 70.0 & 400.0 & 35.0 & 0.00 & 0.0 & 1 \\
5 & 120.0 & 120.0 & 1800.0 & 0.0 & 0.00 & 0.0 & 1
\end{tabular}
1 PIEZOMETRIC SURFACE(S) HAVE BEEN SPECIFIED
Unit Weight of Water = 62.40
Piezometric Surface No. 1 Specified by 2 Coordinate Points
Point X-Water Y-Water
                No. (ft) (ft)
                    1 
                    2 1991.20 1176.50
A Critical Failure Surface Searching Method, Using A Random
Technique For Generating Sliding Block Surfaces, Has Been
Specified.
1000 Trial Surfaces Have Been Generated.
    8 Boxes Specified For Generation Of Central Block Base
    Length Of Line Segments For Active And Passive Portions Of
Sliding Block Is 20.0
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Box & X-Left & Y-Left & X-Right & Y-Right & Height \\
No. & \((\mathrm{ft})\) & \((\mathrm{ft})\) & \((\mathrm{ft})\) & \((\mathrm{ft})\) & \((\mathrm{ft})\) \\
1 & 663.40 & 1176.70 & 663.50 & 1176.70 & 4.00 \\
2 & 664.00 & 1176.70 & 806.50 & 1178.00 & 4.00
\end{tabular}
```

| 3 | 807.00 | 1178.00 | 807.10 | 1178.00 | 4.00 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 4 | 807.60 | 1178.00 | 1218.10 | 1179.00 | 4.00 |
| 5 | 1218.60 | 1179.00 | 1218.70 | 1179.00 | 4.00 |
| 6 | 1219.20 | 1179.00 | 1305.10 | 1204.00 | 4.00 |
| 7 | 1305.60 | 1204.00 | 1305.70 | 1204.00 | 4.00 |
| 8 | 1306.10 | 1204.00 | 1310.10 | 1204.00 | 4.00 |

Following Are Displayed The Ten Most Critical Of The Trial Failure Surfaces Examined. They Are Ordered - Most Critical First.

*     * Safety Factors Are Calculated By The Modified Janbu Method * * Failure Surface Specified By 20 Coordinate Points Point X-Surf Y-Surf

| Point | X-Surf <br> No. | (ft) <br> (ft) |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 658.70 | 1177.13 |
| 2 | 663.42 | 1176.33 |
| 3 | 678.36 | 1177.16 |
| 4 | 807.03 | 1177.38 |
| 5 | 1215.77 | 1179.16 |
| 6 | 1218.62 | 1180.06 |
| 7 | 1278.02 | 1195.56 |
| 8 | 1305.68 | 1203.68 |
| 9 | 1309.79 | 1205.16 |
| 10 | 1323.89 | 1219.34 |
| 11 | 1335.54 | 1235.60 |
| 12 | 1345.54 | 1252.92 |
| 13 | 1359.57 | 1267.17 |
| 14 | 1371.47 | 1283.25 |
| 15 | 1385.59 | 1297.41 |
| 16 | 1397.02 | 1313.82 |
| 17 | 1410.53 | 1328.57 |
| 18 | 1423.69 | 1343.63 |
| 19 | 1435.79 | 1359.55 |
| 20 | 1446.42 | 1371.12 |
|  | $* * *$ | 2.290 |$* * *$


|  |  | Individua | on th Water Force | Water Force | $\begin{gathered} \text { slices } \\ \text { Tie } \\ \text { Force } \end{gathered}$ | Tie Force | Eart | ake <br> e S | harge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Slice | e Width | Weight | Top | Bot | Norm | Tan | Hor | Ver | Load |
| No. | (ft) | (lbs) | (lbs) | (lbs) | (lbs) | (lbs) | (lbs) | (lbs) | (lbs) |
| 1 | 0.4 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2 | 4.3 | 661.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 3 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 4 | 14.9 | 5479.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5 | 53.9 | 49572.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 6 | 74.8 | 152657.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 7 | 0.1 | 195.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 8 | 408.7 | 2504456.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 9 | 2.8 | 26976.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10 | 0.0 | 213.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11 | 59.4 | 564889.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 12 | 27.6 | 261108.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 13 | 0.1 | 795.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 14 | 4.1 | 38420.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 15 | 0.8 | 7522.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 16 | 0.0 | 175.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 17 | 13.3 | 117707.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 18 | 11.7 | 93774.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 19 | 10.0 | 70565.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 14.0 | 86432.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 21 | 11.9 | 63318.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 22 | 14.1 | 63395.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 23 | 11.4 | 41565.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 24 | 13.5 | 37304.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 25 | 13.2 | 25622.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 26 | 12.1 | 13073.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 27 | 10.6 | 3332.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Failure Surface Specified By 20 Coordinate Point <br> Point X-Surf Y-Surf <br> No. $(\mathrm{ft})$ $(\mathrm{ft})$ <br> 1 658.70 1177.13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

```
        663.42 1176.33
        678.36 1177.16
        807.03 1177.38
        1215.77 1179.16
        1218.62 1180.06
        1278.02 1195.56
        1305.68 1203.68
        1309.79 1205.16
        1323.89 1219.34
        1335.54 1235.60
        1345.54 1252.92
        1359.57 1267.17
        1371.47 1283.25
        1385.59 1297.41
        1397.02 1313.82
        1410.53 1328.57
        1423.69 1343.63
        1435.79 1359.55
        1446.42
            2.290 ***
Failure Surface Specified By 20 Coordinate Points
    Point X-Surf Y-Surf
        (ft) (ft)
        658.70 1177.13
        663.42 1176.33
        678.36 1177.16
        807.03 1177.38
        1215.77 1179.16
        1218.62 1180.06
        1278.02 1195.56
        1305.68 1203.68
        1309.79 1205.16
        1323.89 1219.34
        1335.54 1235.60
        1345.54 1252.92
        1359.57 1267.17
        1371.47 1283.25
        1385.59 1297.41
        1397.02 1313.82
        1410.53 1328.57
        1423.69 1343.63
        1435.79 1359.55
        1446.42 *** 1371.12
        2.290 ***
Failure Surface Specified By 20 Coordinate Points
    Point X-Surf Y-Surf
        No
            (ft) (ft)
                                658.70 1177.13
                        663.42 1176.33
                                678.36 1177.16
                                807.03 1177.38
                                1215.77 1179.16
                        1218.62 1180.06
                        1278.02 1195.56
                        1305.68 1203.68
                        1309.79 1205.16
                        1323.89 1219.34
                        1335.54 1235.60
                        1345.54 1252.92
                        1359.57 1267.17
                        1371.47 1283.25
                        1385.59 1297.41
                        1397.02 1313.82
                        1410.53 1328.57
                                1423.69 1343.63
                        1435.79 1359.55
                        1446.42 * 1371.12
Failure Surface Specified By 20 Coordinate Points
    Point X-Surf Y-Surf
```

| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 658.70 | 1177.13 |  |
| 2 | 663.42 | 1176.33 |  |
| 3 | 678.36 | 1177.16 |  |
| 4 | 807.03 | 1177.38 |  |
| 5 | 1215.77 | 1179.16 |  |
| 6 | 1218.62 | 1180.06 |  |
| 7 | 1278.02 | 1195.56 |  |
| 8 | 1305.68 | 1203.68 |  |
| 9 | 1309.79 | 1205.16 |  |
| 10 | 1323.89 | 1219.34 |  |
| 11 | 1335.54 | 1235.60 |  |
| 12 | 1345.54 | 1252.92 |  |
| 13 | 1359.57 | 1267.17 |  |
| 14 | 1371.47 | 1283.25 |  |
| 15 | 1385.59 | 1297.41 |  |
| 16 | 1397.02 | 1313.82 |  |
| 17 | 1410.53 | 1328.57 |  |
| 18 | 1423.69 | 1343.63 |  |
| 19 | 1435.79 | 1359.55 |  |
| 20 | 1446.42 | 1371.12 |  |
| *** | 2.290 |  |  |
| Failure Surface Specified By 20 Coordinate Points |  |  |  |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 662.10 | 1178.27 |  |
| 2 | 663.50 | 1176.87 |  |
| 3 | 695.96 | 1178.05 |  |
| 4 | 807.07 | 1177.44 |  |
| 5 | 1154.23 | 1178.53 |  |
| 6 | 1218.69 | 1180.16 |  |
| 7 | 1295.34 | 1199.40 |  |
| 8 | 1305.65 | 1204.31 |  |
| 9 | 1306.50 | 1203.74 |  |
| 10 | 1317.93 | 1220.15 |  |
| 11 | 1331.44 | 1234.90 |  |
| 12 | 1344.60 | 1249.96 |  |
| 13 | 1356.70 | 1265.88 |  |
| 14 | 1370.23 | 1280.61 |  |
| 15 | 1384.35 | 1294.77 |  |
| 16 | 1396.75 | 1310.47 |  |
| 17 | 1409.55 | 1325.83 |  |
| 18 | 1419.22 | 1343.34 |  |
| 19 | 1432.51 | 1358.29 |  |
| 20 | 1440.60 | 1369.69 |  |
| *** | 2.292 | * |  |
| Failure Surface Specified By 20 Coordinate Points |  |  |  |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 655.33 | 1176.01 |  |
| 2 | 663.47 | 1175.96 |  |
| 3 | 720.49 | 1177.51 |  |
| 4 | 807.09 | 1177.62 |  |
| 5 | 867.31 | 1176.26 |  |
| 6 | 1218.61 | 1178.66 |  |
| 7 | 1251.74 | 1187.60 |  |
| 8 | 1305.63 | 1202.39 |  |
| 9 | 1309.49 | 1202.33 |  |
| 10 | 1323.16 | 1216.92 |  |
| 11 | 1336.28 | 1232.02 |  |
| 12 | 1350.06 | 1246.51 |  |
| 13 | 1364.10 | 1260.76 |  |
| 14 | 1375.48 | 1277.21 |  |
| 15 | 1386.78 | 1293.71 |  |
| 16 | 1399.72 | 1308.96 |  |
| 17 | 1412.77 | 1324.11 |  |
| 18 | 1421.02 | 1342.33 |  |
| 19 | 1434.89 | 1356.74 |  |
| 20 | 1448.17 | 1371.55 |  |
|  | 2.293 |  |  |


| Failure | Surface Spec | By 20 | Coordinate Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 655.33 | 1176.01 |  |
| 2 | 663.47 | 1175.96 |  |
| 3 | 720.49 | 1177.51 |  |
| 4 | 807.09 | 1177.62 |  |
| 5 | 867.31 | 1176.26 |  |
| 6 | 1218.61 | 1178.66 |  |
| 7 | 1251.74 | 1187.60 |  |
| 8 | 1305.63 | 1202.39 |  |
| 9 | 1309.49 | 1202.33 |  |
| 10 | 1323.16 | 1216.92 |  |
| 11 | 1336.28 | 1232.02 |  |
| 12 | 1350.06 | 1246.51 |  |
| 13 | 1364.10 | 1260.76 |  |
| 14 | 1375.48 | 1277.21 |  |
| 15 | 1386.78 | 1293.71 |  |
| 16 | 1399.72 | 1308.96 |  |
| 17 | 1412.77 | 1324.11 |  |
| 18 | 1421.02 | 1342.33 |  |
| 19 | 1434.89 | 1356.74 |  |
| 20 | 1448.17 | 1371.55 |  |
|  | 2.293 |  |  |
| Failure | Surface Spec | d By 20 | Coordinate Points |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 655.33 | 1176.01 |  |
| 2 | 663.47 | 1175.96 |  |
| 3 | 720.49 | 1177.51 |  |
| 4 | 807.09 | 1177.62 |  |
| 5 | 867.31 | 1176.26 |  |
| 6 | 1218.61 | 1178.66 |  |
| 7 | 1251.74 | 1187.60 |  |
| 8 | 1305.63 | 1202.39 |  |
| 9 | 1309.49 | 1202.33 |  |
| 10 | 1323.16 | 1216.92 |  |
| 11 | 1336.28 | 1232.02 |  |
| 12 | 1350.06 | 1246.51 |  |
| 13 | 1364.10 | 1260.76 |  |
| 14 | 1375.48 | 1277.21 |  |
| 15 | 1386.78 | 1293.71 |  |
| 16 | 1399.72 | 1308.96 |  |
| 17 | 1412.77 | 1324.11 |  |
| 18 | 1421.02 | 1342.33 |  |
| 19 | 1434.89 | 1356.74 |  |
| 20 | 1448.17 | 1371.55 |  |
| ** | 2.293 |  |  |
| Failure | Surface Spec | d By 20 | Coordinate Points |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 655.33 | 1176.01 |  |
| 2 | 663.47 | 1175.96 |  |
| 3 | 720.49 | 1177.51 |  |
| 4 | 807.09 | 1177.62 |  |
| 5 | 867.31 | 1176.26 |  |
| 6 | 1218.61 | 1178.66 |  |
| 7 | 1251.74 | 1187.60 |  |
| 8 | 1305.63 | 1202.39 |  |
| 9 | 1309.49 | 1202.33 |  |
| 10 | 1323.16 | 1216.92 |  |
| 11 | 1336.28 | 1232.02 |  |
| 12 | 1350.06 | 1246.51 |  |
| 13 | 1364.10 | 1260.76 |  |
| 14 | 1375.48 | 1277.21 |  |
| 15 | 1386.78 | 1293.71 |  |
| 16 | 1399.72 | 1308.96 |  |
| 17 | 1412.77 | 1324.11 |  |
| 18 | 1421.02 | 1342.33 |  |
| 19 | 1434.89 | 1356.74 |  |

## Northeast Landfill Cross Section A, Circular




```
                    ** PCSTABL5M3 **
                by Purdue University 1985
            rev. for SCS Engineers HVA 2008
            --Slope Stability Analysis--
        Simplified Janbu, Simplified Bishop
            or Spencer`s Method of Slices
Run Date:
Time of Run:
                5/11/2023
                    05:29PM
Run By:
Username
Input Data Filename: M:2023.4.13 nelf_xs_a_circ.in
Output Filename:
M:2023.4.13 nelf_xs_a_circ.OUT
Unit:
                                    ENGLISH
Plotted Output Filename: M:2023.4.13 nelf_xs_a_circ.PLT
PROBLEM DESCRIPTION Northeast Landfill
                        Cross Section A, Circular
BOUNDARY COORDINATES
            Note: User origin value specified.
            Add 0.00 to X-values and 0.00 to Y-values listed.
        6 ~ T o p ~ B o u n d a r i e s ~
        21 Total Boundaries
\begin{tabular}{crcrcc}
\begin{tabular}{c} 
Boundary \\
No.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{r} 
X-Left \\
\((\mathrm{ft})\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Y-Left \\
\((\mathrm{ft})\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
X-Right \\
\((\mathrm{ft})\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Y-Right \\
\((\mathrm{ft})\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Soil Type \\
Below Bnd
\end{tabular} \\
1 & 0.00 & 1212.00 & 154.70 & 1210.50 & 3 \\
2 & 154.70 & 1210.50 & 928.90 & 1404.00 & 2 \\
3 & 928.90 & 1404.00 & 1029.10 & 1408.00 & 2 \\
4 & 1029.10 & 1408.00 & 1043.80 & 1408.00 & 2 \\
5 & 1043.80 & 1408.00 & 1144.10 & 1404.00 & 2 \\
6 & 1144.10 & 1404.00 & 1603.40 & 1289.90 & 2 \\
7 & 154.70 & 1210.50 & 160.70 & 1210.50 & 2 \\
8 & 160.70 & 1210.50 & 162.30 & 1209.40 & 2 \\
9 & 162.30 & 1209.40 & 928.90 & 1401.00 & 4 \\
10 & 928.90 & 1401.00 & 1029.10 & 1405.00 & 4 \\
11 & 1029.10 & 1405.00 & 1043.80 & 1405.00 & 4 \\
12 & 1043.80 & 1405.00 & 1144.10 & 1401.00 & 4 \\
13 & 1144.10 & 1401.00 & 1603.40 & 1286.90 & 4 \\
14 & 162.30 & 1209.40 & 261.10 & 1179.40 & 2 \\
15 & 261.10 & 1179.40 & 579.00 & 1182.30 & 2 \\
16 & 579.00 & 1182.30 & 1386.40 & 1182.10 & 2 \\
17 & 1386.40 & 1182.10 & 1603.40 & 1184.20 & 2 \\
18 & 154.70 & 1210.50 & 261.10 & 1175.40 & 1 \\
19 & 261.10 & 1175.40 & 579.00 & 1178.30 & 1 \\
20 & 579.00 & 1178.30 & 1386.40 & 1178.10 & 1 \\
21 & 1386.40 & 1178.10 & 1603.40 & 1180.20 & 1
\end{tabular}
ISOTROPIC SOIL PARAMETERS
    5 Type(s) of Soil
    Soil Total Saturated Cohesion Friction Pore Pressure Piez.
    Type Unit Wt. Unit Wt. Intercept Angle Pressure Constant Surface
        No. (pcf) (pcf) (psf) (deg) Param. (psf) No.
        1
        2
```



```
        5 120.0 120.0 120.0 1800.0 
    1 PIEZOMETRIC SURFACE(S) HAVE BEEN SPECIFIED
    Unit Weight of Water = 62.40
    Piezometric Surface No. 1 Specified by 2 Coordinate Points
        Point X-Water Y-Water
            No.
            1 %r.50
    A Critical Failure Surface Searching Method, Using A Random
    Technique For Generating Circular Surfaces, Has Been Specified.
10000 Trial Surfaces Have Been Generated.
    100 Surfaces Initiate From Each Of100 Points Equally Spaced
    Along The Ground Surface Between X = 100.00 ft.
        and }X=310.00 ft
    Each Surface Terminates Between X = 541.50 ft.
        and X =1078.00 ft.
    Unless Further Limitations Were Imposed, The Minimum Elevation
```

At Which A Surface Extends Is $Y=0.00 \mathrm{ft}$.
15.00 ft . Line Segments Define Each Trial Failure Surface.

Following Are Displayed The Ten Most Critical Of The Trial Failure Surfaces Examined. They Are Ordered - Most Critical First.

*     * Safety Factors Are Calculated By The Modified Bishop Method * *

Failure Surface Specified By 62 Coordinate Points
Point X-Surf Y-Surf

No

| 1 | 155.15 | 1210.61 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

$3 \quad 182.43 \quad 1198.14$

| 4 | 196.28 | 1192.37 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 5 | 210.25 | 1186.91 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | 224.34 | 1181.78 |

$6 \quad 224.34 \quad 1181.78$

| 7 | 238.55 | 1176.96 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 8 | 252.86 | 1172.47 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 9 | 267.27 | 1168.31 |

$10 \quad 281.78 \quad 1164.47$

| 11 | 296.36 | 1160.97 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 12 | 311.02 | 1157.80 |

13
14 16
17
18
$311.02 \quad 1157.80$
$325.75 \quad 1154.96$
$340.54 \quad 1152.45$
$355.38 \quad 1150.29$
$370.27 \quad 1148.46$
$385.20 \quad 1146.97$
$400.15 \quad 1145.82$
$415.13 \quad 1145.01$
$430.12 \quad 1144.54$
$445.12 \quad 1144.41$
$460.12 \quad 1144.62$
$475.11 \quad 1145.17$
$490.08 \quad 1146.06$
$505.03 \quad 1147.30$
$519.95 \quad 1148.87$
$534.83 \quad 1150.78$
$549.66 \quad 1153.03$
$564.44 \quad 1155.61$
$579.15 \quad 1158.53$
$593.79 \quad 1161.78$
$608.36 \quad 1165.37$
$622.84 \quad 1169.28$
$637.22 \quad 1173.52$
$651.51 \quad 1178.09$
$665.69 \quad 1182.98$
$679.76 \quad 1188.19$
$693.70 \quad 1193.73$
$707.51 \quad 1199.57$
$721.19 \quad 1205.73$
$734.73 \quad 1212.20$
$748.11 \quad 1218.97$
$761.34 \quad 1226.05$
$774.40 \quad 1233.42$
$787.29 \quad 1241.09$
$800.00 \quad 1249.05$
$812.53 \quad 1257.30$
824.871265 .82
$837.01 \quad 1274.63$
$848.95 \quad 1283.71$
$860.68 \quad 1293.06$
$872.20 \quad 1302.68$
$883.49 \quad 1312.55$
$894.56 \quad 1322.68$
$905.39 \quad 1333.05$
$915.98 \quad 1343.67$
$926.33 \quad 1354.53$
$\begin{array}{ll}936.43 & 1365.62 \\ 946.28 & 1376.93\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}955.87 & 1388.47 \\ 965.19 & 1400.22\end{array}$






| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 161.52 | 1212.20 |  |
| 2 | 174.94 | 1205.51 |  |
| 3 | 188.51 | 1199.12 |  |
| 4 | 202.23 | 1193.05 |  |
| 5 | 216.08 | 1187.30 |  |
| 6 | 230.06 | 1181.87 |  |
| 7 | 244.17 | 1176.76 |  |
| 8 | 258.39 | 1171.98 |  |
| 9 | 272.71 | 1167.53 |  |
| 10 | 287.13 | 1163.41 |  |
| 11 | 301.65 | 1159.63 |  |
| 12 | 316.25 | 1156.18 |  |
| 13 | 330.92 | 1153.06 |  |
| 14 | 345.66 | 1150.29 |  |
| 15 | 360.46 | 1147.86 |  |
| 16 | 375.32 | 1145.77 |  |
| 17 | 390.21 | 1144.02 |  |
| 18 | 405.15 | 1142.62 |  |
| 19 | 420.11 | 1141.57 |  |
| 20 | 435.09 | 1140.85 |  |
| 21 | 450.09 | 1140.49 |  |
| 22 | 465.09 | 1140.47 |  |
| 23 | 480.09 | 1140.79 |  |
| 24 | 495.07 | 1141.47 |  |
| 25 | 510.04 | 1142.48 |  |
| 26 | 524.97 | 1143.85 |  |
| 27 | 539.88 | 1145.55 |  |
| 28 | 554.74 | 1147.60 |  |
| 29 | 569.54 | 1150.00 |  |
| 30 | 584.29 | 1152.73 |  |
| 31 | 598.98 | 1155.80 |  |
| 32 | 613.58 | 1159.21 |  |
| 33 | 628.11 | 1162.96 |  |
| 34 | 642.54 | 1167.04 |  |
| 35 | 656.88 | 1171.45 |  |
| 36 | 671.11 | 1176.20 |  |
| 37 | 685.22 | 1181.27 |  |
| 38 | 699.22 | 1186.66 |  |
| 39 | 713.09 | 1192.38 |  |
| 40 | 726.82 | 1198.41 |  |
| 41 | 740.41 | 1204.76 |  |
| 42 | 753.85 | 1211.42 |  |
| 43 | 767.14 | 1218.39 |  |
| 44 | 780.25 | 1225.66 |  |
| 45 | 793.20 | 1233.24 |  |
| 46 | 805.97 | 1241.11 |  |
| 47 | 818.55 | 1249.27 |  |
| 48 | 830.95 | 1257.72 |  |
| 49 | 843.14 | 1266.46 |  |
| 50 | 855.13 | 1275.47 |  |
| 51 | 866.91 | 1284.76 |  |
| 52 | 878.47 | 1294.32 |  |
| 53 | 889.80 | 1304.14 |  |
| 54 | 900.91 | 1314.22 |  |
| 55 | 911.78 | 1324.56 |  |
| 56 | 922.41 | 1335.14 |  |
| 57 | 932.80 | 1345.96 |  |
| 58 | 942.93 | 1357.03 |  |
| 59 | 952.80 | 1368.32 |  |
| 60 | 962.41 | 1379.84 |  |
| 61 | 971.75 | 1391.57 |  |
| 62 | 980.82 | 1403.52 |  |
| 63 | 982.72 | 1406.15 |  |
| Circle $\underset{* * *}{\text { Center At }} \underset{2}{\mathrm{X}}=458.5$; $\mathrm{Y}=1790.6$ and Radius, 650.1 |  |  |  |
| Failure Surface Specified By 64 Coordinate Points |  |  |  |
| Poin | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 142.42 | 1210.62 |  |






## Northeast Landfill Cross Section A-A', WB




```
                    ** PCSTABL5M3 **
                by Purdue University 1985
            rev. for SCS Engineers HVA 2008
            --Slope Stability Analysis--
        Simplified Janbu, Simplified Bishop
            or Spencer`s Method of Slices
Run Date:
Time of Run:
                5/11/2023
                    05:33PM
Run By:
Username
Input Data Filename: M:2023.4.13 nelf_xs_a_wb.in
Output Filename: M:2023.4.13 nelf_xs_a_wb.OUT
Unit:
ENGLISH
Plotted Output Filename: M:2023.4.13 nelf_xs_a_wb.PLT
PROBLEM DESCRIPTION Northeast Landfill
                                    Cross Section A-A', WB
BOUNDARY COORDINATES
            Note: User origin value specified.
            Add 0.00 to X-values and 0.00 to Y-values listed.
        6 Top Boundaries
        21 Total Boundaries
\begin{tabular}{crcrcc}
\begin{tabular}{c} 
Boundary \\
No.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{r} 
X-Left \\
\((\mathrm{ft})\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Y-Left \\
\((\mathrm{ft})\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
X-Right \\
\((\mathrm{ft})\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Y-Right \\
\((\mathrm{ft})\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Soil Type \\
Below Bnd
\end{tabular} \\
1 & 0.00 & 1212.00 & 154.70 & 1210.50 & 3 \\
2 & 154.70 & 1210.50 & 928.90 & 1404.00 & 2 \\
3 & 928.90 & 1404.00 & 1029.10 & 1408.00 & 2 \\
4 & 1029.10 & 1408.00 & 1043.80 & 1408.00 & 2 \\
5 & 1043.80 & 1408.00 & 1144.10 & 1404.00 & 2 \\
6 & 1144.10 & 1404.00 & 1603.40 & 1289.90 & 2 \\
7 & 154.70 & 1210.50 & 160.70 & 1210.50 & 2 \\
8 & 160.70 & 1210.50 & 162.30 & 1209.40 & 2 \\
9 & 162.30 & 1209.40 & 928.90 & 1401.00 & 4 \\
10 & 928.90 & 1401.00 & 1029.10 & 1405.00 & 4 \\
11 & 1029.10 & 1405.00 & 1043.80 & 1405.00 & 4 \\
12 & 1043.80 & 1405.00 & 1144.10 & 1401.00 & 4 \\
13 & 1144.10 & 1401.00 & 1603.40 & 1286.90 & 4 \\
14 & 162.30 & 1209.40 & 261.10 & 1179.40 & 2 \\
15 & 261.10 & 1179.40 & 579.00 & 1182.30 & 2 \\
16 & 579.00 & 1182.30 & 1386.40 & 1182.10 & 2 \\
17 & 1386.40 & 1182.10 & 1603.40 & 1184.20 & 2 \\
18 & 154.70 & 1210.50 & 261.10 & 1175.40 & 1 \\
19 & 261.10 & 1175.40 & 579.00 & 1178.30 & 1 \\
20 & 579.00 & 1178.30 & 1386.40 & 1178.10 & 1 \\
21 & 1386.40 & 1178.10 & 1603.40 & 1180.20 & 1
\end{tabular}
ISOTROPIC SOIL PARAMETERS
    5 Type(s) of Soil
Soil Total Saturated Cohesion Friction Pore Pressure Piez.
Type Unit Wt. Unit Wt. Intercept Angle Pressure Constant Surface
    No. (pcf) (pcf) (psf) (deg) Param. (psf) No.
```



```
        2 120.0 120.0 200.0
        3 120.0
        5 rrrrrrr
    1 PIEZOMETRIC SURFACE(S) HAVE BEEN SPECIFIED
    Unit Weight of Water = 62.40
    Piezometric Surface No. 1 Specified by 2 Coordinate Points
        Point X-Water Y-Water
            No. (ft) (ft)
            1 88.50 1168.30
            2 1603.40 1177.60
A Critical Failure Surface Searching Method, Using A Random
Technique For Generating Sliding Block Surfaces, Has Been
Specified.
1000 Trial Surfaces Have Been Generated.
    4 \text { Boxes Specified For Generation Of Central Block Base}
    Length Of Line Segments For Active And Passive Portions Of
Sliding Block Is 20.0
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Box & X-Left & Y-Left & X-Right & Y-Right & Height \\
No. & \((f t)\) & \((f t)\) & \((f t)\) & \((f t)\) & \((f t)\)
\end{tabular}
```

| 1 | 261.10 | 1177.40 | 261.20 | 1177.40 | 4.00 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 261.70 | 1177.40 | 579.00 | 1180.30 | 4.00 |
| 3 | 579.50 | 1180.30 | 579.60 | 1180.30 | 4.00 |
| 4 | 580.10 | 1180.30 | 1386.40 | 1180.10 | 4.00 |

Following Are Displayed The Ten Most Critical Of The Trial Failure Surfaces Examined. They Are Ordered - Most Critical First.

*     * Safety Factors Are Calculated By The Modified Janbu Method * *
Failure Surface Specified By 23 Coordinate Points

| Point <br> No. | X-Surf <br> $(\mathrm{ft})$ | Y-Surf <br> $(\mathrm{ft})$ |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1 | 174.52 | 1215.45 |
| 2 | 187.03 | 1203.80 |
| 3 | 203.14 | 1191.94 |
| 4 | 221.93 | 1185.09 |
| 5 | 241.80 | 1182.84 |
| 6 | 261.14 | 1177.73 |
| 7 | 535.83 | 1179.10 |
| 8 | 579.55 | 1180.60 |
| 9 | 756.23 | 1178.26 |
| 10 | 768.63 | 1193.95 |
| 11 | 782.36 | 1208.50 |
| 12 | 794.99 | 1224.01 |
| 13 | 807.22 | 1239.84 |
| 14 | 814.92 | 1258.29 |
| 15 | 827.94 | 1273.48 |
| 16 | 838.85 | 1290.24 |
| 17 | 852.77 | 1304.60 |
| 18 | 861.84 | 1322.43 |
| 19 | 866.03 | 1341.98 |
| 20 | 874.71 | 1360.00 |
| 21 | 888.51 | 1374.48 |
| 22 | 890.88 | 1394.33 |
| 23 | 890.99 | 1394.53 |


|  |  | Individua | on ther Water Force | Water Force | slices <br> Tie <br> Force | Tie Force | Earthquake Force |  | charge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Slice | e Width | Weight | Top | Bot | Norm | Tan | Hor | Ver | Load |
| No. | (ft) | (lbs) | (lbs) | (lbs) | (lbs) | (lbs) | (lbs) | (lbs) | (lbs) |
| 1 | 2.5 | 457.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2 | 10.0 | 7706.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 3 | 4.4 | 5903.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 4 | 5.3 | 9264.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5 | 6.3 | 14347.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 6 | 18.8 | 56031.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 7 | 14.9 | 52757.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 8 | 4.9 | 18252.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 9 | 19.3 | 78330.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10 | 0.0 | 185.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11 | 274.7 | 1860341.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 12 | 43.2 | 408143.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 13 | 0.5 | 5340.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 141 | 176.7 | 2022270.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 15 | 3.2 | 40755.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 16 | 9.2 | 114458.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 17 | 13.7 | 160001.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 18 | 12.6 | 136980.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 19 | 12.2 | 121739.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 7.7 | 68849.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 21 | 13.0 | 103285.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 22 | 10.9 | 76737.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 23 | 13.9 | 85708.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 24 | 9.1 | 47429.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 25 | 4.2 | 16921.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 26 | 8.7 | 24638.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 27 | 13.8 | 26163.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 28 | 2.0 | 1888.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 29 | 0.3 | 66.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Failure Surface Specified By 22 Coordinate Points

| Point <br> No. | X-Surf <br> (ft) | Y-Surf <br> (ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 209.10 | 1224.10 |
| 2 | 216.28 | 1217.10 |
| 3 | 231.72 | 1204.40 |
| 4 | 246.95 | 1191.43 |
| 5 | 261.18 | 1177.37 |
| 6 | 475.56 | 1177.86 |
| 7 | 579.56 | 1179.46 |
| 8 | 773.16 | 1179.54 |
| 9 | 787.30 | 1193.68 |
| 10 | 800.15 | 1209.01 |
| 11 | 806.65 | 1227.92 |
| 12 | 819.84 | 1242.96 |
| 13 | 833.88 | 1257.20 |
| 14 | 847.95 | 1271.41 |
| 15 | 861.56 | 1286.07 |
| 16 | 871.81 | 1303.24 |
| 17 | 885.83 | 1317.50 |
| 18 | 898.82 | 1332.71 |
| 19 | 910.31 | 1349.08 |
| 20 | 918.25 | 1367.44 |
| 21 | 924.17 | 1386.54 |
| 22 | 929.63 | 1404.03 |

Failure Surface Specified By 23 Coordinate Points
Point X-Surf Y-Surf
No. (ft) (ft)
190.29 1219.39
$194.14 \quad 1215.72$
$214.13 \quad 1214.99$
$228.28 \quad 1200.86$
$243.48 \quad 1187.86$
$261.11 \quad 1178.42$
$358.28 \quad 1179.76$
$579.58 \quad 1182.22$
$746.00 \quad 1178.98$
$756.99 \quad 1195.69$
$767.77 \quad 1212.53$
$781.91 \quad 1226.68$
$795.10 \quad 1241.72$
$808.11 \quad 1256.90$
$819.94 \quad 1273.03$
$834.07 \quad 1287.18$
$841.90 \quad 1305.58$
$853.32 \quad 1322.01$
$864.02 \quad 1338.90$
$870.30 \quad 1357.89$
$884.17 \quad 1372.29$
$890.95 \quad 1391.11$
$893.45 \quad 1395.14$
2.673 ***

Failure Surface Specified By 23 Coordinate Points Point X-Surf Y-Surf

| Point <br> No. | X-Surf <br> $(\mathrm{ft})$ | $(\mathrm{ft})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 190.29 | 1219.39 |
| 2 | 194.14 | 1215.72 |
| 3 | 214.13 | 1214.99 |
| 4 | 228.28 | 1200.86 |
| 5 | 243.48 | 1187.86 |
| 6 | 261.11 | 1178.42 |
| 7 | 358.28 | 1179.76 |
| 8 | 579.58 | 1182.22 |
| 9 | 746.00 | 1178.98 |
| 10 | 756.99 | 1195.69 |
| 11 | 767.77 | 1212.53 |
| 12 | 781.91 | 1226.68 |
| 13 | 795.10 | 1241.72 |
| 14 | 808.11 | 1256.90 |


| 15 | 819.94 | 1273.03 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | 834.07 | 1287.18 |  |
| 17 | 841.90 | 1305.58 |  |
| 18 | 853.32 | 1322.01 |  |
| 19 | 864.02 | 1338.90 |  |
| 20 | 870.30 | 1357.89 |  |
| 21 | 884.17 | 1372.29 |  |
| 22 | 890.95 | 1391.11 |  |
| 23 | 893.45 | 1395.14 |  |
| *** | 2.673 |  |  |
| Failure Surface Specified By 23 Coordinate Points |  |  |  |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 190.29 | 1219.39 |  |
| 2 | 194.14 | 1215.72 |  |
| 3 | 214.13 | 1214.99 |  |
| 4 | 228.28 | 1200.86 |  |
| 5 | 243.48 | 1187.86 |  |
| 6 | 261.11 | 1178.42 |  |
| 7 | 358.28 | 1179.76 |  |
| 8 | 579.58 | 1182.22 |  |
| 9 | 746.00 | 1178.98 |  |
| 10 | 756.99 | 1195.69 |  |
| 11 | 767.77 | 1212.53 |  |
| 12 | 781.91 | 1226.68 |  |
| 13 | 795.10 | 1241.72 |  |
| 14 | 808.11 | 1256.90 |  |
| 15 | 819.94 | 1273.03 |  |
| 16 | 834.07 | 1287.18 |  |
| 17 | 841.90 | 1305.58 |  |
| 18 | 853.32 | 1322.01 |  |
| 19 | 864.02 | 1338.90 |  |
| 20 | 870.30 | 1357.89 |  |
| 21 | 884.17 | 1372.29 |  |
| 22 | 890.95 | 1391.11 |  |
| 23 | 893.45 | 1395.14 |  |
| *** | 2.673 | * |  |
| Failure Surface Specified By 23 Coordinate Points |  |  |  |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 190.29 | 1219.39 |  |
| 2 | 194.14 | 1215.72 |  |
| 3 | 214.13 | 1214.99 |  |
| 4 | 228.28 | 1200.86 |  |
| 5 | 243.48 | 1187.86 |  |
| 6 | 261.11 | 1178.42 |  |
| 7 | 358.28 | 1179.76 |  |
| 8 | 579.58 | 1182.22 |  |
| 9 | 746.00 | 1178.98 |  |
| 10 | 756.99 | 1195.69 |  |
| 11 | 767.77 | 1212.53 |  |
| 12 | 781.91 | 1226.68 |  |
| 13 | 795.10 | 1241.72 |  |
| 14 | 808.11 | 1256.90 |  |
| 15 | 819.94 | 1273.03 |  |
| 16 | 834.07 | 1287.18 |  |
| 17 | 841.90 | 1305.58 |  |
| 18 | 853.32 | 1322.01 |  |
| 19 | 864.02 | 1338.90 |  |
| 20 | 870.30 | 1357.89 |  |
| 21 | 884.17 | 1372.29 |  |
| 22 | 890.95 | 1391.11 |  |
| 23 | 893.45 | 1395.14 |  |
| *** | 2.673 |  |  |
| Failure Surface Specified By 23 Coordinate Points |  |  |  |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No.12 | (ft) | (ft) |  |
|  | 190.29 | 1219.39 |  |
|  | 194.14 | 1215.72 |  |
| 2 3 | 214.13 | 1214.99 |  |


| 4 | 228.28 | 1200.86 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 243.48 | 1187.86 |  |
| 6 | 261.11 | 1178.42 |  |
| 7 | 358.28 | 1179.76 |  |
| 8 | 579.58 | 1182.22 |  |
| 9 | 746.00 | 1178.98 |  |
| 10 | 756.99 | 1195.69 |  |
| 11 | 767.77 | 1212.53 |  |
| 12 | 781.91 | 1226.68 |  |
| 13 | 795.10 | 1241.72 |  |
| 14 | 808.11 | 1256.90 |  |
| 15 | 819.94 | 1273.03 |  |
| 16 | 834.07 | 1287.18 |  |
| 17 | 841.90 | 1305.58 |  |
| 18 | 853.32 | 1322.01 |  |
| 19 | 864.02 | 1338.90 |  |
| 20 | 870.30 | 1357.89 |  |
| 21 | 884.17 | 1372.29 |  |
| 22 | 890.95 | 1391.11 |  |
| 23 | 893.45 | 1395.14 |  |
| *** | 2.673 |  |  |
| Failure Su | ce Spec | d By 23 | Points |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 190.29 | 1219.39 |  |
| 2 | 194.14 | 1215.72 |  |
| 3 | 214.13 | 1214.99 |  |
| 4 | 228.28 | 1200.86 |  |
| 5 | 243.48 | 1187.86 |  |
| 6 | 261.11 | 1178.42 |  |
| 7 | 358.28 | 1179.76 |  |
| 8 | 579.58 | 1182.22 |  |
| 9 | 746.00 | 1178.98 |  |
| 10 | 756.99 | 1195.69 |  |
| 11 | 767.77 | 1212.53 |  |
| 12 | 781.91 | 1226.68 |  |
| 13 | 795.10 | 1241.72 |  |
| 14 | 808.11 | 1256.90 |  |
| 15 | 819.94 | 1273.03 |  |
| 16 | 834.07 | 1287.18 |  |
| 17 | 841.90 | 1305.58 |  |
| 18 | 853.32 | 1322.01 |  |
| 19 | 864.02 | 1338.90 |  |
| 20 | 870.30 | 1357.89 |  |
| 21 | 884.17 | 1372.29 |  |
| 22 | 890.95 | 1391.11 |  |
| 23 | 893.45 | 1395.14 |  |
| ** | 2.673 | * |  |
| Failure Su | ace Spec | d By 26 | Points |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 122.56 | 1210.81 |  |
| 2 | 128.16 | 1205.31 |  |
| 3 | 148.12 | 1204.07 |  |
| 4 | 163.73 | 1191.56 |  |
| 5 | 183.65 | 1189.76 |  |
| 6 | 203.64 | 1189.21 |  |
| 7 | 223.64 | 1189.07 |  |
| 8 | 243.09 | 1184.40 |  |
| 9 | 261.12 | 1175.75 |  |
| 10 | 526.23 | 1178.57 |  |
| 11 | 579.57 | 1180.93 |  |
| 12 | 744.42 | 1180.63 |  |
| 13 | 757.72 | 1195.57 |  |
| 14 | 771.86 | 1209.72 |  |
| 15 | 776.30 | 1229.22 |  |
| 16 | 783.80 | 1247.76 |  |
| 17 | 796.06 | 1263.56 |  |
| 18 | 806.78 | 1280.44 |  |
| 19 | 819.01 | 1296.27 |  |


| 20 | 829.31 | 1313.41 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | 843.39 | 1327.61 |  |
| 22 | 852.25 | 1345.54 |  |
| 23 | 864.68 | 1361.21 |  |
| 24 | 877.08 | 1376.90 |  |
| 25 | 891.20 | 1391.07 |  |
| 26 | 895.74 | 1395.71 |  |
| *** | 2.676 |  |  |
| Failure Sur | ace Spec | d By 26 | Points |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 122.56 | 1210.81 |  |
| 2 | 128.16 | 1205.31 |  |
| 3 | 148.12 | 1204.07 |  |
| 4 | 163.73 | 1191.56 |  |
| 5 | 183.65 | 1189.76 |  |
| 6 | 203.64 | 1189.21 |  |
| 7 | 223.64 | 1189.07 |  |
| 8 | 243.09 | 1184.40 |  |
| 9 | 261.12 | 1175.75 |  |
| 10 | 526.23 | 1178.57 |  |
| 11 | 579.57 | 1180.93 |  |
| 12 | 744.42 | 1180.63 |  |
| 13 | 757.72 | 1195.57 |  |
| 14 | 771.86 | 1209.72 |  |
| 15 | 776.30 | 1229.22 |  |
| 16 | 783.80 | 1247.76 |  |
| 17 | 796.06 | 1263.56 |  |
| 18 | 806.78 | 1280.44 |  |
| 19 | 819.01 | 1296.27 |  |
| 20 | 829.31 | 1313.41 |  |
| 21 | 843.39 | 1327.61 |  |
| 22 | 852.25 | 1345.54 |  |
| 23 | 864.68 | 1361.21 |  |
| 24 | 877.08 | 1376.90 |  |
| 25 | 891.20 | 1391.07 |  |
| 26 | 895.74 | 1395.71 |  |
| *** | 2.676 |  |  |

## Northeast Landfill Cross Section B, Circular






| Slice | Width | Weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | (ft) | (lbs) |
| 1 | 1.5 | 123.2 |
| 2 | 12.4 | 10300.0 |
| 3 | 14.1 | 31315.5 |
| 4 | 2.9 | 8962.2 |
| 5 | 7.6 | 25940.7 |
| 6 | 3.7 | 13616.6 |
| 7 | 2.3 | 9105.2 |
| 8 | 1.6 | 6484.9 |
| 9 | 10.3 | 44488.8 |
| 10 | 14.3 | 68340.3 |
| 11 | 5.7 | 29375.2 |
| 12 | 8.7 | 49051.2 |
| 13 | 14.5 | 90337.0 |
| 14 | 14.6 | 100984.6 |
| 15 | 14.6 | 111222.6 |
| 16 | 14.7 | 121030.6 |
| 17 | 13.3 | 117526.3 |
| 18 | 1.4 | 12863.4 |
| 19 | 14.8 | 139337.0 |
| 20 | 14.8 | 147839.0 |
| 21 | 14.9 | 155840.6 |
| 22 | 14.9 | 163326.9 |
| 23 | 14.9 | 170284.1 |
| 24 | 15.0 | 176699.5 |
| 25 | 15.0 | 182562.5 |
| 26 | 15.0 | 187862.7 |
| 27 | 15.0 | 192591.1 |
| 28 | 15.0 | 196740.2 |
| 29 | 15.0 | 200304.7 |
| 30 | 15.0 | 203279.3 |
| 31 | 15.0 | 205660.6 |
| 32 | 15.0 | 207447.1 |
| 33 | 14.9 | 208637.2 |
| 34 | 14.9 | 209231.0 |
| 35 | 14.9 | 209231.9 |
| 36 | 14.8 | 208642.7 |
| 37 | 14.8 | 207467.1 |
| 38 | 14.7 | 205711.8 |
| 39 | 14.7 | 203383.6 |
| 40 | 14.6 | 200491.5 |
| 41 | 14.5 | 197045.0 |
| 42 | 14.4 | 193054.7 |
| 43 | 14.4 | 188534.0 |


| Force | Force <br> Top |
| :--- | ---: |
| (lbs $)$ | Bot <br> (lbs $)$ |
| 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 2065.4 |
| 0.0 | 7110.4 |
| 0.0 | 2050.3 |
| 0.0 | 6336.2 |
| 0.0 | 3550.2 |
| 0.0 | 2389.4 |
| 0.0 | 1713.5 |
| 0.0 | 12408.7 |
| 0.0 | 20833.7 |
| 0.0 | 9432.7 |
| 0.0 | 15468.4 |
| 0.0 | 28712.6 |
| 0.0 | 32266.8 |
| 0.0 | 35562.3 |
| 0.0 | 38598.0 |
| 0.0 | 37295.9 |
| 0.0 | 4076.8 |
| 0.0 | 43885.5 |
| 0.0 | 46135.5 |
| 0.0 | 48122.0 |
| 0.0 | 49843.9 |
| 0.0 | 51301.0 |
| 0.0 | 52492.4 |
| 0.0 | 53418.1 |
| 0.0 | 54077.5 |
| 0.0 | 54470.2 |
| 0.0 | 54596.3 |
| 0.0 | 54455.7 |
| 0.0 | 54048.6 |
| 0.0 | 53374.8 |
| 0.0 | 52435.1 |
| 0.0 | 51229.2 |
| 0.0 | 49757.6 |
| 0.0 | 48021.3 |
| 0.0 | 46020.8 |
| 0.0 | 43756.4 |
| 0.0 | 41229.4 |
| 0.0 | 38440.4 |
| 0.0 | 35390.7 |
| 0.0 | 32080.9 |
| 0.0 | 28512.9 |
| 0.0 | 24687.5 |
|  |  |


| Tie | Tie | Earthquake |  | Surcharge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Force | Force |  |  |  |
| Norm | Tan | Hor | Ver | Load |
| (lbs) | (lbs) | (lbs) | (lbs) | (lbs) |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |


| 44 | 14.3 | 183494.7 | 0.0 | 20606.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45 | 14.2 | 177953.8 | 0.0 | 16270.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 46 | 14.1 | 171925.2 | 0.0 | 11681.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 47 | 14.0 | 165426.8 | 0.0 | 6841.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 48 | 11.6 | 132922.3 | 0.0 | 1822.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 49 | 2.3 | 25554.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 7.8 | 85816.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 51 | 6.0 | 65277.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 52 | 2.0 | 21903.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 53 | 1.3 | 13391.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 54 | 10.4 | 109188.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 55 | 13.6 | 140352.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 56 | 13.4 | 136072.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 57 | 13.3 | 131533.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 58 | 13.2 | 126746.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 59 | 13.0 | 121726.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 60 | 12.9 | 116487.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 61 | 12.7 | 111043.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 62 | 12.6 | 105411.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 63 | 12.4 | 99604.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 64 | 12.3 | 93640.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 65 | 12.1 | 87536.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 66 | 11.9 | 81307.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 67 | 11.8 | 74972.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 68 | 11.6 | 68548.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 69 | 11.4 | 62054.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 70 | 11.2 | 55507.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 71 | 11.0 | 48926.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 72 | 10.8 | 42332.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 73 | 10.6 | 35741.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 74 | 10.4 | 29174.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 75 | 10.2 | 22651.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 76 | 10.0 | 16190.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 77 | 9.8 | 9812.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 78 | 2.3 | 1409.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 79 | 4.7 | 1250.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Failure Surface Specified By 73 Coordinate Points

| Point <br> No. | X-Surf <br> (ft) | Y-Surf <br> (ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 184.59 | 1169.09 |
| 2 | 198.36 | 1163.14 |
| 3 | 212.23 | 1157.43 |
| 4 | 226.21 | 1151.99 |
| 5 | 240.28 | 1146.81 |
| 6 | 254.45 | 1141.89 |
| 7 | 268.71 | 1137.23 |
| 8 | 283.06 | 1132.84 |
| 9 | 297.48 | 1128.71 |
| 10 | 311.97 | 1124.86 |
| 11 | 326.54 | 1121.27 |
| 12 | 341.17 | 1117.95 |
| 13 | 355.85 | 1114.91 |
| 14 | 370.60 | 1112.14 |
| 15 | 385.39 | 1109.64 |
| 16 | 400.22 | 1107.42 |
| 17 | 415.09 | 1105.47 |
| 18 | 430.00 | 1103.80 |
| 19 | 444.94 | 1102.40 |
| 20 | 459.89 | 1101.29 |
| 21 | 474.87 | 1100.45 |
| 22 | 489.86 | 1099.88 |
| 23 | 504.86 | 1099.60 |
| 24 | 519.86 | 1099.60 |
| 25 | 534.85 | 1099.87 |
| 26 | 549.84 | 1100.42 |
| 27 | 564.82 | 1101.25 |
| 28 | 579.78 | 1102.36 |
| 29 | 594.72 | 1103.74 |
| 30 | 609.62 | 1105.40 |
| 31 | 624.50 | 1107.34 |









$\begin{array}{ll}217.49 & 1161.46 \\ 231.78 & 1156.88\end{array}$
$246.15 \quad 1152.57$
$260.59 \quad 1148.52$
$275.10 \quad 1144.73$
$289.69 \quad 1141.21$
$304.33 \quad 1137.96$
$319.03 \quad 1134.98$
$333.78 \quad 1132.26$
$348.58 \quad 1129.81$
$363.42 \quad 1127.64$
$378.30 \quad 1125.73$
$393.21 \quad 1124.10$
$408.15 \quad 1122.74$
$423.11 \quad 1121.65$
$438.09 \quad 1120.84$
$453.08 \quad 1120.30$
$468.08 \quad 1120.03$
$483.08 \quad 1120.04$
$498.07 \quad 1120.32$
$513.06 \quad 1120.88$
$528.04 \quad 1121.71$
$543.00 \quad 1122.81$
$557.94 \quad 1124.18$
$572.85 \quad 1125.83$
$587.72 \quad 1127.75$
$602.56 \quad 1129.94$
$617.36 \quad 1132.40$
$632.11 \quad 1135.13$
$646.80 \quad 1138.13$
$661.44 \quad 1141.40$
$676.02 \quad 1144.94$
$690.53 \quad 1148.74$
$704.97 \quad 1152.80$
$719.33 \quad 1157.13$
$733.61 \quad 1161.72$
$747.81 \quad 1166.56$
$761.91 \quad 1171.67$
$775.92 \quad 1177.04$
$789.83 \quad 1182.65$
$803.63 \quad 1188.53$
$817.33 \quad 1194.65$
$830.90 \quad 1201.02$
$844.37 \quad 1207.64$
$857.70 \quad 1214.50$
$870.91 \quad 1221.61$
$883.99 \quad 1228.95$
$896.93 \quad 1236.53$
$909.74 \quad 1244.35$
$\begin{array}{ll}922.39 & 1252.40 \\ 934.90 & 1260.68\end{array}$
$947.25 \quad 1269.19$
$959.45 \quad 1277.92$
$971.49 \quad 1286.87$
$983.36 \quad 1296.05$
$995.06 \quad 1305.43$
$1006.58 \quad 1315.03$
$1017.93 \quad 1324.84$
$1029.10 \quad 1334.85$
$1040.09 \quad 1345.06$
$1050.88 \quad 1355.48$
$1061.49 \quad 1366.09$
$1071.90 \quad 1376.89$
$1082.11 \quad 1387.88$
$\begin{array}{ll}1092.11 & 1399.05 \\ 1094.15 & 1401.40\end{array}$


## Northeast Landfill Cross Section B-B', WB







| 10 | 868.75 | 1209.08 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 11 | 882.53 | 1223.58 |
| 12 | 896.57 | 1237.82 |
| 13 | 907.95 | 1254.27 |
| 14 | 919.25 | 1270.78 |
| 15 | 932.19 | 1286.02 |
| 16 | 945.24 | 1301.17 |
| 17 | 953.49 | 1319.39 |
| 18 | 967.36 | 1333.80 |
| 19 | 980.71 | 1348.69 |
| 20 | 993.20 | 1364.32 |
| 21 | 1001.84 | 1378.42 |
|  | *** | 2.446 |
|  |  |  |

Failure Surface Specified By 21 Coordinate Points
Point $X$ Surf

| No. | $(\mathrm{ft})$ | $(\mathrm{ft})$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 242.36 | 1189.30 |
| 2 | 246.94 | 1189.27 |
| 3 | 261.16 | 1175.20 |
| 4 | 293.81 | 1172.42 |
| 5 | 341.50 | 1172.39 |
| 6 | 532.45 | 1174.55 |
| 7 | 800.53 | 1176.92 |
| 8 | 841.96 | 1179.39 |
| 9 | 855.63 | 1193.99 |
| 10 | 868.75 | 1209.08 |
| 11 | 882.53 | 1223.58 |
| 12 | 896.57 | 1237.82 |
| 13 | 907.95 | 1254.27 |
| 14 | 919.25 | 1270.78 |
| 15 | 932.19 | 1286.02 |
| 16 | 945.24 | 1301.17 |
| 17 | 953.49 | 1319.39 |
| 18 | 967.36 | 1333.80 |
| 19 | 980.71 | 1348.69 |
| 20 | 993.20 | 1364.32 |
| 21 | 1001.84 | 1378.42 |
|  | *** | 2.446 |

Failure Surface Specified By 21 Coordinate Points Point X-Surf Y-Surf No. (ft) (ft)
$242.36 \quad 1189.30$
$246.94 \quad 1189.27$
$261.16 \quad 1175.20$
$293.81 \quad 1172.42$
$341.50 \quad 1172.39$
$532.45 \quad 1174.55$
$800.53 \quad 1176.92$
$841.96 \quad 1179.39$
$855.63 \quad 1193.99$
$868.75 \quad 1209.08$
$882.53 \quad 1223.58$
$896.57 \quad 1237.82$
$907.95 \quad 1254.27$
$919.25 \quad 1270.78$
$932.19 \quad 1286.02$
$945.24 \quad 1301.17$
$953.49 \quad 1319.39$
$967.36 \quad 1333.80$
$980.71 \quad 1348.69$
$993.20 \quad 1364.32$
$1001.84 \underset{* * *}{1378.42}$
*** 2.446 ***
Failure Surface Specified By 21 Coordinate Points Point X-Surf Y-Surf
(ft)
$242.36 \quad 1189.30$
$246.94 \quad 1189.27$
$261.16 \quad 1175.20$
$293.81 \quad 1172.42$

| 5 | 341.50 | 1172.39 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 532.45 | 1174.55 |  |
| 7 | 800.53 | 1176.92 |  |
| 8 | 841.96 | 1179.39 |  |
| 9 | 855.63 | 1193.99 |  |
| 10 | 868.75 | 1209.08 |  |
| 11 | 882.53 | 1223.58 |  |
| 12 | 896.57 | 1237.82 |  |
| 13 | 907.95 | 1254.27 |  |
| 14 | 919.25 | 1270.78 |  |
| 15 | 932.19 | 1286.02 |  |
| 16 | 945.24 | 1301.17 |  |
| 17 | 953.49 | 1319.39 |  |
| 18 | 967.36 | 1333.80 |  |
| 19 | 980.71 | 1348.69 |  |
| 20 | 993.20 | 1364.32 |  |
| 21 | 1001.84 | 1378.42 |  |
|  | 2.446 |  |  |
| Failure S | Surface Spec | d By 22 | Points |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 231.95 | 1186.70 |  |
| 2 | 242.66 | 1179.77 |  |
| 3 | 261.17 | 1172.21 |  |
| 4 | 275.13 | 1172.74 |  |
| 5 | 341.58 | 1172.01 |  |
| 6 | 587.34 | 1175.47 |  |
| 7 | 800.59 | 1176.90 |  |
| 8 | 909.62 | 1179.64 |  |
| 9 | 923.65 | 1193.88 |  |
| 10 | 937.41 | 1208.40 |  |
| 11 | 951.55 | 1222.54 |  |
| 12 | 961.46 | 1239.91 |  |
| 13 | 967.27 | 1259.05 |  |
| 14 | 981.04 | 1273.55 |  |
| 15 | 991.64 | 1290.52 |  |
| 16 | 1005.35 | 1305.08 |  |
| 17 | 1019.39 | 1319.32 |  |
| 18 | 1029.07 | 1336.82 |  |
| 19 | 1043.18 | 1350.99 |  |
| 20 | 1057.20 | 1365.26 |  |
| 21 | 1063.78 | 1384.14 |  |
| 22 | 1075.79 | 1396.83 |  |
| *** | 2.485 | * |  |
| Failure S | Surface Spec | d By 22 | Points |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 231.95 | 1186.70 |  |
| 2 | 242.66 | 1179.77 |  |
| 3 | 261.17 | 1172.21 |  |
| 4 | 275.13 | 1172.74 |  |
| 5 | 341.58 | 1172.01 |  |
| 6 | 587.34 | 1175.47 |  |
| 7 | 800.59 | 1176.90 |  |
| 8 | 909.62 | 1179.64 |  |
| 9 | 923.65 | 1193.88 |  |
| 10 | 937.41 | 1208.40 |  |
| 11 | 951.55 | 1222.54 |  |
| 12 | 961.46 | 1239.91 |  |
| 13 | 967.27 | 1259.05 |  |
| 14 | 981.04 | 1273.55 |  |
| 15 | 991.64 | 1290.52 |  |
| 16 | 1005.35 | 1305.08 |  |
| 17 | 1019.39 | 1319.32 |  |
| 18 | 1029.07 | 1336.82 |  |
| 19 | 1043.18 | 1350.99 |  |
| 20 | 1057.20 | 1365.26 |  |
| 21 | 1063.78 | 1384.14 |  |
| 22 | 1075.79 | 1396.83 |  |
|  | * 2.485 |  |  |


| Failure <br> Point | Surface Specified By 22 <br> X-Surf <br> No. | Y-St <br> (ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 231.95 | 1186.70 |
| 2 | 242.66 | 1179.77 |
| 3 | 261.17 | 1172.21 |
| 4 | 275.13 | 1172.74 |
| 5 | 341.58 | 1172.01 |
| 6 | 587.34 | 1175.47 |
| 7 | 800.59 | 1176.90 |
| 8 | 909.62 | 1179.64 |
| 9 | 923.65 | 1193.88 |
| 10 | 937.41 | 1208.40 |
| 11 | 951.55 | 1222.54 |
| 12 | 961.46 | 1239.91 |
| 13 | 967.27 | 1259.05 |
| 14 | 981.04 | 1273.55 |
| 15 | 991.64 | 1290.52 |
| 16 | 1005.35 | 1305.08 |
| 17 | 1019.39 | 1319.32 |
| 18 | 1029.07 | 1336.82 |
| 19 | 1043.18 | 1350.99 |
| 20 | 1057.20 | 1365.26 |
| 21 | 1063.78 | 1384.14 |
| 22 | 1075.79 | 1396.83 |
|  | $* * *$ | 2.485 |
|  | $* * *$ |  |

## Northeast Landfill Cross Section B-B', PH12 WB (Seismic)




```
                    ** PCSTABL5M3 **
                by Purdue University 1985
        rev. for SCS Engineers HVA 2008
            --Slope Stability Analysis--
        Simplified Janbu, Simplified Bishop
            or Spencer`s Method of Slices
Run Date:
Time of Run:
                5/11/2023
                05:46PM
Run By:
Username
Input Data Filename: M:2023.4.13 nelf_xs_b_ph12 wb (seismic).in
Output Filename: M:2023.4.13 nelf_xs_b_ph12 wb (seismic).OUT
Unit:
ENGLISH
Plotted Output Filename: M:2023.4.13 nelf_xs_b_ph12 wb (seismic).PLT
PROBLEM DESCRIPTION Northeast Landfill
                        Cross Section B-B', PH12 WB (Seismic)
BOUNDARY COORDINATES
            Note: User origin value specified.
            Add 0.00 to X-values and 0.00 to Y-values listed.
```



| Block Is 20.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Box | X-Left | Y-Left | X-Right | Y-Right | Height |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) | (ft) | (ft) | (ft) |
| 1 | 663.40 | 1176.70 | 663.50 | 1176.70 | 4.00 |
| 2 | 664.00 | 1176.70 | 806.50 | 1178.00 | 4.00 |
| 3 | 807.00 | 1178.00 | 807.10 | 1178.00 | 4.00 |
| 4 | 807.60 | 1178.00 | 1218.10 | 1179.00 | 4.00 |
| 5 | 1218.60 | 1179.00 | 1218.70 | 1179.00 | 4.00 |
| 6 | 1219.20 | 1179.00 | 1305.10 | 1204.00 | 4.00 |
| 7 | 1305.60 | 1204.00 | 1305.70 | 1204.00 | 4.00 |
| 8 | 1306.10 | 1204.00 | 1310.10 | 1204.00 | 4.00 |
| The Factor Of Safety For The Trial Failure Surface Defined |  |  |  |  |  |
| By The Coordinates Listed Below Is Misleading. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Failure Surface Defined By 19 Coordinate Points |  |  |  |  |  |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-S |  |  |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |  |  |
| 1 | 662.20 | 1178 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 663.41 | 1178 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 799.53 | 1178 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 807.01 | 1177 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1043.69 | 1178 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 1218.62 | 1179 |  |  |  |
| 7 | 1277.92 | 1198 |  |  |  |
| 8 | 1305.62 | 1204 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 1306.21 | 1202 |  |  |  |
| 10 | 1319.77 | 1217 |  |  |  |
| 11 | 1332.46 | 1232 |  |  |  |
| 12 | 1341.40 | 1250 |  |  |  |
| 13 | 1346.01 | 1270 |  |  |  |
| 14 | 1349.60 | 1289 |  |  |  |
| 15 | 1361.70 | 1305 |  |  |  |
| 16 | 1375.56 | 1320 |  |  |  |
| 17 | 1377.85 | 1340 |  |  |  |
| 18 | 1389.56 | 1356 |  |  |  |
| 19 | 1389.61 | 1357 |  |  |  |
| Factor Of Safety For The Preceding Specified Surface = 1.005 |  |  |  |  |  |
| The Factor Of Safety For The Trial Failure Surface Defined |  |  |  |  |  |
| By The Coordinates Listed Below Is Misleading. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Failure Surface Defined By 19 Coordinate Points |  |  |  |  |  |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-S |  |  |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft |  |  |  |
| 1 | 660.40 | 1177 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 663.49 | 1174 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 759.00 | 1179 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 807.00 | 1176 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1162.65 | 1179 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 1218.69 | 1178 |  |  |  |
| 7 | 1282.34 | 1197 |  |  |  |
| 8 | 1305.64 | 1205 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 1306.21 | 1203 |  |  |  |
| 10 | 1320.34 | 1217 |  |  |  |
| 11 | 1331.57 | 1234 |  |  |  |
| 12 | 1345.71 | 1248 |  |  |  |
| 13 | 1359.82 | 1262 |  |  |  |
| 14 | 1373.61 | 1277 |  |  |  |
| 15 | 1377.22 | 1296 |  |  |  |
| 16 | 1391.20 | 1311 |  |  |  |
| 17 | 1399.38 | 1329 |  |  |  |
| 18 | 1413.14 | 1343 |  |  |  |
| 19 | 1415.10 | 1363 |  |  |  |
| Factor Of Safety For The Preceding Specified Surface = 0.985 |  |  |  |  |  |
| The Factor Of Safety For The Trial Failure Surface Defined By The Coordinates Listed Below Is Misleading. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Failure Surface Defined By 19 Coordinate Points |  |  |  |  |  |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-S |  |  |  |
| No. | (ft) | (f |  |  |  |
| 1 | 659.49 | 1177 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 663.41 | 1174 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 708.07 | 1176 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 807.02 | 1178 |  |  |  |




Factor Of Safety For The Preceding Specified Surface $=1.576$
Factor Of Safety Calculation Has Gone Through Ten Iterations
The Trial Failure Surface In Question Is Defined
By The Following 19 Coordinate Points

| Point <br> No. | X-Surf <br> $(\mathrm{ft})$ | Y-Surf <br> $(\mathrm{ft})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 662.07 | 1178.26 |
| 2 | 663.41 | 1177.15 |
| 3 | 782.17 | 1179.24 |
| 4 | 807.05 | 1179.32 |
| 5 | 963.22 | 1177.80 |
| 6 | 1218.64 | 1177.13 |
| 7 | 1238.59 | 1183.47 |
| 8 | 1305.64 | 1205.88 |
| 9 | 1306.58 | 1202.67 |
| 10 | 1318.93 | 1218.40 |
| 11 | 1324.27 | 1237.67 |
| 12 | 1324.71 | 1257.67 |
| 13 | 1325.66 | 1277.64 |
| 14 | 1339.78 | 1291.81 |
| 15 | 1353.90 | 1305.97 |
| 16 | 1366.01 | 1321.89 |
| 17 | 1380.15 | 1336.03 |
| 18 | 1388.24 | 1354.33 |
| 19 | 1389.90 | 1357.23 |

Factor Of Safety For The Preceding Specified Surface $=1.576$
Following Are Displayed The Ten Most Critical Of The Trial Failure Surfaces Examined. They Are Ordered - Most Critical First.

*     * Safety Factors Are Calculated By The Modified Janbu Method * * Failure Surface Specified By 20 Coordinate Points

| Point <br> No. | X-Surf <br> $(\mathrm{ft})$ | Y-Surf <br> $(\mathrm{ft})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 658.70 | 1177.13 |
| 2 | 663.42 | 1176.33 |
| 3 | 678.36 | 1177.16 |
| 4 | 807.03 | 1177.38 |
| 5 | 1215.77 | 1179.16 |
| 6 | 1218.62 | 1180.06 |
| 7 | 1278.02 | 1195.56 |
| 8 | 1305.68 | 1203.68 |
| 9 | 1309.79 | 1205.16 |
| 10 | 1323.89 | 1219.34 |
| 11 | 1335.54 | 1235.60 |
| 12 | 1345.54 | 1252.92 |
| 13 | 1359.57 | 1267.17 |
| 14 | 1371.47 | 1283.25 |
| 15 | 1385.59 | 1297.41 |
| 16 | 1397.02 | 1313.82 |
| 17 | 1410.53 | 1328.57 |
| 18 | 1423.69 | 1343.63 |
| 19 | 1435.79 | 1359.55 |
| 20 | 1446.42 | 1371.12 |
|  | $* * *$ | 1.005 |
|  | $* * *$ |  |




| 4 | 807.03 | 1177.38 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 1215.77 | 1179.16 |  |
| 6 | 1218.62 | 1180.06 |  |
| 7 | 1278.02 | 1195.56 |  |
| 8 | 1305.68 | 1203.68 |  |
| 9 | 1309.79 | 1205.16 |  |
| 10 | 1323.89 | 1219.34 |  |
| 11 | 1335.54 | 1235.60 |  |
| 12 | 1345.54 | 1252.92 |  |
| 13 | 1359.57 | 1267.17 |  |
| 14 | 1371.47 | 1283.25 |  |
| 15 | 1385.59 | 1297.41 |  |
| 16 | 1397.02 | 1313.82 |  |
| 17 | 1410.53 | 1328.57 |  |
| 18 | 1423.69 | 1343.63 |  |
| 19 | 1435.79 | 1359.55 |  |
| 20 | 1446.42 | 1371.12 |  |
|  | 1.005 | * |  |
| Failure | Surface Spec | By 20 | Coordinate Points |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 658.70 | 1177.13 |  |
| 2 | 663.42 | 1176.33 |  |
| 3 | 678.36 | 1177.16 |  |
| 4 | 807.03 | 1177.38 |  |
| 5 | 1215.77 | 1179.16 |  |
| 6 | 1218.62 | 1180.06 |  |
| 7 | 1278.02 | 1195.56 |  |
| 8 | 1305.68 | 1203.68 |  |
| 9 | 1309.79 | 1205.16 |  |
| 10 | 1323.89 | 1219.34 |  |
| 11 | 1335.54 | 1235.60 |  |
| 12 | 1345.54 | 1252.92 |  |
| 13 | 1359.57 | 1267.17 |  |
| 14 | 1371.47 | 1283.25 |  |
| 15 | 1385.59 | 1297.41 |  |
| 16 | 1397.02 | 1313.82 |  |
| 17 | 1410.53 | 1328.57 |  |
| 18 | 1423.69 | 1343.63 |  |
| 19 | 1435.79 | 1359.55 |  |
| 20 | 1446.42 | 1371.12 |  |
|  | * 1.005 | * |  |
| Failure | Surface Spec | d By 20 | Coordinate Points |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 655.33 | 1176.01 |  |
| 2 | 663.47 | 1175.96 |  |
| 3 | 720.49 | 1177.51 |  |
| 4 | 807.09 | 1177.62 |  |
| 5 | 867.31 | 1176.26 |  |
| 6 | 1218.61 | 1178.66 |  |
| 7 | 1251.74 | 1187.60 |  |
| 8 | 1305.63 | 1202.39 |  |
| 9 | 1309.49 | 1202.33 |  |
| 10 | 1323.16 | 1216.92 |  |
| 11 | 1336.28 | 1232.02 |  |
| 12 | 1350.06 | 1246.51 |  |
| 13 | 1364.10 | 1260.76 |  |
| 14 | 1375.48 | 1277.21 |  |
| 15 | 1386.78 | 1293.71 |  |
| 16 | 1399.72 | 1308.96 |  |
| 17 | 1412.77 | 1324.11 |  |
| 18 | 1421.02 | 1342.33 |  |
| 19 | 1434.89 | 1356.74 |  |
| 20 | 1448.17 | 1371.55 |  |
|  | 1.006 |  |  |
| Failure    <br> Point Surface Specified By 20 Coordinate Points <br> No. $(\mathrm{ft})$ Y-Surf  <br> 1 655.33 $(\mathrm{ft})$  <br> 1 1176.01   |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| 2 | 663.47 | 1175.96 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 720.49 | 1177.51 |  |
| 4 | 807.09 | 1177.62 |  |
| 5 | 867.31 | 1176.26 |  |
| 6 | 1218.61 | 1178.66 |  |
| 7 | 1251.74 | 1187.60 |  |
| 8 | 1305.63 | 1202.39 |  |
| 9 | 1309.49 | 1202.33 |  |
| 10 | 1323.16 | 1216.92 |  |
| 11 | 1336.28 | 1232.02 |  |
| 12 | 1350.06 | 1246.51 |  |
| 13 | 1364.10 | 1260.76 |  |
| 14 | 1375.48 | 1277.21 |  |
| 15 | 1386.78 | 1293.71 |  |
| 16 | 1399.72 | 1308.96 |  |
| 17 | 1412.77 | 1324.11 |  |
| 18 | 1421.02 | 1342.33 |  |
| 19 | 1434.89 | 1356.74 |  |
| 20 | 1448.17 | 1371.55 |  |
| *** | 1.006 |  |  |
| Failure Sur | face Spec | d By 20 | Points |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 655.33 | 1176.01 |  |
| 2 | 663.47 | 1175.96 |  |
| 3 | 720.49 | 1177.51 |  |
| 4 | 807.09 | 1177.62 |  |
| 5 | 867.31 | 1176.26 |  |
| 6 | 1218.61 | 1178.66 |  |
| 7 | 1251.74 | 1187.60 |  |
| 8 | 1305.63 | 1202.39 |  |
| 9 | 1309.49 | 1202.33 |  |
| 10 | 1323.16 | 1216.92 |  |
| 11 | 1336.28 | 1232.02 |  |
| 12 | 1350.06 | 1246.51 |  |
| 13 | 1364.10 | 1260.76 |  |
| 14 | 1375.48 | 1277.21 |  |
| 15 | 1386.78 | 1293.71 |  |
| 16 | 1399.72 | 1308.96 |  |
| 17 | 1412.77 | 1324.11 |  |
| 18 | 1421.02 | 1342.33 |  |
| 19 | 1434.89 | 1356.74 |  |
| 20 | 1448.17 | 1371.55 |  |
| *** | 1.006 |  |  |
| Failure Su | face Spec | d By 20 | Points |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 655.33 | 1176.01 |  |
| 2 | 663.47 | 1175.96 |  |
| 3 | 720.49 | 1177.51 |  |
| 4 | 807.09 | 1177.62 |  |
| 5 | 867.31 | 1176.26 |  |
| 6 | 1218.61 | 1178.66 |  |
| 7 | 1251.74 | 1187.60 |  |
| 8 | 1305.63 | 1202.39 |  |
| 9 | 1309.49 | 1202.33 |  |
| 10 | 1323.16 | 1216.92 |  |
| 11 | 1336.28 | 1232.02 |  |
| 12 | 1350.06 | 1246.51 |  |
| 13 | 1364.10 | 1260.76 |  |
| 14 | 1375.48 | 1277.21 |  |
| 15 | 1386.78 | 1293.71 |  |
| 16 | 1399.72 | 1308.96 |  |
| 17 | 1412.77 | 1324.11 |  |
| 18 | 1421.02 | 1342.33 |  |
| 19 | 1434.89 | 1356.74 |  |
| 20 | 1448.17 | 1371.55 |  |
| *** | 1.006 |  |  |
| Failure Sur | face Spec | d By 20 | Points |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |


| No. | $(\mathrm{ft})$ | $(\mathrm{ft})$ |
| ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | 655.33 | 1176.01 |
| 2 | 663.47 | 1175.96 |
| 3 | 720.49 | 1177.51 |
| 4 | 807.09 | 1177.62 |
| 5 | 867.31 | 1176.26 |
| 6 | 1218.61 | 1178.66 |
| 7 | 1251.74 | 1187.60 |
| 8 | 1305.63 | 1202.39 |
| 9 | 1309.49 | 1202.33 |
| 10 | 1323.16 | 1216.92 |
| 11 | 1336.28 | 1232.02 |
| 12 | 1350.06 | 1246.51 |
| 13 | 1364.10 | 1260.76 |
| 14 | 1375.48 | 1277.21 |
| 15 | 1386.78 | 1293.71 |
| 16 | 1399.72 | 1308.96 |
| 17 | 1412.77 | 1324.11 |
| 18 | 1421.02 | 1342.33 |
| 19 | 1434.89 | 1356.74 |
| 20 | 1448.17 | 1371.55 |
|  | $* * *$ | 1.006 |
|  | $* * *$ |  |

## Northeast Landfill Cross Section AA', Circular (Seismic)




```
                    ** PCSTABL5M3 **
                by Purdue University 1985
        rev. for SCS Engineers HVA 2008
            --Slope Stability Analysis--
        Simplified Janbu, Simplified Bishop
        or Spencer`s Method of Slices
    Run Date:
        5/11/2023
    Time of Run:
    06:06PM
    Run By:
    Username
    Input Data Filename
    M:2023.4.13 nelf_xs_aa'_circ (seismic).in
    M:2023.4.13 nelf_xs_aa'_circ (seismic).OUT
    ENGLISH
Output Filename:
Unit:
    Plotted Output Filename: M:2023.4.13 nelf_xs_aa'_circ (seismic).PLT
    PROBLEM DESCRIPTION Northeast Landfill
                        Cross Section AA', Circular (Seismic)
BOUNDARY COORDINATES
Note: User origin value specified.
Add 0.00 to X -values and 0.00 to Y -values listed.
```



Along The Ground Surface Between $X=100.00 \mathrm{ft}$.
and $X=310.00 \mathrm{ft}$.
Each Surface Terminates Between $\quad X=541.50 \mathrm{ft}$. and $X=1078.00 \mathrm{ft}$
Unless Further Limitations Were Imposed, The Minimum Elevation At Which A Surface Extends Is $Y=0.00 \mathrm{ft}$.
15.00 ft . Line Segments Define Each Trial Failure Surface.

Following Are Displayed The Ten Most Critical Of The Trial
Failure Surfaces Examined. They Are Ordered - Most Critical First.

*     * Safety Factors Are Calculated By The Modified Bishop Method * * Failure Surface Specified By 75 Coordinate Points

| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | $(\mathrm{ft})$ | $(\mathrm{ft})$ |
| 1 | 100.00 | 1211.03 |

1 100.00 1211.03
$112.76 \quad 1203.15$
$125.69 \quad 1195.54$
$138.77 \quad 1188.19$
$152.00 \quad 1181.12$
$165.37 \quad 1174.33$
$178.88 \quad 1167.82$
$192.53 \quad 1161.59$
$206.30 \quad 1155.65$
$220.19 \quad 1149.99$
$234.20 \quad 1144.63$
$248.32 \quad 1139.55$
$262.54 \quad 1134.78$
$276.85 \quad 1130.30$
$291.26 \quad 1126.11$
$305.75 \quad 1122.23$
$320.31 \quad 1118.66$
$334.95 \quad 1115.38$
$349.66 \quad 1112.42$
$364.42 \quad 1109.75$
$379.23 \quad 1107.40$
$394.09 \quad 1105.36$
$408.99 \quad 1103.62$
$423.92 \quad 1102.20$
$438.88 \quad 1101.09$
$453.86 \quad 1100.29$
$468.85 \quad 1099.80$
$483.85 \quad 1099.62$
$498.85 \quad 1099.76$
$513.85 \quad 1100.21$
$528.83 \quad 1100.97$
$543.79 \quad 1102.04$
$558.72 \quad 1103.43$
$573.63 \quad 1105.12$
$588.49 \quad 1107.12$
$603.31 \quad 1109.44$
618.081112 .06
$632.79 \quad 1114.99$
$647.44 \quad 1118.22$
$662.02 \quad 1121.76$
$676.52 \quad 1125.60$
$690.93 \quad 1129.75$
$705.26 \quad 1134.19$
$719.49 \quad 1138.93$
$733.62 \quad 1143.96$
$747.64 \quad 1149.29$
$761.55 \quad 1154.91$
$775.34 \quad 1160.82$
$789.00 \quad 1167.01$
$802.53 \quad 1173.49$
$815.92 \quad 1180.24$
$829.17 \quad 1187.28$
842.271194 .59
$855.22 \quad 1202.17$
$868.00 \quad 1210.01$
$880.62 \quad 1218.12$


| 45 | 14.6 | 267452.6 | 0.0 | 48468.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 88259.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 46 | 14.5 | 263307.5 | 0.0 | 45097.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 86891.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 47 | 14.4 | 258535.2 | 0.0 | 41444.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 85316.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 48 | 14.3 | 253151.7 | 0.0 | 37509.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 83540.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 49 | 14.2 | 247175.7 | 0.0 | 33294.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 81568.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 14.1 | 240624.1 | 0.0 | 28801.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 79405.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 51 | 14.0 | 233518.8 | 0.0 | 24032.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 77061.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 52 | 13.9 | 225881.9 | 0.0 | 18989.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 74541.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 53 | 13.8 | 217734.8 | 0.0 | 13675.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 71852.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 54 | 13.7 | 209104.9 | 0.0 | 8091.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 69004.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 55 | 11.8 | 175291.7 | 0.0 | 2287.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57846.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 56 | 1.7 | 24724.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8159.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 57 | 9.4 | 134890.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44513.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 58 | 4.0 | 55607.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18350.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 59 | 3.8 | 52099.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17192.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 60 | 9.5 | 129674.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42792.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 61 | 13.1 | 175982.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 58074.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 62 | 12.9 | 170103.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 56134.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 63 | 12.8 | 163967.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 54109.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 64 | 12.6 | 157591.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52005.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 65 | 12.4 | 150994.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 49828.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 66 | 12.3 | 144197.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 47585.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 67 | 12.1 | 137220.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 45282.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 68 | 11.5 | 125624.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41456.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 69 | 0.4 | 4457.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1471.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 70 | 11.7 | 121729.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40170.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 71 | 11.5 | 112391.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37089.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 72 | 11.3 | 103063.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34010.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 73 | 11.1 | 93767.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30943.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 74 | 10.9 | 84529.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27894.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 75 | 10.7 | 75372.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24872.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 76 | 10.4 | 66321.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21886.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 77 | 10.2 | 57401.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18942.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 78 | 10.0 | 48635.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16049.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 79 | 2.0 | 8664.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2859.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 80 | 7.8 | 31299.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10328.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 81 | 6.9 | 23524.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7763.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 82 | 2.6 | 7797.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2573.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 83 | 9.3 | 22753.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7508.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 84 | 9.0 | 14407.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4754.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 85 | 8.1 | 6142.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2026.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 86 | 0.7 | 213.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 70.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 87 | 1.3 | 157.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Failure Surface Specified By 75 Coordinate Points

| Point | X-Surf <br> (ft) | Y-Surf <br> (ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 104.24 | 1210.99 |
| 2 | 116.86 | 1202.87 |
| 3 | 129.64 | 1195.03 |
| 4 | 142.59 | 1187.46 |
| 5 | 155.70 | 1180.17 |
| 6 | 168.96 | 1173.15 |
| 7 | 182.36 | 1166.42 |
| 8 | 195.91 | 1159.98 |
| 9 | 209.59 | 1153.82 |
| 10 | 223.40 | 1147.96 |
| 11 | 237.32 | 1142.39 |
| 12 | 251.37 | 1137.12 |
| 13 | 265.52 | 1132.15 |
| 14 | 279.78 | 1127.48 |
| 15 | 294.13 | 1123.12 |
| 16 | 308.57 | 1119.06 |
| 17 | 323.09 | 1115.31 |
| 18 | 337.69 | 1111.86 |
| 19 | 352.36 | 1108.73 |
| 20 | 367.09 | 1105.91 |
| 21 | 381.88 | 1103.41 |
| 22 | 396.72 | 1101.22 |
| 23 | 411.60 | 1099.35 |
| 24 | 426.52 | 1097.79 |




| 5 | 154.42 | 1181.64 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 167.86 | 1174.98 |
| 7 | 181.44 | 1168.59 |
| 8 | 195.14 | 1162.49 |
| 9 | 208.96 | 1156.67 |
| 10 | 222.90 | 1151.13 |
| 11 | 236.96 | 1145.88 |
| 12 | 251.11 | 1140.93 |
| 13 | 265.37 | 1136.26 |
| 14 | 279.72 | 1131.89 |
| 15 | 294.15 | 1127.82 |
| 16 | 308.67 | 1124.04 |
| 17 | 323.26 | 1120.57 |
| 18 | 337.92 | 1117.39 |
| 19 | 352.65 | 1114.52 |
| 20 | 367.42 | 1111.95 |
| 21 | 382.25 | 1109.68 |
| 22 | 397.12 | 1107.72 |
| 23 | 412.03 | 1106.07 |
| 24 | 426.97 | 1104.72 |
| 25 | 441.94 | 1103.68 |
| 26 | 456.92 | 1102.95 |
| 27 | 471.91 | 1102.53 |
| 28 | 486.91 | 1102.42 |
| 29 | 501.91 | 1102.61 |
| 30 | 516.90 | 1103.12 |
| 31 | 531.88 | 1103.93 |
| 32 | 546.84 | 1105.05 |
| 33 | 561.77 | 1106.47 |
| 34 | 576.67 | 1108.21 |
| 35 | 591.53 | 1110.25 |
| 36 | 606.34 | 1112.60 |
| 37 | 621.11 | 1115.25 |
| 38 | 635.82 | 1118.20 |
| 39 | 650.46 | 1121.45 |
| 40 | 665.03 | 1125.01 |
| 41 | 679.53 | 1128.87 |
| 42 | 693.94 | 1133.02 |
| 43 | 708.27 | 1137.47 |
| 44 | 722.50 | 1142.21 |
| 45 | 736.63 | 1147.24 |
| 46 | 750.65 | 1152.57 |
| 47 | 764.56 | 1158.18 |
| 48 | 778.35 | 1164.07 |
| 49 | 792.02 | 1170.25 |
| 50 | 805.56 | 1176.71 |
| 51 | 818.96 | 1183.44 |
| 52 | 832.22 | 1190.45 |
| 53 | 845.34 | 1197.73 |
| 54 | 858.30 | 1205.28 |
| 55 | 871.10 | 1213.10 |
| 56 | 883.74 | 1221.17 |
| 57 | 896.22 | 1229.51 |
| 58 | 908.51 | 1238.10 |
| 59 | 920.63 | 1246.94 |
| 60 | 932.56 | 1256.03 |
| 61 | 944.31 | 1265.36 |
| 62 | 955.86 | 1274.93 |
| 63 | 967.21 | 1284.74 |
| 64 | 978.35 | 1294.78 |
| 65 | 989.29 | 1305.04 |
| 66 | 1000.01 | 1315.53 |
| 67 | 1010.52 | 1326.24 |
| 68 | 1020.80 | 1337.16 |
| 69 | 1030.86 | 1348.29 |
| 70 | 1040.68 | 1359.62 |
| 71 | 1050.27 | 1371.16 |
| 72 | 1059.62 | 1382.88 |
| 73 | 1068.73 | 1394.80 |
| 74 | 1077.41 | 1406.66 |


| Circle | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Center At X } \\ \text { * } \\ & 1.005 \end{array}$ | $84.9 \text {; }$ | $=1831.4$ and Radius, | 729.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Failure Surface Specified By 75 Coordinate Points |  |  |  |  |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |  |
| 1 | 104.24 | 1210.99 |  |  |
| 2 | 116.68 | 1202.60 |  |  |
| 3 | 129.29 | 1194.48 |  |  |
| 4 | 142.07 | 1186.63 |  |  |
| 5 | 155.03 | 1179.07 |  |  |
| 6 | 168.14 | 1171.79 |  |  |
| 7 | 181.41 | 1164.80 |  |  |
| 8 | 194.83 | 1158.09 |  |  |
| 9 | 208.39 | 1151.68 |  |  |
| 10 | 222.09 | 1145.57 |  |  |
| 11 | 235.92 | 1139.76 |  |  |
| 12 | 249.87 | 1134.25 |  |  |
| 13 | 263.94 | 1129.04 |  |  |
| 14 | 278.12 | 1124.15 |  |  |
| 15 | 292.40 | 1119.56 |  |  |
| 16 | 306.77 | 1115.28 |  |  |
| 17 | 321.24 | 1111.32 |  |  |
| 18 | 335.79 | 1107.67 |  |  |
| 19 | 350.42 | 1104.34 |  |  |
| 20 | 365.11 | 1101.33 |  |  |
| 21 | 379.87 | 1098.64 |  |  |
| 22 | 394.68 | 1096.28 |  |  |
| 23 | 409.54 | 1094.23 |  |  |
| 24 | 424.44 | 1092.51 |  |  |
| 25 | 439.38 | 1091.11 |  |  |
| 26 | 454.34 | 1090.04 |  |  |
| 27 | 469.32 | 1089.30 |  |  |
| 28 | 484.31 | 1088.88 |  |  |
| 29 | 499.31 | 1088.79 |  |  |
| 30 | 514.31 | 1089.02 |  |  |
| 31 | 529.30 | 1089.58 |  |  |
| 32 | 544.28 | 1090.47 |  |  |
| 33 | 559.23 | 1091.68 |  |  |
| 34 | 574.15 | 1093.22 |  |  |
| 35 | 589.03 | 1095.08 |  |  |
| 36 | 603.87 | 1097.27 |  |  |
| 37 | 618.66 | 1099.78 |  |  |
| 38 | 633.39 | 1102.60 |  |  |
| 39 | 648.06 | 1105.75 |  |  |
| 40 | 662.65 | 1109.22 |  |  |
| 41 | 677.16 | 1113.01 |  |  |
| 42 | 691.59 | 1117.10 |  |  |
| 43 | 705.93 | 1121.52 |  |  |
| 44 | 720.17 | 1126.24 |  |  |
| 45 | 734.30 | 1131.27 |  |  |
| 46 | 748.32 | 1136.61 |  |  |
| 47 | 762.21 | 1142.25 |  |  |
| 48 | 775.99 | 1148.20 |  |  |
| 49 | 789.63 | 1154.44 |  |  |
| 50 | 803.13 | 1160.98 |  |  |
| 51 | 816.48 | 1167.81 |  |  |
| 52 | 829.69 | 1174.92 |  |  |
| 53 | 842.73 | 1182.33 |  |  |
| 54 | 855.61 | 1190.01 |  |  |
| 55 | 868.32 | 1197.98 |  |  |
| 56 | 880.86 | 1206.22 |  |  |
| 57 | 893.21 | 1214.73 |  |  |
| 58 | 905.37 | 1223.51 |  |  |
| 59 | 917.34 | 1232.55 |  |  |
| 60 | 929.11 | 1241.84 |  |  |
| 61 | 940.68 | 1251.40 |  |  |
| 62 | 952.03 | 1261.20 |  |  |
| 63 | 963.17 | 1271.24 |  |  |
| 64 | 974.09 | 1281.53 |  |  |
| 65 | 984.78 | 1292.05 |  |  |








## Northeast Landfill Cross Section A-A', WB (Seismic)




```
                    ** PCSTABL5M3 **
                by Purdue University 1985
            rev. for SCS Engineers HVA 2008
            --Slope Stability Analysis--
        Simplified Janbu, Simplified Bishop
            or Spencer`s Method of Slices
Run Date:
Time of Run:
                5/11/2023
                06:18PM
Run By:
Username
Input Data Filename: M:2023.4.13 nelf_xs_a_wb (seismic).in
Output Filename: M:2023.4.13 nelf_xs_a_wb (seismic).OUT
Unit:
                                    ENGLISH
Plotted Output Filename: M:2023.4.13 nelf_xs_a_wb (seismic).PLT
PROBLEM DESCRIPTION Northeast Landfill
                        Cross Section A-A', WB (Seismic)
BOUNDARY COORDINATES
            Note: User origin value specified.
            Add 0.00 to X-values and 0.00 to Y-values listed.
        6 ~ T o p ~ B o u n d a r i e s ~
        21 Total Boundaries
\begin{tabular}{crcrcr}
\begin{tabular}{c} 
Boundary \\
No.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{r} 
X-Left \\
\((\mathrm{ft})\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Y-Left \\
\((\mathrm{ft})\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
X-Right \\
\((\mathrm{ft})\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Y-Right \\
\((\mathrm{ft})\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Soil Type \\
Below Bnd
\end{tabular} \\
1 & 0.00 & 1212.00 & 154.70 & 1210.50 & 3 \\
2 & 154.70 & 1210.50 & 928.90 & 1404.00 & 2 \\
3 & 928.90 & 1404.00 & 1029.10 & 1408.00 & 2 \\
4 & 1029.10 & 1408.00 & 1043.80 & 1408.00 & 2 \\
5 & 1043.80 & 1408.00 & 1144.10 & 1404.00 & 2 \\
6 & 1144.10 & 1404.00 & 1603.40 & 1289.90 & 2 \\
7 & 154.70 & 1210.50 & 160.70 & 1210.50 & 2 \\
8 & 160.70 & 1210.50 & 162.30 & 1209.40 & 2 \\
9 & 162.30 & 1209.40 & 928.90 & 1401.00 & 4 \\
10 & 928.90 & 1401.00 & 1029.10 & 1405.00 & 4 \\
11 & 1029.10 & 1405.00 & 1043.80 & 1405.00 & 4 \\
12 & 1043.80 & 1405.00 & 1144.10 & 1401.00 & 4 \\
13 & 1144.10 & 1401.00 & 1603.40 & 1286.90 & 4 \\
14 & 162.30 & 1209.40 & 261.10 & 1179.40 & 2 \\
15 & 261.10 & 1179.40 & 579.00 & 1182.30 & 2 \\
16 & 579.00 & 1182.30 & 1386.40 & 1182.10 & 2 \\
17 & 1386.40 & 1182.10 & 1603.40 & 1184.20 & 2 \\
18 & 154.70 & 1210.50 & 261.10 & 1175.40 & 1 \\
19 & 261.10 & 1175.40 & 579.00 & 1178.30 & 1 \\
20 & 579.00 & 1178.30 & 1386.40 & 1178.10 & 1 \\
21 & 1386.40 & 1178.10 & 1603.40 & 1180.20 & 1
\end{tabular}
ISOTROPIC SOIL PARAMETERS
    5 Type(s) of Soil
    Soil Total Saturated Cohesion Friction Pore Pressure Piez.
    Type Unit Wt. Unit Wt. Intercept Angle Pressure Constant Surface
    No. (pcf) (pcf) (psf) (deg) Param. (psf) No.
        1
        3 120.0 120.0 120.0 
```



```
    1 PIEZOMETRIC SURFACE(S) HAVE BEEN SPECIFIED
    Unit Weight of Water = 62.40
    Piezometric Surface No. 1 Specified by 2 Coordinate Points
        Point X-Water Y-Water
            No. (ft) (ft)
            1 rre.50
    A Horizontal Earthquake Loading Coefficient
    Of0.300 Has Been Assigned
    A Vertical Earthquake Loading Coefficient
    Of0.000 Has Been Assigned
    Cavitation Pressure = 0.0 (psf)
    A Critical Failure Surface Searching Method, Using A Random
    Technique For Generating Sliding Block Surfaces, Has Been
    Specified.
1000 Trial Surfaces Have Been Generated.
```

4 Boxes Specified For Generation Of Central Block Base Length Of Line Segments For Active And Passive Portions of Sliding Block Is 20.0

| Box | X-Left | Y-Left | X-Right | Y-Right | Height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) | $(\mathrm{ft})$ | $(\mathrm{ft})$ | $(\mathrm{ft})$ |
| 1 | 261.10 | 1177.40 | 261.20 | 1177.40 | 4.00 |
| 2 | 261.70 | 1177.40 | 579.00 | 1180.30 | 4.00 |
| 3 | 579.50 | 1180.30 | 579.60 | 1180.30 | 4.00 |
| 4 | 580.10 | 1180.30 | 1386.40 | 1180.10 | 4.00 |

Following Are Displayed The Ten Most Critical Of The Trial Failure Surfaces Examined. They Are Ordered - Most Critical First

*     * Safety Factors Are Calculated By The Modified Janbu Method * * Failure Surface Specified By 26 Coordinate Points

| Xoint <br> Po.Surf <br> No. | (ft) | Y-Surf <br> (ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 122.56 | 1210.81 |
| 2 | 128.16 | 1205.31 |
| 3 | 148.12 | 1204.07 |
| 4 | 163.73 | 1191.56 |
| 5 | 183.65 | 1189.76 |
| 6 | 203.64 | 1189.21 |
| 7 | 223.64 | 1189.07 |
| 8 | 243.09 | 1184.40 |
| 9 | 261.12 | 1175.75 |
| 10 | 526.23 | 1178.57 |
| 11 | 579.57 | 1180.93 |
| 12 | 744.42 | 1180.63 |
| 13 | 757.72 | 1195.57 |
| 14 | 771.86 | 1209.72 |
| 15 | 776.30 | 1229.22 |
| 16 | 783.80 | 1247.76 |
| 17 | 796.06 | 1263.56 |
| 18 | 806.78 | 1280.44 |
| 19 | 819.01 | 1296.27 |
| 20 | 829.31 | 1313.41 |
| 21 | 843.39 | 1327.61 |
| 22 | 852.25 | 1345.54 |
| 23 | 864.68 | 1361.21 |
| 24 | 877.08 | 1376.90 |
| 25 | 891.20 | 1391.07 |
| 26 | 895.74 | 1395.71 |
|  | $* * *$ | 1.002 |
| $* * *$ |  |  |


|  |  | Individua | on th Water Force | Water Force | $\begin{gathered} \text { slices } \\ \text { Tie } \\ \text { Force } \end{gathered}$ | Tie Force | Earthquake Force |  | charge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Slice | e Width | Weight | Top | Bot | Norm | Tan | Hor | Ver | Load |
| No. | (ft) | (lbs) | (lbs) | (lbs) | (lbs) | (lbs) | (lbs) | (lbs) | (lbs) |
| 1 | 5.6 | 1832.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 549.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2 | 20.0 | 14310.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4293.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 3 | 6.6 | 7184.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2155.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 4 | 6.0 | 10695.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3208.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5 | 1.6 | 3619.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1085.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 6 | 1.4 | 3484.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1045.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 7 | 19.9 | 52487.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15746.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 8 | 20.0 | 56433.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16930.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 9 | 15.9 | 46237.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13871.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10 | 4.1 | 11958.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3587.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11 | 19.4 | 63081.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18924.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 12 | 18.0 | 73597.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22079.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 13 | 0.0 | 105.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 14 | 265.1 | 1812561.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | * | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 15 | 52.8 | 495081.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | ******* | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 16 | 0.6 | 5509.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1652.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 171 | 164.9 | 1843180.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | ******* | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 18 | 1.4 | 18203.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5461.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 19 | 11.8 | 143463.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 43039.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 14.1 | 160790.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 48237.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 21 | 4.4 | 46035.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13810.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 22 | 7.5 | 68442.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20532.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |


| 23 | 12.3 | 99354.7 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29806.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | 10.7 | 76767.7 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23030.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 25 | 12.2 | 76005.6 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22801.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 26 | 10.3 | 54187.7 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16256.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 27 | 14.1 | 61603.9 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18481.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 28 | 8.9 | 30560.6 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9168.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 29 | 12.4 | 30584.6 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9175.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 12.4 | 19612.4 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5883.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 31 | 14.1 | 10843.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3252.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 32 | 0.7 | 249.3 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 74.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 33 | 3.9 | 698.4 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 209.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Failure Surface Specified By 26 Coordinate Points |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Point X-Surf Y-Surf |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. (ft) (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1 \quad 122.56$ 1210.81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2128.16 1205.31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3 \quad 148.12 \quad 1204.07$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4163.731191 .56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  | 183.65 |  | 1189.76 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  | 203.64 |  | 1189.21 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  | 223.64 |  | 1189.07 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  | 243.09 |  | 1184.40 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  | 261.12 |  | 1175.75 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  | 526.23 |  | 1178.57 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  | 579.57 |  | 1180.93 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |  |  | 744.42 |  | 1180.63 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  | 757.72 |  | 1195.57 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 |  |  | 771.86 |  | 1209.72 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  | 776.30 |  | 1229.22 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  | 783.80 |  | 1247.76 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  | 796.06 |  | 1263.56 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  | 806.78 |  | 1280.44 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  | 819.01 |  | 1296.27 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  | 829.31 |  | 1313.41 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  | 843.39 |  | 1327.61 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  | 852.25 |  | 1345.54 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  | 864.68 |  | 1361.21 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  | 877.08 |  | 1376.90 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  | 891.20 |  | 1391.07 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  |  | 895.74 |  | 1395.71 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ** | 1.002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Failure Surface Specified By 26 Coordinate Points |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Failure Surface Specified By Point | X-Surf |  | Y-Surf |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. |  |  | (ft) |  | (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  | 122.56 |  | 1210.81 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  | 128.16 |  | 1205.31 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  | 148.12 |  | 1204.07 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  | 163.73 |  | 1191.56 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  | 183.65 |  | 1189.76 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  | 203.64 |  | 1189.21 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  | 223.64 |  | 1189.07 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  | 243.09 |  | 1184.40 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  | 261.12 |  | 1175.75 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  | 526.23 |  | 1178.57 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  | 579.57 |  | 1180.93 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |  |  | 744.42 |  | 1180.63 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  | 757.72 |  | 1195.57 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 |  |  | 771.86 |  | 1209.72 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  | 776.30 |  | 1229.22 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  | 783.80 |  | 1247.76 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  | 796.06 |  | 1263.56 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  | 806.78 |  | 1280.44 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  | 819.01 |  | 1296.27 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  | 829.31 |  | 1313.41 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  | 843.39 |  | 1327.61 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  | 852.25 |  | 1345.54 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  | 864.68 |  | 1361.21 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  | 877.08 |  | 1376.90 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  | 891.20 |  | 1391.07 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  |  | 895.74 |  | 1395.71 |  |  |  |  |  |


| Failure ${ }^{* * *} 1.002$ *** ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 122.56 | 1210.81 |  |
| 2 | 128.16 | 1205.31 |  |
| 3 | 148.12 | 1204.07 |  |
| 4 | 163.73 | 1191.56 |  |
| 5 | 183.65 | 1189.76 |  |
| 6 | 203.64 | 1189.21 |  |
| 7 | 223.64 | 1189.07 |  |
| 8 | 243.09 | 1184.40 |  |
| 9 | 261.12 | 1175.75 |  |
| 10 | 526.23 | 1178.57 |  |
| 11 | 579.57 | 1180.93 |  |
| 12 | 744.42 | 1180.63 |  |
| 13 | 757.72 | 1195.57 |  |
| 14 | 771.86 | 1209.72 |  |
| 15 | 776.30 | 1229.22 |  |
| 16 | 783.80 | 1247.76 |  |
| 17 | 796.06 | 1263.56 |  |
| 18 | 806.78 | 1280.44 |  |
| 19 | 819.01 | 1296.27 |  |
| 20 | 829.31 | 1313.41 |  |
| 21 | 843.39 | 1327.61 |  |
| 22 | 852.25 | 1345.54 |  |
| 23 | 864.68 | 1361.21 |  |
| 24 | 877.08 | 1376.90 |  |
| 25 | 891.20 | 1391.07 |  |
| 26 | 895.74 | 1395.71 |  |
| *** | * 1.002 | *** |  |
| Failure Surface Specified By 26 Coordinate Points |  |  |  |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 122.56 | 1210.81 |  |
| 2 | 128.16 | 1205.31 |  |
| 3 | 148.12 | 1204.07 |  |
| 4 | 163.73 | 1191.56 |  |
| 5 | 183.65 | 1189.76 |  |
| 6 | 203.64 | 1189.21 |  |
| 7 | 223.64 | 1189.07 |  |
| 8 | 243.09 | 1184.40 |  |
| 9 | 261.12 | 1175.75 |  |
| 10 | 526.23 | 1178.57 |  |
| 11 | 579.57 | 1180.93 |  |
| 12 | 744.42 | 1180.63 |  |
| 13 | 757.72 | 1195.57 |  |
| 14 | 771.86 | 1209.72 |  |
| 15 | 776.30 | 1229.22 |  |
| 16 | 783.80 | 1247.76 |  |
| 17 | 796.06 | 1263.56 |  |
| 18 | 806.78 | 1280.44 |  |
| 19 | 819.01 | 1296.27 |  |
| 20 | 829.31 | 1313.41 |  |
| 21 | 843.39 | 1327.61 |  |
| 22 | 852.25 | 1345.54 |  |
| 23 | 864.68 | 1361.21 |  |
| 24 | 877.08 | 1376.90 |  |
| 25 | 891.20 | 1391.07 |  |
| 26 | 895.74 | 1395.71 |  |
| *** | * 1.002 | *** |  |
| Failure Surface Specified By 26 Coordinate Points |  |  |  |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 122.56 | 1210.81 |  |
| 2 | 128.16 | 1205.31 |  |
| 3 | 148.12 | 1204.07 |  |
| 4 | 163.73 | 1191.56 |  |
| 5 | 183.65 | 1189.76 |  |
| 6 | 203.64 | 1189.21 |  |


| 7 | 223.64 | 1189.07 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 243.09 | 1184.40 |  |
| 9 | 261.12 | 1175.75 |  |
| 10 | 526.23 | 1178.57 |  |
| 11 | 579.57 | 1180.93 |  |
| 12 | 744.42 | 1180.63 |  |
| 13 | 757.72 | 1195.57 |  |
| 14 | 771.86 | 1209.72 |  |
| 15 | 776.30 | 1229.22 |  |
| 16 | 783.80 | 1247.76 |  |
| 17 | 796.06 | 1263.56 |  |
| 18 | 806.78 | 1280.44 |  |
| 19 | 819.01 | 1296.27 |  |
| 20 | 829.31 | 1313.41 |  |
| 21 | 843.39 | 1327.61 |  |
| 22 | 852.25 | 1345.54 |  |
| 23 | 864.68 | 1361.21 |  |
| 24 | 877.08 | 1376.90 |  |
| 25 | 891.20 | 1391.07 |  |
| 26 | 895.74 | 1395.71 |  |
| *** | 1.002 |  |  |
| Failure Su | ace Spec | d By 23 | Points |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 174.52 | 1215.45 |  |
| 2 | 187.03 | 1203.80 |  |
| 3 | 203.14 | 1191.94 |  |
| 4 | 221.93 | 1185.09 |  |
| 5 | 241.80 | 1182.84 |  |
| 6 | 261.14 | 1177.73 |  |
| 7 | 535.83 | 1179.10 |  |
| 8 | 579.55 | 1180.60 |  |
| 9 | 756.23 | 1178.26 |  |
| 10 | 768.63 | 1193.95 |  |
| 11 | 782.36 | 1208.50 |  |
| 12 | 794.99 | 1224.01 |  |
| 13 | 807.22 | 1239.84 |  |
| 14 | 814.92 | 1258.29 |  |
| 15 | 827.94 | 1273.48 |  |
| 16 | 838.85 | 1290.24 |  |
| 17 | 852.77 | 1304.60 |  |
| 18 | 861.84 | 1322.43 |  |
| 19 | 866.03 | 1341.98 |  |
| 20 | 874.71 | 1360.00 |  |
| 21 | 888.51 | 1374.48 |  |
| 22 | 890.88 | 1394.33 |  |
| 23 | 890.99 | 1394.53 |  |
| *** | 1.011 |  |  |
| Failure Su | ace Spec | d By 23 | Points |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 173.76 | 1215.26 |  |
| 2 | 186.46 | 1204.05 |  |
| 3 | 204.36 | 1195.13 |  |
| 4 | 223.85 | 1190.68 |  |
| 5 | 241.44 | 1181.15 |  |
| 6 | 261.10 | 1177.49 |  |
| 7 | 441.25 | 1179.33 |  |
| 8 | 579.57 | 1178.96 |  |
| 9 | 802.49 | 1179.33 |  |
| 10 | 812.23 | 1196.80 |  |
| 11 | 826.36 | 1210.95 |  |
| 12 | 838.27 | 1227.02 |  |
| 13 | 846.66 | 1245.17 |  |
| 14 | 850.24 | 1264.85 |  |
| 15 | 860.48 | 1282.03 |  |
| 16 | 872.77 | 1297.81 |  |
| 17 | 878.49 | 1316.97 |  |
| 18 | 892.14 | 1331.59 |  |
| 19 | 905.79 | 1346.21 |  |


| 20 | 919.01 | 1361.22 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | 931.25 | 1377.04 |  |
| 22 | 944.07 | 1392.38 |  |
| 23 | 949.07 | 1404.81 |  |
| *** | 1.015 |  |  |
| Failure Su | ace Spec | d By 25 | Points |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 159.25 | 1211.64 |  |
| 2 | 170.50 | 1209.38 |  |
| 3 | 189.90 | 1204.52 |  |
| 4 | 207.54 | 1195.11 |  |
| 5 | 221.77 | 1181.05 |  |
| 6 | 241.76 | 1180.52 |  |
| 7 | 261.15 | 1175.59 |  |
| 8 | 416.53 | 1177.34 |  |
| 9 | 579.56 | 1182.14 |  |
| 10 | 843.63 | 1180.75 |  |
| 11 | 854.47 | 1197.56 |  |
| 12 | 859.29 | 1216.97 |  |
| 13 | 871.75 | 1232.62 |  |
| 14 | 884.41 | 1248.10 |  |
| 15 | 896.91 | 1263.71 |  |
| 16 | 911.04 | 1277.86 |  |
| 17 | 924.61 | 1292.56 |  |
| 18 | 938.27 | 1307.17 |  |
| 19 | 950.52 | 1322.98 |  |
| 20 | 951.46 | 1342.96 |  |
| 21 | 965.44 | 1357.26 |  |
| 22 | 979.27 | 1371.70 |  |
| 23 | 991.99 | 1387.13 |  |
| 24 | 1004.34 | 1402.86 |  |
| 25 | 1005.51 | 1407.06 |  |
| *** | 1.020 |  |  |
| Failure Su | ace Spec | d By 25 | Points |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 159.25 | 1211.64 |  |
| 2 | 170.50 | 1209.38 |  |
| 3 | 189.90 | 1204.52 |  |
| 4 | 207.54 | 1195.11 |  |
| 5 | 221.77 | 1181.05 |  |
| 6 | 241.76 | 1180.52 |  |
| 7 | 261.15 | 1175.59 |  |
| 8 | 416.53 | 1177.34 |  |
| 9 | 579.56 | 1182.14 |  |
| 10 | 843.63 | 1180.75 |  |
| 11 | 854.47 | 1197.56 |  |
| 12 | 859.29 | 1216.97 |  |
| 13 | 871.75 | 1232.62 |  |
| 14 | 884.41 | 1248.10 |  |
| 15 | 896.91 | 1263.71 |  |
| 16 | 911.04 | 1277.86 |  |
| 17 | 924.61 | 1292.56 |  |
| 18 | 938.27 | 1307.17 |  |
| 19 | 950.52 | 1322.98 |  |
| 20 | 951.46 | 1342.96 |  |
| 21 | 965.44 | 1357.26 |  |
| 22 | 979.27 | 1371.70 |  |
| 23 | 991.99 | 1387.13 |  |
| 24 | 1004.34 | 1402.86 |  |
| 25 | 1005.51 | 1407.06 |  |
| *** | 1.020 |  |  |

## Northeast Landfill Cross Section B-B', Circular (Seismic)




```
                    ** PCSTABL5M3 **
                by Purdue University 1985
            rev. for SCS Engineers HVA 2008
            --Slope Stability Analysis--
        Simplified Janbu, Simplified Bishop
            or Spencer`s Method of Slices
Run Date:
Time of Run:
                5/11/2023
                06:21PM
Run By:
Username
Input Data Filename: M:2023.4.13 nelf_xs_b_circ (seismic).in
Output Filename: M:2023.4.13 nelf_xs_b_circ (seismic).OUT
Unit:
                                    ENGLISH
Plotted Output Filename: M:2023.4.13 nelf_xs_b_circ (seismic).PLT
PROBLEM DESCRIPTION Northeast Landfill
                                    Cross Section B-B', Circular (Seismic)
BOUNDARY COORDINATES
            Note: User origin value specified.
            Add 0.00 to X-values and 0.00 to Y-values listed.
```





31 32 33
34 35 36
37 38
39
40 40
41
42 43 43
45 45
46
47 48 50
51
52 52
53 54 55
56 57
58 59
60 61
62 63
64 65 66 67
68 69
70 71 73 74
75 75
76 76
77 78 79 80
81 82 83 84
85 86 87 88

Failure Surface Specified By 81 Coordinate Points
Point X-Surf Y-Surf

| No. | (ft) | (ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 173.10 | 1173.38 |
| 2 | 185.99 | 1165.71 |
| 3 | 199.04 | 1158.30 |
| 4 | 212.22 | 1151.14 |
| 5 | 225.54 | 1144.24 |
| 6 | 238.99 | 1137.60 |
| 7 | 252.56 | 1131.22 |
| 8 | 266.26 | 1125.11 |
| 9 | 280.07 | 1119.26 |
| 10 | 294.00 | 1113.68 |
| 11 | 308.03 | 1108.37 |
| 12 | 322.16 | 1103.34 |
| 13 | 336.38 | 1098.58 |
| 14 | 350.70 | 1094.10 |
| 15 | 365.10 | 1089.90 |
| 16 | 379.57 | 1085.97 |
| 17 | 394.12 | 1082.33 |
| 18 | 408.74 | 1078.97 |
| 19 | 423.42 | 1075.90 |
| 20 | 438.16 | 1073.11 |
| 21 | 452.95 | 1070.60 |
| 22 | 467.79 | 1068.39 |
| 23 | 482.66 | 1066.46 |
| 24 | 497.57 | 1064.82 |
| 25 | 512.51 | 1063.47 |
| 26 | 527.48 | 1062.42 |
| 27 | 542.46 | 1061.65 |
| 28 | 557.45 | 1061.17 |
| 29 | 572.45 | 1060.98 |
| 30 | 587.45 | 1061.09 |
| 31 | 602.44 | 1061.48 |
| 32 | 617.43 | 1062.17 |
| 33 | 632.39 | 1063.15 |
| 34 | 647.34 | 1064.41 |
| 35 | 662.26 | 1065.97 |
| 36 | 677.15 | 1067.82 |
| 37 | 691.99 | 1069.95 |
| 38 | 706.80 | 1072.37 |
| 39 | 721.55 | 1075.08 |
| 40 | 736.25 | 1078.08 |
| 41 | 750.89 | 1081.35 |
| 42 | 765.46 | 1084.92 |
| 43 | 779.96 | 1088.76 |
| 44 | 794.38 | 1092.89 |
| 45 | 808.72 | 1097.29 |
| 46 | 822.97 | 1101.97 |
| 47 | 837.12 | 1106.93 |
| 48 | 851.18 | 1112.16 |
| 49 | 865.14 | 1117.66 |
| 50 | 878.98 | 1123.43 |
| 51 | 892.71 | 1129.47 |
| 52 | 906.32 | 1135.77 |
| 53 | 919.81 | 1142.34 |
| 54 | 933.17 | 1149.17 |
| 55 | 946.39 | 1156.25 |
| 56 | 959.47 | 1163.60 |
| 57 | 972.40 | 1171.19 |
| 58 | 985.19 | 1179.03 |
| 59 | 997.82 | 1187.12 |
| 60 | 1010.30 | 1195.45 |
| 61 | 1022.60 | 1204.03 |
| 62 | 1034.74 | 1212.84 |
| 63 | 1046.71 | 1221.88 |
| 64 | 1058.50 | 1231.15 |
| 65 | 1070.11 | 1240.66 |
| 66 | 1081.53 | 1250.38 |
| 67 | 1092.76 | 1260.33 |
| 68 | 1103.79 | 1270.49 |
| 69 | 1114.63 | 1280.86 |







```
    21 454.29 1067.35
    484.01 1063.21
        498.92 1061.59
        513.86 1060.27
        528.82 1059.25
        543.81 1058.53
        558.80 1058.11
        573.80 1058.00
        588.80 1058.18
        603.79 1058.67
        618.77 1059.46
        633.73 1060.55
        648.67 1061.94
        663.57 1063.64
        678.44 1065.63
        693.26 1067.92
        708.04 1070.51
        722.76 1073.39
        737.42 1076.57
        752.01 1080.05
        766.53 1083.82
        780.97 1087.88
        795.32 1092.23
        809.59 1096.87
        823.76 1101.79
        837.82 1107.00
        851.78 1112.49
        865.63 1118.26
        879.36 1124.30
        892.96 1130.63
        906.43 1137.22
        919.77 1144.08
        932.96 1151.22
        946.01 1158.61
        958.91 1166.27
        971.65 1174.18
        984.23 1182.35
        996.65 1190.77
        1008.89 1199.44
        1020.95 1208.36
        1032.84 1217.51
        1044.53 1226.90
        1056.04 1236.53
        1067.34 1246.38
        1078.45 1256.46
        1089.36 1266.77
        1100.05 1277.28
        1110.53 1288.02
        1120.79 1298.96
        1130.83 1310.10
        1140.64 1321.45
        1150.22 1332.99
        1159.57 1344.72
        1168.68 1356.64
        1177.55 1368.73
        1186.17 1381.01
        1194.55 1393.45
        1202.67 1406.06
        1203.74 1407.80
Circle Center At X = 572.0 ; Y = 1803.3 and Radius, 745.3
Failure Surface Specified By 69 Coordinate Points
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
Point & X-Surf & Y-Surf \\
No. & \((\mathrm{ft})\) & \((\mathrm{ft})\) \\
1 & 184.59 & 1169.09 \\
2 & 197.93 & 1162.23 \\
3 & 211.41 & 1155.66 \\
4 & 225.04 & 1149.39 \\
5 & 238.80 & 1143.42
\end{tabular}
```



| 2 | 192.84 | 1162.36 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 205.83 | 1154.87 |
| 4 | 218.98 | 1147.65 |
| 5 | 232.27 | 1140.68 |
| 6 | 245.69 | 1133.99 |
| 7 | 259.24 | 1127.57 |
| 8 | 272.93 | 1121.42 |
| 9 | 286.73 | 1115.54 |
| 10 | 300.64 | 1109.95 |
| 11 | 314.67 | 1104.63 |
| 12 | 328.80 | 1099.60 |
| 13 | 343.03 | 1094.85 |
| 14 | 357.35 | 1090.39 |
| 15 | 371.76 | 1086.22 |
| 16 | 386.25 | 1082.34 |
| 17 | 400.81 | 1078.74 |
| 18 | 415.44 | 1075.45 |
| 19 | 430.14 | 1072.44 |
| 20 | 444.89 | 1069.73 |
| 21 | 459.70 | 1067.32 |
| 22 | 474.55 | 1065.21 |
| 23 | 489.44 | 1063.39 |
| 24 | 504.36 | 1061.87 |
| 25 | 519.31 | 1060.66 |
| 26 | 534.28 | 1059.74 |
| 27 | 549.27 | 1059.13 |
| 28 | 564.27 | 1058.81 |
| 29 | 579.27 | 1058.80 |
| 30 | 594.27 | 1059.08 |
| 31 | 609.25 | 1059.67 |
| 32 | 624.23 | 1060.56 |
| 33 | 639.18 | 1061.75 |
| 34 | 654.11 | 1063.24 |
| 35 | 669.00 | 1065.03 |
| 36 | 683.85 | 1067.11 |
| 37 | 698.66 | 1069.50 |
| 38 | 713.42 | 1072.18 |
| 39 | 728.12 | 1075.16 |
| 40 | 742.76 | 1078.43 |
| 41 | 757.33 | 1081.99 |
| 42 | 771.83 | 1085.85 |
| 43 | 786.24 | 1090.00 |
| 44 | 800.57 | 1094.43 |
| 45 | 814.81 | 1099.15 |
| 46 | 828.95 | 1104.16 |
| 47 | 842.99 | 1109.45 |
| 48 | 856.91 | 1115.02 |
| 49 | 870.73 | 1120.87 |
| 50 | 884.42 | 1126.99 |
| 51 | 897.99 | 1133.39 |
| 52 | 911.42 | 1140.06 |
| 53 | 924.72 | 1147.00 |
| 54 | 937.88 | 1154.20 |
| 55 | 950.89 | 1161.67 |
| 56 | 963.75 | 1169.39 |
| 57 | 976.45 | 1177.37 |
| 58 | 988.98 | 1185.61 |
| 59 | 1001.35 | 1194.09 |
| 60 | 1013.55 | 1202.82 |
| 61 | 1025.57 | 1211.80 |
| 62 | 1037.41 | 1221.01 |
| 63 | 1049.06 | 1230.46 |
| 64 | 1060.51 | 1240.14 |
| 65 | 1071.77 | 1250.05 |
| 66 | 1082.83 | 1260.19 |
| 67 | 1093.69 | 1270.54 |
| 68 | 1104.33 | 1281.11 |
| 69 | 1114.76 | 1291.89 |
| 70 | 1124.97 | 1302.88 |
| 71 | 1134.96 | 1314.07 |

```
        1144.72 1325.46
        1154.25 1337.04
        1163.55 1348.81
        1172.61 1360.77
        1181.43 1372.90
        1190.00 1385.21
        1190.00 138.32 1397.69
        1204.79 1407.83
Circle Center At X = 572.5 ; Y = 1806.1 and Radius, 747.3
```


## Northeast Landfill Cross Section B-B', WB (Seismic)






M:2023.4.13 nelf_xs_b_block (seismic). OUT Page 3


| 1 | 231.95 | 1186.70 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 242.66 | 1179.77 |  |
| 3 | 261.17 | 1172.21 |  |
| 4 | 275.13 | 1172.74 |  |
| 5 | 341.58 | 1172.01 |  |
| 6 | 587.34 | 1175.47 |  |
| 7 | 800.59 | 1176.90 |  |
| 8 | 909.62 | 1179.64 |  |
| 9 | 923.65 | 1193.88 |  |
| 10 | 937.41 | 1208.40 |  |
| 11 | 951.55 | 1222.54 |  |
| 12 | 961.46 | 1239.91 |  |
| 13 | 967.27 | 1259.05 |  |
| 14 | 981.04 | 1273.55 |  |
| 15 | 991.64 | 1290.52 |  |
| 16 | 1005.35 | 1305.08 |  |
| 17 | 1019.39 | 1319.32 |  |
| 18 | 1029.07 | 1336.82 |  |
| 19 | 1043.18 | 1350.99 |  |
| 20 | 1057.20 | 1365.26 |  |
| 21 | 1063.78 | 1384.14 |  |
| 22 | 1075.79 | 1396.83 |  |
| * | 1.004 |  |  |
| Failure Su | face Spec | d By 22 | Points |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 231.95 | 1186.70 |  |
| 2 | 242.66 | 1179.77 |  |
| 3 | 261.17 | 1172.21 |  |
| 4 | 275.13 | 1172.74 |  |
| 5 | 341.58 | 1172.01 |  |
| 6 | 587.34 | 1175.47 |  |
| 7 | 800.59 | 1176.90 |  |
| 8 | 909.62 | 1179.64 |  |
| 9 | 923.65 | 1193.88 |  |
| 10 | 937.41 | 1208.40 |  |
| 11 | 951.55 | 1222.54 |  |
| 12 | 961.46 | 1239.91 |  |
| 13 | 967.27 | 1259.05 |  |
| 14 | 981.04 | 1273.55 |  |
| 15 | 991.64 | 1290.52 |  |
| 16 | 1005.35 | 1305.08 |  |
| 17 | 1019.39 | 1319.32 |  |
| 18 | 1029.07 | 1336.82 |  |
| 19 | 1043.18 | 1350.99 |  |
| 20 | 1057.20 | 1365.26 |  |
| 21 | 1063.78 | 1384.14 |  |
| 22 | 1075.79 | 1396.83 |  |
| *** | 1.004 |  |  |
| Failure Sur | face Spec | By 22 | Points |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 231.95 | 1186.70 |  |
| 2 | 242.66 | 1179.77 |  |
| 3 | 261.17 | 1172.21 |  |
| 4 | 275.13 | 1172.74 |  |
| 5 | 341.58 | 1172.01 |  |
| 6 | 587.34 | 1175.47 |  |
| 7 | 800.59 | 1176.90 |  |
| 8 | 909.62 | 1179.64 |  |
| 9 | 923.65 | 1193.88 |  |
| 10 | 937.41 | 1208.40 |  |
| 11 | 951.55 | 1222.54 |  |
| 12 | 961.46 | 1239.91 |  |
| 13 | 967.27 | 1259.05 |  |
| 14 | 981.04 | 1273.55 |  |
| 15 | 991.64 | 1290.52 |  |
| 16 | 1005.35 | 1305.08 |  |
| 17 | 1019.39 | 1319.32 |  |
| 18 | 1029.07 | 1336.82 |  |


| 19 | 1043.18 | 1350.99 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 1057.20 | 1365.26 |  |
| 21 | 1063.78 | 1384.14 |  |
| 22 | 1075.79 | 1396.83 |  |
| *** | 1.004 |  |  |
| Failure S | face Spe | By 22 | Points |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 231.95 | 1186.70 |  |
| 2 | 242.66 | 1179.77 |  |
| 3 | 261.17 | 1172.21 |  |
| 4 | 275.13 | 1172.74 |  |
| 5 | 341.58 | 1172.01 |  |
| 6 | 587.34 | 1175.47 |  |
| 7 | 800.59 | 1176.90 |  |
| 8 | 909.62 | 1179.64 |  |
| 9 | 923.65 | 1193.88 |  |
| 10 | 937.41 | 1208.40 |  |
| 11 | 951.55 | 1222.54 |  |
| 12 | 961.46 | 1239.91 |  |
| 13 | 967.27 | 1259.05 |  |
| 14 | 981.04 | 1273.55 |  |
| 15 | 991.64 | 1290.52 |  |
| 16 | 1005.35 | 1305.08 |  |
| 17 | 1019.39 | 1319.32 |  |
| 18 | 1029.07 | 1336.82 |  |
| 19 | 1043.18 | 1350.99 |  |
| 20 | 1057.20 | 1365.26 |  |
| 21 | 1063.78 | 1384.14 |  |
| 22 | 1075.79 | 1396.83 |  |
| *** | 1.004 | * |  |
| Failure S | face Spe | d By 21 | Points |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 226.95 | 1185.46 |  |
| 2 | 241.28 | 1174.86 |  |
| 3 | 261.17 | 1172.77 |  |
| 4 | 283.67 | 1174.38 |  |
| 5 | 341.55 | 1173.02 |  |
| 6 | 681.36 | 1175.68 |  |
| 7 | 800.53 | 1177.61 |  |
| 8 | 853.72 | 1177.52 |  |
| 9 | 867.48 | 1192.04 |  |
| 10 | 875.00 | 1210.57 |  |
| 11 | 889.02 | 1224.84 |  |
| 12 | 903.13 | 1239.01 |  |
| 13 | 911.64 | 1257.11 |  |
| 14 | 925.76 | 1271.27 |  |
| 15 | 935.76 | 1288.59 |  |
| 16 | 949.89 | 1302.74 |  |
| 17 | 962.89 | 1317.94 |  |
| 18 | 976.92 | 1332.20 |  |
| 19 | 990.96 | 1346.44 |  |
| 20 | 1004.96 | 1360.72 |  |
| 21 | 1008.18 | 1380.00 |  |
| *** | 1.005 | * |  |
| Failure S | ace Spe | d By 21 | Points |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 226.95 | 1185.46 |  |
| 2 | 241.28 | 1174.86 |  |
| 3 | 261.17 | 1172.77 |  |
| 4 | 283.67 | 1174.38 |  |
| 5 | 341.55 | 1173.02 |  |
| 6 | 681.36 | 1175.68 |  |
| 7 | 800.53 | 1177.61 |  |
| 8 | 853.72 | 1177.52 |  |
| 9 | 867.48 | 1192.04 |  |
| 10 | 875.00 | 1210.57 |  |
| 11 | 889.02 | 1224.84 |  |


| 12 | 903.13 | 1239.01 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 13 | 911.64 | 1257.11 |
| 14 | 925.76 | 1271.27 |
| 15 | 935.76 | 1288.59 |
| 16 | 949.89 | 1302.74 |
| 17 | 962.89 | 1317.94 |
| 18 | 976.92 | 1332.20 |
| 19 | 990.96 | 1346.44 |
| 20 | 1004.96 | 1360.72 |
| 21 | 1008.18 | 1380.00 |
| *** | 1.005 | $* * *$ By 21 |
| Failure Coordinate Points |  |  |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |
| 1 | 226.95 | 1185.46 |
| 2 | 241.28 | 1174.86 |
| 3 | 261.17 | 1172.77 |
| 4 | 283.67 | 1174.38 |
| 5 | 341.55 | 1173.02 |
| 6 | 681.36 | 1175.68 |
| 7 | 800.53 | 1177.61 |
| 8 | 853.72 | 1177.52 |
| 9 | 867.48 | 1192.04 |
| 10 | 875.00 | 1210.57 |
| 11 | 889.02 | 1224.84 |
| 12 | 903.13 | 1239.01 |
| 13 | 911.64 | 1257.11 |
| 14 | 925.76 | 1271.27 |
| 15 | 935.76 | 1288.59 |
| 16 | 949.89 | 1302.74 |
| 17 | 962.89 | 1317.94 |
| 18 | 976.92 | 1332.20 |
| 19 | 990.96 | 1346.44 |
| 20 | 1004.96 | 1360.72 |
| 21 | 1008.18 | 1380.00 |
| $* * *$ | 1.005 | $* * *$ |
|  |  |  |

## Northeast Landfill Cross Section B-B', PH12 WB




```
                    ** PCSTABL5M3 **
                by Purdue University 1985
    rev. for SCS Engineers HVA 2008
            --Slope Stability Analysis--
        Simplified Janbu, Simplified Bishop
            or Spencer`s Method of Slices
Run Date:
                        5/11/2023
Time of Run:
                        05:17PM
Run By:
Username
Input Data Filename
M:2023.4.13 nelf_xs_b_ph12 wb.in
M:2023.4.13 nelf_xs_b_ph12 wb.OUT
    ENGLISH
Output Filename:
Unit:
                            M:2023.4.13 nelf_xs_b_ph12 wb.PLT
Plotted Output Filename: M:2023.4.13 ne
                Cross Section B-B', PH12 WB
BOUNDARY COORDINATES
            Note: User origin value specified.
            Add 0.00 to X-values and 0.00 to Y-values listed.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 6 Top 19 Total & Boundaries
Boundaries & & & & \\
\hline Boundary & X-Left & Y-Left & X-Right & Y-Right & Soil Type \\
\hline No. & (ft) & (ft) & (ft) & (ft) & Below Bnd \\
\hline 1 & 341.50 & 1171.90 & 651.40 & 1174.70 & 3 \\
\hline 2 & 651.40 & 1174.70 & 663.40 & 1178.70 & 2 \\
\hline 3 & 663.40 & 1178.70 & 1596.50 & 1408.00 & 4 \\
\hline 4 & 1596.50 & 1408.00 & 1635.60 & 1408.00 & 4 \\
\hline 5 & 1635.60 & 1408.00 & 1735.70 & 1404.00 & 4 \\
\hline 6 & 1735.70 & 1404.00 & 1991.20 & 1340.20 & 4 \\
\hline 7 & 663.40 & 1178.70 & 807.10 & 1180.00 & 2 \\
\hline 8 & 807.10 & 1180.00 & 1218.60 & 1181.00 & 2 \\
\hline 9 & 1218.60 & 1181.00 & 1305.60 & 1206.00 & 2 \\
\hline 10 & 1305.60 & 1206.00 & 1310.60 & 1206.00 & 2 \\
\hline 11 & 1310.60 & 1206.00 & 1369.90 & 1186.00 & 2 \\
\hline 12 & 1369.90 & 1186.00 & 1991.20 & 1184.40 & 2 \\
\hline 13 & 651.40 & 1174.70 & 663.40 & 1178.40 & 3 \\
\hline 14 & 663.40 & 1178.40 & 807.10 & 1176.00 & 3 \\
\hline 15 & 807.10 & 1176.00 & 1218.60 & 1177.00 & 3 \\
\hline 16 & 1218.60 & 1177.00 & 1305.60 & 1202.00 & 3 \\
\hline 17 & 1305.60 & 1202.00 & 1310.60 & 1202.00 & 3 \\
\hline 18 & 1310.60 & 1202.00 & 1369.90 & 1182.00 & 3 \\
\hline 19 & 1369.90 & 1182.00 & 1991.20 & 1180.40 & 3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
ISOTROPIC SOIL PARAMETERS
    5 Type(s) of Soil
Soil Total Saturated Cohesion Friction Pore Pressure Piez.
Type Unit Wt. Unit Wt. Intercept Angle Pressure Constant Surface
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrr} 
No. & (pcf) & (pcf) & (psf) & (deg) & Param. & (psf) & No. \\
1 & 120.0 & 120.0 & 0.0 & 25.0 & 0.00 & 0.0 & 1 \\
2 & 120.0 & 120.0 & 200.0 & 20.0 & 0.00 & 0.0 & 1 \\
3 & 120.0 & 120.0 & 0.0 & 25.0 & 0.00 & 0.0 & 1 \\
4 & 70.0 & 70.0 & 400.0 & 35.0 & 0.00 & 0.0 & 1 \\
5 & 120.0 & 120.0 & 1800.0 & 0.0 & 0.00 & 0.0 & 1
\end{tabular}
1 PIEZOMETRIC SURFACE(S) HAVE BEEN SPECIFIED
Unit Weight of Water = 62.40
Piezometric Surface No. 1 Specified by 2 Coordinate Points
Point X-Water Y-Water
                No. (ft) (ft)
                    1 
                    2 1991.20 1176.50
A Critical Failure Surface Searching Method, Using A Random
Technique For Generating Sliding Block Surfaces, Has Been
Specified.
1000 Trial Surfaces Have Been Generated.
    8 Boxes Specified For Generation Of Central Block Base
    Length Of Line Segments For Active And Passive Portions Of
Sliding Block Is 20.0
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Box & X-Left & Y-Left & X-Right & Y-Right & Height \\
No. & \((\mathrm{ft})\) & \((\mathrm{ft})\) & \((\mathrm{ft})\) & \((\mathrm{ft})\) & \((\mathrm{ft})\) \\
1 & 663.40 & 1176.70 & 663.50 & 1176.70 & 4.00 \\
2 & 664.00 & 1176.70 & 806.50 & 1178.00 & 4.00
\end{tabular}
```

| 3 | 807.00 | 1178.00 | 807.10 | 1178.00 | 4.00 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 4 | 807.60 | 1178.00 | 1218.10 | 1179.00 | 4.00 |
| 5 | 1218.60 | 1179.00 | 1218.70 | 1179.00 | 4.00 |
| 6 | 1219.20 | 1179.00 | 1305.10 | 1204.00 | 4.00 |
| 7 | 1305.60 | 1204.00 | 1305.70 | 1204.00 | 4.00 |
| 8 | 1306.10 | 1204.00 | 1310.10 | 1204.00 | 4.00 |

Following Are Displayed The Ten Most Critical Of The Trial Failure Surfaces Examined. They Are Ordered - Most Critical First.

*     * Safety Factors Are Calculated By The Modified Janbu Method * * Failure Surface Specified By 20 Coordinate Points Point X-Surf Y-Surf

| Point | X-Surf <br> No. | (ft) <br> (ft) |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 658.70 | 1177.13 |
| 2 | 663.42 | 1176.33 |
| 3 | 678.36 | 1177.16 |
| 4 | 807.03 | 1177.38 |
| 5 | 1215.77 | 1179.16 |
| 6 | 1218.62 | 1180.06 |
| 7 | 1278.02 | 1195.56 |
| 8 | 1305.68 | 1203.68 |
| 9 | 1309.79 | 1205.16 |
| 10 | 1323.89 | 1219.34 |
| 11 | 1335.54 | 1235.60 |
| 12 | 1345.54 | 1252.92 |
| 13 | 1359.57 | 1267.17 |
| 14 | 1371.47 | 1283.25 |
| 15 | 1385.59 | 1297.41 |
| 16 | 1397.02 | 1313.82 |
| 17 | 1410.53 | 1328.57 |
| 18 | 1423.69 | 1343.63 |
| 19 | 1435.79 | 1359.55 |
| 20 | 1446.42 | 1371.12 |
|  | $* * *$ | 2.290 |$* * *$


|  |  | Individua | on th Water Force | Water Force | $\begin{gathered} \text { slices } \\ \text { Tie } \\ \text { Force } \end{gathered}$ | Tie Force | Eart | ake <br> e S | harge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Slice | e Width | Weight | Top | Bot | Norm | Tan | Hor | Ver | Load |
| No. | (ft) | (lbs) | (lbs) | (lbs) | (lbs) | (lbs) | (lbs) | (lbs) | (lbs) |
| 1 | 0.4 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2 | 4.3 | 661.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 3 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 4 | 14.9 | 5479.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5 | 53.9 | 49572.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 6 | 74.8 | 152657.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 7 | 0.1 | 195.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 8 | 408.7 | 2504456.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 9 | 2.8 | 26976.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10 | 0.0 | 213.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11 | 59.4 | 564889.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 12 | 27.6 | 261108.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 13 | 0.1 | 795.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 14 | 4.1 | 38420.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 15 | 0.8 | 7522.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 16 | 0.0 | 175.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 17 | 13.3 | 117707.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 18 | 11.7 | 93774.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 19 | 10.0 | 70565.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 14.0 | 86432.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 21 | 11.9 | 63318.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 22 | 14.1 | 63395.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 23 | 11.4 | 41565.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 24 | 13.5 | 37304.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 25 | 13.2 | 25622.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 26 | 12.1 | 13073.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 27 | 10.6 | 3332.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Failure Surface Specified By 20 Coordinate Point <br> Point X-Surf Y-Surf <br> No. $(\mathrm{ft})$ $(\mathrm{ft})$ <br> 1 658.70 1177.13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

```
        663.42 1176.33
        678.36 1177.16
        807.03 1177.38
        1215.77 1179.16
        1218.62 1180.06
        1278.02 1195.56
        1305.68 1203.68
        1309.79 1205.16
        1323.89 1219.34
        1335.54 1235.60
        1345.54 1252.92
        1359.57 1267.17
        1371.47 1283.25
        1385.59 1297.41
        1397.02 1313.82
        1410.53 1328.57
        1423.69 1343.63
        1435.79 1359.55
        1446.42
            2.290 ***
Failure Surface Specified By 20 Coordinate Points
    Point X-Surf Y-Surf
        (ft) (ft)
        658.70 1177.13
        663.42 1176.33
        678.36 1177.16
        807.03 1177.38
        1215.77 1179.16
        1218.62 1180.06
        1278.02 1195.56
        1305.68 1203.68
        1309.79 1205.16
        1323.89 1219.34
        1335.54 1235.60
        1345.54 1252.92
        1359.57 1267.17
        1371.47 1283.25
        1385.59 1297.41
        1397.02 1313.82
        1410.53 1328.57
        1423.69 1343.63
        1435.79 1359.55
        1446.42 *** 1371.12
        2.290 ***
Failure Surface Specified By 20 Coordinate Points
    Point X-Surf Y-Surf
        No
            (ft) (ft)
                                658.70 1177.13
                        663.42 1176.33
                                678.36 1177.16
                                807.03 1177.38
                                1215.77 1179.16
                        1218.62 1180.06
                        1278.02 1195.56
                        1305.68 1203.68
                        1309.79 1205.16
                        1323.89 1219.34
                        1335.54 1235.60
                        1345.54 1252.92
                        1359.57 1267.17
                        1371.47 1283.25
                        1385.59 1297.41
                        1397.02 1313.82
                        1410.53 1328.57
                                1423.69 1343.63
                        1435.79 1359.55
                        1446.42 * 1371.12
Failure Surface Specified By 20 Coordinate Points
    Point X-Surf Y-Surf
```

| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 658.70 | 1177.13 |  |
| 2 | 663.42 | 1176.33 |  |
| 3 | 678.36 | 1177.16 |  |
| 4 | 807.03 | 1177.38 |  |
| 5 | 1215.77 | 1179.16 |  |
| 6 | 1218.62 | 1180.06 |  |
| 7 | 1278.02 | 1195.56 |  |
| 8 | 1305.68 | 1203.68 |  |
| 9 | 1309.79 | 1205.16 |  |
| 10 | 1323.89 | 1219.34 |  |
| 11 | 1335.54 | 1235.60 |  |
| 12 | 1345.54 | 1252.92 |  |
| 13 | 1359.57 | 1267.17 |  |
| 14 | 1371.47 | 1283.25 |  |
| 15 | 1385.59 | 1297.41 |  |
| 16 | 1397.02 | 1313.82 |  |
| 17 | 1410.53 | 1328.57 |  |
| 18 | 1423.69 | 1343.63 |  |
| 19 | 1435.79 | 1359.55 |  |
| 20 | 1446.42 | 1371.12 |  |
| *** | 2.290 |  |  |
| Failure Surface Specified By 20 Coordinate Points |  |  |  |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 662.10 | 1178.27 |  |
| 2 | 663.50 | 1176.87 |  |
| 3 | 695.96 | 1178.05 |  |
| 4 | 807.07 | 1177.44 |  |
| 5 | 1154.23 | 1178.53 |  |
| 6 | 1218.69 | 1180.16 |  |
| 7 | 1295.34 | 1199.40 |  |
| 8 | 1305.65 | 1204.31 |  |
| 9 | 1306.50 | 1203.74 |  |
| 10 | 1317.93 | 1220.15 |  |
| 11 | 1331.44 | 1234.90 |  |
| 12 | 1344.60 | 1249.96 |  |
| 13 | 1356.70 | 1265.88 |  |
| 14 | 1370.23 | 1280.61 |  |
| 15 | 1384.35 | 1294.77 |  |
| 16 | 1396.75 | 1310.47 |  |
| 17 | 1409.55 | 1325.83 |  |
| 18 | 1419.22 | 1343.34 |  |
| 19 | 1432.51 | 1358.29 |  |
| 20 | 1440.60 | 1369.69 |  |
| *** | 2.292 | * |  |
| Failure Surface Specified By 20 Coordinate Points |  |  |  |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 655.33 | 1176.01 |  |
| 2 | 663.47 | 1175.96 |  |
| 3 | 720.49 | 1177.51 |  |
| 4 | 807.09 | 1177.62 |  |
| 5 | 867.31 | 1176.26 |  |
| 6 | 1218.61 | 1178.66 |  |
| 7 | 1251.74 | 1187.60 |  |
| 8 | 1305.63 | 1202.39 |  |
| 9 | 1309.49 | 1202.33 |  |
| 10 | 1323.16 | 1216.92 |  |
| 11 | 1336.28 | 1232.02 |  |
| 12 | 1350.06 | 1246.51 |  |
| 13 | 1364.10 | 1260.76 |  |
| 14 | 1375.48 | 1277.21 |  |
| 15 | 1386.78 | 1293.71 |  |
| 16 | 1399.72 | 1308.96 |  |
| 17 | 1412.77 | 1324.11 |  |
| 18 | 1421.02 | 1342.33 |  |
| 19 | 1434.89 | 1356.74 |  |
| 20 | 1448.17 | 1371.55 |  |
|  | 2.293 |  |  |


| Failure | Surface Spec | By 20 | Coordinate Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 655.33 | 1176.01 |  |
| 2 | 663.47 | 1175.96 |  |
| 3 | 720.49 | 1177.51 |  |
| 4 | 807.09 | 1177.62 |  |
| 5 | 867.31 | 1176.26 |  |
| 6 | 1218.61 | 1178.66 |  |
| 7 | 1251.74 | 1187.60 |  |
| 8 | 1305.63 | 1202.39 |  |
| 9 | 1309.49 | 1202.33 |  |
| 10 | 1323.16 | 1216.92 |  |
| 11 | 1336.28 | 1232.02 |  |
| 12 | 1350.06 | 1246.51 |  |
| 13 | 1364.10 | 1260.76 |  |
| 14 | 1375.48 | 1277.21 |  |
| 15 | 1386.78 | 1293.71 |  |
| 16 | 1399.72 | 1308.96 |  |
| 17 | 1412.77 | 1324.11 |  |
| 18 | 1421.02 | 1342.33 |  |
| 19 | 1434.89 | 1356.74 |  |
| 20 | 1448.17 | 1371.55 |  |
|  | 2.293 |  |  |
| Failure | Surface Spec | d By 20 | Coordinate Points |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 655.33 | 1176.01 |  |
| 2 | 663.47 | 1175.96 |  |
| 3 | 720.49 | 1177.51 |  |
| 4 | 807.09 | 1177.62 |  |
| 5 | 867.31 | 1176.26 |  |
| 6 | 1218.61 | 1178.66 |  |
| 7 | 1251.74 | 1187.60 |  |
| 8 | 1305.63 | 1202.39 |  |
| 9 | 1309.49 | 1202.33 |  |
| 10 | 1323.16 | 1216.92 |  |
| 11 | 1336.28 | 1232.02 |  |
| 12 | 1350.06 | 1246.51 |  |
| 13 | 1364.10 | 1260.76 |  |
| 14 | 1375.48 | 1277.21 |  |
| 15 | 1386.78 | 1293.71 |  |
| 16 | 1399.72 | 1308.96 |  |
| 17 | 1412.77 | 1324.11 |  |
| 18 | 1421.02 | 1342.33 |  |
| 19 | 1434.89 | 1356.74 |  |
| 20 | 1448.17 | 1371.55 |  |
| ** | 2.293 |  |  |
| Failure | Surface Spec | d By 20 | Coordinate Points |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 655.33 | 1176.01 |  |
| 2 | 663.47 | 1175.96 |  |
| 3 | 720.49 | 1177.51 |  |
| 4 | 807.09 | 1177.62 |  |
| 5 | 867.31 | 1176.26 |  |
| 6 | 1218.61 | 1178.66 |  |
| 7 | 1251.74 | 1187.60 |  |
| 8 | 1305.63 | 1202.39 |  |
| 9 | 1309.49 | 1202.33 |  |
| 10 | 1323.16 | 1216.92 |  |
| 11 | 1336.28 | 1232.02 |  |
| 12 | 1350.06 | 1246.51 |  |
| 13 | 1364.10 | 1260.76 |  |
| 14 | 1375.48 | 1277.21 |  |
| 15 | 1386.78 | 1293.71 |  |
| 16 | 1399.72 | 1308.96 |  |
| 17 | 1412.77 | 1324.11 |  |
| 18 | 1421.02 | 1342.33 |  |
| 19 | 1434.89 | 1356.74 |  |

## Northeast Landfill Cross Section A, Circular




```
                    ** PCSTABL5M3 **
                by Purdue University 1985
            rev. for SCS Engineers HVA 2008
            --Slope Stability Analysis--
        Simplified Janbu, Simplified Bishop
            or Spencer`s Method of Slices
Run Date:
Time of Run:
                5/11/2023
                    05:29PM
Run By:
Username
Input Data Filename: M:2023.4.13 nelf_xs_a_circ.in
Output Filename:
M:2023.4.13 nelf_xs_a_circ.OUT
Unit:
                                    ENGLISH
Plotted Output Filename: M:2023.4.13 nelf_xs_a_circ.PLT
PROBLEM DESCRIPTION Northeast Landfill
                        Cross Section A, Circular
BOUNDARY COORDINATES
            Note: User origin value specified.
            Add 0.00 to X-values and 0.00 to Y-values listed.
        6 ~ T o p ~ B o u n d a r i e s ~
        21 Total Boundaries
\begin{tabular}{crcrcc}
\begin{tabular}{c} 
Boundary \\
No.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{r} 
X-Left \\
\((\mathrm{ft})\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Y-Left \\
\((\mathrm{ft})\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
X-Right \\
\((\mathrm{ft})\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Y-Right \\
\((\mathrm{ft})\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Soil Type \\
Below Bnd
\end{tabular} \\
1 & 0.00 & 1212.00 & 154.70 & 1210.50 & 3 \\
2 & 154.70 & 1210.50 & 928.90 & 1404.00 & 2 \\
3 & 928.90 & 1404.00 & 1029.10 & 1408.00 & 2 \\
4 & 1029.10 & 1408.00 & 1043.80 & 1408.00 & 2 \\
5 & 1043.80 & 1408.00 & 1144.10 & 1404.00 & 2 \\
6 & 1144.10 & 1404.00 & 1603.40 & 1289.90 & 2 \\
7 & 154.70 & 1210.50 & 160.70 & 1210.50 & 2 \\
8 & 160.70 & 1210.50 & 162.30 & 1209.40 & 2 \\
9 & 162.30 & 1209.40 & 928.90 & 1401.00 & 4 \\
10 & 928.90 & 1401.00 & 1029.10 & 1405.00 & 4 \\
11 & 1029.10 & 1405.00 & 1043.80 & 1405.00 & 4 \\
12 & 1043.80 & 1405.00 & 1144.10 & 1401.00 & 4 \\
13 & 1144.10 & 1401.00 & 1603.40 & 1286.90 & 4 \\
14 & 162.30 & 1209.40 & 261.10 & 1179.40 & 2 \\
15 & 261.10 & 1179.40 & 579.00 & 1182.30 & 2 \\
16 & 579.00 & 1182.30 & 1386.40 & 1182.10 & 2 \\
17 & 1386.40 & 1182.10 & 1603.40 & 1184.20 & 2 \\
18 & 154.70 & 1210.50 & 261.10 & 1175.40 & 1 \\
19 & 261.10 & 1175.40 & 579.00 & 1178.30 & 1 \\
20 & 579.00 & 1178.30 & 1386.40 & 1178.10 & 1 \\
21 & 1386.40 & 1178.10 & 1603.40 & 1180.20 & 1
\end{tabular}
ISOTROPIC SOIL PARAMETERS
    5 Type(s) of Soil
    Soil Total Saturated Cohesion Friction Pore Pressure Piez.
    Type Unit Wt. Unit Wt. Intercept Angle Pressure Constant Surface
        No. (pcf) (pcf) (psf) (deg) Param. (psf) No.
        1
        2
```



```
        5 120.0 120.0 120.0 1800.0 
    1 PIEZOMETRIC SURFACE(S) HAVE BEEN SPECIFIED
    Unit Weight of Water = 62.40
    Piezometric Surface No. 1 Specified by 2 Coordinate Points
        Point X-Water Y-Water
            No.
            1 %r.50
    A Critical Failure Surface Searching Method, Using A Random
    Technique For Generating Circular Surfaces, Has Been Specified.
10000 Trial Surfaces Have Been Generated.
    100 Surfaces Initiate From Each Of100 Points Equally Spaced
    Along The Ground Surface Between X = 100.00 ft.
        and }X=310.00 ft
    Each Surface Terminates Between X = 541.50 ft.
        and X =1078.00 ft.
    Unless Further Limitations Were Imposed, The Minimum Elevation
```

At Which A Surface Extends Is $Y=0.00 \mathrm{ft}$.
15.00 ft . Line Segments Define Each Trial Failure Surface.

Following Are Displayed The Ten Most Critical Of The Trial Failure Surfaces Examined. They Are Ordered - Most Critical First.

*     * Safety Factors Are Calculated By The Modified Bishop Method * *

Failure Surface Specified By 62 Coordinate Points
Point X-Surf Y-Surf

No

| 1 | 155.15 | 1210.61 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

$3 \quad 182.43 \quad 1198.14$

| 4 | 196.28 | 1192.37 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 5 | 210.25 | 1186.91 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | 224.34 | 1181.78 |

$6 \quad 224.34 \quad 1181.78$

| 7 | 238.55 | 1176.96 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 8 | 252.86 | 1172.47 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 9 | 267.27 | 1168.31 |

$10 \quad 281.78 \quad 1164.47$

| 11 | 296.36 | 1160.97 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 12 | 311.02 | 1157.80 |

13
14 16
17
18
$311.02 \quad 1157.80$
$325.75 \quad 1154.96$
$340.54 \quad 1152.45$
$355.38 \quad 1150.29$
$370.27 \quad 1148.46$
$385.20 \quad 1146.97$
$400.15 \quad 1145.82$
$415.13 \quad 1145.01$
$430.12 \quad 1144.54$
$445.12 \quad 1144.41$
$460.12 \quad 1144.62$
$475.11 \quad 1145.17$
$490.08 \quad 1146.06$
$505.03 \quad 1147.30$
$519.95 \quad 1148.87$
$534.83 \quad 1150.78$
$549.66 \quad 1153.03$
$564.44 \quad 1155.61$
$579.15 \quad 1158.53$
$593.79 \quad 1161.78$
$608.36 \quad 1165.37$
$622.84 \quad 1169.28$
$637.22 \quad 1173.52$
$651.51 \quad 1178.09$
$665.69 \quad 1182.98$
$679.76 \quad 1188.19$
$693.70 \quad 1193.73$
$707.51 \quad 1199.57$
$721.19 \quad 1205.73$
$734.73 \quad 1212.20$
$748.11 \quad 1218.97$
$761.34 \quad 1226.05$
$774.40 \quad 1233.42$
$787.29 \quad 1241.09$
$800.00 \quad 1249.05$
$812.53 \quad 1257.30$
824.871265 .82
$837.01 \quad 1274.63$
$848.95 \quad 1283.71$
$860.68 \quad 1293.06$
$872.20 \quad 1302.68$
$883.49 \quad 1312.55$
$894.56 \quad 1322.68$
$905.39 \quad 1333.05$
$915.98 \quad 1343.67$
$926.33 \quad 1354.53$
$\begin{array}{ll}936.43 & 1365.62 \\ 946.28 & 1376.93\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}955.87 & 1388.47 \\ 965.19 & 1400.22\end{array}$






| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 161.52 | 1212.20 |  |
| 2 | 174.94 | 1205.51 |  |
| 3 | 188.51 | 1199.12 |  |
| 4 | 202.23 | 1193.05 |  |
| 5 | 216.08 | 1187.30 |  |
| 6 | 230.06 | 1181.87 |  |
| 7 | 244.17 | 1176.76 |  |
| 8 | 258.39 | 1171.98 |  |
| 9 | 272.71 | 1167.53 |  |
| 10 | 287.13 | 1163.41 |  |
| 11 | 301.65 | 1159.63 |  |
| 12 | 316.25 | 1156.18 |  |
| 13 | 330.92 | 1153.06 |  |
| 14 | 345.66 | 1150.29 |  |
| 15 | 360.46 | 1147.86 |  |
| 16 | 375.32 | 1145.77 |  |
| 17 | 390.21 | 1144.02 |  |
| 18 | 405.15 | 1142.62 |  |
| 19 | 420.11 | 1141.57 |  |
| 20 | 435.09 | 1140.85 |  |
| 21 | 450.09 | 1140.49 |  |
| 22 | 465.09 | 1140.47 |  |
| 23 | 480.09 | 1140.79 |  |
| 24 | 495.07 | 1141.47 |  |
| 25 | 510.04 | 1142.48 |  |
| 26 | 524.97 | 1143.85 |  |
| 27 | 539.88 | 1145.55 |  |
| 28 | 554.74 | 1147.60 |  |
| 29 | 569.54 | 1150.00 |  |
| 30 | 584.29 | 1152.73 |  |
| 31 | 598.98 | 1155.80 |  |
| 32 | 613.58 | 1159.21 |  |
| 33 | 628.11 | 1162.96 |  |
| 34 | 642.54 | 1167.04 |  |
| 35 | 656.88 | 1171.45 |  |
| 36 | 671.11 | 1176.20 |  |
| 37 | 685.22 | 1181.27 |  |
| 38 | 699.22 | 1186.66 |  |
| 39 | 713.09 | 1192.38 |  |
| 40 | 726.82 | 1198.41 |  |
| 41 | 740.41 | 1204.76 |  |
| 42 | 753.85 | 1211.42 |  |
| 43 | 767.14 | 1218.39 |  |
| 44 | 780.25 | 1225.66 |  |
| 45 | 793.20 | 1233.24 |  |
| 46 | 805.97 | 1241.11 |  |
| 47 | 818.55 | 1249.27 |  |
| 48 | 830.95 | 1257.72 |  |
| 49 | 843.14 | 1266.46 |  |
| 50 | 855.13 | 1275.47 |  |
| 51 | 866.91 | 1284.76 |  |
| 52 | 878.47 | 1294.32 |  |
| 53 | 889.80 | 1304.14 |  |
| 54 | 900.91 | 1314.22 |  |
| 55 | 911.78 | 1324.56 |  |
| 56 | 922.41 | 1335.14 |  |
| 57 | 932.80 | 1345.96 |  |
| 58 | 942.93 | 1357.03 |  |
| 59 | 952.80 | 1368.32 |  |
| 60 | 962.41 | 1379.84 |  |
| 61 | 971.75 | 1391.57 |  |
| 62 | 980.82 | 1403.52 |  |
| 63 | 982.72 | 1406.15 |  |
| Circle $\underset{* * *}{\text { Center At }} \underset{2}{\mathrm{X}}=458.5$; $\mathrm{Y}=1790.6$ and Radius, 650.1 |  |  |  |
| Failure Surface Specified By 64 Coordinate Points |  |  |  |
| Poin | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 142.42 | 1210.62 |  |






## Northeast Landfill Cross Section A-A', WB




```
                    ** PCSTABL5M3 **
                by Purdue University 1985
            rev. for SCS Engineers HVA 2008
            --Slope Stability Analysis--
        Simplified Janbu, Simplified Bishop
            or Spencer`s Method of Slices
Run Date:
Time of Run:
                5/11/2023
                    05:33PM
Run By:
Username
Input Data Filename: M:2023.4.13 nelf_xs_a_wb.in
Output Filename: M:2023.4.13 nelf_xs_a_wb.OUT
Unit:
ENGLISH
Plotted Output Filename: M:2023.4.13 nelf_xs_a_wb.PLT
PROBLEM DESCRIPTION Northeast Landfill
                                    Cross Section A-A', WB
BOUNDARY COORDINATES
            Note: User origin value specified.
            Add 0.00 to X-values and 0.00 to Y-values listed.
        6 Top Boundaries
        21 Total Boundaries
\begin{tabular}{crcrcc}
\begin{tabular}{c} 
Boundary \\
No.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{r} 
X-Left \\
\((\mathrm{ft})\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Y-Left \\
\((\mathrm{ft})\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
X-Right \\
\((\mathrm{ft})\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Y-Right \\
\((\mathrm{ft})\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Soil Type \\
Below Bnd
\end{tabular} \\
1 & 0.00 & 1212.00 & 154.70 & 1210.50 & 3 \\
2 & 154.70 & 1210.50 & 928.90 & 1404.00 & 2 \\
3 & 928.90 & 1404.00 & 1029.10 & 1408.00 & 2 \\
4 & 1029.10 & 1408.00 & 1043.80 & 1408.00 & 2 \\
5 & 1043.80 & 1408.00 & 1144.10 & 1404.00 & 2 \\
6 & 1144.10 & 1404.00 & 1603.40 & 1289.90 & 2 \\
7 & 154.70 & 1210.50 & 160.70 & 1210.50 & 2 \\
8 & 160.70 & 1210.50 & 162.30 & 1209.40 & 2 \\
9 & 162.30 & 1209.40 & 928.90 & 1401.00 & 4 \\
10 & 928.90 & 1401.00 & 1029.10 & 1405.00 & 4 \\
11 & 1029.10 & 1405.00 & 1043.80 & 1405.00 & 4 \\
12 & 1043.80 & 1405.00 & 1144.10 & 1401.00 & 4 \\
13 & 1144.10 & 1401.00 & 1603.40 & 1286.90 & 4 \\
14 & 162.30 & 1209.40 & 261.10 & 1179.40 & 2 \\
15 & 261.10 & 1179.40 & 579.00 & 1182.30 & 2 \\
16 & 579.00 & 1182.30 & 1386.40 & 1182.10 & 2 \\
17 & 1386.40 & 1182.10 & 1603.40 & 1184.20 & 2 \\
18 & 154.70 & 1210.50 & 261.10 & 1175.40 & 1 \\
19 & 261.10 & 1175.40 & 579.00 & 1178.30 & 1 \\
20 & 579.00 & 1178.30 & 1386.40 & 1178.10 & 1 \\
21 & 1386.40 & 1178.10 & 1603.40 & 1180.20 & 1
\end{tabular}
ISOTROPIC SOIL PARAMETERS
    5 Type(s) of Soil
Soil Total Saturated Cohesion Friction Pore Pressure Piez.
Type Unit Wt. Unit Wt. Intercept Angle Pressure Constant Surface
    No. (pcf) (pcf) (psf) (deg) Param. (psf) No.
```



```
        2 120.0 120.0 200.0
        3 120.0
        5 rrrrrrr
    1 PIEZOMETRIC SURFACE(S) HAVE BEEN SPECIFIED
    Unit Weight of Water = 62.40
    Piezometric Surface No. 1 Specified by 2 Coordinate Points
        Point X-Water Y-Water
            No. (ft) (ft)
            1 88.50 1168.30
            2 1603.40 1177.60
A Critical Failure Surface Searching Method, Using A Random
Technique For Generating Sliding Block Surfaces, Has Been
Specified.
1000 Trial Surfaces Have Been Generated.
    4 \text { Boxes Specified For Generation Of Central Block Base}
    Length Of Line Segments For Active And Passive Portions Of
Sliding Block Is 20.0
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Box & X-Left & Y-Left & X-Right & Y-Right & Height \\
No. & \((f t)\) & \((f t)\) & \((f t)\) & \((f t)\) & \((f t)\)
\end{tabular}
```

| 1 | 261.10 | 1177.40 | 261.20 | 1177.40 | 4.00 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 261.70 | 1177.40 | 579.00 | 1180.30 | 4.00 |
| 3 | 579.50 | 1180.30 | 579.60 | 1180.30 | 4.00 |
| 4 | 580.10 | 1180.30 | 1386.40 | 1180.10 | 4.00 |

Following Are Displayed The Ten Most Critical Of The Trial Failure Surfaces Examined. They Are Ordered - Most Critical First.

*     * Safety Factors Are Calculated By The Modified Janbu Method * *
Failure Surface Specified By 23 Coordinate Points

| Point <br> No. | X-Surf <br> $(\mathrm{ft})$ | Y-Surf <br> $(\mathrm{ft})$ |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1 | 174.52 | 1215.45 |
| 2 | 187.03 | 1203.80 |
| 3 | 203.14 | 1191.94 |
| 4 | 221.93 | 1185.09 |
| 5 | 241.80 | 1182.84 |
| 6 | 261.14 | 1177.73 |
| 7 | 535.83 | 1179.10 |
| 8 | 579.55 | 1180.60 |
| 9 | 756.23 | 1178.26 |
| 10 | 768.63 | 1193.95 |
| 11 | 782.36 | 1208.50 |
| 12 | 794.99 | 1224.01 |
| 13 | 807.22 | 1239.84 |
| 14 | 814.92 | 1258.29 |
| 15 | 827.94 | 1273.48 |
| 16 | 838.85 | 1290.24 |
| 17 | 852.77 | 1304.60 |
| 18 | 861.84 | 1322.43 |
| 19 | 866.03 | 1341.98 |
| 20 | 874.71 | 1360.00 |
| 21 | 888.51 | 1374.48 |
| 22 | 890.88 | 1394.33 |
| 23 | 890.99 | 1394.53 |


|  |  | Individua | on ther Water Force | Water Force | slices <br> Tie <br> Force | Tie Force | Earthquake Force |  | charge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Slice | e Width | Weight | Top | Bot | Norm | Tan | Hor | Ver | Load |
| No. | (ft) | (lbs) | (lbs) | (lbs) | (lbs) | (lbs) | (lbs) | (lbs) | (lbs) |
| 1 | 2.5 | 457.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2 | 10.0 | 7706.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 3 | 4.4 | 5903.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 4 | 5.3 | 9264.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5 | 6.3 | 14347.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 6 | 18.8 | 56031.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 7 | 14.9 | 52757.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 8 | 4.9 | 18252.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 9 | 19.3 | 78330.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10 | 0.0 | 185.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11 | 274.7 | 1860341.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 12 | 43.2 | 408143.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 13 | 0.5 | 5340.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 141 | 176.7 | 2022270.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 15 | 3.2 | 40755.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 16 | 9.2 | 114458.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 17 | 13.7 | 160001.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 18 | 12.6 | 136980.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 19 | 12.2 | 121739.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 7.7 | 68849.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 21 | 13.0 | 103285.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 22 | 10.9 | 76737.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 23 | 13.9 | 85708.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 24 | 9.1 | 47429.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 25 | 4.2 | 16921.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 26 | 8.7 | 24638.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 27 | 13.8 | 26163.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 28 | 2.0 | 1888.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 29 | 0.3 | 66.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Failure Surface Specified By 22 Coordinate Points

| Point <br> No. | X-Surf <br> (ft) | Y-Surf <br> (ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 209.10 | 1224.10 |
| 2 | 216.28 | 1217.10 |
| 3 | 231.72 | 1204.40 |
| 4 | 246.95 | 1191.43 |
| 5 | 261.18 | 1177.37 |
| 6 | 475.56 | 1177.86 |
| 7 | 579.56 | 1179.46 |
| 8 | 773.16 | 1179.54 |
| 9 | 787.30 | 1193.68 |
| 10 | 800.15 | 1209.01 |
| 11 | 806.65 | 1227.92 |
| 12 | 819.84 | 1242.96 |
| 13 | 833.88 | 1257.20 |
| 14 | 847.95 | 1271.41 |
| 15 | 861.56 | 1286.07 |
| 16 | 871.81 | 1303.24 |
| 17 | 885.83 | 1317.50 |
| 18 | 898.82 | 1332.71 |
| 19 | 910.31 | 1349.08 |
| 20 | 918.25 | 1367.44 |
| 21 | 924.17 | 1386.54 |
| 22 | 929.63 | 1404.03 |

Failure Surface Specified By 23 Coordinate Points
Point X-Surf Y-Surf
No. (ft) (ft)
190.29 1219.39
$194.14 \quad 1215.72$
$214.13 \quad 1214.99$
$228.28 \quad 1200.86$
$243.48 \quad 1187.86$
$261.11 \quad 1178.42$
$358.28 \quad 1179.76$
$579.58 \quad 1182.22$
$746.00 \quad 1178.98$
$756.99 \quad 1195.69$
$767.77 \quad 1212.53$
$781.91 \quad 1226.68$
$795.10 \quad 1241.72$
$808.11 \quad 1256.90$
$819.94 \quad 1273.03$
$834.07 \quad 1287.18$
$841.90 \quad 1305.58$
$853.32 \quad 1322.01$
$864.02 \quad 1338.90$
$870.30 \quad 1357.89$
$884.17 \quad 1372.29$
$890.95 \quad 1391.11$
$893.45 \quad 1395.14$
2.673 ***

Failure Surface Specified By 23 Coordinate Points Point X-Surf Y-Surf

| Point <br> No. | X-Surf <br> $(\mathrm{ft})$ | $(\mathrm{ft})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 190.29 | 1219.39 |
| 2 | 194.14 | 1215.72 |
| 3 | 214.13 | 1214.99 |
| 4 | 228.28 | 1200.86 |
| 5 | 243.48 | 1187.86 |
| 6 | 261.11 | 1178.42 |
| 7 | 358.28 | 1179.76 |
| 8 | 579.58 | 1182.22 |
| 9 | 746.00 | 1178.98 |
| 10 | 756.99 | 1195.69 |
| 11 | 767.77 | 1212.53 |
| 12 | 781.91 | 1226.68 |
| 13 | 795.10 | 1241.72 |
| 14 | 808.11 | 1256.90 |


| 15 | 819.94 | 1273.03 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | 834.07 | 1287.18 |  |
| 17 | 841.90 | 1305.58 |  |
| 18 | 853.32 | 1322.01 |  |
| 19 | 864.02 | 1338.90 |  |
| 20 | 870.30 | 1357.89 |  |
| 21 | 884.17 | 1372.29 |  |
| 22 | 890.95 | 1391.11 |  |
| 23 | 893.45 | 1395.14 |  |
| *** | 2.673 |  |  |
| Failure Surface Specified By 23 Coordinate Points |  |  |  |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 190.29 | 1219.39 |  |
| 2 | 194.14 | 1215.72 |  |
| 3 | 214.13 | 1214.99 |  |
| 4 | 228.28 | 1200.86 |  |
| 5 | 243.48 | 1187.86 |  |
| 6 | 261.11 | 1178.42 |  |
| 7 | 358.28 | 1179.76 |  |
| 8 | 579.58 | 1182.22 |  |
| 9 | 746.00 | 1178.98 |  |
| 10 | 756.99 | 1195.69 |  |
| 11 | 767.77 | 1212.53 |  |
| 12 | 781.91 | 1226.68 |  |
| 13 | 795.10 | 1241.72 |  |
| 14 | 808.11 | 1256.90 |  |
| 15 | 819.94 | 1273.03 |  |
| 16 | 834.07 | 1287.18 |  |
| 17 | 841.90 | 1305.58 |  |
| 18 | 853.32 | 1322.01 |  |
| 19 | 864.02 | 1338.90 |  |
| 20 | 870.30 | 1357.89 |  |
| 21 | 884.17 | 1372.29 |  |
| 22 | 890.95 | 1391.11 |  |
| 23 | 893.45 | 1395.14 |  |
| *** | 2.673 | * |  |
| Failure Surface Specified By 23 Coordinate Points |  |  |  |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 190.29 | 1219.39 |  |
| 2 | 194.14 | 1215.72 |  |
| 3 | 214.13 | 1214.99 |  |
| 4 | 228.28 | 1200.86 |  |
| 5 | 243.48 | 1187.86 |  |
| 6 | 261.11 | 1178.42 |  |
| 7 | 358.28 | 1179.76 |  |
| 8 | 579.58 | 1182.22 |  |
| 9 | 746.00 | 1178.98 |  |
| 10 | 756.99 | 1195.69 |  |
| 11 | 767.77 | 1212.53 |  |
| 12 | 781.91 | 1226.68 |  |
| 13 | 795.10 | 1241.72 |  |
| 14 | 808.11 | 1256.90 |  |
| 15 | 819.94 | 1273.03 |  |
| 16 | 834.07 | 1287.18 |  |
| 17 | 841.90 | 1305.58 |  |
| 18 | 853.32 | 1322.01 |  |
| 19 | 864.02 | 1338.90 |  |
| 20 | 870.30 | 1357.89 |  |
| 21 | 884.17 | 1372.29 |  |
| 22 | 890.95 | 1391.11 |  |
| 23 | 893.45 | 1395.14 |  |
| *** | 2.673 |  |  |
| Failure Surface Specified By 23 Coordinate Points |  |  |  |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No.12 | (ft) | (ft) |  |
|  | 190.29 | 1219.39 |  |
|  | 194.14 | 1215.72 |  |
| 2 3 | 214.13 | 1214.99 |  |


| 4 | 228.28 | 1200.86 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 243.48 | 1187.86 |  |
| 6 | 261.11 | 1178.42 |  |
| 7 | 358.28 | 1179.76 |  |
| 8 | 579.58 | 1182.22 |  |
| 9 | 746.00 | 1178.98 |  |
| 10 | 756.99 | 1195.69 |  |
| 11 | 767.77 | 1212.53 |  |
| 12 | 781.91 | 1226.68 |  |
| 13 | 795.10 | 1241.72 |  |
| 14 | 808.11 | 1256.90 |  |
| 15 | 819.94 | 1273.03 |  |
| 16 | 834.07 | 1287.18 |  |
| 17 | 841.90 | 1305.58 |  |
| 18 | 853.32 | 1322.01 |  |
| 19 | 864.02 | 1338.90 |  |
| 20 | 870.30 | 1357.89 |  |
| 21 | 884.17 | 1372.29 |  |
| 22 | 890.95 | 1391.11 |  |
| 23 | 893.45 | 1395.14 |  |
| *** | 2.673 |  |  |
| Failure Su | ce Spec | d By 23 | Points |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 190.29 | 1219.39 |  |
| 2 | 194.14 | 1215.72 |  |
| 3 | 214.13 | 1214.99 |  |
| 4 | 228.28 | 1200.86 |  |
| 5 | 243.48 | 1187.86 |  |
| 6 | 261.11 | 1178.42 |  |
| 7 | 358.28 | 1179.76 |  |
| 8 | 579.58 | 1182.22 |  |
| 9 | 746.00 | 1178.98 |  |
| 10 | 756.99 | 1195.69 |  |
| 11 | 767.77 | 1212.53 |  |
| 12 | 781.91 | 1226.68 |  |
| 13 | 795.10 | 1241.72 |  |
| 14 | 808.11 | 1256.90 |  |
| 15 | 819.94 | 1273.03 |  |
| 16 | 834.07 | 1287.18 |  |
| 17 | 841.90 | 1305.58 |  |
| 18 | 853.32 | 1322.01 |  |
| 19 | 864.02 | 1338.90 |  |
| 20 | 870.30 | 1357.89 |  |
| 21 | 884.17 | 1372.29 |  |
| 22 | 890.95 | 1391.11 |  |
| 23 | 893.45 | 1395.14 |  |
| ** | 2.673 | * |  |
| Failure Su | ace Spec | d By 26 | Points |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 122.56 | 1210.81 |  |
| 2 | 128.16 | 1205.31 |  |
| 3 | 148.12 | 1204.07 |  |
| 4 | 163.73 | 1191.56 |  |
| 5 | 183.65 | 1189.76 |  |
| 6 | 203.64 | 1189.21 |  |
| 7 | 223.64 | 1189.07 |  |
| 8 | 243.09 | 1184.40 |  |
| 9 | 261.12 | 1175.75 |  |
| 10 | 526.23 | 1178.57 |  |
| 11 | 579.57 | 1180.93 |  |
| 12 | 744.42 | 1180.63 |  |
| 13 | 757.72 | 1195.57 |  |
| 14 | 771.86 | 1209.72 |  |
| 15 | 776.30 | 1229.22 |  |
| 16 | 783.80 | 1247.76 |  |
| 17 | 796.06 | 1263.56 |  |
| 18 | 806.78 | 1280.44 |  |
| 19 | 819.01 | 1296.27 |  |


| 20 | 829.31 | 1313.41 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | 843.39 | 1327.61 |  |
| 22 | 852.25 | 1345.54 |  |
| 23 | 864.68 | 1361.21 |  |
| 24 | 877.08 | 1376.90 |  |
| 25 | 891.20 | 1391.07 |  |
| 26 | 895.74 | 1395.71 |  |
| *** | 2.676 |  |  |
| Failure Sur | ace Spec | d By 26 | Points |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 122.56 | 1210.81 |  |
| 2 | 128.16 | 1205.31 |  |
| 3 | 148.12 | 1204.07 |  |
| 4 | 163.73 | 1191.56 |  |
| 5 | 183.65 | 1189.76 |  |
| 6 | 203.64 | 1189.21 |  |
| 7 | 223.64 | 1189.07 |  |
| 8 | 243.09 | 1184.40 |  |
| 9 | 261.12 | 1175.75 |  |
| 10 | 526.23 | 1178.57 |  |
| 11 | 579.57 | 1180.93 |  |
| 12 | 744.42 | 1180.63 |  |
| 13 | 757.72 | 1195.57 |  |
| 14 | 771.86 | 1209.72 |  |
| 15 | 776.30 | 1229.22 |  |
| 16 | 783.80 | 1247.76 |  |
| 17 | 796.06 | 1263.56 |  |
| 18 | 806.78 | 1280.44 |  |
| 19 | 819.01 | 1296.27 |  |
| 20 | 829.31 | 1313.41 |  |
| 21 | 843.39 | 1327.61 |  |
| 22 | 852.25 | 1345.54 |  |
| 23 | 864.68 | 1361.21 |  |
| 24 | 877.08 | 1376.90 |  |
| 25 | 891.20 | 1391.07 |  |
| 26 | 895.74 | 1395.71 |  |
| *** | 2.676 |  |  |

## Northeast Landfill Cross Section B, Circular






| Slice | Width | Weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | (ft) | (lbs) |
| 1 | 1.5 | 123.2 |
| 2 | 12.4 | 10300.0 |
| 3 | 14.1 | 31315.5 |
| 4 | 2.9 | 8962.2 |
| 5 | 7.6 | 25940.7 |
| 6 | 3.7 | 13616.6 |
| 7 | 2.3 | 9105.2 |
| 8 | 1.6 | 6484.9 |
| 9 | 10.3 | 44488.8 |
| 10 | 14.3 | 68340.3 |
| 11 | 5.7 | 29375.2 |
| 12 | 8.7 | 49051.2 |
| 13 | 14.5 | 90337.0 |
| 14 | 14.6 | 100984.6 |
| 15 | 14.6 | 111222.6 |
| 16 | 14.7 | 121030.6 |
| 17 | 13.3 | 117526.3 |
| 18 | 1.4 | 12863.4 |
| 19 | 14.8 | 139337.0 |
| 20 | 14.8 | 147839.0 |
| 21 | 14.9 | 155840.6 |
| 22 | 14.9 | 163326.9 |
| 23 | 14.9 | 170284.1 |
| 24 | 15.0 | 176699.5 |
| 25 | 15.0 | 182562.5 |
| 26 | 15.0 | 187862.7 |
| 27 | 15.0 | 192591.1 |
| 28 | 15.0 | 196740.2 |
| 29 | 15.0 | 200304.7 |
| 30 | 15.0 | 203279.3 |
| 31 | 15.0 | 205660.6 |
| 32 | 15.0 | 207447.1 |
| 33 | 14.9 | 208637.2 |
| 34 | 14.9 | 209231.0 |
| 35 | 14.9 | 209231.9 |
| 36 | 14.8 | 208642.7 |
| 37 | 14.8 | 207467.1 |
| 38 | 14.7 | 205711.8 |
| 39 | 14.7 | 203383.6 |
| 40 | 14.6 | 200491.5 |
| 41 | 14.5 | 197045.0 |
| 42 | 14.4 | 193054.7 |
| 43 | 14.4 | 188534.0 |


| Force | Force <br> Top |
| :--- | ---: |
| (lbs $)$ | Bot <br> (lbs $)$ |
| 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 2065.4 |
| 0.0 | 7110.4 |
| 0.0 | 2050.3 |
| 0.0 | 6336.2 |
| 0.0 | 3550.2 |
| 0.0 | 2389.4 |
| 0.0 | 1713.5 |
| 0.0 | 12408.7 |
| 0.0 | 20833.7 |
| 0.0 | 9432.7 |
| 0.0 | 15468.4 |
| 0.0 | 28712.6 |
| 0.0 | 32266.8 |
| 0.0 | 35562.3 |
| 0.0 | 38598.0 |
| 0.0 | 37295.9 |
| 0.0 | 4076.8 |
| 0.0 | 43885.5 |
| 0.0 | 46135.5 |
| 0.0 | 48122.0 |
| 0.0 | 49843.9 |
| 0.0 | 51301.0 |
| 0.0 | 52492.4 |
| 0.0 | 53418.1 |
| 0.0 | 54077.5 |
| 0.0 | 54470.2 |
| 0.0 | 54596.3 |
| 0.0 | 54455.7 |
| 0.0 | 54048.6 |
| 0.0 | 53374.8 |
| 0.0 | 52435.1 |
| 0.0 | 51229.2 |
| 0.0 | 49757.6 |
| 0.0 | 48021.3 |
| 0.0 | 46020.8 |
| 0.0 | 43756.4 |
| 0.0 | 41229.4 |
| 0.0 | 38440.4 |
| 0.0 | 35390.7 |
| 0.0 | 32080.9 |
| 0.0 | 28512.9 |
| 0.0 | 24687.5 |
|  |  |


| Tie | Tie | Earthquake |  | Surcharge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Force | Force |  |  |  |
| Norm | Tan | Hor | Ver | Load |
| (lbs) | (lbs) | (lbs) | (lbs) | (lbs) |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |


| 44 | 14.3 | 183494.7 | 0.0 | 20606.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45 | 14.2 | 177953.8 | 0.0 | 16270.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 46 | 14.1 | 171925.2 | 0.0 | 11681.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 47 | 14.0 | 165426.8 | 0.0 | 6841.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 48 | 11.6 | 132922.3 | 0.0 | 1822.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 49 | 2.3 | 25554.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 7.8 | 85816.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 51 | 6.0 | 65277.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 52 | 2.0 | 21903.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 53 | 1.3 | 13391.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 54 | 10.4 | 109188.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 55 | 13.6 | 140352.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 56 | 13.4 | 136072.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 57 | 13.3 | 131533.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 58 | 13.2 | 126746.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 59 | 13.0 | 121726.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 60 | 12.9 | 116487.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 61 | 12.7 | 111043.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 62 | 12.6 | 105411.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 63 | 12.4 | 99604.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 64 | 12.3 | 93640.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 65 | 12.1 | 87536.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 66 | 11.9 | 81307.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 67 | 11.8 | 74972.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 68 | 11.6 | 68548.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 69 | 11.4 | 62054.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 70 | 11.2 | 55507.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 71 | 11.0 | 48926.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 72 | 10.8 | 42332.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 73 | 10.6 | 35741.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 74 | 10.4 | 29174.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 75 | 10.2 | 22651.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 76 | 10.0 | 16190.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 77 | 9.8 | 9812.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 78 | 2.3 | 1409.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 79 | 4.7 | 1250.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Failure Surface Specified By 73 Coordinate Points

| Point <br> No. | X-Surf <br> (ft) | Y-Surf <br> (ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 184.59 | 1169.09 |
| 2 | 198.36 | 1163.14 |
| 3 | 212.23 | 1157.43 |
| 4 | 226.21 | 1151.99 |
| 5 | 240.28 | 1146.81 |
| 6 | 254.45 | 1141.89 |
| 7 | 268.71 | 1137.23 |
| 8 | 283.06 | 1132.84 |
| 9 | 297.48 | 1128.71 |
| 10 | 311.97 | 1124.86 |
| 11 | 326.54 | 1121.27 |
| 12 | 341.17 | 1117.95 |
| 13 | 355.85 | 1114.91 |
| 14 | 370.60 | 1112.14 |
| 15 | 385.39 | 1109.64 |
| 16 | 400.22 | 1107.42 |
| 17 | 415.09 | 1105.47 |
| 18 | 430.00 | 1103.80 |
| 19 | 444.94 | 1102.40 |
| 20 | 459.89 | 1101.29 |
| 21 | 474.87 | 1100.45 |
| 22 | 489.86 | 1099.88 |
| 23 | 504.86 | 1099.60 |
| 24 | 519.86 | 1099.60 |
| 25 | 534.85 | 1099.87 |
| 26 | 549.84 | 1100.42 |
| 27 | 564.82 | 1101.25 |
| 28 | 579.78 | 1102.36 |
| 29 | 594.72 | 1103.74 |
| 30 | 609.62 | 1105.40 |
| 31 | 624.50 | 1107.34 |









$\begin{array}{ll}217.49 & 1161.46 \\ 231.78 & 1156.88\end{array}$
$246.15 \quad 1152.57$
$260.59 \quad 1148.52$
$275.10 \quad 1144.73$
$289.69 \quad 1141.21$
$304.33 \quad 1137.96$
$319.03 \quad 1134.98$
$333.78 \quad 1132.26$
$348.58 \quad 1129.81$
$363.42 \quad 1127.64$
$378.30 \quad 1125.73$
$393.21 \quad 1124.10$
$408.15 \quad 1122.74$
$423.11 \quad 1121.65$
$438.09 \quad 1120.84$
$453.08 \quad 1120.30$
$468.08 \quad 1120.03$
$483.08 \quad 1120.04$
$498.07 \quad 1120.32$
$513.06 \quad 1120.88$
$528.04 \quad 1121.71$
$543.00 \quad 1122.81$
$557.94 \quad 1124.18$
$572.85 \quad 1125.83$
$587.72 \quad 1127.75$
$602.56 \quad 1129.94$
$617.36 \quad 1132.40$
$632.11 \quad 1135.13$
$646.80 \quad 1138.13$
$661.44 \quad 1141.40$
$676.02 \quad 1144.94$
$690.53 \quad 1148.74$
$704.97 \quad 1152.80$
$719.33 \quad 1157.13$
$733.61 \quad 1161.72$
$747.81 \quad 1166.56$
$761.91 \quad 1171.67$
$775.92 \quad 1177.04$
$789.83 \quad 1182.65$
$803.63 \quad 1188.53$
$817.33 \quad 1194.65$
$830.90 \quad 1201.02$
$844.37 \quad 1207.64$
$857.70 \quad 1214.50$
$870.91 \quad 1221.61$
$883.99 \quad 1228.95$
$896.93 \quad 1236.53$
$909.74 \quad 1244.35$
$\begin{array}{ll}922.39 & 1252.40 \\ 934.90 & 1260.68\end{array}$
$947.25 \quad 1269.19$
$959.45 \quad 1277.92$
$971.49 \quad 1286.87$
$983.36 \quad 1296.05$
$995.06 \quad 1305.43$
$1006.58 \quad 1315.03$
$1017.93 \quad 1324.84$
$1029.10 \quad 1334.85$
$1040.09 \quad 1345.06$
$1050.88 \quad 1355.48$
$1061.49 \quad 1366.09$
$1071.90 \quad 1376.89$
$1082.11 \quad 1387.88$
$\begin{array}{ll}1092.11 & 1399.05 \\ 1094.15 & 1401.40\end{array}$


## Northeast Landfill Cross Section B-B', WB







| 10 | 868.75 | 1209.08 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 11 | 882.53 | 1223.58 |
| 12 | 896.57 | 1237.82 |
| 13 | 907.95 | 1254.27 |
| 14 | 919.25 | 1270.78 |
| 15 | 932.19 | 1286.02 |
| 16 | 945.24 | 1301.17 |
| 17 | 953.49 | 1319.39 |
| 18 | 967.36 | 1333.80 |
| 19 | 980.71 | 1348.69 |
| 20 | 993.20 | 1364.32 |
| 21 | 1001.84 | 1378.42 |
|  | *** | 2.446 |
|  |  |  |

Failure Surface Specified By 21 Coordinate Points
Point $X$ Surf

| No. | $(\mathrm{ft})$ | $(\mathrm{ft})$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 242.36 | 1189.30 |
| 2 | 246.94 | 1189.27 |
| 3 | 261.16 | 1175.20 |
| 4 | 293.81 | 1172.42 |
| 5 | 341.50 | 1172.39 |
| 6 | 532.45 | 1174.55 |
| 7 | 800.53 | 1176.92 |
| 8 | 841.96 | 1179.39 |
| 9 | 855.63 | 1193.99 |
| 10 | 868.75 | 1209.08 |
| 11 | 882.53 | 1223.58 |
| 12 | 896.57 | 1237.82 |
| 13 | 907.95 | 1254.27 |
| 14 | 919.25 | 1270.78 |
| 15 | 932.19 | 1286.02 |
| 16 | 945.24 | 1301.17 |
| 17 | 953.49 | 1319.39 |
| 18 | 967.36 | 1333.80 |
| 19 | 980.71 | 1348.69 |
| 20 | 993.20 | 1364.32 |
| 21 | 1001.84 | 1378.42 |
|  | *** | 2.446 |

Failure Surface Specified By 21 Coordinate Points Point X-Surf Y-Surf No. (ft) (ft)
$242.36 \quad 1189.30$
$246.94 \quad 1189.27$
$261.16 \quad 1175.20$
$293.81 \quad 1172.42$
$341.50 \quad 1172.39$
$532.45 \quad 1174.55$
$800.53 \quad 1176.92$
$841.96 \quad 1179.39$
$855.63 \quad 1193.99$
$868.75 \quad 1209.08$
$882.53 \quad 1223.58$
$896.57 \quad 1237.82$
$907.95 \quad 1254.27$
$919.25 \quad 1270.78$
$932.19 \quad 1286.02$
$945.24 \quad 1301.17$
$953.49 \quad 1319.39$
$967.36 \quad 1333.80$
$980.71 \quad 1348.69$
$993.20 \quad 1364.32$
$1001.84 \underset{* * *}{1378.42}$
*** 2.446 ***
Failure Surface Specified By 21 Coordinate Points Point X-Surf Y-Surf
(ft)
$242.36 \quad 1189.30$
$246.94 \quad 1189.27$
$261.16 \quad 1175.20$
$293.81 \quad 1172.42$

| 5 | 341.50 | 1172.39 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 532.45 | 1174.55 |  |
| 7 | 800.53 | 1176.92 |  |
| 8 | 841.96 | 1179.39 |  |
| 9 | 855.63 | 1193.99 |  |
| 10 | 868.75 | 1209.08 |  |
| 11 | 882.53 | 1223.58 |  |
| 12 | 896.57 | 1237.82 |  |
| 13 | 907.95 | 1254.27 |  |
| 14 | 919.25 | 1270.78 |  |
| 15 | 932.19 | 1286.02 |  |
| 16 | 945.24 | 1301.17 |  |
| 17 | 953.49 | 1319.39 |  |
| 18 | 967.36 | 1333.80 |  |
| 19 | 980.71 | 1348.69 |  |
| 20 | 993.20 | 1364.32 |  |
| 21 | 1001.84 | 1378.42 |  |
|  | 2.446 |  |  |
| Failure S | Surface Spec | d By 22 | Points |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 231.95 | 1186.70 |  |
| 2 | 242.66 | 1179.77 |  |
| 3 | 261.17 | 1172.21 |  |
| 4 | 275.13 | 1172.74 |  |
| 5 | 341.58 | 1172.01 |  |
| 6 | 587.34 | 1175.47 |  |
| 7 | 800.59 | 1176.90 |  |
| 8 | 909.62 | 1179.64 |  |
| 9 | 923.65 | 1193.88 |  |
| 10 | 937.41 | 1208.40 |  |
| 11 | 951.55 | 1222.54 |  |
| 12 | 961.46 | 1239.91 |  |
| 13 | 967.27 | 1259.05 |  |
| 14 | 981.04 | 1273.55 |  |
| 15 | 991.64 | 1290.52 |  |
| 16 | 1005.35 | 1305.08 |  |
| 17 | 1019.39 | 1319.32 |  |
| 18 | 1029.07 | 1336.82 |  |
| 19 | 1043.18 | 1350.99 |  |
| 20 | 1057.20 | 1365.26 |  |
| 21 | 1063.78 | 1384.14 |  |
| 22 | 1075.79 | 1396.83 |  |
| *** | 2.485 | * |  |
| Failure S | Surface Spec | d By 22 | Points |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 231.95 | 1186.70 |  |
| 2 | 242.66 | 1179.77 |  |
| 3 | 261.17 | 1172.21 |  |
| 4 | 275.13 | 1172.74 |  |
| 5 | 341.58 | 1172.01 |  |
| 6 | 587.34 | 1175.47 |  |
| 7 | 800.59 | 1176.90 |  |
| 8 | 909.62 | 1179.64 |  |
| 9 | 923.65 | 1193.88 |  |
| 10 | 937.41 | 1208.40 |  |
| 11 | 951.55 | 1222.54 |  |
| 12 | 961.46 | 1239.91 |  |
| 13 | 967.27 | 1259.05 |  |
| 14 | 981.04 | 1273.55 |  |
| 15 | 991.64 | 1290.52 |  |
| 16 | 1005.35 | 1305.08 |  |
| 17 | 1019.39 | 1319.32 |  |
| 18 | 1029.07 | 1336.82 |  |
| 19 | 1043.18 | 1350.99 |  |
| 20 | 1057.20 | 1365.26 |  |
| 21 | 1063.78 | 1384.14 |  |
| 22 | 1075.79 | 1396.83 |  |
|  | * 2.485 |  |  |


| Failure <br> Point | Surface Specified By 22 <br> X-Surf <br> No. | Y-St <br> (ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 231.95 | 1186.70 |
| 2 | 242.66 | 1179.77 |
| 3 | 261.17 | 1172.21 |
| 4 | 275.13 | 1172.74 |
| 5 | 341.58 | 1172.01 |
| 6 | 587.34 | 1175.47 |
| 7 | 800.59 | 1176.90 |
| 8 | 909.62 | 1179.64 |
| 9 | 923.65 | 1193.88 |
| 10 | 937.41 | 1208.40 |
| 11 | 951.55 | 1222.54 |
| 12 | 961.46 | 1239.91 |
| 13 | 967.27 | 1259.05 |
| 14 | 981.04 | 1273.55 |
| 15 | 991.64 | 1290.52 |
| 16 | 1005.35 | 1305.08 |
| 17 | 1019.39 | 1319.32 |
| 18 | 1029.07 | 1336.82 |
| 19 | 1043.18 | 1350.99 |
| 20 | 1057.20 | 1365.26 |
| 21 | 1063.78 | 1384.14 |
| 22 | 1075.79 | 1396.83 |
|  | $* * *$ | 2.485 |
|  | $* * *$ |  |

## Northeast Landfill Cross Section B-B', PH12 WB (Seismic)




```
                    ** PCSTABL5M3 **
                by Purdue University 1985
        rev. for SCS Engineers HVA 2008
            --Slope Stability Analysis--
        Simplified Janbu, Simplified Bishop
            or Spencer`s Method of Slices
Run Date:
Time of Run:
                5/11/2023
                05:46PM
Run By:
Username
Input Data Filename: M:2023.4.13 nelf_xs_b_ph12 wb (seismic).in
Output Filename: M:2023.4.13 nelf_xs_b_ph12 wb (seismic).OUT
Unit:
ENGLISH
Plotted Output Filename: M:2023.4.13 nelf_xs_b_ph12 wb (seismic).PLT
PROBLEM DESCRIPTION Northeast Landfill
                        Cross Section B-B', PH12 WB (Seismic)
BOUNDARY COORDINATES
            Note: User origin value specified.
            Add 0.00 to X-values and 0.00 to Y-values listed.
```



| Block Is 20.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Box | X-Left | Y-Left | X-Right | Y-Right | Height |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) | (ft) | (ft) | (ft) |
| 1 | 663.40 | 1176.70 | 663.50 | 1176.70 | 4.00 |
| 2 | 664.00 | 1176.70 | 806.50 | 1178.00 | 4.00 |
| 3 | 807.00 | 1178.00 | 807.10 | 1178.00 | 4.00 |
| 4 | 807.60 | 1178.00 | 1218.10 | 1179.00 | 4.00 |
| 5 | 1218.60 | 1179.00 | 1218.70 | 1179.00 | 4.00 |
| 6 | 1219.20 | 1179.00 | 1305.10 | 1204.00 | 4.00 |
| 7 | 1305.60 | 1204.00 | 1305.70 | 1204.00 | 4.00 |
| 8 | 1306.10 | 1204.00 | 1310.10 | 1204.00 | 4.00 |
| The Factor Of Safety For The Trial Failure Surface Defined |  |  |  |  |  |
| By The Coordinates Listed Below Is Misleading. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Failure Surface Defined By 19 Coordinate Points |  |  |  |  |  |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-S |  |  |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |  |  |
| 1 | 662.20 | 1178 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 663.41 | 1178 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 799.53 | 1178 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 807.01 | 1177 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1043.69 | 1178 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 1218.62 | 1179 |  |  |  |
| 7 | 1277.92 | 1198 |  |  |  |
| 8 | 1305.62 | 1204 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 1306.21 | 1202 |  |  |  |
| 10 | 1319.77 | 1217 |  |  |  |
| 11 | 1332.46 | 1232 |  |  |  |
| 12 | 1341.40 | 1250 |  |  |  |
| 13 | 1346.01 | 1270 |  |  |  |
| 14 | 1349.60 | 1289 |  |  |  |
| 15 | 1361.70 | 1305 |  |  |  |
| 16 | 1375.56 | 1320 |  |  |  |
| 17 | 1377.85 | 1340 |  |  |  |
| 18 | 1389.56 | 1356 |  |  |  |
| 19 | 1389.61 | 1357 |  |  |  |
| Factor Of Safety For The Preceding Specified Surface = 1.005 |  |  |  |  |  |
| The Factor Of Safety For The Trial Failure Surface Defined |  |  |  |  |  |
| By The Coordinates Listed Below Is Misleading. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Failure Surface Defined By 19 Coordinate Points |  |  |  |  |  |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-S |  |  |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft |  |  |  |
| 1 | 660.40 | 1177 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 663.49 | 1174 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 759.00 | 1179 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 807.00 | 1176 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1162.65 | 1179 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 1218.69 | 1178 |  |  |  |
| 7 | 1282.34 | 1197 |  |  |  |
| 8 | 1305.64 | 1205 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 1306.21 | 1203 |  |  |  |
| 10 | 1320.34 | 1217 |  |  |  |
| 11 | 1331.57 | 1234 |  |  |  |
| 12 | 1345.71 | 1248 |  |  |  |
| 13 | 1359.82 | 1262 |  |  |  |
| 14 | 1373.61 | 1277 |  |  |  |
| 15 | 1377.22 | 1296 |  |  |  |
| 16 | 1391.20 | 1311 |  |  |  |
| 17 | 1399.38 | 1329 |  |  |  |
| 18 | 1413.14 | 1343 |  |  |  |
| 19 | 1415.10 | 1363 |  |  |  |
| Factor Of Safety For The Preceding Specified Surface = 0.985 |  |  |  |  |  |
| The Factor Of Safety For The Trial Failure Surface Defined By The Coordinates Listed Below Is Misleading. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Failure Surface Defined By 19 Coordinate Points |  |  |  |  |  |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-S |  |  |  |
| No. | (ft) | (f |  |  |  |
| 1 | 659.49 | 1177 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 663.41 | 1174 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 708.07 | 1176 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 807.02 | 1178 |  |  |  |




Factor Of Safety For The Preceding Specified Surface $=1.576$
Factor Of Safety Calculation Has Gone Through Ten Iterations
The Trial Failure Surface In Question Is Defined
By The Following 19 Coordinate Points

| Point <br> No. | X-Surf <br> $(\mathrm{ft})$ | Y-Surf <br> $(\mathrm{ft})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 662.07 | 1178.26 |
| 2 | 663.41 | 1177.15 |
| 3 | 782.17 | 1179.24 |
| 4 | 807.05 | 1179.32 |
| 5 | 963.22 | 1177.80 |
| 6 | 1218.64 | 1177.13 |
| 7 | 1238.59 | 1183.47 |
| 8 | 1305.64 | 1205.88 |
| 9 | 1306.58 | 1202.67 |
| 10 | 1318.93 | 1218.40 |
| 11 | 1324.27 | 1237.67 |
| 12 | 1324.71 | 1257.67 |
| 13 | 1325.66 | 1277.64 |
| 14 | 1339.78 | 1291.81 |
| 15 | 1353.90 | 1305.97 |
| 16 | 1366.01 | 1321.89 |
| 17 | 1380.15 | 1336.03 |
| 18 | 1388.24 | 1354.33 |
| 19 | 1389.90 | 1357.23 |

Factor Of Safety For The Preceding Specified Surface $=1.576$
Following Are Displayed The Ten Most Critical Of The Trial Failure Surfaces Examined. They Are Ordered - Most Critical First.

*     * Safety Factors Are Calculated By The Modified Janbu Method * * Failure Surface Specified By 20 Coordinate Points

| Point <br> No. | X-Surf <br> $(\mathrm{ft})$ | Y-Surf <br> $(\mathrm{ft})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 658.70 | 1177.13 |
| 2 | 663.42 | 1176.33 |
| 3 | 678.36 | 1177.16 |
| 4 | 807.03 | 1177.38 |
| 5 | 1215.77 | 1179.16 |
| 6 | 1218.62 | 1180.06 |
| 7 | 1278.02 | 1195.56 |
| 8 | 1305.68 | 1203.68 |
| 9 | 1309.79 | 1205.16 |
| 10 | 1323.89 | 1219.34 |
| 11 | 1335.54 | 1235.60 |
| 12 | 1345.54 | 1252.92 |
| 13 | 1359.57 | 1267.17 |
| 14 | 1371.47 | 1283.25 |
| 15 | 1385.59 | 1297.41 |
| 16 | 1397.02 | 1313.82 |
| 17 | 1410.53 | 1328.57 |
| 18 | 1423.69 | 1343.63 |
| 19 | 1435.79 | 1359.55 |
| 20 | 1446.42 | 1371.12 |
|  | $* * *$ | 1.005 |
|  | $* * *$ |  |




| 4 | 807.03 | 1177.38 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 1215.77 | 1179.16 |  |
| 6 | 1218.62 | 1180.06 |  |
| 7 | 1278.02 | 1195.56 |  |
| 8 | 1305.68 | 1203.68 |  |
| 9 | 1309.79 | 1205.16 |  |
| 10 | 1323.89 | 1219.34 |  |
| 11 | 1335.54 | 1235.60 |  |
| 12 | 1345.54 | 1252.92 |  |
| 13 | 1359.57 | 1267.17 |  |
| 14 | 1371.47 | 1283.25 |  |
| 15 | 1385.59 | 1297.41 |  |
| 16 | 1397.02 | 1313.82 |  |
| 17 | 1410.53 | 1328.57 |  |
| 18 | 1423.69 | 1343.63 |  |
| 19 | 1435.79 | 1359.55 |  |
| 20 | 1446.42 | 1371.12 |  |
|  | 1.005 | * |  |
| Failure | Surface Spec | By 20 | Coordinate Points |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 658.70 | 1177.13 |  |
| 2 | 663.42 | 1176.33 |  |
| 3 | 678.36 | 1177.16 |  |
| 4 | 807.03 | 1177.38 |  |
| 5 | 1215.77 | 1179.16 |  |
| 6 | 1218.62 | 1180.06 |  |
| 7 | 1278.02 | 1195.56 |  |
| 8 | 1305.68 | 1203.68 |  |
| 9 | 1309.79 | 1205.16 |  |
| 10 | 1323.89 | 1219.34 |  |
| 11 | 1335.54 | 1235.60 |  |
| 12 | 1345.54 | 1252.92 |  |
| 13 | 1359.57 | 1267.17 |  |
| 14 | 1371.47 | 1283.25 |  |
| 15 | 1385.59 | 1297.41 |  |
| 16 | 1397.02 | 1313.82 |  |
| 17 | 1410.53 | 1328.57 |  |
| 18 | 1423.69 | 1343.63 |  |
| 19 | 1435.79 | 1359.55 |  |
| 20 | 1446.42 | 1371.12 |  |
|  | * 1.005 | * |  |
| Failure | Surface Spec | d By 20 | Coordinate Points |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 655.33 | 1176.01 |  |
| 2 | 663.47 | 1175.96 |  |
| 3 | 720.49 | 1177.51 |  |
| 4 | 807.09 | 1177.62 |  |
| 5 | 867.31 | 1176.26 |  |
| 6 | 1218.61 | 1178.66 |  |
| 7 | 1251.74 | 1187.60 |  |
| 8 | 1305.63 | 1202.39 |  |
| 9 | 1309.49 | 1202.33 |  |
| 10 | 1323.16 | 1216.92 |  |
| 11 | 1336.28 | 1232.02 |  |
| 12 | 1350.06 | 1246.51 |  |
| 13 | 1364.10 | 1260.76 |  |
| 14 | 1375.48 | 1277.21 |  |
| 15 | 1386.78 | 1293.71 |  |
| 16 | 1399.72 | 1308.96 |  |
| 17 | 1412.77 | 1324.11 |  |
| 18 | 1421.02 | 1342.33 |  |
| 19 | 1434.89 | 1356.74 |  |
| 20 | 1448.17 | 1371.55 |  |
|  | 1.006 |  |  |
| Failure    <br> Point Surface Specified By 20 Coordinate Points <br> No. $(\mathrm{ft})$ Y-Surf  <br> 1 655.33 $(\mathrm{ft})$  <br> 1 1176.01   |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| 2 | 663.47 | 1175.96 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 720.49 | 1177.51 |  |
| 4 | 807.09 | 1177.62 |  |
| 5 | 867.31 | 1176.26 |  |
| 6 | 1218.61 | 1178.66 |  |
| 7 | 1251.74 | 1187.60 |  |
| 8 | 1305.63 | 1202.39 |  |
| 9 | 1309.49 | 1202.33 |  |
| 10 | 1323.16 | 1216.92 |  |
| 11 | 1336.28 | 1232.02 |  |
| 12 | 1350.06 | 1246.51 |  |
| 13 | 1364.10 | 1260.76 |  |
| 14 | 1375.48 | 1277.21 |  |
| 15 | 1386.78 | 1293.71 |  |
| 16 | 1399.72 | 1308.96 |  |
| 17 | 1412.77 | 1324.11 |  |
| 18 | 1421.02 | 1342.33 |  |
| 19 | 1434.89 | 1356.74 |  |
| 20 | 1448.17 | 1371.55 |  |
| *** | 1.006 |  |  |
| Failure Sur | face Spec | d By 20 | Points |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 655.33 | 1176.01 |  |
| 2 | 663.47 | 1175.96 |  |
| 3 | 720.49 | 1177.51 |  |
| 4 | 807.09 | 1177.62 |  |
| 5 | 867.31 | 1176.26 |  |
| 6 | 1218.61 | 1178.66 |  |
| 7 | 1251.74 | 1187.60 |  |
| 8 | 1305.63 | 1202.39 |  |
| 9 | 1309.49 | 1202.33 |  |
| 10 | 1323.16 | 1216.92 |  |
| 11 | 1336.28 | 1232.02 |  |
| 12 | 1350.06 | 1246.51 |  |
| 13 | 1364.10 | 1260.76 |  |
| 14 | 1375.48 | 1277.21 |  |
| 15 | 1386.78 | 1293.71 |  |
| 16 | 1399.72 | 1308.96 |  |
| 17 | 1412.77 | 1324.11 |  |
| 18 | 1421.02 | 1342.33 |  |
| 19 | 1434.89 | 1356.74 |  |
| 20 | 1448.17 | 1371.55 |  |
| *** | 1.006 |  |  |
| Failure Su | face Spec | d By 20 | Points |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |
| No. | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 1 | 655.33 | 1176.01 |  |
| 2 | 663.47 | 1175.96 |  |
| 3 | 720.49 | 1177.51 |  |
| 4 | 807.09 | 1177.62 |  |
| 5 | 867.31 | 1176.26 |  |
| 6 | 1218.61 | 1178.66 |  |
| 7 | 1251.74 | 1187.60 |  |
| 8 | 1305.63 | 1202.39 |  |
| 9 | 1309.49 | 1202.33 |  |
| 10 | 1323.16 | 1216.92 |  |
| 11 | 1336.28 | 1232.02 |  |
| 12 | 1350.06 | 1246.51 |  |
| 13 | 1364.10 | 1260.76 |  |
| 14 | 1375.48 | 1277.21 |  |
| 15 | 1386.78 | 1293.71 |  |
| 16 | 1399.72 | 1308.96 |  |
| 17 | 1412.77 | 1324.11 |  |
| 18 | 1421.02 | 1342.33 |  |
| 19 | 1434.89 | 1356.74 |  |
| 20 | 1448.17 | 1371.55 |  |
| *** | 1.006 |  |  |
| Failure Sur | face Spec | d By 20 | Points |
| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |  |


| No. | $(\mathrm{ft})$ | $(\mathrm{ft})$ |
| ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | 655.33 | 1176.01 |
| 2 | 663.47 | 1175.96 |
| 3 | 720.49 | 1177.51 |
| 4 | 807.09 | 1177.62 |
| 5 | 867.31 | 1176.26 |
| 6 | 1218.61 | 1178.66 |
| 7 | 1251.74 | 1187.60 |
| 8 | 1305.63 | 1202.39 |
| 9 | 1309.49 | 1202.33 |
| 10 | 1323.16 | 1216.92 |
| 11 | 1336.28 | 1232.02 |
| 12 | 1350.06 | 1246.51 |
| 13 | 1364.10 | 1260.76 |
| 14 | 1375.48 | 1277.21 |
| 15 | 1386.78 | 1293.71 |
| 16 | 1399.72 | 1308.96 |
| 17 | 1412.77 | 1324.11 |
| 18 | 1421.02 | 1342.33 |
| 19 | 1434.89 | 1356.74 |
| 20 | 1448.17 | 1371.55 |
|  | $* * *$ | 1.006 |
|  | $* * *$ |  |

## Northeast Landfill Cross Section AA', Circular (Seismic)




```
                    ** PCSTABL5M3 **
                by Purdue University 1985
        rev. for SCS Engineers HVA 2008
            --Slope Stability Analysis--
        Simplified Janbu, Simplified Bishop
        or Spencer`s Method of Slices
    Run Date:
        5/11/2023
    Time of Run:
    06:06PM
    Run By:
    Username
    Input Data Filename
    M:2023.4.13 nelf_xs_aa'_circ (seismic).in
    M:2023.4.13 nelf_xs_aa'_circ (seismic).OUT
    ENGLISH
Output Filename:
Unit:
    Plotted Output Filename: M:2023.4.13 nelf_xs_aa'_circ (seismic).PLT
    PROBLEM DESCRIPTION Northeast Landfill
                        Cross Section AA', Circular (Seismic)
BOUNDARY COORDINATES
Note: User origin value specified.
Add 0.00 to X -values and 0.00 to Y -values listed.
```



Along The Ground Surface Between $X=100.00 \mathrm{ft}$.
and $X=310.00 \mathrm{ft}$.
Each Surface Terminates Between $\quad X=541.50 \mathrm{ft}$. and $X=1078.00 \mathrm{ft}$
Unless Further Limitations Were Imposed, The Minimum Elevation At Which A Surface Extends Is $Y=0.00 \mathrm{ft}$.
15.00 ft . Line Segments Define Each Trial Failure Surface.

Following Are Displayed The Ten Most Critical Of The Trial
Failure Surfaces Examined. They Are Ordered - Most Critical First.

*     * Safety Factors Are Calculated By The Modified Bishop Method * * Failure Surface Specified By 75 Coordinate Points

| Point | X-Surf | Y-Surf |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | $(\mathrm{ft})$ | $(\mathrm{ft})$ |
| 1 | 100.00 | 1211.03 |

1 100.00 1211.03
$112.76 \quad 1203.15$
$125.69 \quad 1195.54$
$138.77 \quad 1188.19$
$152.00 \quad 1181.12$
$165.37 \quad 1174.33$
$178.88 \quad 1167.82$
$192.53 \quad 1161.59$
$206.30 \quad 1155.65$
$220.19 \quad 1149.99$
$234.20 \quad 1144.63$
$248.32 \quad 1139.55$
$262.54 \quad 1134.78$
$276.85 \quad 1130.30$
$291.26 \quad 1126.11$
$305.75 \quad 1122.23$
$320.31 \quad 1118.66$
$334.95 \quad 1115.38$
$349.66 \quad 1112.42$
$364.42 \quad 1109.75$
$379.23 \quad 1107.40$
$394.09 \quad 1105.36$
$408.99 \quad 1103.62$
$423.92 \quad 1102.20$
$438.88 \quad 1101.09$
$453.86 \quad 1100.29$
$468.85 \quad 1099.80$
$483.85 \quad 1099.62$
$498.85 \quad 1099.76$
$513.85 \quad 1100.21$
$528.83 \quad 1100.97$
$543.79 \quad 1102.04$
$558.72 \quad 1103.43$
$573.63 \quad 1105.12$
$588.49 \quad 1107.12$
$603.31 \quad 1109.44$
618.081112 .06
$632.79 \quad 1114.99$
$647.44 \quad 1118.22$
$662.02 \quad 1121.76$
$676.52 \quad 1125.60$
$690.93 \quad 1129.75$
$705.26 \quad 1134.19$
$719.49 \quad 1138.93$
$733.62 \quad 1143.96$
$747.64 \quad 1149.29$
$761.55 \quad 1154.91$
$775.34 \quad 1160.82$
$789.00 \quad 1167.01$
$802.53 \quad 1173.49$
$815.92 \quad 1180.24$
$829.17 \quad 1187.28$
842.271194 .59
$855.22 \quad 1202.17$
$868.00 \quad 1210.01$
$880.62 \quad 1218.12$


| 45 | 14.6 | 267452.6 | 0.0 | 48468.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 88259.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 46 | 14.5 | 263307.5 | 0.0 | 45097.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 86891.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 47 | 14.4 | 258535.2 | 0.0 | 41444.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 85316.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 48 | 14.3 | 253151.7 | 0.0 | 37509.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 83540.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 49 | 14.2 | 247175.7 | 0.0 | 33294.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 81568.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 14.1 | 240624.1 | 0.0 | 28801.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 79405.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 51 | 14.0 | 233518.8 | 0.0 | 24032.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 77061.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 52 | 13.9 | 225881.9 | 0.0 | 18989.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 74541.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 53 | 13.8 | 217734.8 | 0.0 | 13675.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 71852.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 54 | 13.7 | 209104.9 | 0.0 | 8091.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 69004.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 55 | 11.8 | 175291.7 | 0.0 | 2287.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57846.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 56 | 1.7 | 24724.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8159.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 57 | 9.4 | 134890.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44513.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 58 | 4.0 | 55607.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18350.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 59 | 3.8 | 52099.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17192.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 60 | 9.5 | 129674.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42792.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 61 | 13.1 | 175982.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 58074.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 62 | 12.9 | 170103.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 56134.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 63 | 12.8 | 163967.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 54109.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 64 | 12.6 | 157591.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52005.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 65 | 12.4 | 150994.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 49828.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 66 | 12.3 | 144197.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 47585.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 67 | 12.1 | 137220.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 45282.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 68 | 11.5 | 125624.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41456.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 69 | 0.4 | 4457.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1471.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 70 | 11.7 | 121729.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40170.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 71 | 11.5 | 112391.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37089.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 72 | 11.3 | 103063.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34010.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 73 | 11.1 | 93767.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30943.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 74 | 10.9 | 84529.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27894.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 75 | 10.7 | 75372.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24872.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 76 | 10.4 | 66321.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21886.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 77 | 10.2 | 57401.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18942.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 78 | 10.0 | 48635.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16049.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 79 | 2.0 | 8664.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2859.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 80 | 7.8 | 31299.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10328.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 81 | 6.9 | 23524.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7763.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 82 | 2.6 | 7797.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2573.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 83 | 9.3 | 22753.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7508.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 84 | 9.0 | 14407.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4754.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 85 | 8.1 | 6142.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2026.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 86 | 0.7 | 213.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 70.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 87 | 1.3 | 157.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Failure Surface Specified By 75 Coordinate Points

| Point | X-Surf <br> (ft) | Y-Surf <br> (ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 104.24 | 1210.99 |
| 2 | 116.86 | 1202.87 |
| 3 | 129.64 | 1195.03 |
| 4 | 142.59 | 1187.46 |
| 5 | 155.70 | 1180.17 |
| 6 | 168.96 | 1173.15 |
| 7 | 182.36 | 1166.42 |
| 8 | 195.91 | 1159.98 |
| 9 | 209.59 | 1153.82 |
| 10 | 223.40 | 1147.96 |
| 11 | 237.32 | 1142.39 |
| 12 | 251.37 | 1137.12 |
| 13 | 265.52 | 1132.15 |
| 14 | 279.78 | 1127.48 |
| 15 | 294.13 | 1123.12 |
| 16 | 308.57 | 1119.06 |
| 17 | 323.09 | 1115.31 |
| 18 | 337.69 | 1111.86 |
| 19 | 352.36 | 1108.73 |
| 20 | 367.09 | 1105.91 |
| 21 | 381.88 | 1103.41 |
| 22 | 396.72 | 1101.22 |
| 23 | 411.60 | 1099.35 |
| 24 | 426.52 | 1097.79 |




| 5 | 154.42 | 1181.64 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 167.86 | 1174.98 |
| 7 | 181.44 | 1168.59 |
| 8 | 195.14 | 1162.49 |
| 9 | 208.96 | 1156.67 |
| 10 | 222.90 | 1151.13 |
| 11 | 236.96 | 1145.88 |
| 12 | 251.11 | 1140.93 |
| 13 | 265.37 | 1136.26 |
| 14 | 279.72 | 1131.89 |
| 15 | 294.15 | 1127.82 |
| 16 | 308.67 | 1124.04 |
| 17 | 323.26 | 1120.57 |
| 18 | 337.92 | 1117.39 |
| 19 | 352.65 | 1114.52 |
| 20 | 367.42 | 1111.95 |
| 21 | 382.25 | 1109.68 |
| 22 | 397.12 | 1107.72 |
| 23 | 412.03 | 1106.07 |
| 24 | 426.97 | 1104.72 |
| 25 | 441.94 | 1103.68 |
| 26 | 456.92 | 1102.95 |
| 27 | 471.91 | 1102.53 |
| 28 | 486.91 | 1102.42 |
| 29 | 501.91 | 1102.61 |
| 30 | 516.90 | 1103.12 |
| 31 | 531.88 | 1103.93 |
| 32 | 546.84 | 1105.05 |
| 33 | 561.77 | 1106.47 |
| 34 | 576.67 | 1108.21 |
| 35 | 591.53 | 1110.25 |
| 36 | 606.34 | 1112.60 |
| 37 | 621.11 | 1115.25 |
| 38 | 635.82 | 1118.20 |
| 39 | 650.46 | 1121.45 |
| 40 | 665.03 | 1125.01 |
| 41 | 679.53 | 1128.87 |
| 42 | 693.94 | 1133.02 |
| 43 | 708.27 | 1137.47 |
| 44 | 722.50 | 1142.21 |
| 45 | 736.63 | 1147.24 |
| 46 | 750.65 | 1152.57 |
| 47 | 764.56 | 1158.18 |
| 48 | 778.35 | 1164.07 |
| 49 | 792.02 | 1170.25 |
| 50 | 805.56 | 1176.71 |
| 51 | 818.96 | 1183.44 |
| 52 | 832.22 | 1190.45 |
| 53 | 845.34 | 1197.73 |
| 54 | 858.30 | 1205.28 |
| 55 | 871.10 | 1213.10 |
| 56 | 883.74 | 1221.17 |
| 57 | 896.22 | 1229.51 |
| 58 | 908.51 | 1238.10 |
| 59 | 920.63 | 1246.94 |
| 60 | 932.56 | 1256.03 |
| 61 | 944.31 | 1265.36 |
| 62 | 955.86 | 1274.93 |
| 63 | 967.21 | 1284.74 |
| 64 | 978.35 | 1294.78 |
| 65 | 989.29 | 1305.04 |
| 66 | 1000.01 | 1315.53 |
| 67 | 1010.52 | 1326.24 |
| 68 | 1020.80 | 1337.16 |
| 69 | 1030.86 | 1348.29 |
| 70 | 1040.68 | 1359.62 |
| 71 | 1050.27 | 1371.16 |
| 72 | 1059.62 | 1382.88 |
| 73 | 1068.73 | 1394.80 |
| 74 | 1077.41 | 1406.66 |

## Attachment C-5

Veneer Stability Analyses Results

## LINER (VENEER) STABILITY CALCULATION (STATIC)

Project: Northeast C\&D Landfill<br>Location: Spencer Oklohoma<br>Prepared by: SCS ENGINEERS<br>Date: August 18, 2023



Consideration: To determine the factor of safety (FS) of a geosynthetic lined slope using a static analysis as described by Koerner and Soong (1998) referenced below.


Ref.: R.M. Koerner, and T-Y.Soong, 1998. "Analysis and Design of Veneer Cover Soils". Proceeding of 6th International Conference on Geosynthetics, Vol. 1, pp. 1-23, Atlanta, Georgia, USA.

## Parameters:

| L | $=$ | length of slope meausured along the geomembrane |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\beta$ | $=$ | soil slope angle beneath the geomembrane |
| FS | $=$ | factor of safety against instability |
| $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{A}}$ | $=$ | total weight of the active wedge |
| $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{P}}$ | $=$ | total weight of the passive wedge |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}$ | $=$ | effective force normal to the failure plane of the active wedge |
| h | $=$ | thickness of the cover soil |
| $\gamma$ | $=$ | unit weight of the cover soil |
| $\phi$ | $=$ | cover soil friction angle |
| $\delta$ | $=$ | interface friction angle between cover soil and geomembrane |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | $=$ | adhesive force between cover soil of the active wedge and the geomembrane |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | $=$ | adhesion between cover soil of the active wedge and the geomembrane |
| C | $=$ | cohesive force along the failure plane of the passive wedge |
| c | $=$ |  |

## LINER (VENEER) STABILITY CALCULATION (STATIC)

Calculate Factor of Safety (FS):

|  | $F S=\frac{-b+\left(b^{2}-4 a c\right)^{1 / 2}}{2 a}$, where |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | $a=\left(C_{S} W_{A}+N_{A} \sin \beta\right)(\cos \beta)+C_{S} W_{P}(\cos \beta)$ |
|  | $b=-\left[\left(C_{S} W_{A}+N_{A} \sin \beta\right) \sin \beta(\tan \phi)+\left(N_{A} \tan \delta+C_{a}\right)\left(\cos ^{2} \beta\right)+\left(C+W_{P} \tan \phi\right) \cos \beta\right]$ |

$\mathrm{c}=\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}} \tan \delta+\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}\right) \cos \beta \sin \beta \tan \phi \quad$, where
$\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{A}}=\gamma \mathrm{h}^{2}[(\mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{h})-(1 / \sin \beta)-(\tan \beta / 2)]$

$$
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{A}}(\cos \beta)
$$

$$
W_{P}=\gamma h^{2} / \sin 2 \beta
$$

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{~L}-(\mathrm{h} / \sin \beta))
$$

$$
C=(c h) /(\sin \beta)
$$

| $\gamma=$ | 18.84 | $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{h}=$ | 1219.00 | mm | = | 1.22 m |
| $\mathrm{L}=$ | 34.00 | m |  |  |
| $\beta=$ | 18.44 | - | = | 0.32 rad |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}=$ | 0.00 | g |  |  |
| $\phi=$ | 20.00 |  | = | 0.35 rad |
| $\delta=$ | 20.00 |  | = | 0.35 rad |
| C | 4.79 | $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}=$ | 4.79 | $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |  |  |


| $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{A}}$ | $=$ | 687.67 kN |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}$ | $=$ | 652.36 kN |
| $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{P}}$ | $=$ | 46.65 kN |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | $=$ | 144.40 kN |
| C | $=$ | 18.46 kN |
| a | $=$ | 195.75 |
| b | $=$ | -401.01 |
| c | $=$ | 41.70 |

FS $=\quad 1.94$
Notes:

1. Due to absence of geosynthetic layers, the failure surface is assumed to be along the bottom of liner and recompacted subgrade interface; therefore, $\phi=\delta$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{Ca}$.
2. To be conservative, C and Ca are assumed to be $100 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{cy}\left(4.79 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$

Summary:
Based on the calculation indicated above, the factor of safety has been calculated as 1.94, indicating that the liner system is stable under the slope conditions analyzed.

Project: Northeast C\&D Landfill<br>Location: Spencer Oklohoma<br>Prepared by: SCS ENGINEERS<br>Date: August 18, 2023



Consideration: To determine the factor of safety (FS) of a geosynthetic lined slope using a static analysis as described by Koerner and Soong (1998) referenced below.


Ref.: R.M. Koerner, and T-Y.Soong, 1998. "Analysis and Design of Veneer Cover Soils". Proceeding of 6th International Conference on Geosynthetics, Vol. 1, pp. 1-23, Atlanta, Georgia, USA.

## Parameters:

| L | $=$ | length of slope meausured along the geomembrane |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\beta$ | $=$ | soil slope angle beneath the geomembrane |
| FS | $=$ | factor of safety against instability |
| $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{A}}$ | $=$ | total weight of the active wedge |
| $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{P}}$ | $=$ | total weight of the passive wedge |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}$ | $=$ | effective force normal to the failure plane of the active wedge |
| h | $=$ | thickness of the cover soil |
| $\gamma$ | $=$ | unit weight of the cover soil |
| $\phi$ | $=$ | cover soil friction angle |
| $\delta$ | $=$ | interface friction angle between cover soil and geomembrane |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | $=$ | adhesive force between cover soil of the active wedge and the geomembrane |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | $=$ | adhesion between cover soil of the active wedge and the geomembrane |
| C | $=$ | cohesive force along the failure plane of the passive wedge |
| C | $=$ |  |

FINAL COVER (VENEER) STABILITY CALCULATION (STATIC)
Calculate Factor of Safety (FS):

|  | $F=\frac{-b+\left(b^{2}-4 a c\right)^{1 / 2}}{2 a}$, where |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | $a=\left(C_{S} W_{A}+N_{A} \sin \beta\right)(\cos \beta)+C_{S} W_{P}(\cos \beta)$ |

$$
\mathrm{b}=-\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{A}}+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}} \sin \beta\right) \sin \beta(\tan \phi)+\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}} \tan \delta+\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)\left(\cos ^{2} \beta\right)+\left(\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{P}} \tan \phi\right) \cos \beta\right]
$$

$c=\left(N_{A} \tan \delta+C_{a}\right) \cos \beta \sin \beta \tan \phi$ , where
$\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{A}}=\gamma \mathrm{h}^{2}[(\mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{h})-(1 / \sin \beta)-(\tan \beta / 2)]$

$$
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{A}}(\cos \beta)
$$

$$
W_{P}=\gamma \mathrm{h}^{2} / \sin 2 \beta
$$

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{~L}-(\mathrm{h} / \sin \beta))
$$

$$
C=(c h) /(\sin \beta)
$$

| $\gamma=$ | 18.84 | $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{h}=$ | 914.40 | mm | = | 0.91 m |
| L = | 279.00 | m |  |  |
| $\beta=$ | 14.04 |  | = | 0.25 rad |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\text {s }}=$ | 0.00 | $g$ |  |  |
| $\phi=$ | 20.00 |  | $=$ | 0.35 rad |
| $\delta=$ | 20.00 |  | = | 0.35 rad |
| c $=$ | 4.79 | $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}=$ | 4.79 | $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |  |  |


| $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{A}}=$ | 4739.51 kN |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}=$ | 4597.93 kN |
| $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{P}}=$ | 33.47 kN |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}=$ | 1318.36 kN |
| $\mathrm{C}=$ | 18.05 kN |
| $\mathrm{a}=$ | 1082.13 |
| $\mathrm{~b}=$ | -2943.61 |
| $\mathrm{c}=$ | 256.29 |

$$
F S=\quad 2.63
$$

Notes:

1. Due to absence of geosynthetic layers, the failure surface is assumed to be along the final cover/intermediate cover interface; therefore, $\phi=\delta$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{Ca}$.
2. To be conservative, C and Ca are assumed to be $100 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{cy}\left(4.79 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$

Summary:
Based on the calculation indicated above, the factor of safety has been calculated as 2.63, indicating that the final cover system is stable under the slope conditions analyzed.

## COVER STABILITY CALCULATION (SEISMIC)

Project: Northeast C\&D Landfill<br>Location: Spencer Oklohoma<br>Prepared by: SCS ENGINEERS<br>Date: August 18, 2023

Calc'd by:
Chk'd by: $\qquad$ JL Date: 6/8/2023

Consideration: To determine the factor of safety (FS) of a geosynthetic lined slope using a pseudo-static analysis as described by Koerner and Soong (1998) referenced below.

Seismic Coefficient: Subtitle "D" of the U.S. EPA regulations requires a seismic analysis if the site has experienced a 0.1 g horizontal acceleration, or more, in the past 250 years. The map below shows the seismic coefficients for various zones in the USA. Based on the site location, the seismic coefficient of 0.07 g was used in the analysis.


## Legend:

Zone 0: No damage
Zone 1: Minor damage; corresponds to intensities V and VI on the modified Mercalli intensity scale
Zone 2: Moderage damage; corresponds to intensity VII on the modified Mercalli intensity scale
Zone 3: Major damage; corresponds to intensity VIII or higher on the modified Mercalli intensity scale

## Seismic coefficients corresponding to each zone:

| Zone | Remark | Modified <br> Mercalli Scale | Average Seismic <br> Coefficient $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | No damage | $-\quad-$ | 0 |
| 1 | Minor damage | V and VI | 0.03 to 0.07 |
| 2 | VII | 0.13 |  |
| 3 | Moderate damage | Major damage | VIII or higher |



Ref.: R.M. Koerner, and T-Y.Soong, 1998. "Analysis and Design of Veneer Cover Soils". Proceeding of 6th International Conference on Geosynthetics, Vol. 1, pp. 1-23, Atlanta, Georgia, USA.

## Parameters:

| L | $=$ | length of slope meausured along the geomembrane |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\beta$ | $=$ | soil slope angle beneath the geomembrane |
| FS | $=$ | factor of safety against instability |
| $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{A}}$ | $=$ | total weight of the active wedge |
| $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{P}}$ | $=$ | total weight of the passive wedge |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}$ | $=$ | effective force normal to the failure plane of the active wedge |
| h | $=$ | thickness of the cover soil |
| $\gamma$ | $=$ | unit weight of the cover soil |
| $\phi$ | $=$ | cover soil friction angle |
| $\delta$ | $=$ | interface friction angle between cover soil and geomembrane |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | $=$ | adhesive force between cover soil of the active wedge and the geomembrane |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | $=$ | adhesion between cover soil of the active wedge and the geomembrane |
| C | $=$ | cohesive force along the failure plane of the passive wedge |
| C | $=$ | cohesion of the cover soil |

## COVER STABILITY CALCULATION (SEISMIC)

## Calculate Factor of Safety (FS):

|  | $F=\frac{-b+\left(b^{2}-4 a c\right)^{1 / 2}}{2 a}$, where |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | $a=\left(C_{S} W_{A}+N_{A} \sin \beta\right)(\cos \beta)+C_{S} W_{P}(\cos \beta)$ |
|  | $b=-\left[\left(C_{S} W_{A}+N_{A} \sin \beta\right) \sin \beta(\tan \phi)+\left(N_{A} \tan \delta+C_{a}\right)\left(\cos ^{2} \beta\right)+\left(C+W_{P} \tan \phi\right) \cos \beta\right]$ |

$\mathrm{c}=\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}} \tan \delta+\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}\right) \cos \beta \sin \beta \tan \phi \quad$, where

$$
\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{A}}=\gamma \mathrm{h}^{2}[(\mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{h})-(1 / \sin \beta)-(\tan \beta / 2)]
$$

$$
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{A}}(\cos \beta)
$$

$$
W_{P}=\gamma h^{2} / \sin 2 \beta
$$

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{~L}-(\mathrm{h} / \sin \beta))
$$

$$
C=(c h) /(\sin \beta)
$$

| $\gamma=$ | 18.04 | $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{h}=$ | 914.40 | mm | = | 0.91 m |
| L = | 279.00 | m |  |  |
| $\beta=$ | 14.04 |  | $=$ | 0.25 rad |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}=$ | 0.27 | g |  |  |
| $\phi=$ | 20.00 |  | $=$ | 0.35 rad |
| $\delta=$ | 20.00 |  |  | 0.35 rad |


| $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{A}}=$ | 4538.26 kN |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}=$ | 4402.69 kN |
| $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{P}}=$ | 32.05 kN |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}=$ | 1318.36 kN |
| $\mathrm{C}=$ | 18.05 kN |
| $\mathrm{a}=$ | 2233.30 |
| $\mathrm{~b}=$ | -2980.24 |
| $\mathrm{c}=$ | 250.20 |
| $\mathrm{FS}=$ | 1.24 |

## Notes:

1. Due to absence of geosynthetic layers, the failure surface is assumed to be along the final cover/intermediate cover interface; therefore, $\phi=\delta$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{Ca}$.
2. To be conservative, $C$ and $C$ are assumed to be $100 \mathrm{lb} / c y\left(4.79 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$

## Summary:

Based on the calculation indicated above, the factor of safety has been calculated as 1.24 , indicating that the final cover system is stable under the seismic slope conditions analyzed.

Appendix D

## Explosive Gas Management Plan
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### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 STE LOCATION

The proposed C\&D landfill expansion (landfill) is located at 2601 N. Midwest Boulevard in Spencer, Oklahoma County (see application narrative, Figure 1).

### 1.2 FACILTY BACKGROUND

The existing C\&D landfill permit boundary contains 90.1 acres, of which 67.7 acres has been or will be developed for C\&D waste disposal under Permit No. 3555050. The proposed landfill expansion will have a permit boundary of 73.2 acres, of which 53.5 acres will be developed for disposal of C\&D waste. The combined total property boundary area (existing and proposed) is 163.3 acres, out of which 121.2 acres will be available for C\&D waste disposal upon obtaining approval of the permit application.

### 1.3 STE GEOLOGY

Information regarding the site geology and the site hydrogeology can be found in the Hydrogeologic and Geotechnical Investigation, prepared by SCS Engineers, and included in Appendix B.

### 1.4 PURPOSE

This plan is intended to provide guidance for explosive gas monitoring at the proposed landfill to avoid adverse gas-related environmental impact and potential hazards to public health and safety. The plan has been prepared in general accordance with the Oklahoma Administrative Code (OAC) 252:515-153(a).

### 2.0 EXPLOSIVE GAS OVERVIEW

The decomposition of encapsulated C\&D waste within a landfill could produce landfill gas (LFG), typically consisting of approximately methane $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{4}\right)$ and carbon dioxide $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$. Trace amounts of nonmethane organic compounds (NMOCs), oxygen, hydrogen sulfide, and reactive organic gases could also be present.

As LFG is generated, pressure within the landfill builds until equilibrium is reached between the quantity of LFG being generated and the quantity leaving the landfill. The increased pressure within the landfill provides the main source of energy for LFG migration along pressure gradients through preferential pathways.

LFG can present several problems or hazards, including the potential for explosion or fire, odor, toxic trace gases, vegetation stress, and asphyxiation. Of these, the main hazard associated with LFG is the potential for fire or explosion. Explosive gas monitoring is performed to protect lives and property from the hazards associated with LFG migration.

### 3.0 REG ULATORY PRAMEWORK

This plan details how the landfill plans to maintain compliance with the methane concentration limit set in OAC 252:515-15. In accordance with OAC 252:515-15-2, the concentration of methane gas generated by the facility shall not exceed twenty-five percent (25\%) of the lower explosive limit (LEL) for methane in all structures within the permit boundary (excluding gas control or recovery system components) or exceed the LEL for methane at the permit boundary. The LEL is defined as the lowest percent by volume of a mixture of explosive gases in air that will propagate a flame at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and atmospheric pressure. The LEL for methane is $5 \%$ by volume in air. The allowable percentages of LEL and the equivalent explosive gas level concentrations are listed in the following table:

Table 1. Maximum Allowable Explosive GasConcentrations

| Location | Maximum Allowable \% of <br> LEL | Equivalent Methane Concentration <br> in Air (\% voI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Facility Structures | 25 | 1.25 |
| Property Boundary | 100 | 5.0 |

The only structures located onsite include the existing scale house and landfill office, as shown on Drawing 2 of the permit drawings, which are located within the existing C\&D landfill permit boundary.

Routine sampling of gas monitoring probes will be conducted on a quarterly basis in accordance with OAC 252:515-15-3(c). The monitoring frequency will remain the same but may increase if deemed necessary by Owner/Operator or DEQ.

## SCS ENGINEERS

### 4.0 EXPLOSIVE GAS MONTIORING

An explosive gas monitoring program has been implemented at the existing C\&D landfill, and will be implemented at the proposed landfill expansion in order to comply with DEQ regulations. The routine monitoring program includes monitoring of the gas monitoring probes and the structures within the two permit boundaries. The following sections outline the explosive gas monitoring program, including the gas monitoring probe network, monitoring equipment, monitoring procedures, gas monitoring probe inspection and maintenance, and recordkeeping.

### 4.1 EXPLOSIVE GAS MONITORING PROBE NETWORK

The gas monitoring probe network at the existing C\&D landfill consists of seventeen (17) gas monitoring probes (GP-1 through GP-4, GP-6A through GP-8A, and GP-9 through GP-18), as described in the Explosive Gas Monitoring Plan for Permit No. 3555050.

Following approval of this application, the gas monitoring probe network will be comprised of 24 gas monitoring probes installed sequentially as the proposed landfill is developed. The gas monitoring probe network will be as follows, as shown on Figure D. 1 in this plan:

- Fourteen (14) existing gas monitoring probes (GP-1 through GP-4, GP-6A through GP-8A, and GP-12 through GP-18) will remain in place, three existing gas probes will be decommissioned (GP-9, GP-10, and GP-11), and
- Ten (10) new gas monitoring probes (GP-9A through GP-11A, and GP-19 through GP-25) will be installed to a depth corresponding to the deepest placement of waste ( 1170 msl ). As such, these probes will range in depth from 12.6 to 39.8 feet below ground surface (bgs).

The new gas monitoring probes will be located within the permit boundary of the proposed landfill. The details (location and depth) and timeframe for installing the gas monitoring probes is provided in Table 2. Gas monitoring probes will be installed when cells are constructed within 1,000 feet of the proposed probe location. Gas monitoring probes will be installed and decommissioned in accordance with the Oklahoma Water Resources Board (OWRB) requirements detailed in OAC 785:35. Within 90 days of installation, as-built drawings of new probes will be submitted to the DEQ to demonstrate the probes were installed in accordance with OAC 252:515-15-4. New gas monitoring probes will be constructed in accordance with Figure D. 2 and the information provided in Table 2.

### 4.2 MONTIORING EQUIPMENT

A portable methane detection instrument (Landtec GEM-5000 or similar instrument) will be used to measure the concentration of methane in the gas monitoring probes and structures within the permit boundary. The instrument will be capable of sampling in an oxygen-deficient atmosphere. This type of instrument employs a meter calibrated to methane and is capable of measuring oxygen, methane, carbon dioxide, and balance gas. The instrument will be operated and calibrated according to the manufacturer's instructions.

### 4.3 MONTIORING PROCEDURES

Sampling of the gas monitoring probes and structures within the permit boundary will be conducted in accordance with DEQ regulations. A properly calibrated portable methane detection instrument will be used during quarterly monitoring events to sample each gas monitoring probe and within existing structures within the permit boundary. The equipment will be connected to the sampling port and a
sample will be continuously collected until the composition of the gas sample stabilizes. Once the gas composition stabilizes, the reading will be recorded. The methane detection instrument will give a direct reading of methane concentration by volume. Monitoring will be conducted under the oversight of the Landfill Manager by individuals trained in the use of the monitoring equipment and procedures or by a qualified consultant. Methane concentrations will be measured and recorded for each gas monitoring probe and structure within the permit boundary. Records of quarterly monitoring events will be maintained in the Site Operating Record. If monitoring results indicate that the regulatory limit of methane concentration has been exceeded, immediate action will be taken, as described in Section 5.1 of this attachment.

### 4.4 GAS MONITORING PROBE INSPECTION AND MAINIENANCE

During each explosive gas monitoring event, the integrity of the gas monitoring probes will be evaluated. Each gas monitoring probe will be inspected for the following:

- Probe number clearly labeled and permanently affixed to outer casing;
- Protective casing intact, straight, and not excessively corroded;
- Concrete pad intact;
- Functional padlock; and
- Inner casing is intact and properly capped.

If damage or excessive wear to a gas monitoring probe is observed during routine inspection and maintenance, an attempt will be made to mitigate or repair that probe in a timely fashion. If necessary, the damaged gas monitoring probe will be decommissioned and replaced with a new monitoring probe. Gas monitoring probes will be decommissioned in accordance with OWRB requirements detailed in OAC 785:35.

### 4.5 RECORDKEEPING

Results of quarterly monitoring events will be maintained in the Site Operating Record. If methane levels exceed the regulatory limits, described in Section 3, Owner/Operator will implement the steps outlined in Section 5.

### 5.0 CONTINGENCY PLAN

If methane gas levels exceed regulatory limits, Owner/Operator will implement a contingency plan to control off-site migration or to prevent continued migration into on-site structures. This will be conducted in accordance with DEQ rules and regulations.

### 5.1 IMMEDIATE ACTIONS

Upon initial detection of methane gas concentrations exceeding the regulatory limit in gas monitoring probes or on-site structures, the DEQ will be notified immediately and landfill staff will immediately take the necessary steps to ensure protection of public health and safety. This includes potentially evacuating any structure within 1,000 feet of the exceedance while further assessment of the potential for off-site migration is underway.

Upon completion of the required activities and no later than seven days from initial detection, a report describing the steps taken to protect public health and safety will be submitted to the DEQ.

### 5.2 CORRECTIVE ACTION

After the immediate safety issues have been addressed as described above, landfill staff will begin focusing on corrective action to address a long-term remedy to control methane migration. This action will be taken in accordance with DEQ consultation, rules, and regulations. Within 30 days of detection, a remediation plan describing the nature and extent of the problem and the proposed remedy will be submitted to DEQ.

The approved remediation plan shall be implemented within 60 days of the initial detection. The plan may be modified to meet current conditions, with the written approval of the DEQ. DEQ will also be notified in writing when the remediation plan has been implemented, and a copy is placed in the Site Operating Record. An alternate schedule for corrective actions may be acceptable if approved by the DEQ.

Table 2. Proposed Gas Monitoring Probe Network Summary

| Monitoring Probe | Northing | Easting | Surface Elevation (ft MSL) | Total Depth (ft bgs) | Bottom of Probe Elevation (ft MSL) | Timeframe for Installation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GP-9A | 183,164.07 | 2,147,930.26 | 1209.8 | 39.8 | 1170.0 | Phase 11 |
| GP-10A | 183,662.55 | 2,147,929.95 | 1201.9 | 31.9 | 1170.0 | Phase 11 |
| GP-11A | 182,741.28 | 2,150,137.61 | 1211.8 | 41.8 | 1170.0 | Phase 14 |
| GP-19 | 183,863.11 | 2,148,168.43 | 1184.6 | 14.6 | 1170.0 | Phase 11 |
| GP-20 | 183,826.97 | 2,148,668.41 | 1185.0 | 15.0 | 1170.0 | Phase 11 |
| GP-21 | 183,841.84 | 2,149,167.46 | 1197.1 | 27.1 | 1170.0 | Phase 11 |
| GP-22 | 183,850.79 | 2,149,666.51 | 1202.6 | 32.6 | 1170.0 | Phase 11 |
| GP-23 | 183,651.71 | 2,150,350.18 | 1204.4 | 34.4 | 1170.0 | Phase 14 |
| GP-24 | 183,853.90 | 2,150,165.56 | 1205.4 | 35.4 | 1170.0 | Phase 14 |
| GP-25 | 183,151.74 | 2,150,349.39 | 1210.5 | 40.5 | 1170.0 | Phase 14 |

Figures
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### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The proposed construction and demolition (C\&D) waste landfill is located at 2601 N . Midwest Boulevard in Spencer, Oklahoma County (see application narrative, Figure 1).

This Surface Water System Design Plan for the landfill has been prepared for existing and proposed C\&D landfill (landfill) consistent with Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) 252:51517 to convey post-development run-on and runoff from a 25 -year, 24 -hour storm event. As such, this plan describes the methodology for modeling the peak run-off from the landfill, and hydraulic calculations used for sizing the proposed surface water drainage and erosion control features. Permitlevel plans and details for the proposed surface water and erosion control features are presented in permit drawings of this application.

### 2.0 MEIHODOLOGY

### 2.1 HYDROLOGIC ANALYSIS MEIHODS

This subsection describes the hydrologic methodology used for the surface water system drainage design. Stormwater discharges are estimated for pre-development conditions and post-development conditions. Pre-development conditions are depicted on Drawing E1, while post-development conditions, which are representative of the landfill at final grade, are shown on Drawing E2. To evaluate impacts to existing drainage patterns, pre- and post-development peak flow rates were compared at offsite discharge locations. Based on review of pre-development conditions, surface water is discharged from the property at two (2) discharge points. Each discharge point from the property is referred herein as point-of-demonstration [POD] for comparison of the pre- and postdevelopment discharge rates. The comparison of pre- and post-development discharge rates at these PODs is summarized in Table E-3 of this submittal.

In accordance with OAC 252:515-17, the pre- and post-development hydrologic conditions were modeled for a 25 -year, 24 -hour storm event using the U.S. Army Corp of Engineers', Hydrologic Engineering Center's - Hydrologic Modeling System, Version 4.0 computer software (referred to as HEC-HMS). HEC-HMS was used to develop hydrographs for both the pre-development and postdevelopment conditions for computation of the peak flow rates, as described in the following subsections.

Peak flow rates were compared between the pre- and post-development conditions to evaluate potential impacts to existing drainage patterns, as described in Section 5. Additionally, the peak flow rates modeled using HEC-HMS were used in the design of the major surface water drainage features proposed for the landfill property (i.e., perimeter drainage channels, detention basins, and outlet structures), as described in Section 4.

### 2.1.1 Desc ription of HEC-HMS Computer Program

HEC-HMS is a Windows-based program incorporating analytical methods to simulate the surface runoff response of a watershed subjected to a design storm event. The HEC-HMS model represents a watershed as a network of hydrologic and hydraulic components. The modeling process results in the computation of hydrographs for surface water runoff, channel-flow, and detention basin storage within the watershed. HEC-HMS then combines and routes the hydrographs through user-defined up-and down-gradient drainage features to defined watershed outlets.

Input parameters for the HEC-HMS model are described below. The input parameters assumed for the HEC-HMS modeling are summarized in tables included in Attachment E-1. The tables include parameters for both the pre-development subbasins, post-development subbasins, channels, and detention basins.

### 2.1.1.1 Watershed Subbasins

Subbasins are generally assumed to be drainage areas that share similar run-on and runoff characteristics, surface features, and typically discharge to a single reach (i.e., channel), detention basin, or off-site discharge location. The on-site and off-site watershed subbasins and surrounding drainage features modeled using HEC-HMS are presented on Drawings E1 and E2, related to the predevelopment and post-development conditions, respectively.

### 2.1.1.2 Time Step

The time step, or the program computation interval, and model duration are used to develop the hydrographs. The time step interval determines the resolution of the model results computed during a model run. A time interval of one minute was used for the computations, resulting in 1,440 hydrograph ordinates per 24 -hour period. The model duration was set at 48 hours. This duration allowed precipitation from the design storm to exit the landfill property, with the exception of any stormwater retained in the basin sediment storage. This duration was also long enough that the hydrograph peak had clearly passed and that flows had decreased to a level at which a longer time period would yield no usable information.

### 2.1.1.3 Hypothetical Prec ipitation Distribution

The hypothetical precipitation distribution was derived from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Atlas 14. A Type II storm event with a return period of 25 years and duration of 24 hours was used for the hydrologic modeling. This storm event is associated with approximately 6.80 inches of precipitation, which was assumed to be evenly distributed across the entire watershed for the return period. A figure presenting the source of the precipitation data used in the model is included in Attachment E-1.

### 2.1.1.4 Prec ipitation Losses

Precipitation losses (the precipitation that does not contribute to runoff) were estimated in the HECHMS model by the National Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) Curve Number (CN) method. This method relates the hydrologic soil group to the CN as a function of soil type, land use, and antecedent moisture conditions. Input values for the method typically include the CN value, the initial abstraction, and the percent impervious area for each subbasin.

Initial abstraction (IA) represents the precipitation loss that occurs at the beginning of a storm event, prior to runoff beginning. The model allows either IA values to be input, or to be calculated by the model. For this analysis, the model calculated the IA values as a function of the CN method.

CN values for pre-development (areas not associated with the existing landfill disposal footprint) were selected based on the cover type. A CN value of 80 was used for post-development conditions and pre-development conditions associated with the existing landfill, which is a conservative assumption. References for CN values are provided in Attachment E-1. Based on Soil Survey Maps and subsurface explorations conducted as part of this permit application, on-site soils are predominantly silty and sandy loams. Therefore, Hydrologic Soil Group (HSG) B was used for curve number determination for pre-development conditions, with the exception of drainage areas associated with the existing landfill. As such, for pre- and post-development conditions associated with either the existing landfill or proposed landfill disposal areas, HSG C was conservatively used for final cover areas.

### 2.1.1.5 Routing and Hydrograph Methods

Numerous routing and hydrograph methods are available within the HEC-HMS model. The routing and hydrograph method represents the methodology used by the model to develop hydrographs for each subbasin, channel, and detention basin, which are then combined by the program to represent the watershed being analyzed. The specific routing and hydrograph methods used for the analysis are discussed in subsequent subsections. HEC-HMS routing and hydrograph methods were used to predict the peak flow rates (peak of hydrograph) associated with pre- and post-development conditions.

### 2.1.1.6 Subbasin Transform

NRCS Unit Hydrograph Transform Method - The NRCS Unit Hydrograph (UH) method was used for developing a hydrograph that develops surface runoff calculations for pre-development subbasins (areas not associated with the existing landfill disposal footprint) and small post-development subbasins that do not have main channels, which are required when using the Kinematic Wave Method. These post-development areas include the areas occupied by detention basins and landfill sideslope areas that discharge to perimeter channels.

The UH method generalizes the surface water flow of a drainage area into a dimensionless unit hydrograph, based on the ratio of discharge to UH peak discharge and the ratio of time to UH time to peak. The UH time to peak is dependent on the drainage area lag time, which is defined as the length of time between the centroid of precipitation mass and the peak flow of the resulting hydrograph. The input parameter for this method is the lag time, which is typically approximated by taking 60 percent of the time-of-concentration for these areas. Time of concentrations for these areas were estimated using the Velocity Method. As introduced in the USDA Part 630 Hydrology National Engineering Handbook Chapter 15 (May, 2010), the Velocity Method assumes that time of concentration is the sum of travel times for segments along the hydraulically most distant flow path. The segments used in the Velocity Method may be of three types: sheet flow, shallow concentrated flow, and open channel flow. Time of concentration is calculated for each segment and total time of concertation is used for lag time calculation. Equations used for sheet flow, shallow concentrated flow, and open channel flow are presented in the pre-development and post-development summary tables in Attachment E-1 along with the input parameters.

Kinematic Wave Transform Method - The Kinematic Wave method is designed principally for representing urban areas. It is a model that includes one or two representative planes. Typically, one plane is used for pervious surfaces and one for impervious. The same meteorological boundary conditions are applied to each plane. However, separate loss rate information is required for each plane. The Kinematic Wave Transform methodology was used to develop surface runoff calculations for developed pre-development and post-development subbasins located within the limits of waste of the landfill or areas outside the waste limit boundary, such as detention basins and other disturbed areas.

Various input parameters for the Kinematic Wave Transform method components are summarized below:

- Flow Plane: Requires the input of average flow plane length, slope, and surface roughness ( N , representative of land-use and ground cover). Parameters were determined from review of design final grades for post-development conditions.
- Collector: Requires the input of average collector length, average slope, Manning's " n ", channel geometry, and average contributing area. The average contributing area within the subbasin (drainage area) discharges into a single collector (drainage swale). The subbasin area is divided by this average contributing area to estimate the number of collectors within each subbasin. Parameters for collectors are based on the landfill completion design for postdevelopment conditions.
- Main Channel: Requires input of channel length, average slope, Manning's " $n$ ", and channel geometry. Parameters were determined from review of design final grades for postdevelopment conditions.

The pre- and post-development conditions (areas located with existing or proposed disposal areas) were separated into subbasins that are representative of either landfill sideslopes or areas outside the waste limit boundary, such as detention basins and other disturbed areas. The flow planes, collectors, and main channels for landfill topslopes and sideslopes are represented as follows:

- Flow planes are represented by the topslope area and/or areas between drainage swales proposed for the sideslopes.
- Flow planes discharge into collectors that are represented by the drainage swales.
- The collectors or drainage swales discharge into a main channel, which is represented by a downchute located in each sideslope subbasin.

It should be noted that the perimeter channels, into which the sideslope drainage features discharge, were evaluated using the Muskingum-Cunge method as discussed in Subsection 2.1.1.8. The input parameters, as described above, for overland flow planes, collectors, and main channels for each postdevelopment subbasin are summarized in Attachment E-1.

### 2.1.1.7 Detention Basin Routing

Detention basins are used in the design to reduce the combined peak flow rates from the postdevelopment subbasins to a level that will not adversely impact down-gradient properties, when compared to the pre-development conditions. Additionally, detention basins will provide off-site sedimentation control, as described in Subsection 4.2 of this attachment.

HEC-HMS is capable of modeling the effects of detention basins based on the inflow hydrographs using the Modified Puls method of storage routing. The program assumes level-pool routing, such that inflow into the detention basin is assumed to affect the entire basin immediately. Input parameters consist of elevation-area and elevation-discharge relationships for each detention basin. Elevation-areadischarge relationships are presented in Attachment E-1.

### 2.1.1.8 Perimeter Channel Routing

Perimeter channel routing in the HEC-HMS model was performed using the Muskingum-Cunge method. The Muskingum-Cunge method was selected based upon its ability to account for hydrograph attenuation inherent in the physical properties of the channel and the inflow hydrograph. The input parameters for the model are based on the length, channel geometry, and surface roughness of the channel. Input parameters for post-development drainage channels are summarized in Attachment $\mathrm{E}-1$.

Channel capacity, velocity, and peak flow depths for input onto the channel profile drawings were estimated using Manning's equation, as described in Subsection 2.2 of this plan.

### 2.2 HYDRAULC ANALYSIS MEIHODS

This section describes the methodology used for evaluating hydraulic parameters, including geometry and peak flow velocities, for the stormwater conveyance structures, such as drainage swales and downchutes, perimeter drainage channels, culverts, and detention basin outlet structures that will be constructed at the landfill.

### 2.2.1 Permissible Non-Erosive Velocities

The peak flow velocities calculated using the methodologies described herein were compared to the permissible non-erosive velocity for vegetated landfill slopes or drainage features. Landfill cover or drainage features experiencing erosive velocities (i.e., in excess of the defined non-erosive velocity) will be armored or protected using rip rap, turf reinforcement mat, or other suitable armoring materials.

In accordance with published literature, as provided with calculations in Attachment E-1, permissible non-erosive velocities are defined as velocities less than or equal to 6 feet per second (fps) for vegetated perimeter channels or drainage swales, and 5 fps for final cover slopes and downchutes.

### 2.2.2 Analysis of Drainage Swales and Downc hutes

Drainage swales and downchutes are structural controls used to convey runoff from the landfill cover to the perimeter drainage system and to reduce cover erosion by limiting uninterrupted flow lengths. These structures will be installed on final cover at the frequencies specified. These structures will be utilized on sideslopes to convey runoff to the installed perimeter drainage system and for control of erosion and offsite sedimentation.

Drainage swales will be installed following construction and placement of final cover to the representative grades coinciding with the elevations and/or maximum spacing between swales. The maximum horizontal spacing between swales will be 160 horizontal feet or 40 vertical feet on a $4 \mathrm{H}: 1 \mathrm{~V}$ slope. The installation schedule or frequency of drainage swales is based on potential soil loss, as described in Section 2.3. Drainage swales and downchutes on final cover will be installed at the locations depicted on Drawing E2.

The methodology for sizing drainage swales and downchutes is described below. Drainage swale and downchute details are depicted on Permit Drawings No. 23 and 24, respectively.

### 2.2.2.1 Rational Method

The Rational Method was used to estimate peak runoff from typical contributing areas for design of the drainage swales and downchutes installed on final cover. The Rational Method estimates the peak rate of runoff at any location in a watershed as a function of the drainage area, runoff coefficient, and mean rainfall intensity for a duration equal to the time-of-concentration (the time required for water to flow from the most remote point of the drainage area to the location being analyzed).

The Rational Method is expressed as the following in Oklahoma Department of Transportation (ODOT) Roadway Drainage Manual (2014):

Where, $\quad Q=$ maximum rate of runoff, cfs
$C_{f}=1.1$ for 25 -year
$C=$ runoff coefficient representing a ratio of runoff to rainfall
I = average rainfall intensity for a duration equal to the time-of-concentration, inches per hour
$A=$ drainage area contributing to the discharge location, acres
The runoff coefficient (C) used for the drainage swale and downchute analysis is described in the calculations provided in Attachment E-4. The 25-year rainfall intensity (I) was determined for Oklahoma County (Zone 5) from ODOT Roadway Drainage Manual (Chapter 7) assuming a minimum time-ofconcentration (tc) of 10 minutes for sizing landfill drainage swales and downchutes.

### 2.2.2.2 Manning's Equation for Uniform Fow

Hydraulic analysis of the drainage swale and downchute geometry was performed using Manning's uniform flow equation. The uniform flow assumption used by Manning's equation is applicable to long prismatic channels of uniform slope, such as those proposed for the drainage swales or downchutes.

The general form of Manning's equation is:

$$
\mathrm{V}=\frac{1.49 \mathrm{R}^{0.667} \mathrm{~S}^{0.5}}{\mathrm{n}}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{V}=$ Velocity of flow, fps

$$
\mathrm{n}=\text { Manning's "n" }
$$

$\mathrm{R}=$ Hydraulic Radius, ft , or

$$
R=\frac{A}{P}
$$

$\mathrm{S}=$ Friction slope for non-uniform flow or channel slope for uniform flow, $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$
$A=A r e a$ of water perpendicular to direction of flow, sf
$\mathrm{P}=$ Wetted perimeter, ft
Using the relationship Q = VA, Manning's equation can be written as:

$$
\mathrm{Q}=\frac{1.49 \mathrm{AR}^{0.667} \mathrm{~S}^{0.5}}{\mathrm{n}}
$$

The uniform flow assumption equates the slope of the structure to the friction slope. Therefore, the slope of the channel can be used for "S" in Manning's equation for computation of uniform flow. Using the peak flow rate for a 25 -year storm event calculated using the Rational Method (described above), the velocity and peak flow depth within drainage swales and downchutes was calculated using Manning's equation.

The following assumptions were used when evaluating the peak velocity with drainage swales and downchutes:

- Drainage swales will be grass-lined for velocities less than or equal to 6 fps . These structures were designed assuming a Manning's " n " of 0.027 .
- When velocities exceed permissible velocities, typically downchutes and some channels, the structure will be lined with armoring materials, as described below.
- Armoring materials will be geomembrane or HydroTurf for downchutes. Downchutes were designed assuming a Manning's "n" of 0.027, as this surface roughness provides the greatest flow depth within the respective structure for the referenced armoring material.
- Energy dissipation in the form of rip rap or dissipation blocks will be installed at the confluence of downchutes and the landfill toe of slope and/or perimeter drainage channels.

Both the drainage swale and downchute cross-sections will be designed with a minimum 1-foot freeboard and will be capable of retaining the peak flow rate, as calculated using the rational method described above. A peak flow analysis was performed for drainage swales and downchutes installed on final cover. Calculations using Manning's equation for the hydraulic properties of the drainage swales and downchutes were performed using the AutoCAD Civil 3D Hydraflow Express Extension (2018). This flow analysis and the Hydraflow Express output summary sheets for these calculations are presented in Appendix E-4.

### 2.2.3 Row Capacity of Perimeter Drainage Channels

Perimeter drainage channels were designed to convey runoff from the developed landfill property to down-gradient detention basins or offsite discharge locations. The peak flow rates obtained from HECHMS for contributing subbasins were used to evaluate the flow capacity of the perimeter drainage channels. Hydraflow Express was used to confirm that the designed channel geometry, depth, and invert slope will provide sufficient capacity to discharge the 25 -year, 24 -hour storm event. The following assumptions were incorporated into the channel modeling:

- Manning's coefficient values of 0.027 for grass-lined channels or 0.033 for rip rap or TRMlined channels was used for the analysis.
- Channels were designed with trapezoidal cross-sections with $2 \mathrm{H}: 1 \mathrm{~V}$ sideslopes.
- Each channel was analyzed for peak flow for the 25 -year, 24 -hour storm event, and then a minimum 1 -foot of freeboard above the flow depth associated with the peak flow rate was added to the channel design.

Information derived from the Hydraflow Express output files includes channel flow depth and peak velocity at the peak flow conditions. The respective Hydraflow Express output files for each of the perimeter channels are included in Attachment E-4.

### 2.2.4 Detention Basin Outlet Structures

As part of this permit modification application, the surface water drainage system for the NELF will now have two detention ponds (South Pond and Southeast Pond) in series located to the south of the existing C\&D disposal area. The South Pond will discharge to Southeast Pond via two (2), 24-inch diameter corrugated metal pipes (CMPs). The Southeast Pond is a zero-discharge pond during the design storm event. Two detention ponds (North Pond and Northwest Pond) in series will be located on the north side of the proposed landfill. The North pond will discharge to the Northwest Pond with three (3), 36-inch diameter concrete culverts. The Northwest Pond has one discharge point, where it
will discharge to a location to the northwest of the landfill (i.e., Point of Discharge, POD-1) via seven (7), 30-inch concrete culverts.

Elevation-area-discharge relationships were developed for each detention basin based on varying hydraulic heads on each specific outlet structure configuration. These elevation-area-discharge relationships were input into the HEC-HMS model for routing runoff through the detention basins and ultimately to offsite discharge location. The discharge relationships for each detention basin are provided in Attachment E-1 of this Appendix. Permit Drawing No. 24 depicts the detention basin outlet structure, including each outlet elevation and dimensions. This information is also summarized in Section 4 of this attachment.

### 2.3 SOIL LOSS ANALYSIS MEIHODS

The Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE)/Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE) was used to calculate the soil loss resulting from precipitation contacting the final cover. The estimated soil loss was compared to the permissible soil loss for final cover, in accordance with published literature. The USLE/RUSLE is an empirical equation which estimates soil losses from rainfall and runoff. The USLE was developed by statistical analysis of many plot-years of rainfall, runoff, and sediment loss data from many small plots located around the country. The USLE is supported by the National Resource Conservation Service (NRCS). The Universal Soil Loss Equation is:

|  | A=RKLSCP |
| :---: | :---: |
| Where | A = average annual soil loss (tons/acre/ year) |
|  | $R=$ rainfall and runoff erosivity index for a given location |
|  | $\mathrm{K}=$ soil erodibility factor |
|  | $L$ = slope length factor |
|  | S = slope steepness factor |
|  | $\mathrm{C}=$ cover and management factor |
|  | $\mathrm{P}=$ erosion control practice factor |

The input parameters into the USLE/RUSLE and soil loss calculations for are presented in Attachment $\mathrm{E}-4$ of this appendix.

The purpose of calculating the soil loss from final cover is to evaluate the frequency (i.e., spacing between drainage swales) at which the drainage swales must be installed to maintain soil loss at less than or equal to 3 tons/acre/year (maximum permissible soil loss recommended for final cover slopes). The analysis for the topslope is based on the greatest flow length on the 4 percent topslope. Drainage swales on final cover sideslopes will be installed at a maximum spacing of 160 horizontal feet or 40 vertical feet, assuming a $4 \mathrm{H}: 1 \mathrm{~V}$ sideslope. Soil loss calculations for final cover were based on the assumption that vegetation would be established following application of final cover, and that the vegetation would provide approximately 90 percent ground coverage. Based on the results, the maximum erosion potential of the final cover was estimated to be 0.20 tons/acre/year and 1.8 tons/acre/year on the topslope and sideslope, respectively.

### 3.0 PRE-DEVELOPMENTCONDITIONS

The pre-development conditions are defined as existing drainage patterns associated with the upstream drainage areas of adjacent properties that contribute run-on to the permit boundary property, and the existing permitted landfill, including drainage areas, drainage swales/downchutes, and channels, consistent with the previously approved Tier I Permit Modification, Vertical Expansion of the Northeast C\&D Landfill, dated June 2013 prepared by Shepard Engineering.

Surface water from the southern portion of the existing disposal area flows into one existing pond located to the south of the disposal area. According to the previously approved Tier 1 Permit Modification, the existing pond of the C\&D disposal area was designed with 2 -feet freeboard and no discharge structures. As such, the South Pond was designed to be a zero-discharge pond. Therefore, the majority of the southern portion of the existing landfill area does not contribute to the predevelopment flow discharging to POD-2. Drainage area, Pre_A_South, is an area south of the existing C\&D disposal area that sheet flows off the property to POD-2.

Surface water from the existing C\&D disposal areas, including northern drainage areas, areas discharging to the eastern perimeter channels, portion of the western perimeter channels, and the entire expansion area (i.e, north of the existing C\&D disposal area) flows into a natural stream within the expansion area footprint. This natural stream flows from east to northwest across the property discharging to POD-1 and then flows towards the nearby Cutcho Creek. There are five off-site run-on areas that are adjacent to the proposed expansion area that discharge to the stream within the expansion area. OFS-A1-North and OFS-A2-North, each to the north of the proposed expansion area, are direct run-on areas to the on-site stream. OFS-A-East area flow enters the proposed expansion through a 36 -in by 48 -in elliptical corrugated metal culvert, and discharges to the on-site stream. OFS-B1-East and OFS-B2-East areas each sheet flow into the expansion area, and discharge to the on-site stream.

Pre-development conditions, including on- and off-site drainage areas and POD-1 and 2 locations are depicted on Drawing E1.

### 4.0 POST-DEVELOPMENTCONDIIONS

Post-development conditions with delineated drainage areas and direction of surface water flow to each detention basin and POD are depicted on Drawing E2. Additionally, a general layout of the postdevelopment drainage system, including perimeter drainage channels and detention basins, is also presented on Drawing E2. As shown, rainfall contacting the landfill topslope and sideslopes will be collected as runoff in drainage swales located at set intervals (see Section 2.2.2) on the $4 \mathrm{H}: 1 \mathrm{~V}$ sideslopes. Runoff will flow within the drainage swales, roughly parallel to the slope, into downchutes that will convey runoff to the toe of the landfill and into the perimeter drainage channels or discharge directly into detention basins.

The perimeter drainage channels discharge into one of three detention basins: South Pond, North Pond, and Northwest Pond. East perimeter channels discharge into the North Pond, which discharges to the Northwest Pond with three (3), 36-inch diameter concrete culverts. West perimeter channels primarily discharge into the Northwest Pond, with exception to a small section of the west perimeter channels which discharge into the South Pond. The Northwest Pond discharges through the basin outlet structure, comprised of seven (7), 30-inch diameter concrete culverts, towards POD-1. The south perimeter channels and a portion of the west perimeter channels discharge into the South Pond. The existing South Pond of the C\&D disposal area has been modified as two separate larger South and Southeast Ponds, in series, as part of this permit application. The South and Southeast Ponds have been designed to control the corresponding final cover area consistent with the drainage areas depicted on Drawing E2. The South Pond will discharge to Southeast Pond via two (2), 24-inch diameter CMP culverts. There is no outlet structure for the Southeast Pond, as this is a zero-discharge pond consistent with the pre-development conditions.

### 4.1 PERIMEIER CHANNEL DESIGN

The channels were designed to have peak flow velocities of less than 6 feet per second where only vegetation is proposed for the channel lining. For velocities greater than approximately 6 feet per second, the channels were designed with either rip rap lining or TRM. The hydraulic analysis of the perimeter drainage channels is described in Subsection 2.2.3. As described in this subsection, the peak flow rates in the channels were determined from the HEC-HMS output for the respective contributing drainage areas. The peak velocity and flow depth within each channel were calculated using HydraFlow Express, based on the proposed channel geometry. A summary of the channel design parameters, which were incorporated into HEC-HMS and HydraFlow Express, are included in Attachment E-1. Additionally, the HydraFlow output files for each channel are included in Attachment E-4. A typical cross-section is presented on Permit Drawing No.23.

### 4.2 DEIENSION BASIN DESIGN

The South and Southeast Ponds have been designed to control the corresponding final cover area consistent with the drainage areas depicted on Drawing E2. The South Pond will discharge to Southeast Pond via two (2), 24 -inch diameter CMP culverts. There is no outlet structure for the Southeast Pond, as this is a zero-discharge pond. The North Pond and Northwest Pond have been designed for storm water routed from the proposed C\&D landfill based on the post-development runoff characteristics modeled in HEC-HMS, as described in Section 2 of this attachment. The North Pond will discharge to Northwest Pond via three (3), 36 -inch diameter concrete culverts. The outlet structure of the Northwest Pond will be comprised of seven, 30 -inch diameter concrete culverts discharging to POD-1. Additionally, each detention basin has been designed with a minimum 1-foot of freeboard to prevent overtopping during the design storm event.

Elevation-area-discharge relationships for the basins are presented in Attachment E-1. HEC-HMS output for the detention basins, including the peak discharge, storage, and pool depth associated with the 25 -year, 24 -hour storm event is presented in Attachment E-3. This information also has been summarized in Table E-1. Detention basin layout plans are depicted on Permit Drawing No. 22.

### 4.3 BASIN OUTLTSTRUCTURE DESIGN

The South Pond discharges into the Southeast Pond, which has no discharge consistent with the current Storm Water Drainage Design Plan for the C\&D Landfill (Permit No. 3555050) and as shown in post-development HEC-HMS output file (Attachment E-3). The North Pond discharges into the Northwest Pond, which discharge to off-site at POD-1. Peak discharge rates were calculated for North and Northwest Pond's detention basin outlet structures, as described in Subsection 2.2.4 of this submittal. As previously described, the peak discharge rates were incorporated into the elevation-area-discharge relationships used in the HEC-HMS model, as provided in Attachment E-1. Design details for the culverts are shown on Permit Drawing No. 24. Additionally, Table E-2 describes the culvert outlet dimensions/elevations.

Table E-1. Detention Basin Peak Storage and Discharge

| Detention <br> Basin | Discharge Points | Peak Storage <br> $($ acre-feet) |  | Peak Pool Depth <br> $(\mathrm{ft} \mathrm{msl})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Pond | Northwest Pond | 6.6 | 1199.0 | Peak Discharge <br> (cfs) |
| Northwest Pond | POD-1 | 7.4 | 1175.7 | 211.0 |
| South Pond | Southeast Pond | 4.9 | 1200.5 | 8.7 |
| Southeast Pond | N/A | 4.0 | 1201.0 | 0.0 |

Table E-2. Culvert Outlet Configuration Summary

| Detention |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Basin | Discharge <br> Points | Basin Invert <br> Elevation <br> $(\mathrm{ft} \mathrm{msl})$ | Diameter <br> of Pipe <br> (inches) | Number of <br> Culverts | Downstream <br> Invert <br> Elevation (ft <br> msI) | Culvert <br> Length <br> $(\mathrm{ft})$ |
| North Pond | Northwest <br> Pond | 1191.0 | 36 | 3 | 1176.0 | 250 |
| Northwest Pond | POD-1 | 1167.0 | 30 | 7 | 1166.0 | 100 |
| South Pond | Southeast <br> Pond | 1194 | 24 | 2 | 1199.0 | 80 |

### 4.4 NORIH OF-SITE RUN-ON CONTROLCHANNEL

A run-on control channel was designed along the north property boundary of the C\&D expansion, which will convey run-on from drainage areas OFS_A_North, OFS_A_East, and OFS_B_East to POD-1 consistent with pre-development drainage conditions. This run-on control channel allows the diversion of stormwater from these off-site drainage areas without impacting the proposed landfill surface water management system design. The North Run-on Control Channel will have peak flow velocities of less than 6 feet per second during the design storm event, as such vegetation is proposed for the channel lining. The hydraulic analysis of the North Run-on Control Channel is included in Attachment E-4.

### 5.0 SUMMARY OF RESULTS

The pre-development subbasins, as described in Section 3, are presented on Drawing E1. Input parameters for the HEC-HMS modeling performed for pre-development conditions are presented in tables included in Attachment E-1. The results of HEC-HMS modeling of the pre-development conditions are included in Attachment E-2.

Post-development conditions are represented by the fully developed landfill, with final closure having been completed, and all drainage features in-place and operational, as described in Section 4 and presented on Drawing E2. The post-development discharge conditions were analyzed at the same POD as pre-development conditions. Input parameters for the HEC-HMS modeling performed for postdevelopment conditions are presented in tables included in Attachment E-1. The results of HEC-HMS modeling of the post-development conditions are included in Attachment E-3.

The pre- and post-development peak discharge rates are summarized in Table E-3. As shown in this table, the landfill development will not result in significant increases in peak discharge rates at the PODs as demonstrated by comparison of the pre- and post-development conditions. Therefore, no adverse impact to existing drainage patterns will result from the proposed landfill development.

Discharge velocities from the property will be below the 6 feet per second threshold, which typically is considered the threshold for erosion damage. This will be accomplished by dissipating discharge velocities at the basin outlet structures prior to off-site discharge. Velocity dissipation from the outlet structures will be provided by either rip rap blankets, dissipation blocks or stilling basins installed at the outlet of each structure.

Ta ble E-3. Comparison of Pre- and Post-Development Peak Discharge Rates

| Discharge Point | Peak Pre-Development <br> Discharge Rate (cfs) | Peak Post-Development <br> Discharge Rate (cfs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| POD-1 | 487.5 | 396.4 |
| POD-2 | 13.2 | 10.9 |

### 2.0 METHODOLOGY

### 2.1 HYDROLOGIC ANALYSIS METHODS

This subsection describes the hydrologic methodology used for the surface water system drainage design. Stormwater discharges are estimated for pre-development conditions and post-development conditions. Pre-development conditions are depicted on Drawing E1, while post-development conditions, which are representative of the landfill at final grade, are shown on Drawing E2. To evaluate impacts to existing drainage patterns, pre- and post-development peak flow rates were compared at offsite discharge locations. Based on review of pre-development conditions, surface water is discharged from the property at two (2) discharge points. Each discharge point from the property is referred herein as point-of-demonstration [POD] for comparison of the pre- and postdevelopment discharge rates. The comparison of pre- and post-development discharge rates at these PODs is summarized in Table E-3 of this submittal.

In accordance with OAC 252:515-17, the pre- and post-development hydrologic conditions were modeled for a 25 -year, 24 -hour storm event using the U.S. Army Corp of Engineers', Hydrologic Engineering Center's - Hydrologic Modeling System, Version 4.0 computer software (referred to as HEC-HMS). HEC-HMS was used to develop hydrographs for both the pre-development and postdevelopment conditions for computation of the peak flow rates, as described in the following subsections.

Peak flow rates were compared between the pre- and post-development conditions to evaluate potential impacts to existing drainage patterns, as described in Section 5. Additionally, the peak flow rates modeled using HEC-HMS were used in the design of the major surface water drainage features proposed for the landfill property (i.e., perimeter drainage channels, detention basins, and outlet structures), as described in Section 4.

### 2.1.1 Description of HEC-HMS Computer Program

HEC-HMS is a Windows-based program incorporating analytical methods to simulate the surface runoff response of a watershed subjected to a design storm event. The HEC-HMS model represents a watershed as a network of hydrologic and hydraulic components. The modeling process results in the computation of hydrographs for surface water runoff, channel-flow, and detention basin storage within the watershed. HEC-HMS then combines and routes the hydrographs through user-defined up- and down-gradient drainage features to defined watershed outlets.

Input parameters for the HEC-HMS model are described below. The input parameters assumed for the HEC-HMS modeling are summarized in tables included in Attachment E-1. The tables include parameters for both the pre-development subbasins, post-development subbasins, channels, and detention basins.

### 2.1.1.1 Watershed Subbasins

Subbasins are generally assumed to be drainage areas that share similar run-on and runoff characteristics, surface features, and typically discharge to a single reach (i.e., channel), detention basin, or off-site discharge location. The on-site and off-site watershed subbasins and surrounding drainage features modeled using HEC-HMS are presented on Drawings E1 and E2, related to the predevelopment and post-development conditions, respectively.

### 2.1.1.2 Time Step

The time step, or the program computation interval, and model duration are used to develop the hydrographs. The time step interval determines the resolution of the model results computed during a model run. A time interval of one minute was used for the computations, resulting in 1,440 hydrograph ordinates per 24 -hour period. The model duration was set at 48 hours. This duration allowed precipitation from the design storm to exit the landfill property, with the exception of any stormwater retained in the basin sediment storage. This duration was also long enough that the hydrograph peak had clearly passed and that flows had decreased to a level at which a longer time period would yield no usable information.

### 2.1.1.3 Hypothetical Precipitation Distribution

The hypothetical precipitation distribution was derived from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Atlas 14. A Type II storm event with a return period of 25 years and duration of 24 hours was used for the hydrologic modeling. This storm event is associated with approximately 6.80 inches of precipitation, which was assumed to be evenly distributed across the entire watershed for the return period. A figure presenting the source of the precipitation data used in the model is included in Attachment E-1.

### 2.1.1.4 Precipitation Losses

Precipitation losses (the precipitation that does not contribute to runoff) were estimated in the HECHMS model by the National Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) Curve Number (CN) method. This method relates the hydrologic soil group to the CN as a function of soil type, land use, and antecedent moisture conditions. Input values for the method typically include the CN value, the initial abstraction, and the percent impervious area for each subbasin.

Initial abstraction (IA) represents the precipitation loss that occurs at the beginning of a storm event, prior to runoff beginning. The model allows either IA values to be input, or to be calculated by the model. For this analysis, the model calculated the IA values as a function of the CN method.

CN values for pre-development (areas not associated with the existing landfill disposal footprint) were selected based on the cover type. A CN value of 80 was used for post-development conditions and pre-development conditions associated with the existing landfill, which is a conservative assumption. References for CN values are provided in Attachment E-1. Based on Soil Survey Maps and subsurface explorations conducted as part of this permit application, on-site soils are predominantly silty and sandy loams. Therefore, Hydrologic Soil Group (HSG) B was used for curve number determination for pre-development conditions, with the exception of drainage areas associated with the existing landfill. As such, for pre- and post-development conditions associated with either the existing landfill or proposed landfill disposal areas, HSG C was conservatively used for final cover areas.

### 2.1.1.5 Routing and Hydrograph Methods

Numerous routing and hydrograph methods are available within the HEC-HMS model. The routing and hydrograph method represents the methodology used by the model to develop hydrographs for each subbasin, channel, and detention basin, which are then combined by the program to represent the watershed being analyzed. The specific routing and hydrograph methods used for the analysis are discussed in subsequent subsections. HEC-HMS routing and hydrograph methods were used to predict the peak flow rates (peak of hydrograph) associated with pre- and post-development conditions.

### 2.1.1.6 Subbasin Transform

NRCS Unit Hydrograph Transform Method - The NRCS Unit Hydrograph (UH) method was used for developing a hydrograph that develops surface runoff calculations for pre-development subbasins (areas not associated with the existing landfill disposal footprint) and small post-development subbasins that do not have main channels, which are required when using the Kinematic Wave Method. These post-development areas include the areas occupied by detention basins and landfill sideslope areas that discharge to perimeter channels.

The UH method generalizes the surface water flow of a drainage area into a dimensionless unit hydrograph, based on the ratio of discharge to UH peak discharge and the ratio of time to UH time to peak. The UH time to peak is dependent on the drainage area lag time, which is defined as the length of time between the centroid of precipitation mass and the peak flow of the resulting hydrograph. The input parameter for this method is the lag time, which is typically approximated by taking 60 percent of the time-of-concentration for these areas. Time of concentrations for these areas were estimated using the Velocity Method. As introduced in the USDA Part 630 Hydrology National Engineering Handbook Chapter 15 (May, 2010), the Velocity Method assumes that time of concentration is the sum of travel times for segments along the hydraulically most distant flow path. The segments used in the Velocity Method may be of three types: sheet flow, shallow concentrated flow, and open channel flow. Time of concentration is calculated for each segment and total time of concertation is used for lag time calculation. Equations used for sheet flow, shallow concentrated flow, and open channel flow are presented in the pre-development and post-development summary tables in Attachment E-1 along with the input parameters.

Kinematic Wave Transform Method - The Kinematic Wave method is designed principally for representing urban areas. It is a model that includes one or two representative planes. Typically, one plane is used for pervious surfaces and one for impervious. The same meteorological boundary conditions are applied to each plane. However, separate loss rate information is required for each plane. The Kinematic Wave Transform methodology was used to develop surface runoff calculations for developed pre-development and post-development subbasins located within the limits of waste of the landfill or areas outside the waste limit boundary, such as detention basins and other disturbed areas.

Various input parameters for the Kinematic Wave Transform method components are summarized below:

- Flow Plane: Requires the input of average flow plane length, slope, and surface roughness (N, representative of land-use and ground cover). Parameters were determined from review of design final grades for post-development conditions.
- Collector: Requires the input of average collector length, average slope, Manning's " n ", channel geometry, and average contributing area. The average contributing area within the subbasin (drainage area) discharges into a single collector (drainage swale). The subbasin area is divided by this average contributing area to estimate the number of collectors within each subbasin. Parameters for collectors are based on the landfill completion design for postdevelopment conditions.
- Main Channel: Requires input of channel length, average slope, Manning’s "n", and channel geometry. Parameters were determined from review of design final grades for postdevelopment conditions.

The pre- and post-development conditions (areas located with existing or proposed disposal areas) were separated into subbasins that are representative of either landfill sideslopes or areas outside the waste limit boundary, such as detention basins and other disturbed areas. The flow planes, collectors, and main channels for landfill topslopes and sideslopes are represented as follows:

- Flow planes are represented by the topslope area and/or areas between drainage swales proposed for the sideslopes.
- Flow planes discharge into collectors that are represented by the drainage swales.
- The collectors or drainage swales discharge into a main channel, which is represented by a downchute located in each sideslope subbasin.

It should be noted that the perimeter channels, into which the sideslope drainage features discharge, were evaluated using the Muskingum-Cunge method as discussed in Subsection 2.1.1.8. The input parameters, as described above, for overland flow planes, collectors, and main channels for each postdevelopment subbasin are summarized in Attachment E-1.

### 2.1.1.7 Detention Basin Routing

Detention basins are used in the design to reduce the combined peak flow rates from the postdevelopment subbasins to a level that will not adversely impact down-gradient properties, when compared to the pre-development conditions. Additionally, detention basins will provide off-site sedimentation control, as described in Subsection 4.2 of this attachment.

HEC-HMS is capable of modeling the effects of detention basins based on the inflow hydrographs using the Modified Puls method of storage routing. The program assumes level-pool routing, such that inflow into the detention basin is assumed to affect the entire basin immediately. Input parameters consist of elevation-area and elevation-discharge relationships for each detention basin. Elevation-areadischarge relationships are presented in Attachment E-1.

### 2.1.1.8 Perimeter Channel Routing

Perimeter channel routing in the HEC-HMS model was performed using the Muskingum-Cunge method. The Muskingum-Cunge method was selected based upon its ability to account for hydrograph attenuation inherent in the physical properties of the channel and the inflow hydrograph. The input parameters for the model are based on the length, channel geometry, and surface roughness of the channel. Input parameters for post-development drainage channels are summarized in Attachment E-1.

Channel capacity, velocity, and peak flow depths for input onto the channel profile drawings were estimated using Manning's equation, as described in Subsection 2.2 of this plan.

### 2.2 HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS METHODS

This section describes the methodology used for evaluating hydraulic parameters, including geometry and peak flow velocities, for the stormwater conveyance structures, such as drainage swales and downchutes, perimeter drainage channels, culverts, and detention basin outlet structures that will be constructed at the landfill.

### 2.2.1 Permissible Non-Erosive Velocities

The peak flow velocities calculated using the methodologies described herein were compared to the permissible non-erosive velocity for vegetated landfill slopes or drainage features. Landfill cover or drainage features experiencing erosive velocities (i.e., in excess of the defined non-erosive velocity) will be armored or protected using gabions, rip rap, turf reinforcement mat, or other suitable armoring materials.

In accordance with published literature, as provided with calculations in Attachment E-1, permissible non-erosive velocities are defined as velocities less than or equal to 6 feet per second (fps) for vegetated perimeter channels or drainage swales, and 5 fps for final cover slopes and downchutes.

### 2.2.2 Analysis of Drainage Swales and Downchutes

Drainage swales and downchutes are structural controls used to convey runoff from the landfill cover to the perimeter drainage system and to reduce cover erosion by limiting uninterrupted flow lengths. These structures will be installed on final cover at the frequencies specified. These structures will be utilized on sideslopes to convey runoff to the installed perimeter drainage system and for control of erosion and offsite sedimentation.

Drainage swales will be installed following construction and placement of final cover to the representative grades coinciding with the elevations and/or maximum spacing between swales. The maximum horizontal spacing between swales will be 160 horizontal feet or 40 vertical feet on a $4 \mathrm{H}: 1 \mathrm{~V}$ slope. The installation schedule or frequency of drainage swales is based on potential soil loss, as described in Section 2.3. Drainage swales and downchutes on final cover will be installed at the locations depicted on Drawing E2.

The methodology for sizing drainage swales and downchutes is described below. Drainage swale and downchute details are depicted on Permit Drawings No. 23 and 24, respectively.

### 2.2.2.1 Rational Method

The Rational Method was used to estimate peak runoff from typical contributing areas for design of the drainage swales and downchutes installed on final cover. The Rational Method estimates the peak rate of runoff at any location in a watershed as a function of the drainage area, runoff coefficient, and mean rainfall intensity for a duration equal to the time-of-concentration (the time required for water to flow from the most remote point of the drainage area to the location being analyzed).

The Rational Method is expressed as the following in Oklahoma Department of Transportation (ODOT) Roadway Drainage Manual (2014):

$$
\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{CIA}
$$

Where, $\quad Q=$ maximum rate of runoff, cfs
$C_{f}=1.1$ for 25 -year
$\mathrm{C}=$ runoff coefficient representing a ratio of runoff to rainfall
I = average rainfall intensity for a duration equal to the time-of-concentration, inches per hour
A = drainage area contributing to the discharge location, acres

The runoff coefficient (C) used for the drainage swale and downchute analysis is described in the calculations provided in Attachment E-4. The 25-year rainfall intensity (I) was determined for Oklahoma County (Zone 5) from ODOT Roadway Drainage Manual (Chapter 7) assuming a minimum time-ofconcentration (tc) of 10 minutes for sizing landfill drainage swales and downchutes.

### 2.2.2.2 Manning's Equation for Uniform Flow

Hydraulic analysis of the drainage swale and downchute geometry was performed using Manning's uniform flow equation. The uniform flow assumption used by Manning's equation is applicable to long prismatic channels of uniform slope, such as those proposed for the drainage swales or downchutes.

The general form of Manning's equation is:

$$
\mathrm{V}=\frac{1.49 \mathrm{R}^{0.667} \mathrm{~S}^{0.5}}{\mathrm{n}}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{V}=$ Velocity of flow, fps

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{n}=\text { Manning’s "n" } \\
& \mathrm{R}=\text { Hydraulic Radius, ft, or }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
R=\frac{A}{P}
$$

$\mathrm{S}=$ Friction slope for non-uniform flow or channel slope for uniform flow, $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$
$\mathrm{A}=$ Area of water perpendicular to direction of flow, sf
$\mathrm{P}=$ Wetted perimeter, ft
Using the relationship $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{VA}$, Manning's equation can be written as:

$$
\mathrm{Q}=\frac{1.49 \mathrm{AR}^{0.667} \mathrm{~S}^{0.5}}{\mathrm{n}}
$$

The uniform flow assumption equates the slope of the structure to the friction slope. Therefore, the slope of the channel can be used for "S" in Manning's equation for computation of uniform flow. Using the peak flow rate for a 25 -year storm event calculated using the Rational Method (described above), the velocity and peak flow depth within drainage swales and downchutes was calculated using Manning's equation.

The following assumptions were used when evaluating the peak velocity with drainage swales and downchutes:

- Drainage swales will be grass-lined for velocities less than or equal to 6 fps . These structures were designed assuming a Manning's " n " of 0.027 .
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- When velocities exceed permissible velocities, typically downchutes and some channels, the structure will be lined with armoring materials, as described below.
- Armoring materials will be geomembrane or hydroturf for downchutes. Downchutes were designed assuming a Manning's "n" of 0.027 , as this surface roughness provides the greatest flow depth within the respective structure for the referenced armoring material.
- Energy dissipation in the form of gabions, rip rap, or dissipation blocks will be installed at the confluence of downchutes and the landfill toe of slope and/or perimeter drainage channels.

Both the drainage swale and downchute cross-sections will be designed with a minimum 1-foot freeboard and will be capable of retaining the peak flow rate, as calculated using the rational method described above. A peak flow analysis was performed for drainage swales and downchutes installed on final cover. Calculations using Manning's equation for the hydraulic properties of the drainage swales and downchutes were performed using the AutoCAD Civil 3D Hydraflow Express Extension (2018). This flow analysis and the Hydraflow Express output summary sheets for these calculations are presented in Appendix E-4.

### 2.2.3 Flow Capacity of Perimeter Drainage Channels

Perimeter drainage channels were designed to convey runoff from the developed landfill property to down-gradient detention basins or offsite discharge locations. The peak flow rates obtained from HECHMS for contributing subbasins were used to evaluate the flow capacity of the perimeter drainage channels. Hydraflow Express was used to confirm that the designed channel geometry, depth, and invert slope will provide sufficient capacity to discharge the 25-year, 24 -hour storm event. The following assumptions were incorporated into the channel modeling:

- Manning's coefficient values of 0.027 for grass-lined channels or 0.033 for rip rap or TRMlined channels was used for the analysis.
- Channels were designed with trapezoidal cross-sections with $2 \mathrm{H}: 1 \mathrm{~V}$ sideslopes.
- Each channel was analyzed for peak flow for the 25-year, 24-hour storm event, and then a minimum 1-foot of freeboard above the flow depth associated with the peak flow rate was added to the channel design.

Information derived from the Hydraflow Express output files includes channel flow depth and peak velocity at the peak flow conditions. The respective Hydraflow Express output files for each of the perimeter channels are included in Attachment E-4.

### 2.2.4 Detention Basin Outlet Structures

As part of this permit modification application, the surface water drainage system for the NELF will now have two detention ponds (South Pond and Southeast Pond) in series located to the south of the existing C\&D disposal area. The South Pond will discharge to Southeast Pond via two (2), 24-inch diameter corrugated metal pipes (CMPs). The Southeast Pond is a zero-discharge pond during the design storm event. Two detention ponds (North Pond and Northwest Pond) in series will be located on the north side of the proposed landfill. The North pond will discharge to the Northwest Pond with three (3), 36-inch diameter concrete culverts. The Northwest Pond has one discharge point, where it
will discharge to a location to the northwest of the landfill (i.e., Point of Discharge, POD-1) via seven (7), 30-inch concrete culverts.

Elevation-area-discharge relationships were developed for each detention basin based on varying hydraulic heads on each specific outlet structure configuration. These elevation-area-discharge relationships were input into the HEC-HMS model for routing runoff through the detention basins and ultimately to offsite discharge location. The discharge relationships for each detention basin are provided in Attachment E-1 of this Appendix. Permit Drawing No. 24 depicts the detention basin outlet structure, including each outlet elevation and dimensions. This information is also summarized in Section 4 of this attachment.

### 2.3 SOIL LOSS ANALYSIS METHODS

The Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE)/Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE) was used to calculate the soil loss resulting from precipitation contacting the final cover. The estimated soil loss was compared to the permissible soil loss for final cover, in accordance with published literature. The USLE/RUSLE is an empirical equation which estimates soil losses from rainfall and runoff. The USLE was developed by statistical analysis of many plot-years of rainfall, runoff, and sediment loss data from many small plots located around the country. The USLE is supported by the National Resource Conservation Service (NRCS). The Universal Soil Loss Equation is:

|  | A=RKLSCP |
| :---: | :---: |
| Where | A = average annual soil loss (tons/acre/ year) |
|  | $R=$ rainfall and runoff erosivity index for a given location |
|  | $\mathrm{K}=$ soil erodibility factor |
|  | $L=$ slope length factor |
|  | S = slope steepness factor |
|  | $\mathrm{C}=$ cover and management factor |
|  | $\mathrm{P}=$ erosion control practice factor |

The input parameters into the USLE/RUSLE and soil loss calculations for are presented in Attachment $\mathrm{E}-4$ of this appendix.

The purpose of calculating the soil loss from final cover is to evaluate the frequency (i.e., spacing between drainage swales) at which the drainage swales must be installed to maintain soil loss at less than or equal to 3 tons/acre/year (maximum permissible soil loss recommended for final cover slopes). The analysis for the topslope is based on the greatest flow length on the 4 percent topslope. Drainage swales on final cover sideslopes will be installed at a maximum spacing of 160 horizontal feet or 40 vertical feet, assuming a $4 \mathrm{H}: 1 \mathrm{~V}$ sideslope. Soil loss calculations for final cover were based on the assumption that vegetation would be established following application of final cover, and that the vegetation would provide approximately 90 percent ground coverage. Based on the results, the maximum erosion potential of the final cover was estimated to be 0.20 tons/acre/year and 1.8 tons/acre/year on the topslope and sideslope, respectively.

### 3.0 PRE-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS

The pre-development conditions are defined as existing drainage patterns associated with the upstream drainage areas of adjacent properties that contribute run-on to the permit boundary property, and the existing permitted landfill, including drainage areas, drainage swales/downchutes, and channels, consistent with the previously approved Tier I Permit Modification, Vertical Expansion of the Northeast C\&D Landfill, dated June 2013 prepared by Shepard Engineering.

Surface water from the southern portion of the existing disposal area flows into one existing pond located to the south of the disposal area. According to the previously approved Tier 1 Permit Modification, the existing pond of the C\&D disposal area was designed with 2 -feet freeboard and no discharge structures. As such, the South Pond was designed to be a zero-discharge pond. Therefore, the majority of the southern portion of the existing landfill area does not contribute to the predevelopment flow discharging to POD-2. Drainage area, Pre_A_South, is an area south of the existing C\&D disposal area that sheet flows off the property to POD-2.

Surface water from the existing C\&D disposal areas, including northern drainage areas, areas discharging to the eastern perimeter channels, portion of the western perimeter channels, and the entire expansion area (i.e, north of the existing C\&D disposal area) flows into a natural stream within the expansion area footprint. This natural stream flows from east to northwest across the property discharging to POD-1 and then flows towards the nearby Cutcho Creek. There are five off-site run-on areas that are adjacent to the proposed expansion area that discharge to the stream within the expansion area. OFS-A1-North and OFS-A2-North, each to the north of the proposed expansion area, are direct run-on areas to the on-site stream. OFS-A-East area flow enters the proposed expansion through a 36 -in by 48-in elliptical corrugated metal culvert, and discharges to the on-site stream. OFS-B1-East and OFS-B2-East areas each sheet flow into the expansion area, and discharge to the on-site stream.

Pre-development conditions, including on- and off-site drainage areas and POD-1 and 2 locations are depicted on Drawing E1.
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### 4.0 POST-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS

Post-development conditions with delineated drainage areas and direction of surface water flow to each detention basin and POD are depicted on Drawing E2. Additionally, a general layout of the postdevelopment drainage system, including perimeter drainage channels and detention basins, is also presented on Drawing E2. As shown, rainfall contacting the landfill topslope and sideslopes will be collected as runoff in drainage swales located at set intervals (see Section 2.2.2) on the $4 \mathrm{H}: 1 \mathrm{~V}$ sideslopes. Runoff will flow within the drainage swales, roughly parallel to the slope, into downchutes that will convey runoff to the toe of the landfill and into the perimeter drainage channels or discharge directly into detention basins.

The perimeter drainage channels discharge into one of three detention basins: South Pond, North Pond, and Northwest Pond. East perimeter channels discharge into the North Pond, which discharges to the Northwest Pond with three (3), 36-inch diameter concrete culverts. West perimeter channels primarily discharge into the Northwest Pond, with exception to a small section of the west perimeter channels which discharge into the South Pond. The Northwest Pond discharges through the basin outlet structure, comprised of seven (7), 30-inch diameter concrete culverts, towards POD-1. The south perimeter channels and a portion of the west perimeter channels discharge into the South Pond. The existing South Pond of the C\&D disposal area has been modified as two separate larger South and Southeast Ponds, in series, as part of this permit application. The South and Southeast Ponds have been designed to control the corresponding final cover area consistent with the drainage areas depicted on Drawing E2. The South Pond will discharge to Southeast Pond via two (2), 24-inch diameter CMP culverts. There is no outlet structure for the Southeast Pond, as this is a zero-discharge pond consistent with the pre-development conditions.

### 4.1 PERIMETER CHANNEL DESIGN

The channels were designed to have peak flow velocities of less than 6 feet per second where only vegetation is proposed for the channel lining. For velocities greater than approximately 6 feet per second, the channels were designed with either rip rap lining, gabions, or TRM. The hydraulic analysis of the perimeter drainage channels is described in Subsection 2.2.3. As described in this subsection, the peak flow rates in the channels were determined from the HEC-HMS output for the respective contributing drainage areas. The peak velocity and flow depth within each channel were calculated using HydraFlow Express, based on the proposed channel geometry. A summary of the channel design parameters, which were incorporated into HEC-HMS and HydraFlow Express, are included in Attachment E-1. Additionally, the HydraFlow output files for each channel are included in Attachment E-4. A typical cross-section is presented on Permit Drawing No.23.

### 4.2 DETENSION BASIN DESIGN

The South and Southeast Ponds have been designed to control the corresponding final cover area consistent with the drainage areas depicted on Drawing E2. The South Pond will discharge to Southeast Pond via two (2), 24-inch diameter CMP culverts. There is no outlet structure for the Southeast Pond, as this is a zero-discharge pond. The North Pond and Northwest Pond have been designed for storm water routed from the proposed C\&D landfill based on the post-development runoff characteristics modeled in HEC-HMS, as described in Section 2 of this attachment. The North Pond will discharge to Northwest Pond via three (3), 36-inch diameter concrete culverts. The outlet structure of the Northwest Pond will be comprised of seven, 30-inch diameter concrete culverts discharging to POD-1. Additionally, each detention basin has been designed with a minimum 1-foot of freeboard to prevent overtopping during the design storm event.

Elevation-area-discharge relationships for the basins are presented in Attachment E-1. HEC-HMS output for the detention basins, including the peak discharge, storage, and pool depth associated with the 25 -year, 24 -hour storm event is presented in Attachment E-3. This information also has been summarized in Table E-1. Detention basin layout plans are depicted on Permit Drawing No. 22.

### 4.3 BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN

The South Pond discharges into the Southeast Pond, which has no discharge consistent with the current Storm Water Drainage Design Plan for the C\&D Landfill (Permit No. 3555050) and as shown in post-development HEC-HMS output file (Attachment E-3). The North Pond discharges into the Northwest Pond, which discharge to off-site at POD-1. Peak discharge rates were calculated for North and Northwest Pond's detention basin outlet structures, as described in Subsection 2.2.4 of this submittal. As previously described, the peak discharge rates were incorporated into the elevation-area-discharge relationships used in the HEC-HMS model, as provided in Attachment E-1. Design details for the culverts are shown on Permit Drawing No. 24. Additionally, Table E-2 describes the culvert outlet dimensions/elevations.

Table E-1. Detention Basin Peak Storage and Discharge

| Detention <br> Basin | Discharge Points |  | Peak Storage <br> (acre-feet) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Pond | Northwest Pond | 6.6 | 1199.0 | 211.0 |
| Northwest Pond | POD-1 | 7.4 | 1175.7 | 260.2 |
| South Pond | Southeast Pond | 4.9 | 1200.5 | 8.7 |
| Southeast Pond | N/A | 4.0 | 1201.0 | 0.0 |

Table E-2. Culvert Outlet Configuration Summary

| Detention |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Basin | Discharge | Basin Invert <br> Elevation <br> (ft msl) | Diameter <br> of Pipe <br> (inches) | Number of <br> Culverts | Downstream <br> Invert <br> Elevation (ft <br> msI) | Culvert <br> Length <br> $(\mathrm{ft})$ |
| North Pond | Northwest <br> Pond | 1191.0 | 36 | 3 | 1176.0 | 250 |
| Northwest Pond | POD-1 | 1167.0 | 30 | 7 | 1166.0 | 100 |
| South Pond | Southeast <br> Pond | 1194 | 24 | 2 | 1199.0 | 80 |

### 4.4 NORTH OFF-SITE RUN-ON CONTROL CHANNEL

A run-on control channel was designed along the north property boundary of the C\&D expansion, which will convey run-on from drainage areas OFS_A_North, OFS_A_East, and OFS_B_East to POD-1 consistent with pre-development drainage conditions. This run-on control channel allows the diversion of stormwater from these off-site drainage areas without impacting the proposed landfill surface water management system design. The North Run-on Control Channel will have peak flow velocities of less than 6 feet per second during the design storm event, as such vegetation is proposed for the channel lining. The hydraulic analysis of the North Run-on Control Channel is included in Attachment E-4.

### 5.0 SUMMARY OF RESULTS

The pre-development subbasins, as described in Section 3, are presented on Drawing E1. Input parameters for the HEC-HMS modeling performed for pre-development conditions are presented in tables included in Attachment E-1. The results of HEC-HMS modeling of the pre-development conditions are included in Attachment E-2.

Post-development conditions are represented by the fully developed landfill, with final closure having been completed, and all drainage features in-place and operational, as described in Section 4 and presented on Drawing E2. The post-development discharge conditions were analyzed at the same POD as pre-development conditions. Input parameters for the HEC-HMS modeling performed for postdevelopment conditions are presented in tables included in Attachment E-1. The results of HEC-HMS modeling of the post-development conditions are included in Attachment E-3.

The pre- and post-development peak discharge rates are summarized in Table E-3. As shown in this table, the landfill development will not result in significant increases in peak discharge rates at the PODs as demonstrated by comparison of the pre- and post-development conditions. Therefore, no adverse impact to existing drainage patterns will result from the proposed landfill development.

Discharge velocities from the property will be below the 6 feet per second threshold, which typically is considered the threshold for erosion damage. This will be accomplished by dissipating discharge velocities at the basin outlet structures prior to off-site discharge. Velocity dissipation from the outlet structures will be provided by either rip rap blankets, dissipation blocks or stilling basins installed at the outlet of each structure.

Table E-3. Comparison of Pre- and Post-Development Peak Discharge Rates

| Discharge Point | Peak Pre-Development <br> Discharge Rate (cfs) | Peak Post-Development <br> Discharge Rate (cfs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| POD-1 | 487.5 | 396.4 |
| POD-2 | 13.2 | 10.9 |






## ATTACHMENT E-1

## HEC-HMS INPUT PARAMETERS

- Pre-development Subbasins
- Post-development Subbasins
- Perimeter Drainage Channels
- Post-Development Detention Basins (Elevation-Area-Discharge Relationships)
- Precipitation Data
- NRCS Curve Numbers
- Manning's Coefficients
- Kinematic Wave Method - Roughness Factors
- Permissible Non-erosive Velocities


## sCS method input Parameters

|  | ContributingDrainage Areas | Drainage Aras |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Curve } \\ \substack{\text { Number } \\ \text { (CN) }} \end{gathered}$ | Sheet Flow |  |  |  | Shallow Concentrated Flow |  |  |  | amel Flow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Time of Concentration (T) |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total Lag } \\ \text { Time } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Surface } \\ \text { Description } \end{gathered}$ | Length | Slope | SurfaceRoughness | SurfaceDescription | Length | Slope | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avgg. } \\ \text { velocity } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Surface } \\ \text { Description }}}{\substack{\text {. } \\ \hline}}$ | Length | Slope | $\underset{\substack{\text { Manning } \\ \text { ning }}}{\text { Man }}$ |  | Wetted Perimeter | Hydraulic Radius | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \hline \text { Avg. } \\ \text { velocity } \end{array}$ | Sheet Flow | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Shallow } \\ \text { Concentrated Flow } \\ T \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ioin (Tamel } \\ \text { Chanel } \\ \text { Flow } \end{gathered}$ | Total $\mathrm{T}_{\text {c }}$ |  |
|  |  | (arres) | (sq. miles) |  | (feet) | (fffit) |  |  | (feet) | (fffri) | (ftrs) |  | (feet) | (fffit) |  | (fir) | (fi) | (fi) | (fts) | (min) | (min) | (min) | ${ }_{\text {(min) }}$ | (min) |  |
| PoD-1 | OFS A East | 36.2 | ${ }^{0.0566}$ | 69.0 | Grass | 300 | 0.013 | 0.15 | Grass | 927 | 0.019 | 1.0 |  | - | . |  | - | - | - | - | ${ }^{26}$ | 16 |  | ${ }^{42}$ | ${ }^{25}$ |
|  |  | 6.4 <br> 6 | ${ }_{0}^{0.0099}$ | ${ }_{6}^{65.0}$ | Crass | 300 300 300 | ${ }_{0}^{0.008}$ | O.15 <br> 0.15 | Crass | 316 <br> 306 <br> 10 | ${ }_{0}^{0.0015}$ | $\stackrel{1.2}{1.2}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 31 <br> 3 <br> 12 | 4 | - | ${ }^{35}$ | ${ }^{21}$ |
|  | Ofers b2 East | 2.3 8.0 | ${ }^{0.0035}$ | 6.0 .0 69.0 | ${ }_{\text {Crass }}^{\text {Grass }}$ | 300 300 | ${ }_{0}^{0.0010}$ | O.15 <br> 0.41 | ${ }_{\text {Crass }}^{\text {Grass }}$ | - ${ }_{6}^{306}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.003}$ | $\stackrel{0.6}{0.9}$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | ${ }_{64}{ }^{72}$ | ${ }_{13}$ | - | ${ }_{81}^{87}$ | ${ }_{4}^{49}$ |
|  | OFS A2 North | ${ }^{11.0}$ | 0.0172 | 69.0 | Grass | ${ }^{300}$ | 0.010 | 0.41 | Grass | 660 | 0.024 | 1.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{64}$ | 10 |  | 74 | ${ }^{45}$ |
|  | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S }}$ | 2.3 | ${ }^{0.0036}$ | 80.0 80.0 | ${ }_{\text {Crass }}^{\text {Crass }}$ | ${ }_{120}^{113}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.250}$ | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{Sl}^{3}$ | ${ }^{2.1}$ | ${ }^{0.0033}$ | 80.0 | Grass | 103 | 0.250 | 0.15 |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |
|  | ${ }_{516}^{516}$ | 5.2 <br> 2.1 | ${ }^{0.0080} 0$ | 80.0 80.0 8 | Crass | 180 103 103 | 0.250 0.250 | 0.15 <br> 0.15 | $\div$ | - | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 5 |  |
|  | ${ }_{525}$ | ${ }^{\text {2.3. }}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.0052}$ | 80.0 <br> 80.0 | Crass | ${ }^{103}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.250}$ | 0.15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  | 3 |  |
|  | Pre-1A | 8.7 | 0.0137 | 62.0 | Grass | 300 | 0.016 | 0.15 | Grass | 308 | 0.058 | 1.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 | 3 |  | 27 | 16 |
|  |  | ${ }_{212}^{21.4}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.0335}$ | $\stackrel{62.0}{620}$ | ${ }_{\text {Crass }}^{\text {Crass }}$ | 300 <br> 300 <br> 3 | ${ }_{0}^{0.031} 0$ | 0.15 <br> 0.15 | ${ }_{\text {Grass }}^{\text {Grass }}$ | 811 <br> 972 | ${ }_{0}^{0.030} 0$ | $\frac{1.2}{1.2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{18}$ | ${ }_{11}^{11}$ |  | ${ }_{3}^{29}$ | ${ }^{18}$ |
|  | Pre-1D | 33.4 | ${ }^{0.0521}$ | ${ }_{62.0}$ | Grass | 300 | 0.007 | 0.15 | Grass | 1179 | 0.041 | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{34}$ | 14 |  | ${ }^{48}$ | 29 |
| POD-2 | 522 | ${ }_{5}^{5.1}$ | ${ }^{0.0079}$ | ${ }^{62.0}$ | Grass | ${ }^{113}$ | 0.250 | 0.15 |  | . |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  | 4 | - |  |  |  |
|  | $\frac{\text { Sl9 }}{\text { Pre }}$ A South | 1.2 <br> 8.9 | 0.0018 0.0139 | 62.0 68.3 | ${ }_{\text {Crass }}^{\text {Crass }}$ | 103 300 | ${ }_{0}^{0.250} 0$ | 0.15 0.41 | Grass | 1030 | 0.005 | 0.5 | . | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | ${ }_{3}^{38}$ | $\stackrel{5}{5}$ |  | ${ }_{74}$ |  |
|  | Existing Sout Pond | 1.7 | 0.0026 | 99.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | . |  | - |  |  |  |  | 35 | - |  |  |


|  | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{\text { Receiving } \\ \text { Pod }}}$ |  |  | (itam | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Manning } \\ \text { cofficicut }}}$ | Butam | Siice Slope |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }_{\text {Pobil }}^{\text {Poil }}$ | Norft Chamel 1 B | ${ }_{\substack{1615 \\ 1635}}^{1 / 2}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.002}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.007}$ | $\frac{10}{10}$ | 4 |
| ${ }_{\text {PC-1 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Prob-1 }}^{\text {Poo. }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Junction-2 }}$ | ${ }^{\frac{1303}{150}}$ | ${ }^{0.001}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.007}$ | ${ }^{10} 6$ |  |
|  | ${ }_{\text {Prob }}^{\text {Pool }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Prat }}^{\text {PC. }}$ | $\frac{200}{693}$ | ${ }^{\text {0.0.05 }} 0$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.007}$ | 6 |  |
| ${ }_{\text {PC.4 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Pres }}$ | ${ }^{\text {ProD-1 }}$ | PC.4 | 1047 | 0.005 | ${ }_{0}^{0.027}$ | $\stackrel{5}{5}$ |  |
| PC.7 | Poob-2 |  |  | ${ }_{0}^{0.005}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.027}$ |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {PC. }}$ | Poob-1 | PC. 12 | 1180 | 0.005 | ${ }_{0}^{0.027}$ |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {PC. } 10}$ | ${ }^{\text {Poobil }}$ | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { ficl }}}_{\text {dinction- }}$ | ${ }_{886}^{290}$ | ${ }_{\text {O.0.05 }}^{\text {O.0.5 }}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.0027}$ | $\stackrel{5}{2}$ |  |
| ${ }_{\substack{\text { PC. } 11 \\ \mathrm{PC.12}}}$ | ${ }_{\text {Pobo }}$ | Somel | 100 | ${ }_{0}^{0.005}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.007}$ | ${ }_{6}^{8}$ |  |

Kinematic wave method input parameter

| DischargeStudy Point | $\begin{gathered} \text { Contributing } \\ \text { Drainage } \\ \text { Areas } \end{gathered}$ | Drainag Plane |  |  | Collector |  |  |  |  |  |  | hamel |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{Nammer}_{\text {curve }}^{\text {cher }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Lengt | Slope | Rughmess | Area |  | Longth | Slope | ${ }_{\text {Mamins }}^{\text {Masficient }}$ | Wuth | Siusclope | Length | Slope | $\substack{\text { Mamings } \\ \text { Cofefient }}$ | With | Sidestope |  |  |
|  |  | (ti) | (tuti) |  | (s. .mil) |  | (fi) | (tuti) |  | (ti) | ${ }_{\text {xtiliv }}$ | (ti) | (tuti) | Cooficicent | (17) | ${ }^{\text {ktilv }}$ |  |  |
| poD-1 | $\stackrel{\text { LD }}{\text { LD2 }}$ | ${ }_{100}^{160}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.25}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.15}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.0029} 0$ |  | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{4.49}$ | -0.02 | -0.027 | 0 |  | ${ }_{\text {- }}^{298}$ | $\stackrel{0.25}{0.25}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.0 .033}$ | ${ }_{15}^{15}$ | 2 | -0.020 |  |
|  |  | 160 | 0.25 |  | ${ }_{0}^{0.00331}$ |  |  |  | 0.027 |  |  | ${ }_{632}$ | 22 | $\stackrel{0.033}{ }$ | 15 |  | 0.026 | 800 |




Note:

1. Drain
2. Drainage Areas Pre-10A, Pre-10B, and Pre-11 were not included in the HEC-HMS Model, as these arcas do not contribute runoff to pre-development "Points of Demonstataion" (POD

Methodology:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{ll}
\substack{\text { where: } \\
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}=} & \begin{array}{l}
\text { tavel time, } \mathrm{h} \\
\text { Mannings roug }
\end{array} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { (Table } 15-3 \text { for Short-grass pasture } \\
\text { where: } \\
V=6.962(s)^{\circ} .5 \\
V=
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (Table e } 15-3 \text { for Nearly bar flo type } \\
& \text { where: } \quad v=9.965(5)^{0.5}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { Channel Fow } \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{T}}
$$

$$
\mathrm{v}=\frac{1.49 r_{5} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{n}}{n} \quad(\text { eq. } 15-10)
$$

$\operatorname{Lag}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\mathrm{Lime}} \mathrm{V}=0.6 \tau_{\mathrm{c}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { lag, h } \\
& \text { time o oconcentration, h }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
a=\text { cross.sectional fow area, } \mathrm{t} \\
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{w}}=\text { Weted perimeter, } \mathrm{f}
\end{gathered}
$$

## POST-DEVELOPMENT SUBBASINS



Reference: United States Department of Agriculture. Hydrology National Engineering Handbook, Part 630 (May 2010). Chapter 15, Time of Concentration.


Shallow Concentrated Flow $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}$
(Table 15-3 for Short-grass pasture flow type)
there. $\quad V=6.962(s)^{0.5}$
$\mathrm{V}=$ Average velocity, ft/s
$\mathrm{s}=\quad$ slope of the hydraulic grade line, ftft
OFS_B1_East and OFS_B2_East are classified as Nearly bare flow type
(Table 15-3 for Nearly bare flow type)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { where: } & \mathrm{V}=9.965(s)^{0.5} \\
\mathrm{~V}= & \text { Average velocity, ft/s } \\
\mathrm{s}= & \text { slope of the hydraulic grade line, ft/ft }
\end{array}
$$

Channel Flow $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}$
$\mathrm{V}=\frac{1.49 r^{\frac{2}{3} s^{\frac{1}{2}}}}{n}$
(eq. 15-10)
where:
$\mathrm{V}=\quad$ Average velocity, $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ $=\frac{a}{P_{w}}$
a cross-sectional flow area, ft 2
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{w}}=$ Wetted perimeter, ft
$\begin{array}{lll}s= & \text { slope of the hydraulic grade line, ft/ft } \\ \mathrm{n}= & \text { Manning's } \mathrm{n} \text { value for open channel flow ( } 0.027, \text { grass })\end{array}$

SernitOwner Prot Thal
163.3 acres

Lag time
$\mathrm{V}=0.6 T_{c}$
eq. 15-3)
$\stackrel{\text { where: }}{\mathrm{L}=}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{L}= & \quad \text { lag, } \mathrm{h} \\ \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}= & \text { time of concentration, } \mathrm{h}\end{array}$

## KINEMATIC WAVE INPUT PARAMETERS

| Discharge Study Point | Contributing Drainage Areas (Subbasins) | Drainage Plane |  |  | Collector |  |  |  |  |  |  | Main Channel |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \text { (sq. mi.) } \end{gathered}$ | CurveNumber (CN) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Length | Slope | Roughness | Area | No. of Collectors | Length | Slope | Manning's Coefficient | Width | Sideslope | Length | Slope | Manning's Coefficient | Width | Sideslope |  |  |
|  |  | (ft) | (ft/ft) |  | (sq. mil.) |  | (ft) | (ft/ft) |  | (ft) | xH:1V | (ft) | (ft/ft) |  | (ft) | xH:1V |  |  |
| POD-1 | D-1 | 160 | 0.25 | 0.15 | 0.00146 | 4 | 255 | 0.02 | 0.027 | 0 | 3 | 100 | 0.25 | 0.033 | 15 | 2 | 0.0059 | 80.0 |
|  | D-2 | 160 | 0.25 | 0.15 | 0.00248 | 5 | 432 | 0.02 | 0.027 | 0 | 3 | 110 | 0.25 | 0.033 | 15 | 2 | 0.0124 | 80.0 |
|  | D-3 | 160 | 0.25 | 0.15 | 0.00379 | 12 | 660 | 0.02 | 0.027 | 0 | 3 | 700 | 0.25 | 0.033 | 15 | 2 | 0.0455 | 80.0 |
|  | D-4 | 160 | 0.25 | 0.15 | 0.00197 | 7 | 342 | 0.02 | 0.027 | 0 | 3 | 505 | 0.25 | 0.033 | 15 | 2 | 0.0138 | 80.0 |
|  | D-5 | 160 | 0.25 | 0.15 | 0.00187 | 11 | 325 | 0.02 | 0.027 | 0 | 3 | 650 | 0.25 | 0.033 | 15 | 2 | 0.0205 | 80.0 |
|  | D-6 | 160 | 0.25 | 0.15 | 0.00300 | 12 | 523 | 0.02 | 0.027 | 0 | 3 | 930 | 0.25 | 0.033 | 15 | 2 | 0.0360 | 80.0 |
|  | D-7 | 160 | 0.25 | 0.15 | 0.00315 | 10 | 548 | 0.02 | 0.027 | 0 | 3 | 580 | 0.25 | 0.033 | 15 | 2 | 0.0315 | 80.0 |
|  | D-10 | 160 | 0.25 | 0.15 | 0.00176 | 8 | 306 | 0.02 | 0.027 | 0 | 3 | 425 | 0.25 | 0.033 | 15 | 2 | 0.0140 | 80.0 |
| POD-2 | D-8 | 160 | 0.25 | 0.15 | 0.00197 | 5 | 343 | 0.02 | 0.027 | 0 | 3 | 290 | 0.25 | 0.033 | 15 | 2 | 0.0098 | 80.0 |
|  | D-9 | 160 | 0.25 | 0.15 | 0.00408 | 4 | 710 | 0.02 | 0.027 | 0 | 3 | 450 | 0.25 | 0.033 | 15 | 2 | 0.0163 | 80.0 |

Note:

1. *, Drainage areas indicated with an asterisk, include areas modeled using the Velocity method, as described in Pre-Development
2. Consistent with the current Surfacewater Management Plan, there is no discharge from the South Pond (i.e. POD-2)

Total $\mathrm{Area}=\quad 0.206$ sq. mile

| Channel Name | Receiving Basin | Receiving POD | Channel Length (ft) | Bottom Slope (ft/ft) | Bottom Width (ft) | Internal Sideslope (XH:1V) | External Sideslope (XH:1V) | Depth (ft) | Mannings Coefficient | Lining Material |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PC-1 | Northwest Pond | POD 1 | 170 | 0.0035 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 5.30 | 0.033 | Rip-Rap/TRM |
| PC-2 | North Pond | POD 1 | 1,245 | 0.0025 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 5.00 | 0.027 | Grass |
| PC-3A* | North Pond | POD 1 | 740 | 0.0025 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 4.50 | 0.027 | Grass |
| PC-3B* | North Pond | POD 1 | 350 | 0.0150 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 3.50 | 0.033 | Rip-Rap/TRM |
| PC-3C* | North Pond | POD 1 | 65 | 0.0025 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 4.50 | 0.027 | Grass |
| PC-4A* | North Pond | POD 1 | 620 | 0.0025 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 4.00 | 0.027 | Grass |
| PC-4B* | North Pond | POD 1 | 300 | 0.0100 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 3.50 | 0.033 | Rip-Rap/TRM |
| PC-7* | South Pond | POD 2 | 628 | 0.0050 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 3.0 | 0.027 | Grass |
| PC-8* | Northwest Pond | POD 1 | 743 | 0.0100 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2.0 | 0.027 | Grass |
| PC-9 | Northwest Pond | POD 1 | 1,507 | 0.0100 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 3.3 | 0.033 | Rip-Rap/TRM |
| NC-1 | NC-2 | POD 1 | 155 | 0.0250 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 3.1 | 0.033 | Rip-Rap/TRM |
| NC-2 | NC-3 | POD 1 | 985 | 0.0030 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 4.3 | 0.027 | Grass |
| NC-3 | NC-4 | POD 1 | 260 | 0.0200 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 3.3 | 0.033 | Rip-Rap/TRM |
| NC-4 | POD-1 | POD 1 | 812 | 0.0400 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 3.0 | 0.033 | Rip-Rap/TRM |

Note
*Channels indicated with an asterisk are existing channels of the C\&D landfill disposal area.

1. TRM = Turf Reinforcing Mat, a permanent reinforcing mat placed below vegetation layer to increase resistance to erosion.
2. South Pond is an existing pond of the C\&D landfill area

NORTHEAST LANDFILL
Elevation-Area-Discharge Relationship

- North Basin

| Elevation | Area (sf) | Area (acres) | Head on Culvert (ft) | Culvert Pipe Discharge (cfs) | Number of Culverts | Total Discharge (cfs) | Use |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1190 | 12,539 | 0.29 | 0.0 | - | 3.0 | 0.0 | Basin Invert |
| 1191 | 16,777 | 0.39 | 0.0 | - | 3.0 | 0.0 | Sediment Control |
| 1192 | 21,037 | 0.48 | 1.0 | - | 3.0 | 0.0 | Basin Pool |
| 1193 | 25,318 | 0.58 | 2.0 | 12.6 | 3.0 | 37.9 | Basin Pool |
| 1194 | 29,620 | 0.68 | 3.0 | 30.9 | 3.0 | 92.7 | Basin Pool |
| 1195 | 33,945 | 0.78 | 4.0 | 41.9 | 3.0 | 125.6 | Basin Pool |
| 1196 | 38,290 | 0.88 | 5.0 | 50.5 | 3.0 | 151.4 | Basin Pool |
| 1197 | 42,658 | 0.98 | 6.0 | 57.8 | 3.0 | 173.5 | Basin Pool |
| 1198 | 47,047 | 1.08 | 7.0 | 64.4 | 3.0 | 193.1 | Basin Pool |
| 1199 | 51,334 | 1.18 | 8.0 | 70.3 | 3.0 | 210.8 | Basin Pool |
| 1200 | 55,691 | 1.28 | 9.0 | 75.7 | 3.0 | 227.2 | Basin Pool |

Spillway Outlet Pipe Equation was used for the Culvert Pipe Discharge
Where,

$$
Q_{p}=A \sqrt{\frac{2 g H}{1+K_{e}+K_{b}+K_{c} L}}
$$

Qp = discharge through the principal spillway pipe (cfs)
$\mathrm{A}=\quad$ Cross-sectional area of pipe (ft2)
$\mathrm{H}=\quad$ Head above center of pipe at the outfall (ft)
$\mathrm{Ke}=\quad$ Entrance loss coefficient (1.0)
$\mathrm{Kb}=\quad$ Bend loss coefficient (0.5)
$\mathrm{Kc}=\quad$ Friction loss coefficient
$\mathrm{L}=\quad$ Length of the pipe (ft)

$$
K_{c}=\frac{5087 n^{2}}{d^{\frac{4}{3}}}
$$

| $\mathrm{L}=$ | 250.0 |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{Ke}=$ | 1.0 |
| $\mathrm{~Kb}=$ | 0.5 |
| $\mathrm{Kc}=$ | 0.006 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ | 0.012 |
| $\mathrm{~d}=$ | 36.0 |

in
*Reference: Manning's coefficent and entrance, friction, and bend coefficients came from Design Hydrology and Sedimentology for Small Catchments. Haan, C.T. Academic Press, 1994.

NORTHEAST LANDFILL
Elevation-Area-Discharge Relationship

- South Basin -

| Elevation | Area (sf) | Area (acres) | Head on Culvert (ft) | Culvert Pipe Discharge (cfs) | Number of Culverts | Total Discharge (cfs) | Use |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1194 | 15,615 | 0.36 | 0.0 | - | 2.0 | 0.0 | Basin Invert |
| 1195 | 21,017 | 0.48 | 0.0 | - | 2.0 | 0.0 | Sediment Control |
| 1196 | 26,357 | 0.61 | 0.0 | - | 2.0 | 0.0 | Sediment Control |
| 1197 | 31,765 | 0.73 | 0.0 | - | 2.0 | 0.0 | Basin Pool |
| 1198 | 37,238 | 0.85 | 0.0 | - | 2.0 | 0.0 | Basin Pool |
| 1199 | 42,788 | 0.98 | 0.0 | - | 2.0 | 0.0 | Basin Pool |
| 1200 | 48,390 | 1.11 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | Basin Pool |
| 1201 | 54,056 | 1.24 | 2.0 | 9.3 | 2.0 | 18.6 | Basin Pool |
| 1202 | 59,762 | 1.37 | 3.0 | 13.9 | 2.0 | 27.9 | Basin Pool |

Spillway Outlet Pipe Equation was used for the Culvert Pipe Discharge
Where,

$$
Q_{p}=A \sqrt{\frac{2 g H}{1+K_{e}+K_{b}+K_{c} L}}
$$


in
*Reference: Manning's coefficent and entrance, friction, and bend coefficients came from Design Hydrology and Sedimentology for Small Catchments. Haan, C.T. Academic Press, 1994.

NORTHEAST LANDFILL
Elevation-Area-Discharge Relationship

- Southeast Basin -

| Elevation | Area (sf) | Area (acres) | Head on Channel (ft) | Total Discharge (cfs) | Use |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1190 | 6,745 | 0.15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Basin Invert |
| 1191 | 8,078 | 0.19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Sediment Control |
| 1192 | 9,501 | 0.22 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Sediment Control |
| 1193 | 11,004 | 0.25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Basin Pool |
| 1194 | 12,595 | 0.29 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Basin Pool |
| 1195 | 14,278 | 0.33 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Basin Pool |
| 1196 | 16,042 | 0.37 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Basin Pool |
| 1197 | 17,898 | 0.41 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Basin Pool |
| 1198 | 19,838 | 0.46 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Basin Pool |
| 1199 | 21,857 | 0.50 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Basin Pool |
| 1200 | 23,974 | 0.55 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Basin Pool |
| 1201 | 26,175 | 0.60 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Basin Pool |
| 1202 | 28,466 | 0.65 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Basin Pool |

NORTHEAST LANDFILL
Elevation-Area-Discharge Relationship

- Northwest Basin -

| Elevation | Area (sf) | Area (acres) | Head on Culvert <br> (ft) | Culvert Pipe Discharge (cfs) | Number of Culverts | Total Discharge (cfs) | Use |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1165 | 16,596 | 0.38 | 0.0 | - | 7.0 | 0.0 | Basin Invert |
| 1166 | 18,391 | 0.42 | 0.0 | - | 7.0 | 0.0 | Sediment Control |
| 1167 | 20,219 | 0.46 | 0.0 | - | 7.0 | 0.0 | Sediment Control |
| 1168 | 22,081 | 0.51 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 0.0 | Sediment Control |
| 1169 | 27,311 | 0.63 | 2.0 | 9.8 | 7.0 | 68.8 | Basin Pool |
| 1170 | 32,726 | 0.75 | 3.0 | 17.0 | 7.0 | 119.2 | Basin Pool |
| 1171 | 38,005 | 0.87 | 4.0 | 22.0 | 7.0 | 153.9 | Basin Pool |
| 1172 | 42,533 | 0.98 | 5.0 | 26.0 | 7.0 | 182.1 | Basin Pool |
| 1173 | 46,037 | 1.06 | 6.0 | 29.5 | 7.0 | 206.5 | Basin Pool |
| 1174 | 49,574 | 1.14 | 7.0 | 32.6 | 7.0 | 228.3 | Basin Pool |
| 1175 | 53,143 | 1.22 | 8.0 | 35.5 | 7.0 | 248.2 | Basin Pool |
| 1176 | 56,744 | 1.30 | 9.0 | 38.1 | 7.0 | 266.6 | Basin Pool |
| 1177 | 60,377 | 1.39 | 10.0 | 40.5 | 7.0 | 283.8 | Basin Pool |
| 1178 | 64,042 | 1.47 | 11.0 | 42.9 | 7.0 | 300.1 | Basin Pool |
| 1179 | 67,739 | 1.56 | 12.0 | 45.1 | 7.0 | 315.5 | Basin Pool |
| 1180 | 71,469 | 1.64 | 13.0 | 47.2 | 7.0 | 330.2 | Basin Pool |
| 1181 | 75,230 | 1.73 | 14.0 | 49.2 | 7.0 | 344.2 | Basin Pool |

Spillway Outlet Pipe Equation was used for the Culvert Pipe Discharge

Where, $\quad Q_{p}=A \sqrt{\frac{2 g H}{1+K_{e}+K_{b}+K_{c} L}}$

*Reference: Manning's coefficent and entrance, friction, and bend coefficients came from Design Hydrology and Sedimentology for
Small Catchments. Haan, C.T. Academic Press, 1994.

## PRECIPITATION DATA

NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 8, Version 2
 Location name: Spencer, Oklahoma, USA* Latitude: 35.4997º Longitude: -97.3918 ${ }^{\circ}$

Elevation: 1199.2 ft** $^{* *}$

* source: ESRI Maps
** source: USGS


## POINT PRECIPITATION FREQUENCY ESTIMATES

Sanja Perica, Deborah Martin, Sandra Pavlovic, Ishani Roy, Michael St. Laurent, Carl Trypaluk, Dale Unruh, Michael Yekta, Geoffery Bonnin

NOAA, National Weather Service, Silver Spring, Maryland
PF tabular | PF graphical | Maps \& aerials

## PF tabular

| PDS-based point precipitation frequency estimates with $90 \%$ confidence intervals (in inches) ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | Average recurrence interval (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 100 | 200 | 500 | 1000 |
| 5-m | $(0.332-0.545)$ | $(0.387-0.635)$ | $(0.477-0.787)$ | $(0.552-0.919)$ | (0.643-1.14) | $(0.712-1.30)$ | (0.772-1.48) | $(0.825-1.68)$ | (0.904-1.95) |  |
| 10 | $(0.486-0.797)$ | $(0.566-0.930$ |  | $(0.808-1.35)$ | \|(0.941-1.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | $(0.593-0.972)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline 1.12 \\ (0.868-1.42) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  | $(1.89-3.01)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-hr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12-hr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (7.41-15.2) |  |
| 24 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 3.27 \\ (2.66-3.99) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | (3.05-4.59) |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5.49 \\ (4.42-6.76) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | (3.06-4.51) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4.05 \\ (3.35-4.87) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.6 \\ (3.83-5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.73 \\ (4.72-6.9 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{8 . 2 9} \\ (6.66-10.4 \end{gathered}$ | $(7.53-12.3)$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline 11.1 \\ (8.37-14.5) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathbf{1 2 . 6} \\ (9.19-17.0) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $(10.4-20.5)$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline 16.7 \\ (11.4-23.2) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | $(3.59-5.18)$ | (4.11-5.94) | (5.04-7.34) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.15 \\ (5.88-8.64) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | (7.06-11.1) | (7.95-12.9) | (8.80-15.1) | $(9.63-17.6)$ | (10.9-21.2) | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \hline 17.3 \\ (11.8-23.9) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | (4.20-5.96) | 5.73 $(4.80-6.83)$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.01 \\ (5.84-8.37) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | (7.97-12.3) | 11.2 <br> $(8.88-14.2)$ | (9.73-16.4) | (10.5-19.0) | $(11.7-22.5)$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \mathbf{1 8 . 4} \\ (12.6-25.2) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 10 | (4.74-6.66) | $\begin{gathered} 6.41 \\ (5.39-7.60) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | (6.51-9.22 | $\begin{gathered} 8.95 \\ (7.47-10.7) \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} 10.7 \\ (8.68-13.2) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} 12.1 \\ (9.59-15.2) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | (10.4-17.4) | (11.2-20.0) | $(12.3-23.4)$ | (13.2-26.1) |
| 20 | $(6.28-8.64)$ | (7.05-9.73) | (8.33-11.6) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 11.1 \\ (9.38-13.1) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 13.0 <br> $(10.6-15.8)$ | (11.5-17.8) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 15.9 \\ (12.3-20.1) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathbf{1 7 . 4} \\ (12.9-22.6) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $(13.9-26.0)$ | (14.7-28.6) |
|  | (7.52-10.2) | $\begin{gathered} 9.84 \\ (8.42-11.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 11.6 \\ (9.87-13.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | (11.0-15.3) | (12.3-18.1) | (13.3-20.3) | $(14.0-22.7)$ | (14.6-25.3) | $(15.6-28.8)$ | (16.3-31.4) |
| 45-day | (9.06-12.2) | $\begin{gathered} 11.8 \\ (10.2-13.7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.9 \\ (11.9-16.1) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | (13.3-18.2) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} 17.8 \\ (14.7-21.4) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 19.5 \\ (15.8-23.8) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | (16.6-26.5) | $(17.2-29.4)$ | (18.1-33.2) | (18.8-36.0) |
| 60-day | (10.3-13.8) | (11.6-15.5) | (13.7-18.4) | (15.3-20.7) | $(16.9-24.4)$ | $(18.1-27.1)$ | (19.0-30.2) | (19.7-33.4) | $(20.6-37.5)$ | $(21.4-40.6)$ |

${ }^{1}$ Precipitation frequency (PF) estimates in this table are based on frequency analysis of partial duration series (PDS).
Numbers in parenthesis are PF estimates at lower and upper bounds of the $90 \%$ confidence interval. The probability that precipitation frequency estimates (for a given duration and average recurrence interval) will be greater than the upper bound (or less than the lower bound) is $5 \%$. Estimates at upper bounds are not checked against probable maximum precipitation (PMP) estimates and may be higher than currently valid PMP values. Please refer to NOAA Atlas 14 document for more information.

Figure B-2 Approximate geographic boundaries for NRCS (SCS) rainfall distributions


## Rainfall data sources

This section lists the most current 24-hour rainfall data published by the National Weather Service (NWS) for various parts of the country. Because NWS Technical Paper 40 (TP-40) is out of print, the 24-hour rainfall maps for areas east of the 105th meridian are included here as figures B-3 through B-8. For the area generally west of the 105th meridian, TP-40 has been superseded by NOAA Atlas 2, the Precipitation-Frequency Atlas of the Western United States, published by the National Ocean and Atmospheric Administration.

## E ast of 105th meridian

Hershfield, D.M. 1961. Rainfall frequency atlas of the United States for durations from 30 minutes to 24 hours and return periods from 1 to 100 years. U.S. Dept. Commerce, Weather Bur. Tech. Pap. No. 40. Washington, DC. 155 p.

## West of 105th meridian

Miller, J.F., R.H. Frederick, and R.J. Tracey. 1973. Precipitation-frequency atlas of the Western United States. Vol. I Montana; Vol. II, Wyoming; Vol III, Colorado; Vol. IV, New Mexico; Vol V, Idaho; Vol. VI, Utah; Vol. VII, Nevada; Vol. VIII, Arizona; Vol. IX, Washington; Vol. X, Oregon; Vol. XI, California. U.S. Dept. of

Commerce, National Weather Service, NOAA Atlas 2. Silver Spring, MD.

## Alaska

Miller, John F. 1963. Probable maximum precipitation and rainfall-frequency data for Alaska for areas to 400 square miles, durations to 24 hours and return periods from 1 to 100 years. U.S. Dept. of Commerce, Weather Bur. Tech. Pap. No. 47. Washington, DC. 69 p.

## Hawaii

Weather Bureau. 1962. Rainfall-frequency atlas of the Hawaiian Islands for areas to 200 square miles, durations to 24 hours and return periods from 1 to 100 years. U.S. Dept. Commerce, Weather Bur. Tech. Pap. No. 43. Washington, DC. 60 p.

## Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands

Weather Bureau. 1961. Generalized estimates of probable maximum precipitation and rainfall-frequency data for Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands for areas to 400 square miles, durations to 24 hours, and return periods from 1 to 100 years. U.S. Dept. Commerce, Weather Bur. Tech. Pap. No. 42. Washington, DC. 94 P.
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## Estimating Runoff
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Table 2-2c Runoff curve numbers for other agricultural lands $\underline{1}$

## Pre-Development

| Cover --------------------------------- Cover description |  | Curve numbers for hydrologic soil group |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hydrologic condition | A | B | C | D |
| Pasture, grassland, or range-continuous forage for grazing. ${ }^{2 /}$ | Poor | 68 | 79 | 86 | 89 |
|  | Fair | 49 | 69 | 79 | 84 |
|  | Good | 39 | 61 | 74 | 80 |
| Meadow-continuous grass, protected from grazing and generally mowed for hay. | - | 30 | 58 | 71 | 78 |
| Brush—brush-weed-grass mixture with brush the major element. ${ }^{3 /}$ | Poor | 48 | 67 | 77 | 83 |
|  | Fair | 35 | 56 | 70 | 77 |
|  | Good | 30 4/ | 48 | 65 | 73 |
| Woods-grass combination (orchard or tree farm). $5 /$ | Poor | 57 | 73 | 82 | 86 |
|  | Fair | 43 | 65 | 76 | 82 |
|  | Good | 32 | 58 | 72 | 79 |
| Woods. ${ }^{6 /}$ | Poor | 45 | 66 | 77 | 83 |
|  | Fair | 36 | 60 | 73 | 79 |
|  | Good | $30{ }^{4 /}$ | 55 | 70 | 77 |
| Farmsteads-buildings, lanes, driveways, and surrounding lots. | - | 59 | 74 | 82 | 86 |
| 1 Average runoff condition, and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{a}}=0.2 \mathrm{~S}$. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Poor: <50\%) ground cover or heavily grazed with |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fair: 50 to $75 \%$ ground cover and not heavily gra |  |  |  |  |  |
| Good: > 75\% ground cover and lightly or only occ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 Poor: < $50 \%$ ground cover. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fair: 50 to 75\% ground cover. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Good: >75\% ground cover. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 Actual curve number is less than 30 ; use $\mathrm{CN}=30$ for runoff computations. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 CN's shown were computed for areas with $50 \%$ woods and $50 \%$ grass (pasture) cover. Other combi from the CN's for woods and pasture. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 Poor: Forest litter, small trees, and brush are destroyed by heavy grazing or regular burning. <br> Fair: Woods are grazed but not burned, and some forest litter covers the soil. <br> Good: Woods are protected from grazing, and litter and brush adequately cover the soil. |  |  |  |  |  |

```
Example Calculation for Pre-1 Area:
Pasture (good cover) = 36 acres
Woods (good cover) = 26 acres
Disturbed Areas (farmsteads) \(=14\) acres
Weighted Average CN for pre-development= 62
```



## Northeast C\&D Landfill <br> Hydraulic Analysis <br> Manning's "n" References

## Pre-development Conditions

| Description | Use | Reference | Mannings "n" |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Natural winding streams and <br> creeks. | HEC-HMS Kinematic Wave <br> Method (main channel) and SCS <br> Method (Velocity Method). | See Item 2, Table 4.1, "Design <br> Hydrology and Sedimentology for <br> Small Catchments", Haan et al. | 0.040 |
| Straight man-made road side <br> ditches, established channels. | HEC-HMS Kinematic Wave <br> Method (main channel) and SCS <br> Method (Velocity Method). | See Item 1, Table 4.1, "Design <br> Hydrology and Sedimentology for <br> Small Catchments", Haan et al. | 0.027 |

## Post-development Conditions

| Description | Use | Reference | Mannings "n" |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Drainage swales, short grass and <br> some weeds, established <br> channels. | HEC-HMS Kinematic Wave <br> Method model (collector or main <br> channel). | See Item 1, Table 4.1, "Design <br> Hydrology and Sedimentology for <br> Small Catchments", Haan et al. | 0.027 |
| Downchutes, geomembrane or <br> hydroturf, established channels. | HEC-HMS Kinematic Wave <br> Method model (main channel). | See Item 1, Table 4.1, "Design <br> Hydrology and Sedimentology for <br> Small Catchments", Haan et al. | 0.027 |
| Drainage Channels, short grass <br> and some weeds, established <br> channels | HEC-HMS Muskingum-Cunge <br> Standard model for routing <br> reaches. | See Item 1, Table 4.1, "Design <br> Hydrology and Sedimentology for <br> Small Catchments", Haan et al. | 0.027 |
| Drainage Channels, rip rap or <br> TRM lined, established channels. | HEC-HMS Muskingum-Cunge <br> Standard model for routing <br> reaches. | Hydraflow standard default for <br> built-up channels with rip rap. <br> See Item 3, Table 4.1, "Design <br> Hydrology and Sedimentology for <br> Small Catchments", Haan et al. | 0.033 |
| CMP channel or detention basin <br> outlet structures | Hydraflow calculations for <br> culverts. | Standard default in Hydraflow <br> program for culverts. | 0.024 |
| Concrete detention basin outlet <br> structures | Hydraflow calculations for <br> culverts. | See Item 4, Table 4.1, "Design <br> Hydrology and Sedimentology for <br> Small Catchments", Haan et al. | 0.012 |

Note: Manning’s "n" used for drainage swales, downchutes, and culverts were incorporated into HEC-HMS, as well as the Hydraulic Analysis using HydroCalc.
Reference: C.T. Haan, B.J. Barfield, J.C. Hayes. Design Hydrology and Sedimentology for Small Catchments. Academic Press. 1994.
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An Irish engineer named Manning found that the equation

$$
v=K R^{2 / 3} S^{1 / 2}
$$

fit experimental data quite nicely. This equation is known as Manning's equation and differs from Chezy's equation only in the exponent on $R$. So that the factor related to the channel roughness would increase as roughness increased, Manning's equation is generally written as

$$
v=(1 / n) R^{2 / 3} S^{1 / 2}
$$

in the metric system with $v$ in meters per second and $R$ in meters. The coefficient $n$ is known as Manning's $n$. In the English system of units, Manning's equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\frac{1.49}{n} R^{2 / 3} S^{1 / 2}, \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v$ is in $\mathrm{fps}, R$ is in feet, and $S$ is in feet per foot. Tables of Manning's $n$ are widely available. Table 4.1 is such a table taken from several sources, drawing heavily on Schwab et al. (1966, 1971). Manning's $n$ is influenced by many factors, including the physical roughness of the channel surface, the irregularity of the channel cross section, channel alignment and bends, vegetation, silting and scouring, and obstruction within the channel. Chow (1959) displays some photographs of typical channels and the associated valucs for Manning's $n$.

Figure 4.9 contains some useful relationships for calculating the hydraulic properties of $A, P, R$, and top width, $T$, for three common channels. For natural channels, these properties are best determined from measurements based on the actual cross sections of the channel.

Table 4.1 Typical Values for Manning's $n$

| Type and description of conduits | $n$ Values ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  | Type and description of conduits | $n$ Values ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Min. | Design | Max. |  |  | Min. |  |  |
| Channels, lined |  |  |  |  | - Nanurol Streams |  |  |  |
| Asplattic concrete, machine plooed |  | 0.014 |  | 1 | (a) Clean, straight bank full stage, no tifis or deep pools | 0.025 | 0.02 | 0.033 |
| Asphalt, exposed prefabricated |  | 0.015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete | 0.012 | 0.015 | 0.018 |  | (b) <br> stones | 0.030 |  | 0.040 |
| Concrete, rubble | 0.016 |  | 0.029 |  | (c) Winding, some pools and shools, |  |  |  |
| Metal, smooth (flumes) | 0.011 |  | 0.015 | 2 | ckan | 0.035 | . $0^{2}$ | 0.050 |
| Metal, corrugated | 0.021 | 0.024 | 0.026 |  | (d) Same as (c), lower stages, more |  |  |  |
| Plastic | 0.012 |  | 0.014 |  | ineffective slopes and sections | 0.040 |  | 0.035 |
| Shatrele | 0.016 |  | 0.017 |  | (c) Same as (c), some weeds and |  |  | 0.045 |
| Wood, planed (flumes) | 0.009 | 0.012 | 0.016 |  | stones |  |  | 0.060 |
| Wood, unplaned (flumes) | 0.011 | 0.013 | 0.015 |  | (f) Same as (d), stony sections | 0.045 |  | 0.060 |
| Channels, earth |  |  |  |  | (e) Sluggish river reaches, rather weedy or with very deep pools | 0.050 |  | 0.080 |
| Earth bottom, rubble sides | 0.028 | 0.032 | 0.035 |  | (h) Very weedy reaches | 0.075 |  | 0.150 |
| Drainage ditches, large, no vegetation |  |  |  |  | Pipe |  |  |  |
| (a) $<2.5$ hydraulic radius | 0.040 |  | 0.045 |  | Asbestos cement |  | 0.009 |  |
| (b) 2.5-4.0 hydraulic radius | 0.035 |  | 0.040 |  | Cast iron, coated | 0.011 | 0.013 | 0.014 |
| (c) 4.0-5.0 hydraulic radius | 0.030 |  | 0.035 |  | Cast iron, uncoated | 0.012 |  | 0.015 |
| (d) $>5.0$ hydraulic radius | 0.025 |  | 0.030 |  | Clay or concrete drain tile (4-12 in.) | 0.010 | Q.0108 | 0.020 |
| Small drainage ditches | 0.035 | 0.040 | 0.040 | 4 | Concrete | 0.010 | 0.014 | 0.017 |
| Stony bed, weeds on bank | 0.025 | 0.035 | 0.040 |  | Metal, corrugated | 0.221 | 10.025 | 0.0255 |
| Straight and uniform | 0.017 | 10.0225 | 0.025 |  | Steel, riveted and spiral | 0.013 | 0.016 | 0.017 |
| Winding, sluggish | 0.0225 | 0.025 | 0.030 |  | Vitrified sewer pipe | 0.010 | 0.014 | 0.017 |
| Channeis, vegetated |  |  |  |  | Wood stave | 0.010 | 0.013 |  |
| (Soe subsequent discussion) |  |  |  |  | Wrought iron, blsck | 0.012 |  | 0.015 |
|  | Use | 0.033 |  |  | Wreught iron. galvanized | 0.013 | 0.016 | 0.017 |
| ${ }^{\text {eselected from numerous sources. }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Use | 0.012 |  |

[^0]United States Department of Agriculture

## Natural

Resources Conservation Service

## Chapter 15 Time of Concentration
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Thick mulches in forests are associated with low retardance factors and reflect high degrees of retardance, as well as high infiltration rates. Hay meadows have relatively low retardance factors. Like thick mulches in forests, stem densities in meadows provide a high degree of retardance to overland flow in small watersheds. Conversely, bare surfaces with little retardance to overland flows are represented by high retardance factors.

The retardance factor is approximately the same as the curve number (CN) as defined in NEH630.09, Hydrologic Soil-Cover Complexes. In practical usage, CN is used as a surrogate for $\mathrm{cn}^{\prime}$, and the CN tables in NEH 630.09 may be used to approximate $\mathrm{cn}^{\prime}$ in equations $15-4 \mathrm{a}$ and $15-4 \mathrm{~b}$. A CN of less than 50 , or greater than 95 should not be used in the solution of equations 15-4a and 15-4b (Mockus 1961).

Applications and limitations-The watershed lag equation was developed using data from 24 watersheds ranging in size from 1.3 acres to 9.2 square miles, with the majority of the watersheds being less than 2,000 acres in size (Mockus 1961). Folmar and Miller (2000) revisited the development of this equation using additional watershed data and found that a reasonable upper limit may be as much as 19 square miles.

## (b) Velocity method

Another method for determining time of concentration normally used within the NRCS is called the velocity method. The velocity method assumes that time of concentration is the sum of travel times for segments along the hydraulically most distant flow path.

$$
\left.\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{t} 1}+\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{t} 2}+\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{t} 3}+\ldots \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{tn}} \quad \text { (eq. } 15-7\right)
$$

where:
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ time of concentration, h
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{tn}}=$ travel time of a segment $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{h}$
$n$ = number of segments comprising the total hydraulic length

The segments used in the velocity method may be of three types: sheet flow, shallow concentrated flow, and open channel flow.

Sheet flow-Sheet flow is defined as flow over plane surfaces. Sheet flow usually occurs in the headwaters of a stream near the ridgeline that defines the
watershed boundary. Typically, sheet flow occurs for no more than 100 feet before transitioning to shallow concentrated flow (Merkel 2001).

A simplified version of the Manning's kinematic solution may be used to compute travel time for sheet flow. This simplified form of the kinematic equation was developed by Welle and Woodward (1986) after studying the impact of various parameters on the estimates.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{t}}=\frac{0.007(n \ell)^{0.8}}{\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)^{0.5} \mathrm{~S}^{0.4}} \tag{eq.15-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{t}}=$ travel time, h
$n=$ Manning's roughness coefficient (table 15-1)
$\ell=$ sheet flow length, ft
$\mathrm{P}_{2}=2$-year, 24-hour rainfall, in
$\mathrm{S}=$ slope of land surface, $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$

Pre-Development,
Grass: Short grass prairie $\mathrm{n}=0.15$
OFS_A_North, bermudagrass n $=0.41$
Post-Development, landfill final cover
Grass: Short grass prairie $\mathrm{n}=0.15$.
Table 15-1 Manning's roughness coefficients for sheet flow (flow depth generally $\leq 0.1 \mathrm{ft}$ )
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This simplification is based on the following assumptions:

- shallow steady uniform flow
- constant rainfall excess intensity (that part of a rain available for runoff) both temporally and spatially
- 2-year, 24-hour rainfall assuming standard NRCS rainfall intensity-duration relations apply (Types I, II, and III)
- minor effect of infiltration on travel time

For sheet flow, the roughness coefficient includes the effects of roughness and the effects of raindrop impact including drag over the surface; obstacles such as litter, crop ridges, and rocks; and erosion and transport of sediment. These $n$ values are only applicable for flow depths of approximately 0.1 foot or less, where sheet flow occurs. Table 15-1 gives roughness coefficient values for sheet flow for various surface conditions.

Kibler and Aron (1982) and others indicated the maximum sheet flow length is less than 100 feet. To support the sheet flow limit of 100 feet, Merkel (2001) reviewed a number of technical papers on sheet flow. McCuen and Spiess (1995) indicated that use of flow length as the limiting variable in the equation $15-8$ could lead to less accurate designs, and proposed that the limitation should instead be based on:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell=\frac{100 \sqrt{\mathrm{~S}}}{n} \tag{eq.15-9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 15-2 Maximum sheet flow lengths using the
McCuen-Spiess limitation criterion

| Cover type | $\boldsymbol{n}$ values | Slope <br> $(\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft})$ | Length <br> $(\mathrm{ft})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Range | 0.13 | 0.01 | 77 |
| Grass | 0.41 | 0.01 | 24 |
| Woods | 0.80 | 0.01 | 12.5 |
| Range | 0.13 | 0.05 | 172 |
| Grass | 0.41 | 0.05 | 55 |
| Woods | 0.80 | 0.05 | 28 |
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Figure 15-4 Velocity versus slope for shallow concentrated flow


Table 15-3 Equations and assumptions developed from figure 15-4
where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{V} & =\text { average velocity, } \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s} \\
\mathrm{r} & =\text { hydraulic radius, } \mathrm{ft} \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{a}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{w}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Manning's $n$ values for open channel flow can be obtained from standard hydraulics textbooks, such as Chow (1959), and Linsley, Kohler, and Paulhus (1982). Publications dealing specifically with Manning's $n$ values are Barnes (1967); Arcement and Schneider (1989); Phillips and Ingersoll (1998); and Cowen (1956). For guidance on calculating Manning's $n$ values, see NEH630.14, Stage Discharge Relations.

Applications and limitations-The velocity method of computing time of concentration is hydraulically sound and provides the opportunity to incorporate changes in individual flow segments if needed. The velocity method is the best method for calculating time of concentration for an urbanizing watershed or if hydraulic changes to the watercourse are being considered.

Often, the average velocity and valley length of a reach are used to compute travel time through the reach using equation $15-1$. If the stream is quite sinuous, the channel length and valley length may be significantly different and it is up to the modeler to determine which is the appropriate length to use for the depth of flow of the event under consideration.

The role of channel and valley storage is important in the development and translation of a flood wave and the estimation of lag. Both the hydraulics and storage may change from storm to storm and the velocity distribution may vary considerably both horizontally and vertically. As a result, actual lag for a watershed may have a large variation. In practice, calculations are typically based on the 2-year frequency discharge event since it is normally assumed that the time of concentration computed using these characteristics is representative of travel time conditions for a wide range of storm events. Welle and Woodward's simplification of Manning's kinematic equation was developed assuming the 2 -year, 24 -hour precipitation value.

### 630.1503 Other considerations

## (a) Field observations

At the time field surveys to obtain channel data are made, there is a need to observe the channel system and note items that may affect channel efficiency. Observations such as the type of soil materials in the banks and bottoms of the channel; an estimate of Manning's roughness coefficients; the apparent stability or lack of stability of channel; indications of debris flows as evidenced by deposition of coarse sediments adjacent to channels, size of deposited materials, etc., may be significant.

## (b) Multiple subarea watersheds

For multiple subarea watersheds, the time of concentration must be computed for each subarea individually, and consideration must be given to the travel time through downstream subareas from upstream subareas. Travel time and attenuation of hydrographs in valley reaches and reservoirs are accounted for using channel and reservoir routing procedures addressed in NEH630.17.

## (c) Surface flow

Both of the standard methods for estimating time of concentration, as well as most other methods, assume that flow reaching the channel as surface flow or quick return flow adds directly to the peak of the subarea hydrograph. Locally derived procedures might be developed from data where a major portion of the contributing flow is other than surface flow. This is normally determined by making a site visit to the watershed.

## (d) Travel time through bodies of water

The potential for detention is the factor that most strongly influences travel time through a body of water. It is best to divide the watershed such that any potential storage area is modeled as storage.
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where the value of $I$ is

| Retardance | $I$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | 10,000 |
| B | 7.643 |
| C | 5.601 |
| D | 4.436 |
| E | 2.876 |

This relationship can be used in computer programs to make hydraulic computations for vegetated waterways. The relationships should not be used outside the range of the curves shown in Fig. 4.14.

The graphs of Fig. 4.15 are solutions to Manning's equation using the curves in Fig. 4.14. They can be used as a design aid for solving Manning's equation for all retardance classes.

## Example Problem 4.11 Vegetated channel 1

Design a channel to carry 25 cfs on a $4 \%$ slope. Use a parabolic channel. The soil is easily eroded, and the grass may be mowed to 2.5 in . or it may be uncut.

Solution: Select Bermuda grass. Bermuda grass is in retardance $B$ if unmowed and retardance $D$ if mowed. The permissible velocity is selected from Table 4.5 as 6 fps . First design for the mowed condition

$$
A=Q / v=25 / 6=4.17 \mathrm{ft}^{2}
$$

Table 4.4 Guide to Selection of Vegetal Retardance ${ }^{a}$

| Stand | Length of <br> vegetation (in.) | Retardance <br> class |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Good | $>30$ | A |
|  | $11-24$ | B |
|  | $6-10$ | C |
| Fair | $2-6$ | D |
|  | $<2$ | E |
|  | $>30$ | B |
|  | $11-24$ | C |
|  | $6-10$ | D |
|  | $2-6$ | D |
|  | $<2$ | E |

${ }^{a}$ Soil Conservation Service (1979) engineering field manual.

Table 4.5 Permissible velocities for Vegetated Channels (Ree, 1949)

| Cover | Permissible velocity (fps) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Erosion-resistant soils (\% slope) |  |  | Easily croded soils (\% slope) |  |  |
|  | 0-5 | 5-10 | Over 10 | p-5 | 5-10 | Over 10 |
| Bermuda grass | 8 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 4 |
| Buffalo grass |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kentucky bluegrass |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smooth brome | 7 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 3 |
| Blue grama |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tall fescue |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lespedeza sericea |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weeping lovegrass |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kudzu | 3.5 | $\mathrm{NR}^{a}$ | NR | 2.5 | NR | NR |
| Alfalfa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crabgrass |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grass mixture | 5 | 4 | NR | 4 | 3 | NR |
| Annuals for temporary protection | 3.5 | NR | NR | 2.5 | NR | NR |

"Not recommended.

## ATTACHMENT E-2

HEC-HMS PRE-DEVELOPMENT INPUT/OUTPUT FILES

## PRE-DEVELOPMENT INPUT FILES

NORTHEAST C\&D LANDFILL
HEC-HMS INPUT SCHEMATIC PRE-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS


```
Basin: Pre-Develop (old areas)
    Last Modified Date: 6 June 2023
    Last Modified Time: 22:32:30
    Version: 4.0
    Filepath Separator: \
    Unit System: English
    Missing Flow To Zero: No
    Enable Flow Ratio: No
    Compute Local Flow At Junctions: No
    Enable Sediment Routing: No
    Enable Quality Routing: No
End:
Subbasin: OFS_A_East
    Canvas X: 6660.95670111288
    Canvas Y: 6379.309483306841
    From Canvas X: -2831.517124607006
    From Canvas Y: -4775.213005735265
    Area: 0.0566
    Downstream: North Channel 1A
    Canopy: None
    Plant Uptake Method: None
    Surface: None
    LossRate: SCS
    Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
    Curve Number: 69
    Transform: SCS
    Lag: 25
    Unitgraph Type: STANDARD
    Baseflow: None
End:
Subbasin: Pre-1B
    Canvas X: 6541.896740858507
    Canvas Y: 4135.0932432432455
    From Canvas X: -413.14042960330244
    From Canvas Y: -647.1761069387071
    Area: 0.0333
    Downstream: North Channel 1A
    Canopy: None
    Plant Uptake Method: None
```

```
    Surface: None
    LossRate: SCS
    Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
    Curve Number: 62
    Transform: SCS
    Lag: 1
    Unitgraph Type: STANDARD
    Baseflow: None
End:
Subbasin: OFS_A2_North
    Canvas X: 5671.875
    Canvas Y: 7625.0
    From Canvas X: 4263.482815442561
    From Canvas Y: 2378.556967984933
    Area: 0.0172
    Downstream: North Channel 1A
    Canopy: None
    Plant Uptake Method: None
    Surface: None
    LossRate: SCS
    Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
    Curve Number: 69
    Transform: SCS
    Lag: 46
    Unitgraph Type: STANDARD
    Baseflow: None
End:
Subbasin: Pre-1A
    Canvas X: 6337.062400635934
    Canvas Y: 5031.243481717013
    From Canvas X: -413.14042960330244
    From Canvas Y: -647.1761069387071
    Label X: 0.0
    Label Y: -1.0
    Area: 0.0137
    Downstream: North Channel 1A
    Canopy: None
    Plant Uptake Method: None
```

```
    Surface: None
    LossRate: SCS
    Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
    Curve Number: 62
    Transform: SCS
    Lag: 1
    Unitgraph Type: STANDARD
    Baseflow: None
End:
Subbasin: OFS_B2-East
    Canvas X: 6209.040937996824
    Canvas Y: 3059.712957074723
    From Canvas X: 756.9166571196592
    From Canvas Y: -3029.8378108109223
    Area: 0.0035
    Downstream: North Channel 1A
    Canopy: None
    Plant Uptake Method: None
    Surface: None
    LossRate: SCS
    Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
    Curve Number: 65
    Transform: SCS
    Lag: 1
    Unitgraph Type: STANDARD
    Baseflow: None
End:
Reach: North Channel 1A
    Canvas X: -1478.6478934817187
    Canvas Y: 4942.908672496029
    From Canvas X: 4287.950607469818
    From Canvas Y: 4940.6722059998265
    Label X: -65.0
    Label Y: 11.0
    Downstream: Junction-3
    Route: Muskingum Cunge
Channel: Trapezoid
Length: 1615
Energy Slope: 0.005
```

Width: 10
Side Slope: 4
Mannings n: 0.027
Use Variable Time Step: No
Channel Loss: None
End:

Subbasin: Pre-1D
Canvas X: -5985.003378378382
Canvas Y: 3450.178418124009
From Canvas X: 5673.19465583059
From Canvas Y: - 2806.0257393589495
Label X: -64.0
Label Y: -2.0
Area: 0.0485
Downstream: Junction-3

Canopy: None
Plant Uptake Method: None
Surface: None

LossRate: SCS
Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
Curve Number: 62

Transform: SCS
Lag: 1
Unitgraph Type: STANDARD
Baseflow: None
End:

Subbasin: LD-1
Canvas X: 3879.050317965024
Canvas Y: -1805.1026232114482
Area: 0.020
Downstream: PC-2

Canopy 1: None
Plant Uptake Method: None
Surface 1: None

LossRate 1: SCS
Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
Curve Number: 80

Transform: Kinematic Wave

```
    Plane: 1
    Plane 1 Length: 160
    Plane 1 Slope: 0.25
    Plane 1 Roughness: 0.15
    Plane 1 Percent of Area: 100
    Plane 1 Number of Steps: 5
    Channel: 2
    Collector Length: 434
    Collector Slope: 0.02
    Collector Mannings N: 0.027
    Shape: Triangle
    Collector Side Slope: 3
    Collector Area: 0.00249
    Collector Number of Steps: 8
    Channel: Main
    Channel Length: 695
    Channel Slope: 0.25
    Channel Mannings N: 0.033
    Shape: Trapezoid
    Channel Width: 15
    Channel Side Slope: 2
    Channel Number of Steps: 5
    Baseflow: None
End:
Subbasin: LD-2
    Canvas X: 5748.163672496028
    Canvas Y: -4160.697535771068
    Label X: -54.0
    Label Y: -4.0
    Area: 0.013
    Downstream: PC-4
    Canopy 1: None
    Plant Uptake Method: None
    Surface 1: None
    LossRate 1: SCS
    Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
    Curve Number: 80
    Transform: Kinematic Wave
    Plane: 1
    Plane 1 Length: 160
    Plane 1 Slope: 0.25
```

```
    Plane 1 Roughness: 0.15
    Plane 1 Percent of Area: 100
    Plane 1 Number of Steps: 5
    Channel: 2
    Collector Length: 569
    Collector Slope: 0.02
    Collector Mannings N: 0.027
    Shape: Triangle
    Collector Side Slope: 3
    Collector Area: 0.00326
    Collector Number of Steps: 5
    Channel: Main
    Channel Length: 268
    Channel Slope: 0.25
    Channel Mannings N: 0.033
    Shape: Trapezoid
    Channel Width: 15
    Channel Side Slope: 2
    Channel Number of Steps: 5
    Baseflow: None
End:
Subbasin: S23
    Canvas X: 3648.611685214628
    Canvas Y: -5056.847774244837
    Label X: -32.0
    Label Y: 18.0
    Area: 0.0034
    Downstream: PC-5
    Canopy: None
    Plant Uptake Method: None
    Surface: None
    LossRate: SCS
    Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
    Curve Number: 80
    Transform: SCS
    Lag: 2
    Unitgraph Type: STANDARD
    Baseflow: None
End:
Reach: PC-5
```

Canvas X: 7054.311327822565
Canvas Y: -4189.4665655953395
From Canvas X: 4570.366216216216
From Canvas Y: -5927. 39372019078
Label X: -10.0
Label Y: -16.0
Downstream: PC-4

Route: Muskingum Cunge
Channel: Trapezoid
Length: 1047
Energy Slope: 0.005
Width: 5
Side Slope: 2
Mannings n: 0.027
Use Variable Time Step: No
Channel Loss: None
End:

Reach: PC-4
Canvas X: 5559.071704202578
Canvas Y: -2857.7237838563838
From Canvas X: 7054.311327822565
From Canvas Y: -4189.4665655953395
Label X: -14.0
Label Y: 11.0
Downstream: PC-3

Route: Muskingum Cunge
Channel: Trapezoid
Length: 1122
Energy Slope: 0.005
Width: 3
Side Slope: 2
Mannings n: 0.027
Use Variable Time Step: No
Channel Loss: None
End:

Subbasin: S13
Canvas X: 4928.826311605726
Canvas Y: -3110.921542130368
Label X: -48.0
Label Y: -3.0
Area: 0.0033
Downstream: PC-3

Canopy: None
Plant Uptake Method: None

```
    Surface: None
    LossRate: SCS
    Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
    Curve Number: 80
    Transform: SCS
    Lag: 2
    Unitgraph Type: STANDARD
    Baseflow: None
End:
Reach: PC-3
    Canvas X: 5568.933624801271
    Canvas Y: -550.4922893481689
    From Canvas X: 5559.071704202578
    From Canvas Y: -2857.7237838563838
    Label X: -6.0
    Label Y: -2.0
    Downstream: PC-2
    Route: Muskingum Cunge
    Channel: Trapezoid
    Length: 693
    Energy Slope: 0.005
    Width: 3
    Side Slope: 2
    Mannings n: 0.027
    Use Variable Time Step: No
    Channel Loss: None
End:
Reach: PC-2
    Canvas X: 3904.6546104928475
    Canvas Y: -268.84507154213134
    From Canvas X: 5568.933624801271
    From Canvas Y: -550.4922893481689
    Label X: -20.0
    Label Y: 5.0
    Downstream: PC-1
    Route: Muskingum Cunge
    Channel: Trapezoid
    Length: 200
    Energy Slope: 0.005
    Width: 6
    Side Slope: 2
    Mannings n: 0.027
    Use Variable Time Step: No
```

Channel Loss: None
End:
Subbasin: S5
Canvas X: 550.4922893481707
Canvas Y: -1011.3695548489677
Area: 0.0038
Downstream: PC-10
Canopy: None
Plant Uptake Method: None
Surface: None
LossRate: SCS
Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
Curve Number: 80
Transform: SCS
Lag: 2
Unitgraph Type: STANDARD
Baseflow: None
End:
Reach: PC-10
Canvas X: 3904.6546104928475
Canvas Y: -268.84507154213134
From Canvas X: 550.4922893481707
From Canvas Y: - 243.24077901430883
Label X: -30.0
Label Y: 9.0
Downstream: PC-1
Route: Muskingum Cunge
Channel: Trapezoid
Length: 856
Energy Slope: 0.005
Width: 2
Side Slope: 2
Mannings n: 0.027
Use Variable Time Step: No
Channel Loss: None
End:
Reach: PC-1
Canvas X: 2317.1884737678865
Canvas Y: 934.5566772655011
From Canvas X: 3904.6546104928475
From Canvas Y: -268.84507154213134

Downstream: Junction-2
Route: Muskingum Cunge
Channel: Trapezoid
Length: 150
Energy Slope: 0.005
Width: 6
Side Slope: 2
Mannings n: 0.027
Use Variable Time Step: No
Channel Loss: None
End:

Junction: Junction-2
Canvas X: 2317.1884737678865
Canvas Y: 934.5566772655011
Label X: -85.0
Label Y: -3.0
Downstream: Junction-3
End:

Subbasin: LD4
Canvas X: -4826.40914149444
Canvas Y: -832.1395071542147
Area: 0.026
Downstream: PC-12

Canopy 1: None
Plant Uptake Method: None
Surface 1: None

LossRate 1: SCS
Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
Curve Number: 80

Transform: Kinematic Wave

Plane: 1
Plane 1 Length: 160
Plane 1 Slope: 0.25
Plane 1 Roughness: 0.15
Plane 1 Percent of Area: 100
Plane 1 Number of Steps: 5

Channel: 2
Collector Length: 576
Collector Slope: 0.02
Collector Mannings N: 0.027
Shape: Triangle

```
    Collector Side Slope: 3
    Collector Area: 0.00331
    Collector Number of Steps: 5
    Channel: Main
    Channel Length: 632
    Channel Slope: 0.25
    Channel Mannings N: 0.033
    Shape: Trapezoid
    Channel Width: 15
    Channel Side Slope: 2
    Channel Number of Steps: 5
    Baseflow: None
End:
Subbasin: S16
    Canvas X: -4802.085063593007
    Canvas Y: -2661.5662082670915
    Label X: -1.0
    Label Y: -1.0
    Area: 0.008
    Downstream: PC-8
    Canopy: None
    Plant Uptake Method: None
    Surface: None
    LossRate: SCS
    Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
    Curve Number: 80
    Transform: SCS
    Lag: 3
    Unitgraph Type: STANDARD
    Baseflow: None
End:
Reach: PC-8
    Canvas X: -5638.9559658690705
    Canvas Y: -856.4617194260541
    From Canvas X: -5639.97727012223
    From Canvas Y: -2736.3965277222005
    Label X: -50.0
    Label Y: 1.0
    Downstream: PC-12
    Route: Muskingum Cunge
```

```
    Channel: Trapezoid
    Length: 1200
    Energy Slope: 0.005
    Width: 5
    Side Slope: 2
    Mannings n: 0.027
    Use Variable Time Step: No
    Channel Loss: None
End:
Reach: PC-12
    Canvas X: -5647.026717011133
    Canvas Y: 154.90596979332258
    From Canvas X: -5638.9559658690705
    From Canvas Y: -856.4617194260541
    Label X: -54.0
    Label Y: -5.0
    Downstream: Junction-1
    Route: Muskingum Cunge
    Channel: Trapezoid
    Length: 150
    Energy Slope: 0.005
    Width: 6
    Side Slope: 2
    Mannings n: 0.027
    Use Variable Time Step: No
    Channel Loss: None
End:
Subbasin: S1
    Canvas X: -1171.875
    Canvas Y: -562.5
    Area: 0.0036
    Downstream: PC-9
    Canopy: None
    Plant Uptake Method: None
    Surface: None
LossRate: SCS
Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
Curve Number: 80
Transform: SCS
Lag: 2
Unitgraph Type: STANDARD
Baseflow: None
```


## End:

```
Reach: PC-9
    Canvas X: -5647.026717011133
    Canvas Y: 154.90596979332258
    From Canvas X: -1222.1192505685158
    From Canvas Y: 146.37260369080968
    Label X: -6.0
    Label Y: 13.0
    Downstream: Junction-1
    Route: Muskingum Cunge
    Channel: Trapezoid
    Length: 1000
    Energy Slope: 0.005
    Width: 2
    Side Slope: 2
    Mannings n: 0.027
    Use Variable Time Step: No
    Channel Loss: None
End:
Junction: Junction-1
    Canvas X: -5647.026717011133
    Canvas Y: 154.90596979332258
    Label X: -66.0
    Label Y: 18.0
    Downstream: Junction-3
End:
Subbasin: Pre-1C
    Canvas X: -1500.7012622720904
    Canvas Y: 7587.65778401122
    From Canvas X: 5673.19465583059
    From Canvas Y: -2806.0257393589495
    Area: 0.0192
    Downstream: Junction-3
    Canopy: None
    Plant Uptake Method: None
    Surface: None
    LossRate: SCS
    Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
    Curve Number: 62
    Transform: SCS
    Lag: 1
    Unitgraph Type: STANDARD
```

Baseflow: None
End:

Subbasin: OFS_B1-East
Canvas X: -1422.318449920509
Canvas Y: 3562.837305246426
From Canvas X: 5673.19465583059
From Canvas Y: -2806.0257393589495
Label X: -54.0
Label Y: -19.0
Area: 0.0099
Downstream: Junction-3

Canopy: None
Plant Uptake Method: None

Surface: None

LossRate: SCS
Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
Curve Number: 65

Transform: SCS
Lag: 21
Unitgraph Type: STANDARD

Baseflow: None
End:

Junction: Junction-3
Canvas X: -1478.6478934817187
Canvas Y: 4942.908672496029
Label X: -90.0
Label Y: -5.0
Downstream: North Channel 1B
End:

Subbasin: OFS_A1_North
Canvas X: 970.6808998302222
Canvas Y: 7560.373769100173
From Canvas X: 107.23281544256133
From Canvas Y: 1597. 3069679849332
Area: 0.0125
Downstream: North Channel 1B

Canopy: None
Plant Uptake Method: None
Surface: None

```
    LossRate: SCS
    Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
    Curve Number: 69
    Transform: SCS
    Lag: 45
    Unitgraph Type: STANDARD
    Baseflow: None
End:
Reach: North Channel 1B
    Canvas X: -6577.840112201964
    Canvas Y: 7279.102384291726
    From Canvas X: -1478.6478934817187
    From Canvas Y: 4942.908672496029
    Label X: -137.0
    Label Y: -12.0
    Downstream: POD-1
    Route: Muskingum Cunge
    Channel: Trapezoid
    Length: 1303
    Energy Slope: 0.005
    Width: 10
    Side Slope: 4
    Mannings n: 0.027
    Use Variable Time Step: No
    Channel Loss: None
End:
Junction: POD-1
    Canvas X: -6577.840112201964
    Canvas Y: 7279.102384291726
    From Canvas X: 3416.376148748015
    From Canvas Y: -2200.631705489347
    Label X: -65.0
    Label Y: 1.0
End:
Subbasin: LD3
    Canvas X: -5053.272450532726
    Canvas Y: -6316.590563165906
    Area: 0.024
    Downstream: PC-11
    Canopy 1: None
    Plant Uptake Method: None
```

Surface 1: None
LossRate 1: SCS
Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
Curve Number: 80
Transform: Kinematic Wave
Plane: 1
Plane 1 Length: 160
Plane 1 Slope: 0.25
Plane 1 Roughness: 0.15
Plane 1 Percent of Area: 100
Plane 1 Number of Steps: 5
Channel: 2
Collector Length: 694
Collector Slope: 0.02
Collector Mannings N: 0.027
Shape: Triangle
Collector Side Slope: 3
Collector Area: 0.00398
Collector Number of Steps: 5
Channel: Main
Channel Length: 400
Channel Slope: 0.25
Channel Mannings N: 0.033
Shape: Trapezoid
Channel Width: 15
Channel Side Slope: 2
Channel Number of Steps: 5
Baseflow: None
End:
Subbasin: S22
Canvas X: 538.8829050822114
Canvas Y: -6918.091349028406
Label X: -27.0
Label Y: 14.0
Area: 0.0079
Downstream: PC-6
Canopy: None
Plant Uptake Method: None
Surface: None
LossRate: SCS

```
    Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
    Curve Number: 80
    Transform: SCS
    Lag: 2
    Unitgraph Type: STANDARD
    Baseflow: None
End:
Reach: PC-6
    Canvas X: -5636.423899103143
    Canvas Y: -7995.857159192832
    From Canvas X: 568.0117107623391
    From Canvas Y: -7966.728353512708
    Label X: -18.0
    Label Y: 12.0
    Downstream: PC-11
    Route: Muskingum Cunge
    Channel: Trapezoid
    Length: 1485.9
    Energy Slope: 0.005
    Width: 2
    Side Slope: 2
    Mannings n: 0.027
    Use Variable Time Step: No
    Channel Loss: None
End:
Subbasin: S19
    Canvas X: -6879.756468797566
    Canvas Y: -4703.196347031964
    Label X: -23.0
    Label Y: 17.0
    Area: 0.0019
    Downstream: PC-7
    Canopy: None
    Plant Uptake Method: None
    Surface: None
LossRate: SCS
Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
Curve Number: 80
Transform: SCS
Lag: 2
Unitgraph Type: STANDARD
```

Baseflow: None
End:

```
Reach: PC-7
    Canvas X: -5636.423899103143
    Canvas Y: -7995.857159192832
    From Canvas X: -7238.508211509721
    From Canvas Y: -5636.423899103143
    Label X: -51.0
    Label Y: -17.0
    Downstream: PC-11
    Route: Muskingum Cunge
    Channel: Trapezoid
    Length: 853
    Energy Slope: 0.005
    Width: 2
    Side Slope: 2
    Mannings n: 0.027
    Use Variable Time Step: No
    Channel Loss: None
End:
Reach: PC-11
    Canvas X: -5636.423899103143
    Canvas Y: -9219.266997757853
    From Canvas X: -5636.423899103143
    From Canvas Y: -7995.857159192832
    Label X: -52.0
    Label Y: 2.0
    Downstream: South Pond
    Route: Muskingum Cunge
    Channel: Trapezoid
    Length: 50
    Energy Slope: 0.005
    Width: 8
    Side Slope: 2
    Mannings n: 0.027
    Use Variable Time Step: No
    Channel Loss: None
End:
Subbasin: SP
    Canvas X: -8296.875
    Canvas Y: -9156.25
    Label X: -47.0
    Label Y: -4.0
    Area: 0.0139
```

Downstream: South Pond

```
    Canopy: None
    Plant Uptake Method: None
    Surface: None
    LossRate: SCS
    Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
    Curve Number: 80
    Transform: SCS
    Lag: 1
    Unitgraph Type: STANDARD
    Baseflow: None
End:
Junction: South Pond
    Canvas X: -5636.423899103143
    Canvas Y: -9219.266997757853
End:
Subbasin: OFS-South-A
    Canvas X: -1109.375
    Canvas Y: -8687.5
    From Canvas X: 5724.193738229755
    From Canvas Y: -4441.501883239172
    Area: 0.0139
    Downstream: POD-2
    Canopy: None
    Plant Uptake Method: None
    Surface: None
    LossRate: SCS
    Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
    Curve Number: 68.3
    Transform: SCS
    Lag: 44
    Unitgraph Type: STANDARD
    Baseflow: None
End:
Junction: POD-2
    Canvas X: -1079.9438990182334
    Canvas Y: -9382.88920056101
```

From Canvas X: 5724.193738229755
From Canvas Y: -4441.501883239172
Label X: -70.0
Label Y: -4.0
End:

Basin Schematic Properties:
Last View N: 5000.0
Last View S: -5000.0
Last View W: -5000.0
Last View E: 5000.0
Maximum View N: 5000.0
Maximum View S: -5000.0
Maximum View W: -5000.0
Maximum View E: 5000.0
Extent Method: Elements
Buffer: 0
Draw Icons: Yes
Draw Icon Labels: Name
Draw Map Objects: No
Draw Gridlines: No
Draw Flow Direction: No
Fix Element Locations: No
Fix Hydrologic Order: No
End:

PRE-DEVELOPMENT OUTPUT FILES

Project: Northeast (Pre and Post) Simulation Run: Pre(old areas)

Start of Run: 14Jun2021, 00:00
End of Run: 16Jun2021, 00:00
Compute Time:06Jun2023, 19:35:02

Basin Model:
Meteorologic Model: 25 yr , 24 hr Control Specifications:48-HR

| Hydrologic <br> Element | Drainage Area <br> $(\mathrm{MI2})$ | Peak DischargeTime of Peak <br> $(\mathrm{CFS})$ | Volume <br> $($ AC-FT $)$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Junction-1 | 0.0376 | 137.8 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 9.1 |
| Junction-2 | 0.0435 | 146.9 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 10.6 |
| Junction-3 | 0.2830 | 556.2 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 51.2 |
| LD-1 | 0.0200 | 81.4 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 4.8 |
| LD-2 | 0.0130 | 52.8 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 3.1 |
| LD3 | 0.0240 | 97.6 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 5.8 |
| LD4 | 0.0260 | 105.8 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 6.3 |
| North Channel 1A | 0.1243 | 137.8 | 14Jun2021, 12:10 | 20.4 |
| North Channel 1B | 0.2955 | 487.5 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 53.5 |
| OFS-South-A | 0.0139 | 13.2 | 14Jun2021, 12:40 | 2.4 |
| OFS_A_East | 0.0566 | 78.3 | 14Jun2021, 12:20 | 10.1 |
| OFS_A1_North | 0.0125 | 12.0 | 14Jun2021, 12:40 | 2.2 |
| OFS_A2_North | 0.0172 | 16.2 | 14Jun2021, 12:40 | 3.1 |
| OFS_B1-East | 0.0099 | 12.6 | 14Jun2021, 12:10 | 1.6 |
| OFS_B2-East | 0.0035 | 8.5 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 0.6 |
| PC-1 | 0.0435 | 146.9 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 10.6 |
| PC-10 | 0.0038 | 11.6 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 0.9 |
| PC-11 | 0.0338 | 124.6 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 8.2 |
| PC-12 | 0.0340 | 127.2 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 8.2 |
| PC-2 | 0.0397 | 138.1 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 9.6 |
| PC-3 | 0.0197 | 60.1 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 4.8 |
| PC-4 | 0.0164 | 54.5 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 4.0 |
| PC-5 | 0.0034 | 9.8 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 0.8 |
| PC-6 | 0.0079 | 22.0 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 1.9 |
| PC-7 | 0.0019 | 5.6 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 0.5 |
| PC-8 | 0.0080 | 23.7 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 1.9 |
| PC-9 | 0.0036 | 10.6 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 0.9 |

## Page 1

| Hydrologic <br> Element | Drainage Area <br> $(\mathrm{M} 2)$ | Peak DischargeTime of Peak <br> $(\mathrm{CFS})$ | Volume <br> $($ AC-FT $)$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| POD-1 | 0.2955 | 487.5 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 53.5 |
| POD-2 | 0.0139 | 13.2 | 14Jun2021, 12:40 | 2.4 |
| Pre-1A | 0.0137 | 29.7 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 1.9 |
| Pre-1B | 0.0333 | 72.2 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 4.7 |
| Pre-1C | 0.0192 | 41.7 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 2.7 |
| Pre-1D | 0.0485 | 105.2 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 6.9 |
| South Pond | 0.0477 | 174.8 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 11.5 |
| SP | 0.0139 | 50.1 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 3.3 |
| S1 | 0.0036 | 13.0 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 0.9 |
| S13 | 0.0033 | 11.9 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 0.8 |
| S16 | 0.0080 | 28.9 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 1.9 |
| S19 | 0.0019 | 6.9 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 0.5 |
| S22 | 0.0079 | 28.5 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 1.9 |
| S23 | 0.0034 | 12.3 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 0.8 |
| S5 | 0.0038 | 13.7 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 0.9 |

Page 2

Project: Northeast (Pre and Post) Simulation Run: Pre(old areas) Junction: POD-1

Start of Run: 14Jun2021, 00:00
End of Run: 16Jun2021, 00:00
Compute Time: 08Jun2023, 09:50:19

Basin Model: Pre-Develop (old areas)
Meteorologic Model: $25 \mathrm{yr}, 24 \mathrm{hr}$ Control Specifications: 48-HR

Volume Units: AC-FT

## Computed Results

Peak Discharge: 487.5 (CFS) Date/Time of Peak Discharge: 14Jun2021, 12:00
Volume: $\quad 53.5$ (AC-FT)

Project: Northeast (Pre and Post) Simulation Run: Pre(old areas) Junction: POD-2

Start of Run: 14Jun2021, 00:00
End of Run: 16Jun2021, 00:00
Basin Model: Pre-Develop (old areas)
Meteorologic Model: $25 \mathrm{yr}, 24 \mathrm{hr}$ Control Specifications: 48-HR

Volume Units: AC-FT

## Computed Results

Peak Discharge: 13.2 (CFS) Date/Time of Peak Discharge: 14Jun2021, 12:40
Volume: $\quad 2.4$ (AC-FT)

## ATTACHMENT E-3

 HEC-HMS POST-DEVELOPMENT INPUT/OUTPUT FILES
## NORTHEAST LANDFILL

HEC-HMS INPUT SCHEMATIC
POST-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS


```
Basin: Post-Develop (exp)
    Last Modified Date: 7 June 2023
    Last Modified Time: 22:46:57
    Version: 4.0
    Filepath Separator: \
    Unit System: English
    Missing Flow To Zero: No
    Enable Flow Ratio: No
    Compute Local Flow At Junctions: No
    Enable Sediment Routing: No
    Enable Quality Routing: No
End:
Subbasin: D-3
    Canvas X: 1373.4728906151977
    Canvas Y: 1508.9943358389792
    Label X: -27.0
    Label Y: -21.0
    Area: 0.0455
    Downstream: PC-2A
    Canopy 1: None
    Plant Uptake Method: None
    Surface 1: None
    LossRate 1: SCS
    Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
    Curve Number: 80
    Transform: Kinematic Wave
    Plane: 1
    Plane 1 Length: 160
    Plane 1 Slope: 0.25
    Plane 1 Roughness: 0.15
    Plane 1 Percent of Area: 100
    Plane 1 Number of Steps: 5
    Channel: 2
    Collector Length: 536
    Collector Slope: 0.02
    Collector Mannings N: 0.027
    Shape: Triangle
    Collector Side Slope: 3
    Collector Area: 0.00308
    Collector Number of Steps: 5
```

Channel: MainChannel Length: 633Channel Slope: 0.25Channel Mannings N: 0.033
Shape: Trapezoid
Channel Width: 15
Channel Side Slope: 2
Channel Number of Steps: 5
Baseflow: None
End:
Subbasin: D-10
Canvas X: -188.93507170020166
Canvas Y: -1485.4127610842206
Label X: -25.0
Label Y: -19.0
Area: 0.0140
Downstream: D-1
Canopy 1: None
Plant Uptake Method: None
Surface 1: None
LossRate 1: SCS
Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
Curve Number: 80
Transform: Kinematic Wave
Plane: 1
Plane 1 Length: 160
Plane 1 Slope: 0.25
Plane 1 Roughness: 0.15
Plane 1 Percent of Area: 100
Plane 1 Number of Steps: 5
Channel: 2
Collector Length: 368
Collector Slope: 0.02
Collector Mannings N: 0.027
Shape: Triangle
Collector Side Slope: 3
Collector Area: 0.00211
Collector Number of Steps: 8
Channel: Main
Channel Length: 540
Channel Slope: 0.25
Channel Mannings N: 0.033
Shape: Trapezoid
Channel Width: 15
Channel Side Slope: 2
Channel Number of Steps: 5
Baseflow: None
End:
Subbasin: D-1
Canvas X: 648.2884622183119
Canvas Y: -1602.5190645094954
Label X: - 27.0
Label Y: 15.0
Area: 0.0059
Downstream: PC-4
Canopy 1: None
Plant Uptake Method: None
Surface 1: None
LossRate 1: SCS
Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
Curve Number: 80
Transform: Kinematic Wave
Plane: 1
Plane 1 Length: 160
Plane 1 Slope: 0.25
Plane 1 Roughness: 0.15
Plane 1 Percent of Area: 100
Plane 1 Number of Steps: 5
Channel: 2
Collector Length: 419
Collector Slope: 0.02
Collector Mannings N: 0.027
Shape: Triangle
Collector Side Slope: 3
Collector Area: 0.00241
Collector Number of Steps: 5
Channel: Main
Channel Length: 130
Channel Slope: 0.25
Channel Mannings N: 0.033
Shape: Trapezoid
Channel Width: 15

```
Channel Side Slope: 2
Channel Number of Steps: 5
Route Upstream: Yes
Baseflow: None
End:
Subbasin: D-1A
Canvas X: 3643.7321623740827
Canvas Y: -1786.9518539052588
From Canvas X: 2391.3781134098645
From Canvas Y: -421.60345465855426
Area: 0.0037
Downstream: PC-4
Canopy: None
Plant Uptake Method: None
Surface: None
LossRate: SCS
Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
Curve Number: 80
Transform: SCS
Lag: 1
Unitgraph Type: STANDARD
Baseflow: None
End:
Reach: PC-4
Canvas X: 1829.3051359516612
Canvas Y: 46.82779456193293
From Canvas X: 1813.8915004301452
From Canvas Y: -1776.6851352912363
Label X: -6.0
Label Y: -3.0
Downstream: PC-3
Route: Muskingum Cunge
Channel: Trapezoid
Length: 1122
Energy Slope: 0.005
Width: 3
Side Slope: 2
Mannings n: 0.027
Use Variable Time Step: No
Channel Loss: None
End:
```

Subbasin: D-2
Canvas X: 1483.0786473400804
Canvas Y: 84.11949841551359
Label X: -24.0
Label Y: -25.0
Area: 0.0124
Downstream: PC-3
Canopy 1: None
Plant Uptake Method: None
Surface 1: None
LossRate 1: SCS
Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
Curve Number: 80

Transform: Kinematic Wave
Plane: 1
Plane 1 Length: 160
Plane 1 Slope: 0.25
Plane 1 Roughness: 0.15
Plane 1 Percent of Area: 100
Plane 1 Number of Steps: 5
Channel: 2
Collector Length: 540
Collector Slope: 0.02
Collector Mannings N: 0.027
Shape: Triangle
Collector Side Slope: 5
Collector Area: 0.00310
Collector Number of Steps: 5
Channel: Main
Channel Length: 225
Channel Slope: 0.25
Channel Mannings N: 0.033
Shape: Trapezoid
Channel Width: 15
Channel Side Slope: 2
Channel Number of Steps: 5
Baseflow: None
End:
Reach: PC-3
Canvas X: 1826.2839879154071

```
    Canvas Y: 1500.0
    From Canvas X: 1829.3051359516612
    From Canvas Y: 46.82779456193293
    Label X: -6.0
    Label Y: -2.0
    Downstream: PC-2A
    Route: Muskingum Cunge
    Channel: Trapezoid
    Length: 693
    Energy Slope: 0.005
    Width: 3
    Side Slope: 2
    Mannings n: 0.027
    Use Variable Time Step: No
    Channel Loss: None
End:
Subbasin: OFS_B1_East
    Canvas X: 2667.7507979549027
    Canvas Y: 1558.367446915604
    Area: 0.0099
    Downstream: PC-2A
    Canopy: None
    Plant Uptake Method: None
    Surface: None
    LossRate: SCS
    Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
    Curve Number: 65
    Transform: SCS
    Lag: 20
    Unitgraph Type: STANDARD
    Baseflow: None
End:
Reach: PC-2A
    Canvas X: 1820.2416918429008
    Canvas Y: 2978.8519637462227
    From Canvas X: 1826.2839879154071
    From Canvas Y: 1500.0
    Label X: -7.0
    Label Y: -4.0
    Downstream: PC-1A
    Route: Muskingum Cunge
```

```
    Channel: Trapezoid
    Length: 1530
    Energy Slope: 0.0035
    Width: 10
    Side Slope: 2
    Mannings n: 0.027
    Use Variable Time Step: No
    Channel Loss: None
End:
Subbasin: D-4
    Canvas X: 1014.4259818731116
    Canvas Y: 2979.305135951662
    Label X: -26.0
    Label Y: -21.0
    Area: 0.0138
    Downstream: PC-1A
    Canopy 1: None
    Plant Uptake Method: None
    Surface 1: None
LossRate 1: SCS
Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
Curve Number: 80
Transform: Kinematic Wave
Plane: 1
Plane 1 Length: 160
Plane 1 Slope: 0.25
Plane 1 Roughness: 0.15
Plane 1 Percent of Area: 100
Plane 1 Number of Steps: 5
Channel: 2
Collector Length: 406
Collector Slope: 0.02
Collector Mannings N: 0.027
Shape: Triangle
Collector Side Slope: 3
Collector Area: 0.00233
Collector Number of Steps: 7
Channel: Main
Channel Length: 527
Channel Slope: 0.25
Channel Mannings N: 0.033
Shape: Trapezoid
```

Channel Width: 15
Channel Side Slope: 2
Channel Number of Steps: 5

Baseflow: None
End:

Reach: PC-1A
Canvas X: 1833.817068859702
Canvas Y: 3788.7940757165234
From Canvas X: 1820. 2416918429008
From Canvas Y: 2978.8519637462227
Label X: -5.0
Label Y: -7.0
Downstream: NORTH POND

Route: Muskingum Cunge
Channel: Trapezoid
Length: 63
Energy Slope: 0.0035
Width: 10
Side Slope: 2
Mannings n: 0.033
Use Variable Time Step: No
Channel Loss: None
End:

Subbasin: D-5
Canvas X: -236.29654975019184
Canvas Y: 3073.522584848697
Label X: -20.0
Label Y: -26.0
Area: 0.0205
Downstream: NORTH POND

Canopy 1: None
Plant Uptake Method: None
Surface 1: None

LossRate 1: SCS
Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
Curve Number: 80

Transform: Kinematic Wave
Plane: 1
Plane 1 Length: 160
Plane 1 Slope: 0.25
Plane 1 Roughness: 0.15

```
    Plane 1 Percent of Area: 100
    Plane 1 Number of Steps: 5
    Channel: 2
    Collector Length: 326
    Collector Slope: 0.02
    Collector Mannings N: 0.027
    Shape: Triangle
    Collector Side Slope: 3
    Collector Area: 0.00187
    Collector Number of Steps: 11
    Channel: Main
    Channel Length: 660
    Channel Slope: 0.25
    Channel Mannings N: 0.033
    Shape: Trapezoid
    Channel Width: 15
    Channel Side Slope: 2
    Channel Number of Steps: 5
    Baseflow: None
End:
Subbasin: N POND
    Canvas X: 2710.2814684933765
    Canvas Y: 3796.4835711184487
    Area: 0.0029
    Downstream: NORTH POND
    Canopy: None
    Plant Uptake Method: None
    Surface: None
    LossRate: SCS
    Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
    Curve Number: 99
    Transform: SCS
    Lag: 1
    Unitgraph Type: STANDARD
    Baseflow: None
End:
Reservoir: NORTH POND
    Canvas X: 1833.817068859702
    Canvas Y: 3788.7940757165234
    Label X: -81.0
```

```
    Label Y: 17.0
    Downstream: NORTHWEST POND
    Route: Modified Puls
    Routing Curve: Elevation-Area-Outflow
    Initial Elevation: 1190
    Elevation-Area Table: NORTH POND
    Elevation-Outflow Table: NORTH POND
    Primary Table: Elevation-Outflow
End:
Subbasin: D-6
    Canvas X: -2111.9901732360486
    Canvas Y: 3394.213351506949
    Label X: -25.0
    Label Y: -28.0
    Area: 0.0365
    Downstream: NORTHWEST POND
    Canopy 1: None
    Plant Uptake Method: None
    Surface 1: None
LossRate 1: SCS
Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
Curve Number: 80
Transform: Kinematic Wave
Plane: 1
Plane 1 Length: 160
Plane 1 Slope: 0.25
Plane 1 Roughness: 0.15
Plane 1 Percent of Area: 100
Plane 1 Number of Steps: 5
Channel: 2
Collector Length: 525
Collector Slope: 0.02
Collector Mannings N: 0.027
Shape: Triangle
Collector Side Slope: 3
Collector Area: 0.00302
Collector Number of Steps: 5
Channel: Main
Channel Length: 897
Channel Slope: 0.25
Channel Mannings N: 0.033
```

Shape: Trapezoid
Channel Width: 15
Channel Side Slope: 2
Channel Number of Steps: 5
Baseflow: None
End:
Subbasin: D-7
Canvas X: -2879.230470310222
Canvas Y: 2626.973054432776
Label X: - 25.0
Label Y: -21.0
Area: 0.0317
Downstream: PC-12A
Canopy 1: None
Plant Uptake Method: None
Surface 1: None
LossRate 1: SCS
Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
Curve Number: 80
Transform: Kinematic Wave
Plane: 1
Plane 1 Length: 160
Plane 1 Slope: 0.25
Plane 1 Roughness: 0.15
Plane 1 Percent of Area: 100
Plane 1 Number of Steps: 5
Channel: 2
Collector Length: 585
Collector Slope: 0.02
Collector Mannings N: 0.027
Shape: Triangle
Collector Side Slope: 3
Collector Area: 0.00335
Collector Number of Steps: 5
Channel: Main
Channel Length: 740
Channel Slope: 0.25
Channel Mannings N: 0.033
Shape: Trapezoid
Channel Width: 15
Channel Side Slope: 2

Channel Number of Steps: 5
Baseflow: None
End:
Subbasin: D-8A
Canvas X: -3064.586140558633
Canvas Y: 775.5372923168397
Area: 0.0029
Downstream: PC-8
Canopy: None
Plant Uptake Method: None
Surface: None
LossRate: SCS
Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
Curve Number: 80
Transform: SCS
Lag: 1
Unitgraph Type: STANDARD
Baseflow: None
End:
Reach: PC-8
Canvas X: -3706.9486404833833
Canvas Y: 2641.9939577039277
From Canvas X: -3709.9380664652563
From Canvas Y: 661.6631419939572
Label X: -56.0
Label Y: -3.0
Downstream: PC-12A
Route: Muskingum Cunge
Channel: Trapezoid
Length: 743
Energy Slope: 0.01
Width: 2
Side Slope: 2
Mannings n: 0.027
Use Variable Time Step: No
Channel Loss: None
End:
Reach: PC-12A
Canvas X: -3712.234221419325
Canvas Y: 3788.7940757165234

```
    From Canvas X: -3706.9486404833833
    From Canvas Y: 2641.9939577039277
    Label X: -60.0
    Label Y: -5.0
    Downstream: NORTHWEST POND
    Route: Muskingum Cunge
    Channel: Trapezoid
    Length: 1507
    Energy Slope: 0.01
    Width: 6
    Side Slope: 2
    Mannings n: 0.027
    Use Variable Time Step: No
    Channel Loss: None
End:
Subbasin: NW POND
    Canvas X: -4917.897545393025
    Canvas Y: 3788.7940757165234
    Label X: -87.0
    Label Y: -5.0
    Area: 0.0042
    Downstream: NORTHWEST POND
    Canopy: None
    Plant Uptake Method: None
    Surface: None
    LossRate: SCS
    Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
    Curve Number: 99
    Transform: SCS
    Lag: 1
    Unitgraph Type: STANDARD
    Baseflow: None
End:
Reservoir: NORTHWEST POND
    Canvas X: -3712.234221419325
    Canvas Y: 3788.7940757165234
    Label X: -133.0
    Label Y: 16.0
    Downstream: POD-1
    Route: Modified Puls
    Routing Curve: Elevation-Area-Outflow
```

```
    Initial Elevation: 1167
    Elevation-Area Table: NORTHWEST POND
    Elevation-Outflow Table: NORTHWEST POND
    Primary Table: Elevation-Outflow
End:
Subbasin: OFS_A_East
    Canvas X: 3223.3076818637055
    Canvas Y: 7517.977656119105
    From Canvas X: 7318.291309947112
    From Canvas Y: 3765.8533325773724
    Label X: 1.0
    Label Y: -1.0
    Area: 0.0566
    Downstream: NC-1
    Canopy: None
    Plant Uptake Method: None
    Surface: None
    LossRate: SCS
    Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
    Curve Number: 69
    Transform: SCS
    Lag: 24
    Unitgraph Type: STANDARD
    Baseflow: None
End:
Subbasin: OFS_A2_North
    Canvas X: 1657.6473726661734
    Canvas Y: 8704.84918083336
    From Canvas X: 9337.179028612707
    From Canvas Y: 3569.3273059101657
    Area: 0.0172
    Downstream: NC-1
    Canopy: None
    Plant Uptake Method: None
Surface: None
LossRate: SCS
Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
Curve Number: 69
Transform: SCS
```

```
    Lag: 28
    Unitgraph Type: STANDARD
    Baseflow: None
End:
Subbasin: North Channel
    Canvas X: 3829.369737036941
    Canvas Y: 6204.843203243757
    Area: 0.0065
    Downstream: NC-1
    Canopy: None
    Plant Uptake Method: None
    Surface: None
    LossRate: SCS
    Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
    Curve Number: 62
    Transform: SCS
    Lag: 1
    Unitgraph Type: STANDARD
    Baseflow: None
End:
Subbasin: POST-1B
    Canvas X: 3551.5912950825405
    Canvas Y: 6861.410429681431
    Area: 0.0052
    Downstream: NC-1
    Canopy: None
    Plant Uptake Method: None
    Surface: None
    LossRate: SCS
    Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
    Curve Number: 99
    Transform: SCS
    Lag: 1
    Unitgraph Type: STANDARD
    Baseflow: None
End:
```

```
Subbasin: OFS B2-East
    Canvas X: 3576.8438807147577
    Canvas Y: 4689.688065310664
    From Canvas X: 5830.612870289804
    From Canvas Y: -1839.0674728856898
    Label X: 3.0
    Label Y: -4.0
    Area: 0.0045
    Downstream: NC-1
    Canopy: None
    Plant Uptake Method: None
    Surface: None
    LossRate: SCS
    Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
    Curve Number: 65
    Transform: SCS
    Lag: 20
    Unitgraph Type: STANDARD
    Baseflow: None
End:
Subbasin: POST-1A
    Canvas X: 4031.390422094686
    Canvas Y: 5422.0130486449925
    Area: 0.0035
    Downstream: NC-1
    Canopy: None
    Plant Uptake Method: None
    Surface: None
    LossRate: SCS
    Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
    Curve Number: 62.0
    Transform: SCS
    Lag: 15
    Unitgraph Type: STANDARD
    Baseflow: None
End:
Reach: NC-1
    Canvas X: 659.0668541618415
```

```
    Canvas Y: 5278.9943300549585
    From Canvas X: 1882.3309551128496
    From Canvas Y: 5269.523807729589
    Label X: -28.0
    Label Y: 8.0
    Downstream: NC-2
    Route: Muskingum Cunge
    Channel: Trapezoid
    Length: 155
    Energy Slope: 0.0250
    Width: 6
    Side Slope: 2
    Mannings n: 0.033
    Use Variable Time Step: No
    Channel Loss: None
End:
Reach: NC-2
    Canvas X: -266.3144195189652
    Canvas Y: 5272.047745452739
    From Canvas X: 659.0668541618415
    From Canvas Y: 5278.9943300549585
    Label X: -23.0
    Label Y: 7.0
    Downstream: NC-3
    Route: Muskingum Cunge
    Channel: Trapezoid
    Length: 985
    Energy Slope: 0.0030
    Width: 6
    Side Slope: 2
    Mannings n: 0.027
    Use Variable Time Step: No
    Channel Loss: None
End:
Subbasin: OFS_A1_North
    Canvas X: -257.80956779294684
    Canvas Y: 6390.098860568967
    From Canvas X: 6829.5672543786695
    From Canvas Y: 140.766059599644
    Label X: -55.0
    Label Y: 18.0
    Area: 0.0125
    Downstream: NC-3
    Canopy: None
    Plant Uptake Method: None
```

```
    Surface: None
    LossRate: SCS
    Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
    Curve Number: 69
    Transform: SCS
    Lag: 27
    Unitgraph Type: STANDARD
    Baseflow: None
End:
Reach: NC-3
    Canvas X: -1287.502338438424
    Canvas Y: 5275.024853139405
    From Canvas X: -266.3144195189652
    From Canvas Y: 5272.047745452739
    Label X: -33.0
    Label Y: 5.0
    Downstream: NC-4
    Route: Muskingum Cunge
    Channel: Trapezoid
    Length: 260
    Energy Slope: 0.02
    Width: 6
    Side Slope: 2
    Mannings n: 0.033
    Use Variable Time Step: No
    Channel Loss: None
End:
Reach: NC-4
    Canvas X: - 3712.234221419325
    Canvas Y: 5257.511215829942
    From Canvas X: -1287.502338438424
    From Canvas Y: 5275.024853139405
    Label X: -17.0
    Label Y: 6.0
    Downstream: POD-1
    Route: Muskingum Cunge
    Channel: Trapezoid
    Length: 812
    Energy Slope: 0.04
    Width: 6
    Side Slope: 2
    Mannings n: 0.033
```

Use Variable Time Step: No
Channel Loss: None
End:
Subbasin: POST-1C
Canvas X: -4882.772306078346
Canvas Y: 5270.497534851683
Label X: -82.0
Label Y: -3.0
Area: 0.0036
Downstream: POD-1
Canopy: None
Plant Uptake Method: None
Surface: None
LossRate: SCS
Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
Curve Number: 62
Transform: SCS
Lag: 11
Unitgraph Type: STANDARD
Baseflow: None
End:
Junction: POD-1
Canvas X: -3712.234221419325
Canvas Y: 5257.511215829942
Label X: -36.0
Label Y: 18.0
End:
Subbasin: D-9
Canvas X: -2168.9629899324154
Canvas Y: -2486.8061909947646
Label X: -23.0
Label Y: 18.0
Area: 0.0162
Downstream: SOUTH POND
Canopy 1: None
Plant Uptake Method: None
Surface 1: None
LossRate 1: SCS
Percent Impervious Area: 0.0

Curve Number: 80
Transform: Kinematic Wave
Plane: 1
Plane 1 Length: 160
Plane 1 Slope: 0.25
Plane 1 Roughness: 0.15
Plane 1 Percent of Area: 100
Plane 1 Number of Steps: 5
Channel: 2
Collector Length: 747
Collector Slope: 0.02
Collector Mannings N: 0.027
Shape: Triangle
Collector Side Slope: 3
Collector Area: 0.00429
Collector Number of Steps: 5
Channel: Main
Channel Length: 412
Channel Slope: 0.25
Channel Mannings N: 0.033
Shape: Trapezoid
Channel Width: 15
Channel Side Slope: 2
Channel Number of Steps: 5
Baseflow: None
End:
Subbasin: D-8
Canvas X: -4106.814945628899
Canvas Y: -244.69777175913077
Label X: -49.0
Label Y: -4.0
Area: 0.0098
Downstream: PC-7
Canopy 1: None
Plant Uptake Method: None
Surface 1: None
LossRate 1: SCS
Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
Curve Number: 80
Transform: Kinematic Wave

```
    Plane: 1
    Plane 1 Length: 160
    Plane 1 Slope: 0.25
    Plane 1 Roughness: 0.15
    Plane 1 Percent of Area: 100
    Plane 1 Number of Steps: 5
    Channel: 2
    Collector Length: 322
    Collector Slope: 0.02
    Collector Mannings N: 0.027
    Shape: Triangle
    Collector Side Slope: 3
    Collector Area: 0.00185
    Collector Number of Steps: 5
    Channel: Main
    Channel Length: 300
    Channel Slope: 0.25
    Channel Mannings N: 0.033
    Shape: Trapezoid
    Channel Width: 15
    Channel Side Slope: 2
    Channel Number of Steps: 5
    Baseflow: None
End:
Reach: PC-7
    Canvas X: -3671.0531347982314
    Canvas Y: -2145.422067161626
    From Canvas X: -3655.302781608393
    From Canvas Y: -249.55340460792013
    Label X: -50.0
    Label Y: 1.0
    Downstream: SOUTH POND
    Route: Muskingum Cunge
    Channel: Trapezoid
    Length: 628
    Energy Slope: 0.005
    Width: 5
    Side Slope: 2
    Mannings n: 0.027
    Use Variable Time Step: No
    Channel Loss: None
End:
```

Subbasin: S POND

```
    Canvas X: -6697.9923661187395
    Canvas Y: -2122.66312557275
    Label X: -73.0
    Label Y: -4.0
    Area: 0.0026
    Downstream: SOUTH POND
    Canopy: None
    Plant Uptake Method: None
    Surface: None
    LossRate: SCS
    Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
    Curve Number: 99
    Transform: SCS
    Lag: 1
    Unitgraph Type: STANDARD
    Baseflow: None
End:
Subbasin: D-10A
    Canvas X: -2677.65242844406
    Canvas Y: -546.9946920001421
    From Canvas X: 7740.41257381245
    From Canvas Y: 768.3817862337237
    Area: 0.0026
    Downstream: SOUTH POND
    Canopy: None
    Plant Uptake Method: None
    Surface: None
    LossRate: SCS
    Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
    Curve Number: 80
    Transform: SCS
    Lag: 23
    Unitgraph Type: STANDARD
    Baseflow: None
End:
Reservoir: SOUTH POND
    Canvas X: -3671.0531347982314
    Canvas Y: -2145.422067161626
```

```
    Label X: -99.0
    Label Y: 12.0
    Downstream: SOUTHEAST POND
    Route: Modified Puls
    Routing Curve: Elevation-Area-Outflow
    Initial Elevation: 1194
    Elevation-Area Table: SOUTH POND
    Elevation-Outflow Table: SOUTH POND
    Primary Table: Elevation-Outflow
End:
Subbasin: SE POND
    Canvas X: -5475.393609795796
    Canvas Y: -4438.105990201982
    Label X: -85.0
    Label Y: -3.0
    Area: 0.0011
    Downstream: SOUTHEAST POND
    Canopy: None
    Plant Uptake Method: None
    Surface: None
    LossRate: SCS
    Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
    Curve Number: 99
    Transform: SCS
    Lag: 1
    Unitgraph Type: STANDARD
    Baseflow: None
End:
Subbasin: POST-2A
    Canvas X: -3668.3919187293723
    Canvas Y: -4585.085738064456
    Label X: -36.0
    Label Y: -23.0
    Area: 0.0007
    Downstream: SOUTHEAST POND
    Canopy: None
    Plant Uptake Method: None
    Surface: None
    LossRate: SCS
```

```
    Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
    Curve Number: 62
    Transform: SCS
    Lag: 5
    Unitgraph Type: STANDARD
    Baseflow: None
End:
Reservoir: SOUTHEAST POND
    Canvas X: - 3671.0531347982314
    Canvas Y: -3260.61020501655
    Label X: -140.0
    Label Y: -3.0
    Downstream: POD-2
    Route: Modified Puls
    Routing Curve: Elevation-Area-Outflow
    Initial Elevation: 1190
    Elevation-Area Table: SOUTHWEST POND
    Elevation-Outflow Table: SOUTHWEST POND
    Primary Table: Elevation-Outflow
End:
Subbasin: POST-2B
    Canvas X: -962.7390857219871
    Canvas Y: -4307.521518104846
    Label X: -39.0
    Label Y: -19.0
    Area: 0.0121
    Downstream: POD-2
    Canopy: None
    Plant Uptake Method: None
    Surface: None
    LossRate: SCS
    Percent Impervious Area: 0.0
    Curve Number: 67.2
    Transform: SCS
    Lag: 45
    Unitgraph Type: STANDARD
    Baseflow: None
End:
Junction: POD-2
```

```
    Canvas X: -906.3268492623465
    Canvas Y: -3247.8955060208955
    Label X: 13.0
    Label Y: 1.0
End:
Basin Schematic Properties:
    Last View N: 5000.0
    Last View S: -5000.0
    Last View W: -5000.0
    Last View E: 5000.0
    Maximum View N: 7436.2150582362765
    Maximum View S: -3738.6706948640476
    Maximum View W: -4663.617824773413
    Maximum View E: 6994.061730449253
    Extent Method: Elements
    Buffer: 0
    Draw Icons: Yes
    Draw Icon Labels: Name
    Draw Map Objects: No
    Draw Gridlines: No
    Draw Flow Direction: No
    Fix Element Locations: No
    Fix Hydrologic Order: No
End:
```

Project: Northeast (Pre and Post) Simulation Run: POST-DEVELOP EXP

Start of Run: 14Jun2021, 00:00
End of Run: 16Jun2021, 00:00
Compute Time:08Jun2023, 09:39:47

Basin Model: Post-Develop (exp) Meteorologic Model: 25 yr , 24 hr Control Specifications:48-HR

| Hydrologic <br> Element | Drainage Area <br> $(\mathrm{MI2)}$ | Peak DischargeTime of Peak <br> $(\mathrm{CFS})$ | Volume <br> $($ AC-FT $)$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| D-1 | 0.0199 | 80.5 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 4.8 |
| D-1A | 0.0037 | 13.3 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 0.9 |
| D-10 | 0.0140 | 57.0 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 3.4 |
| D-10A | 0.0026 | 5.0 | 14Jun2021, 12:20 | 0.6 |
| D-2 | 0.0124 | 50.4 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 3.0 |
| D-3 | 0.0455 | 185.0 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 11.0 |
| D-4 | 0.0138 | 56.2 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 3.3 |
| D-5 | 0.0205 | 83.4 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 5.0 |
| D-6 | 0.0365 | 148.5 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 8.8 |
| D-7 | 0.0317 | 129.0 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 7.7 |
| D-8 | 0.0098 | 39.8 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 2.4 |
| D-8A | 0.0029 | 10.5 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 0.7 |
| D-9 | 0.0162 | 65.9 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 3.9 |
| NC-1 | 0.0935 | 118.9 | 14Jun2021, 12:20 | 17.2 |
| NC-2 | 0.0935 | 118.3 | 14Jun2021, 12:20 | 17.2 |
| NC-3 | 0.1060 | 134.4 | 14Jun2021, 12:20 | 19.4 |
| NC-4 | 0.1060 | 133.3 | 14Jun2021, 12:20 | 19.4 |
| NORTHWEST POND | 0.2039 | 260.2 | 14Jun2021, 12:20 | 48.6 |
| North Channel | 0.0065 | 14.1 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 0.9 |
| NORTH POND | 0.1286 | 211.0 | 14Jun2021, 12:10 | 29.9 |
| NW POND | 0.0042 | 19.0 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 1.5 |
| N POND | 0.0029 | 13.1 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 1.0 |
| OFS_A_East | 0.0566 | 79.5 | 14Jun2021, 12:20 | 10.1 |
| OFS_A1_North | 0.0125 | 16.5 | 14Jun2021, 12:20 | 2.2 |
| OFS_A2_North | 0.0172 | 22.2 | 14Jun2021, 12:20 | 3.1 |
| OFS_B1_East | 0.0099 | 13.3 | 14Jun2021, 12:10 | 1.6 |
| OFS_B2-East | 0.0045 | 6.0 | 14Jun2021, 12:10 | 0.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
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| Hydrologic <br> Element | Drainage Area <br> $(\mathrm{MI} 2)$ | Peak DischargleTime of Peak <br> $(\mathrm{CFS})$ | Volume <br> $($ AC-FT $)$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PC-1A | 0.1052 | 325.7 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 24.7 |
| PC-12A | 0.0346 | 124.0 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 8.4 |
| PC-2A | 0.0914 | 273.1 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 21.4 |
| PC-3 | 0.0360 | 124.3 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 8.8 |
| PC-4 | 0.0236 | 83.4 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 5.7 |
| PC-7 | 0.0098 | 36.9 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 2.4 |
| PC-8 | 0.0029 | 9.3 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 0.7 |
| POD-1 | 0.3135 | 396.4 | 14Jun2021, 12:20 | 68.6 |
| POD-2 | 0.0451 | 10.9 | 14Jun2021, 12:40 | 2.0 |
| POST-1A | 0.0035 | 4.9 | 14Jun2021, 12:10 | 0.5 |
| POST-1B | 0.0052 | 23.5 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 1.9 |
| POST-1C | 0.0036 | 5.2 | 14Jun2021, 12:10 | 0.5 |
| POST-2A | 0.0007 | 1.5 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 0.1 |
| POST-2B | 0.0121 | 10.9 | 14Jun2021, 12:40 | 2.0 |
| SE POND | 0.0011 | 5.0 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 0.4 |
| SOUTHEAST POND | 0.0330 | 0.0 | 14Jun2021, 00:00 | 0.0 |
| SOUTH POND | 0.0312 | 8.7 | 14Jun2021, 13:00 | 3.5 |
| S POND | 0.0026 | 11.8 | 14Jun2021, 12:00 | 0.9 |
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Project: Northeast (Pre and Post) Simulation Run: POST-DEVELOP EXP Junction: POD-1

Start of Run: 14Jun2021, 00:00 Basin Model: Post-Develop (exp)
End of Run: 16Jun2021, 00:00
Meteorologic Model: $25 \mathrm{yr}, 24 \mathrm{hr}$
Control Specifications: 48-HR

Volume Units: AC-FT

## Computed Results

Peak Discharge: 396.4 (CFS) Date/Time of Peak Discharge: 14Jun2021, 12:20
Volume: 68.6 (AC-FT)

Project: Northeast (Pre and Post) Simulation Run: POST-DEVELOP EXP Junction: POD-2

| Start of Run: | 14Jun2021, 00:00 | Basin Model: | Post-Develop (exp) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| End of Run: | 16Jun2021, 00:00 | Meteorologic Model: | $25 \mathrm{yr}, 24 \mathrm{hr}$ |
| Compute Time: 08Jun2023, 09:45:41 | Control Specifications: | 48-HR |  |

Project: Northeast (Pre and Post) Simulation Run: POST-DEVELOP EXP
Reservoir: NORTH POND

| Start of Run: | 14Jun2021, 00:00 | Basin Model: | Post-Develop (exp) |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| End of Run: | 16Jun2021, 00:00 | Meteorologic Model: | $25 \mathrm{yr}, 24 \mathrm{hr}$ |
| Compute Time: | 08Jun2023, 09:45:41 | Control Specifications: | $48-\mathrm{HR}$ |

Volume Units: AC-FT

Computed Results
Peak Inflow: $\quad 422.2$ (CFS) Date/Time of Peak Inflow: 14Jun2021, 12:00
Peak Discharge: 211.0 (CFS) Date/Time of Peak Discharge: 14Jun2021, 12:10
Inflow Volume: 30.7 (AC-FT) Peak Storage: 6.6 (AC-FT)
Discharge Volume: 29.9 (AC-FT) Peak Elevation: 1199.0 (FT)

Project: Northeast (Pre and Post) Simulation Run: POST-DEVELOP EXP Reservoir: NORTHWEST POND

| Start of Run: | 14Jun2021, 00:00 | Basin Model: | Post-Develop (exp) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| End of Run: | 16Jun2021, 00:00 | Meteorologic Model: | $25 \mathrm{yr}, 24 \mathrm{hr}$ |
| Compute Time: | 08Jun2023, 09:45:41 | Control Specifications: | $48-\mathrm{HR}$ |

Volume Units: $\quad$ AC-FT

Computed Results
Peak Inflow: $\quad 473.3$ (CFS) Date/Time of Peak Inflow: 14Jun2021, 12:00
Peak Discharge: $\quad 260.2$ (CFS) Date/Time of Peak Discharge: 14Jun2021, 12:20
Inflow Volume: 48.6 (AC-FT) Peak Storage: 7.4 (AC-FT)
Discharge Volume: 48.6 (AC-FT) Peak Elevation: 1175.7 (FT)

Project: Northeast (Pre and Post) Simulation Run: POST-DEVELOP EXP Reservoir: SOUTHEAST POND

| Start of Run: 14Ju | n2021, 00:00 | Basin Model: P | ost-Develop (exp) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End of Run: 16Ju | n2021, 00:00 | Meteorologic Model: 25 | $5 \mathrm{yr}, 24 \mathrm{hr}$ |
| Compute Time: 08Ju | n2023, 09:45:41 | Control Specifications: 48 |  |
|  | Volume Units: | : AC-FT |  |
| Computed Results |  |  |  |
| Peak Inflow: | 9.2 (CFS) | Date/Time of Peak Inflow: | 14Jun2021, 13:00 |
| Peak Discharge: | 0.0 (CFS) | Date/Time of Peak Discharge: | 14Jun2021, 00:00 |
| Inflow Volume: | 4.0 (AC-FT) | Peak Storage: | 4.0 (AC-FT) |
| Discharge Volume: | 0.0 (AC-FT) | Peak Elevation: | 1201.0 (FT) |

Project: Northeast (Pre and Post) Simulation Run: POST-DEVELOP EXP
Reservoir: SOUTH POND

| Start of Run: 14Jun2021, 00:00 <br> End of Run: 16Jun2021, 00:00 |  | Basin Model: P |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Meteorologic Model: 25 | $\mathrm{yr}, 24 \mathrm{hr}$ |
| Compute Time: 08Jun2023, 09:45:41 |  | Control Specifications: 48-HR |  |
| Volume Units: AC-FT |  |  |  |
| Computed Results |  |  |  |
| Peak Inflow: | 117.7 (CFS) D | Date/Time of Peak Inflow: | 14Jun2021, 12:00 |
| Peak Discharge: | 8.7 (CFS) D | Date/Time of Peak Discharge: | 14Jun2021, 13:00 |
| Inflow Volume: | 7.9 (AC-FT) P | Peak Storage: | 4.9 (AC-FT) |
| Discharge Volume: | 3.5 (AC-FT) P | Peak Elevation: | 1200.5 (FT) |

## ATTACHMENT E-4

HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS

- Drainage Swale Flow Analysis
- Downchute Flow Analysis
- Perimeter Channel Flow Analysis (HydraFlow Express Output Files)
- Soil Loss Analysis
- Hydraulic Analysis References

| Required: | Calculate the flow velocity and normal depth for sizing drainage swales installed on final cover. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method: | 1. Determine peak discharge rate associated with the 25 - year, 24 - hour storm event for the swale contributing drainage areas, as shown on the attached drawing, using the Rational Method . <br> 2. Determine Mannings " n " and runoff coefficient " C " (see Attachment E-4). <br> 3. Using the specified channel geometry, evaluate the peak velocity and flow depth using Hydraflow Express program. <br> 4. Compare the worst case flow velocity with the permissible velocity of 5 fps . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Solution: | Rational Method Calculations for Typical Swale Contributing Areas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Worst Cases | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Drainage } \\ \text { Area }^{2} \end{gathered}$ | Runoff Coef. Cf | Runoff Coef. $C^{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Rainfall Int. } \\ & \text { I, (in/hr) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \text { (acres) } \end{gathered}$ | Peak Discharge (cfs) | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{I}= \\ \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}= \end{array}$ |  |  |
|  | D-3-8 | 1.1 | 0.70 | 7.4 | 2.5 | 14.01 |  | 7.4 |  |
|  | D-7-4 | 1.1 | 0.70 | 7.4 | 2.8 | 16.11 |  | 10 |  |
|  | D-7-1 | 1.1 | 0.70 | 7.4 | 2.8 | 16.06 |  | Roa | Draina |
|  | D-10 | 1.1 | 0.70 | 7.4 | 9.0 | 49.39 |  |  |  |
|  | D-1 | 1.1 | 0.70 | 7.4 | 12.7 | 70.50 |  |  |  |

## Typical Swale Summary Calculations ${ }^{1}$

| Drainage <br> Area ${ }^{2}$ | Flow Rate (cfs) | Bottom Slope(ft/ft) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Manning's } \\ \mathrm{n}^{3} \end{gathered}$ | Side Slope (left) | Side Slope (right) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Bottom } \\ \text { Width (ft) } \end{gathered}$ | Normal Depth (ft) | Flow Vel. (fps) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D-3-8 | 14.01 | 0.020 | 0.027 | 2 | 4 | 0.0 | 1.00 | 4.67 |
| D-7-4 | 16.11 | 0.020 | 0.027 | 2 | 4 | 0.0 | 1.05 | 4.87 |
| D-7-1 | 16.06 | 0.020 | 0.027 | 2 | 4 | 0.0 | 1.05 | 4.86 |
| D-10 | 49.39 | 0.005 | 0.027 | 2 | 4 | 5.0 | 1.43 | 3.72 |
| D-1 | 70.50 | 0.005 | 0.027 | 2 | 4 | 5.0 | 1.70 | 4.11 |

## Conclusions:

Notes: 1. Calculations were performed using the Hydraflow Express.
From above drainage swale summary calculations, the greatest calculated flow velocity in a sideslope swale is 4.98 fps , which is less than the permissible velocity of 5 fps . Drainage swales installed on the final cover sideslope will be constructed with a minimum depth of 2.5 feet with slope of $2 \%$ and 3.5 feet with slope of $0.5 \%$. Both types of swales will have at least 1 foot freeboard. See Permit Drawings for drainage swale details.
2. Contributing drainage areas are depicted on Drawing E4.
3. Refer to Hydraulic Calculation References for Mannings " $n$ " and runoff coefficient, C, references.
4. Rainfal Intensity (I) calculated for $\mathrm{tc}=10 \mathrm{~min}$, using equation for rainfall intensity shown above.

## Channel Report

## D-3-8

## Triangular

Side Slopes (z:1)
Total Depth (ft)
Invert Elev (ft)
Slope (\%)
N -Value
Calculations
Compute by:
Known Q (cfs)
$=2.00,4.00$
$=2.50$
$=1.00$
$=2.00$
$=0.027$

Known Q
= 14.01

Highlighted

| Depth $(\mathrm{ft})$ | $=1.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Q (cfs) | $=14.01$ |
| Area (sqft) | $=3.00$ |
| Velocity (ft/s) | $=4.67$ |
| Wetted Perim (ft) | $=6.36$ |
| Crit Depth, Yc (ft) | $=1.07$ |
| Top Width (ft) | $=6.00$ |
| EGL (ft) | $=1.34$ |



## Channel Report

## D-7-1

## Triangular

Side Slopes (z:1)
Total Depth (ft)
Invert Elev (ft)
Slope (\%)
N -Value
Calculations
Compute by:
Known Q (cfs)
$=2.00,4.00$
$=2.50$
$=1.00$
$=2.00$
$=0.027$

Known Q
$=16.06$

Highlighted

| Depth (ft) | $=1.05$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Q (cfs) | $=16.06$ |
| Area (sqft) | $=3.31$ |
| Velocity (ft/s) | $=4.86$ |
| Wetted Perim (ft) | $=6.68$ |
| Crit Depth, Yc (ft) | $=1.13$ |
| Top Width (ft) | $=6.30$ |
| EGL (ft) | $=1.42$ |



## Channel Report

## D-7-4

Triangular
Side Slopes (z:1)
Total Depth (ft)
Invert Elev (ft)
Slope (\%)
N -Value
Calculations
Compute by:
Known Q (cfs)
$=2.00,4.00$
$=2.50$
$=1.00$
$=2.00$
$=0.027$

Known Q
= 16.11

Highlighted

| Depth $(\mathrm{ft})$ | $=1.05$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Q (cfs) | $=16.11$ |
| Area (sqft) | $=3.31$ |
| Velocity (ft/s) | $=4.87$ |
| Wetted Perim (ft) | $=6.68$ |
| Crit Depth, Yc $(\mathrm{ft})$ | $=1.13$ |
| Top Width (ft) | $=6.30$ |
| EGL (ft) | $=1.42$ |



## Channel Report

## D-10

## Trapezoidal

Bottom Width (fi)
Side Slopes (z:1)
Total Depth (ft) Invert Elev (ft) Slope (\%) N -Value

## Calculations

Compute by:
Known Q (cfs)
$=5.00$
$=2.00,4.00$
= 3.50
$=1.00$
$=0.50$
$=0.027$

Known Q
$=49.39$

Highlighted

| Depth (ft) | $=1.43$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Q (cfs) | $=49.39$ |
| Area (sqft) | $=13.28$ |
| Velocity (ft/s) | $=3.72$ |
| Wetted Perim (ft) | $=14.09$ |
| Crit Depth, Yc $(\mathrm{ft})$ | $=1.15$ |
| Top Width $(\mathrm{ft})$ | $=13.58$ |
| EGL (ft) | $=1.64$ |

Elev (ft)
Section


## Channel Report

## D-1

## Trapezoidal

Bottom Width (ft)
$=5.00$
Side Slopes (z:1)
Total Depth (ft)
Invert Elev (ft)
Slope (\%)
N -Value
Calculations
Compute by:
Known Q (cfs)
= 2.00, 4.00
= 3.50
$=1.00$
$=0.50$
$=0.027$

Known Q
$=70.50$

Highlighted

| Depth $(\mathrm{ft})$ | $=1.70$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{cfs})$ | $=70.50$ |
| Area $(\mathrm{sqft})$ | $=17.17$ |
| Velocity $(\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s})$ | $=4.11$ |
| Wetted Perim (ft) | $=15.81$ |
| Crit Depth, Yc $(\mathrm{ft})$ | $=1.39$ |
| Top Width $(\mathrm{ft})$ | $=15.20$ |
| EGL $(\mathrm{ft})$ | $=1.96$ |

Elev (ft)
Section


## Required: <br> Calculate the peak flow depth for sizing downchutes installed on final cover.

## Method:

1. Determine peak discharge rate associated with the 25 - year, 24 - hour storm event for downchute contributing drainage areas using the Rational Method.
2. Determine Mannings " n " and runoff coefficient " C " (see Attachment E-4).
3. Using the specified channel geometry, evaluate the peak velocity and flow depth using Hydraflow Express program

## Solution:

Rational Method Calculations for Typical Swale Contributing Areas

| Drainage <br> Area $^{2}$ | Runoff Coef. <br> $\mathbf{C f}$ | Runoff Coef. <br> $\mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{3}}$ | Rainfall Int. <br> $\mathbf{I},(\mathbf{i n} / \mathbf{h r})^{4}$ | Area <br> (acres) | Peak <br> Discharge (cfs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}-3$ | 1.1 | 0.70 | 7.4 | 23.0 | 131.1 |
| $\mathrm{D}-7$ | 1.1 | 0.70 | 7.4 | 15.3 | 86.9 |


| $\mathrm{I}=$ | 7.40 |
| ---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ | 10 |
|  | (ODOT Roadway Drainage Manual, |
|  | Zone 5) |

## Typical Swale Summary Calculations ${ }^{1}$

| Drainage <br> Area $^{2}$ | Flow Rate <br> (cfs) | Bottom <br> Slope(ft/ft) | Manning's <br> $\mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{3}}$ | Sideslope <br> (left) | Sideslope <br> (right) | Bottom <br> Width (ft) | Normal <br> Depth (ft) | Flow Vel. <br> (fps) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D-3 | 131.1 | 0.25 | 0.027 | 2 | 2 | 15.0 | 0.50 | 16.39 |
| D-7 | 86.9 | 0.25 | 0.027 | 2 | 2 | 15.0 | 0.40 | 13.75 |

## Conclusions:

Notes:

Based on the greatest contributing drainage areas shown on Drawing E4-2, downchutes installed on final cover will be constructed 2 feet deep (assuming min. 1 -foot of freeboard), with a 10 -foot bottom width, and $2 \mathrm{H}: 1 \mathrm{~V}$ sideslopes. Gabions, rip rap, or dissipation blocks will be installed at the toe of the landfill berm with the perimeter channels to dissipate the peak velocity. Typcial details for downchutes are depicted on Permit Drawings.

1. Calculations were performed using the Hydraflow Express
2. Contributing drainage areas are depicted on Drawing E3
3. Refer to Hydraulic Calculation References for Mannings " n " and runoff coefficient, C, references.
4. Rainfal Intensity (I) calculated for $\mathrm{tc}=10 \mathrm{~min}$, as shown above. Refer to Hydraulic Calculation References.

## Channel Report

## D-3

## Trapezoidal

Bottom Width (fi)
Side Slopes (z:1)
Total Depth (ft) Invert Elev (ft)
Slope (\%)
$=15.00$

N -Value
$=2.00,2.00$
$=2.00$
= 1.00
$=25.00$
$=0.027$
Calculations
Compute by:
Known Q (cfs)

Known Q
$=131.10$

Highlighted

| Depth (ft) | $=0.50$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Q (cfs) | $=131.10$ |
| Area (sqft) | $=8.00$ |
| Velocity (ft/s) | $=16.39$ |
| Wetted Perim (ft) | $=17.24$ |
| Crit Depth, Yc (ft) | $=1.26$ |
| Top Width (ft) | $=17.00$ |
| EGL (ft) | $=4.68$ |



## Channel Report

## D-7

Trapezoidal
Bottom Width (ft)
Side Slopes (z:1)
Total Depth (ft) Invert Elev (ft)
Slope (\%)
$=15.00$

N -Value
$=2.00,2.00$
$=2.00$
= 1.00
$=25.00$
= 0.027
Calculations
Compute by:
Known Q (cfs)

Known Q
$=86.90$

Highlighted

| Depth (ft) | $=0.40$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Q (cfs) | $=86.90$ |
| Area (sqft) | $=6.32$ |
| Velocity (ft/s) | $=13.75$ |
| Wetted Perim (ft) | $=16.79$ |
| Crit Depth, Yc (ft) | $=0.97$ |
| Top Width (ft) | $=16.60$ |
| EGL (ft) | $=3.34$ |



## Channel Report

## NC-1

Trapezoidal
Bottom Width (ft)
Side Slopes (z:1)
Total Depth (ft) Invert Elev (ft)
Slope (\%)
N -Value
Calculations
Compute by:
Known Q (cfs)
$=6.00$
= 2.00, 2.00
$=3.10$
$=1.00$
$=2.50$
$=0.033$

Known Q
$=188.00$

Highlighted
Depth (ft)
$=2.09$
Q (cfs)
$=188.00$
Area (sqft)
Velocity (ft/s)
$=21.28$
= 8.84
$=15.35$
Crit Depth, Yc (ft)
Top Width (ft)
= 2.39
$=14.36$
EGL (ft)
$=3.30$

Elev (ft)
Section


## Channel Report

## NC-2

Trapezoidal

| Bottom Width (ft) | $=6.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Side Slopes (z:1) | $=2.00,2.00$ |
| Total Depth (ft) | $=4.30$ |
| Invert Elev (ft) | $=1.00$ |
| Slope (\%) | $=0.30$ |
| N-Value | $=0.027$ |

## Calculations

Compute by:
Known Q (cfs)

Highlighted

| Depth (ft) | $=3.21$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Q (cfs) | $=188.00$ |
| Area (sqft) | $=39.87$ |
| Velocity (ft/s) | $=4.72$ |
| Wetted Perim (ft) | $=20.36$ |
| Crit Depth, Yc (ft) | $=2.39$ |
| Top Width (ft) | $=18.84$ |
| EGL (ft) | $=3.56$ |

Elev (ft)
Section
Depth (ft)


Reach (ft)
E-4-15

## Channel Report

## NC-3

## Trapezoidal

| Bottom Width (ft) | $=6.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Side Slopes (z:1) | $=2.00,2.00$ |
| Total Depth (ft) | $=4.30$ |
| Invert Elev (ft) | $=1.00$ |
| Slope (\%) | $=2.00$ |
| N-Value | $=0.033$ |

## Calculations

Compute by:
Known Q (cfs)

Highlighted

| Depth (ft) | $=2.22$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Q (cfs) | $=188.00$ |
| Area (sqft) | $=23.18$ |
| Velocity (ft/s) | $=8.11$ |
| Wetted Perim (ft) | $=15.93$ |
| Crit Depth, Yc (ft) | $=2.39$ |
| Top Width (ft) | $=14.88$ |
| EGL (ft) | $=3.24$ |

Elev (ft)
Section
Depth (ft)


Reach (ft)
E-4-16

## Channel Report

## NC-4

## Trapezoidal

Bottom Width (fi)
Side Slopes (z:1)
Total Depth (ft) Invert Elev (ft)
Slope (\%)
N -Value
Calculations
Compute by:
Known Q (cfs)
$=6.00$
= 2.00, 2.00
= 3.00
$=1.00$
$=4.00$
$=0.033$

Known Q
$=188.00$

Highlighted

| Depth (ft) | $=1.85$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Q (cfs) | $=188.00$ |
| Area (sqft) | $=17.94$ |
| Velocity (ft/s) | $=10.48$ |
| Wetted Perim (ft) | $=14.27$ |
| Crit Depth, Yc (ft) | $=2.39$ |
| Top Width (ft) | $=13.40$ |
| EGL (ft) | $=3.56$ |

Elev (ft)
Section


Reach (ft)
E-4-17

## Channel Report

## PC-1

## Trapezoidal

Bottom Width (fi)
Side Slopes (z:1)
Total Depth (ft) Invert Elev (ft) Slope (\%) N -Value

Calculations
Compute by:
Known Q (cfs)
$=10.00$
$=3.00,2.00$
$=5.30$
$=1.00$
$=0.35$
$=0.033$

Known Q
$=438.70$

Highlighted

| Depth (ft) | $=4.21$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Q (cfs) | $=438.70$ |
| Area (sqft) | $=86.41$ |
| Velocity (ft/s) | $=5.08$ |
| Wetted Perim (ft) | $=32.73$ |
| Crit Depth, Yc (ft) | $=3.03$ |
| Top Width (ft) | $=31.05$ |
| EGL (ft) | $=4.61$ |



## Channel Report

## PC-2

## Trapezoidal

Bottom Width (ft)
Side Slopes (z:1)
Total Depth (ft)
Invert Elev (ft)
Slope (\%)
N -Value
Calculations
Compute by:
Known Q (cfs)
$=10.00$
$=3.00,2.00$
$=5.30$
$=1.00$
$=0.25$
$=0.027$

Known Q
$=373.60$

Highlighted

| Depth (ft) | $=3.83$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Q (cfs) | $=373.60$ |
| Area (sqft) | $=74.97$ |
| Velocity (ft/s) | $=4.98$ |
| Wetted Perim (ft) | $=30.68$ |
| Crit Depth, Yc (ft) | $=2.78$ |
| Top Width (ft) | $=29.15$ |
| EGL (ft) | $=4.22$ |



## Channel Report

## PC-3A

## Trapezoidal

Bottom Width (fi)
Side Slopes (z:1)
Total Depth (ft) Invert Elev (ft) Slope (\%) N -Value

## Calculations

Compute by:
Known Q (cfs)
$=5.00$
$=3.00,2.00$
$=4.50$
$=1.00$
$=0.25$
$=0.027$

Known Q
$=187.90$

Highlighted

| Depth (ft) | $=3.32$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Q (cfs) | $=187.90$ |
| Area (sqft) | $=44.16$ |
| Velocity (ft/s) | $=4.26$ |
| Wetted Perim (ft) | $=22.92$ |
| Crit Depth, Yc (ft) | $=2.41$ |
| Top Width (ft) | $=21.60$ |
| EGL (ft) | $=3.60$ |

Elev (ft)
Section


## Channel Report

## PC-3B

## Trapezoidal

Bottom Width (ft)
$=5.00$
Side Slopes (z:1)
Total Depth (ft) Invert Elev (ft) Slope (\%) N -Value

## Calculations

Compute by:
Known Q (cfs)
$=3.00,2.00$
$=3.50$
$=1.00$
$=1.50$
$=0.033$

Known Q
$=187.90$

Highlighted

| Depth (ft) | $=2.40$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{cfs})$ | $=187.90$ |
| Area (sqft) | $=26.40$ |
| Velocity (ft/s) | $=7.12$ |
| Wetted Perim (ft) | $=17.96$ |
| Crit Depth, $\mathrm{Yc}(\mathrm{ft})$ | $=2.41$ |
| Top Width (ft) | $=17.00$ |
| EGL (ft) | $=3.19$ |

Elev (ft)
Section


Reach (ft)
E-4-21

## Channel Report

## PC-3C

## Trapezoidal

Bottom Width (ft)
$=5.00$
Side Slopes ( $\mathrm{z}: 1$ )
Total Depth (ft)
Invert Elev (ft)
Slope (\%)
N -Value
Calculations
Compute by:
Known Q (cfs)
$=3.00,2.00$
$=4.50$
$=1.00$
$=0.25$
$=0.027$

Known Q
$=187.90$

Highlighted

| Depth (ft) | $=3.32$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Q (cfs) | $=187.90$ |
| Area (sqft) | $=44.16$ |
| Velocity (ft/s) | $=4.26$ |
| Wetted Perim (ft) | $=22.92$ |
| Crit Depth, Yc (ft) | $=2.41$ |
| Top Width (ft) | $=21.60$ |
| EGL (ft) | $=3.60$ |

Elev (ft)
Section
Depth (ft)


## Channel Report

## PC-4A

## Trapezoidal

Bottom Width (fi)
Side Slopes (z:1)
Total Depth (ft) Invert Elev (ft) Slope (\%) N -Value

## Calculations

Compute by:
Known Q (cfs)
$=5.00$
$=3.00,2.00$
$=4.00$
$=1.00$
$=0.25$
$=0.027$

Known Q
$=137.60$

Highlighted

| Depth (ft) | $=2.88$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{cfs})$ | $=137.60$ |
| Area (sqft) | $=35.14$ |
| Velocity (ft/s) | $=3.92$ |
| Wetted Perim (ft) | $=20.55$ |
| Crit Depth, Yc (ft) | $=2.06$ |
| Top Width (ft) | $=19.40$ |
| EGL (ft) | $=3.12$ |

Elev (ft)
Section
Depth (ft)


Reach (ft)
E-4-23

## Channel Report

## PC-4B

## Trapezoidal

Bottom Width (ft)
$=5.00$
Side Slopes (z:1)
Total Depth (ft) Invert Elev (ft) Slope (\%) N -Value

## Calculations

Compute by:
Known Q (cfs)
= 3.00, 2.00
$=3.50$
$=1.00$
$=1.00$
$=0.033$

Known Q
$=137.60$

Highlighted

| Depth (ft) | $=2.28$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Q (cfs) | $=137.60$ |
| Area (sqft) | $=24.40$ |
| Velocity (ft/s) | $=5.64$ |
| Wetted Perim (ft) | $=17.31$ |
| Crit Depth, $\mathrm{Yc}(\mathrm{ft})$ | $=2.06$ |
| Top Width (ft) | $=16.40$ |
| EGL (ft) | $=2.77$ |

Elev (ft)
Section


Reach (ft)
E-4-24

## Channel Report

## PC-7

## Trapezoidal

Bottom Width (ft)
Side Slopes (z:1)
Total Depth (ft) Invert Elev (ft) Slope (\%) N -Value

## Calculations

Compute by:
Known Q (cfs)
$=5.00$
= $3.00,2.00$
$=3.00$
$=1.00$
$=0.50$
$=0.027$

Known Q
$=39.90$

Highlighted

| Depth $(\mathrm{ft})$ | $=1.33$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{cfs})$ | $=39.90$ |
| Area $(\mathrm{sqft})$ | $=11.07$ |
| Velocity $(\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s})$ | $=3.60$ |
| Wetted Perim (ft) | $=12.18$ |
| Crit Depth, Yc $(\mathrm{ft})$ | $=1.05$ |
| Top Width $(\mathrm{ft})$ | $=11.65$ |
| EGL $(\mathrm{ft})$ | $=1.53$ |

Elev (ft)
Section


## Channel Report

## PC-8

## Trapezoidal

Bottom Width (ft)
$=2.00$
Side Slopes (z:1)
Total Depth (ft) Invert Elev (ft) Slope (\%) N -Value
= 3.00, 2.00
$=2.00$
= 1.00
$=1.00$
$=0.027$
Calculations
Compute by:
Known Q (cfs)

Known Q
$=10.50$

Highlighted

| Depth (ft) | $=0.78$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Q (cfs) | $=10.50$ |
| Area (sqft) | $=3.08$ |
| Velocity (ft/s) | $=3.41$ |
| Wetted Perim (ft) | $=6.21$ |
| Crit Depth, Yc $(\mathrm{ft})$ | $=0.71$ |
| Top Width $(\mathrm{ft})$ | $=5.90$ |
| EGL (ft) | $=0.96$ |

Elev (ft)
Section
Depth (ft)


## Channel Report

## PC-9

## Trapezoidal

Bottom Width (ft)
Side Slopes (z:1)
Total Depth (ft) Invert Elev (ft) Slope (\%) N -Value

## Calculations

Compute by:
Known Q (cfs)
$=6.00$
$=3.00,2.00$
$=3.30$
$=1.00$
$=1.00$
$=0.033$

Known Q
$=138.60$

Highlighted

| Depth (ft) | $=2.17$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Q (cfs) | $=138.60$ |
| Area (sqft) | $=24.79$ |
| Velocity (ft/s) | $=5.59$ |
| Wetted Perim (ft) | $=17.71$ |
| Crit Depth, Yc (ft) | $=1.95$ |
| Top Width (ft) | $=16.85$ |
| EGL (ft) | $=2.66$ |

Elev (ft)
Section


Reach (ft)
E-4-27

## NORTHEAST MUNICIPAL SOILD WASTE LANDFILL SOIL LOSS ANALYSIS

Required:

Method:

References:

Determine expected soil loss for the landfill topslope and sideslope with final cover.

Expected soil loss is calculated using the Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE)/Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE). The annual soil loss calculated for final cover conditions is compared to the permissible soil loss of 3 tons/acre/year.

1. SCS National Engineering Handbook, Section 3-Sedimentation, Chapter 3-Erosion .
2. USDA, Predicting Soil Erosion by Water: A Guide to Conservation Planning with the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE), 1997.
3. United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, Soil Survey of Oklahoma County, Oklahoma.
4. Reference: USDA, Predicting Rainfall Erosion Losses, A Guide to Conservation Planning, Agriculture Handbook Number 537, 1978.

Solution:

1. Soil loss equation:

$$
A=R K L S C P
$$

Where: $\quad \mathrm{A}=$ Soil Loss (tons/ac/yr)
$R=$ Rainfall/Runoff Erosivity factor
$K=$ Soil Erodibility Factor
L = Slope Length Factor
S = Slope Steepness Factor
C $=$ Cover Management Factor
$P=$ Support Practice Factor

The rainfall factor, $R$, is a product of rainfall energy and maximum 30-min intensity. Average annual R values for Eastern United States is presented in Figure 2-1 of USDA 1997. Values of the R Factor (see page F-4-41), the R factor for the Site is:

$$
R=\quad 240
$$

The soil erodibility, K , factor represents the resistance of a soil surface to erosion as a function of the soil's physical and chemical properties. As shown in soil surveys for Oklahoma County for the applicable on-site soils (see page F-4-46), the weighted average $K$ factor for the area is:

$$
K=0.280
$$

Solution (Cont.): $\quad$ The effect of topography on soil erosion are determined by the slope length factor, L, and slope steepness factor, S. The slopes of interest are represented by either of the following: (1) topslope above and sideslope below the first drainage swale placed on final cover or (2) sideslope area between consecutive drainage swales on final cover.

| Topslope Conditions |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| slope $=$ | 4 | $\%$ |
| length, $\mathrm{I}=$ | 160 | ft |


| Sideslope Conditions |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| slope $=$ | 25 | $\%$ |
| length, $\mathrm{I}=$ | 160 | ft |

Topographic factor, combined slope length and slope steepness factors LS, is based on a low rill/interill erosion ratio (see page F-4-52).
Topslope, LS =
0.84
Sideslope, LS =
5.21

The cover and cropping management factor, C , represents the percentage of soil loss that would occur if the surface were partially protected by some combination of cover and management practices. Using of Table 2 - Factor C for Permanent Pasture, Range, and Idle Land (see page F-4-53) for $90 \%$ ground cover yields the following $C$ value.

$$
C=0.006
$$

The erosion control practice factor, P , measures the effect of control practices that reduce the erosion potential of the runoff by influencing drainage patterns, runoff concentration, and runoff velocity. Use of Table 3, for Countouring, Countouring, Stripcropping and Terracing (see page F-4-54), the $P$ factor is determined to be:

$$
P=\quad 0.90
$$

2. Soil loss calculations:

| Slope Condition | R | K | LS | C | P | A <br> (tons/ac <br> $/ \mathrm{yr})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4\% slope <br> 160 ft length | 240 | 0.28 | 0.548 | 0.006 | 0.90 | 0.20 |
| 25\% slope <br> 160ft length | 240 | 0.28 | 5.176 | 0.006 | 0.90 | 1.88 |

## Conclusions:

From review of the annual soil loss, a value of less than 3 tons/acre/year is achieved, consistent with guidance for addressing erosional stability during all phases of landfill operation.


Figure 1. Isoerodent Map of Average Annual Rainfall Runoff Erosivity Factor, R.
Reference: USDA, Predicting Soil Erosion by Water: A Guide to Conservation Planning with the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE), Agricultural Research Service, Agriculture Handbook Number 703, 1997.

## Factor

Date:

| Soil Group | Area | K Factor |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| AstA | $0.0 \%$ | 0.37 |
| HarG | $5.6 \%$ | 0.32 |
| LitB | $0.7 \%$ | 0.32 |
| NewB | $0.6 \%$ | 0.37 |
| NorB | $1.1 \%$ | 0.32 |
| NoUC | $7.3 \%$ | 0.32 |
| PIT | $8.1 \%$ | 0 |
| SEND | $0.7 \%$ | 0.32 |
| SUND | $0.0 \%$ | 0.32 |
| SvDC | $0.5 \%$ | 0.32 |
| TIrB | $19.9 \%$ | 0.32 |
| TIrC | $4.6 \%$ | 0.32 |
| TIrC2 | $7.8 \%$ | 0.32 |
| TIrD | $5.1 \%$ | 0.32 |
| TIUD | $2.1 \%$ | 0.32 |
| URB | $3.4 \%$ | 0 |
| VanA | $10.0 \%$ | 0.32 |
| VanB | $18.8 \%$ | 0.32 |
| W | $3.8 \%$ | 0 |
| Weighted Avg. (K) | 0.28 |  |
|  |  | 0 |



## Custom Soil Resource Report

## MAP LEGEND

Area of Interest (AOI)

## MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:12,000.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL
Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: Oklahoma County, Oklahoma
Survey Area Data: Version 21, Sep 16, 2019

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Nov 14, 2018—Nov 19, 2018

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Map Unit Legend

| Map Unit Symbol | Map Unit Name | Acres in AOI | Percent of AOI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AstA | Ashport silt loam, 0 to 1 percent slopes, frequently flooded | 0.1 | 0.0\% |
| HarG | Harrah fine sandy loam, 3 to 45 percent slopes | 20.5 | 5.6\% |
| LitB | Littleaxe fine sandy loam, 1 to 3 percent slopes | 2.6 | 0.7\% |
| NewB | Newalla fine sandy loam, 1 to 5 percent slopes | 2.2 | 0.6\% |
| NorB | Norge silt loam, 1 to 3 percent slopes | 4.1 | 1.1\% |
| NoUC | Norge-Urban land complex, 1 to 5 percent slopes | 27.1 | 7.3\% |
| PIT | Pits | 30.0 | 8.1\% |
| SEND | Stephenville-Darnell-Newalla complex, 3 to 8 percent slopes | 2.7 | 0.7\% |
| SUND | Stephenville-Urban landNewalla complex, 1 to 8 percent slopes | 0.2 | 0.0\% |
| SvDC | Stephenville-Darnell complex, 1 to 5 percent slopes | 1.7 | 0.5\% |
| TIrB | Teller fine sandy loam, 1 to 3 percent slopes | 73.4 | 19.9\% |
| TIrC | Teller fine sandy loam, 3 to 5 percent slopes | 16.8 | 4.6\% |
| TIrC2 | Teller fine sandy loam, 3 to 5 percent slopes, eroded | 28.7 | 7.8\% |
| TIrD | Teller fine sandy loam, 5 to 8 percent slopes | 18.7 | 5.1\% |
| TIUD | Teller-Urban land complex, 1 to 8 percent slopes | 7.6 | 2.1\% |
| URB | Urban land | 12.6 | 3.4\% |
| VanA | Vanoss silt loam, 0 to 1 percent slopes | 36.8 | 10.0\% |
| VanB | Vanoss silt loam, 1 to 3 percent slopes | 69.2 | 18.8\% |
| W | Water | 14.0 | 3.8\% |
| Totals for Area of Interest |  | 369.0 | 100.0\% |
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## Soil Survey of Oklahoma County, Oklahoma Part I



## Physical Properties

The table 'Physical Properties of the Soils'] shows estimates of some characteristics and features that affect soil behavior. These estimates are given for the major layers of each soil in the survey area. The estimates are based on field observations and on test data for these and similar soils.

Depth to the upper and lower boundaries of each layer is indicated. The range in depth and information on other properties of each layer are given in the series descriptions in Part I of this survey.

Clay as a soil separate, or component, consists of mineral soil particles that are less than 0.002 millimeter in diameter. The estimated clay content of each major soil layer is given as a percentage, by weight, of the soil material that is less than 2 millimeters in diameter.

The amount and kind of clay greatly affect the fertility and physical condition of the soil. They determine the ability of the soil to adsorb cations and to retain moisture. They influence shrink-swell potential, permeability, plasticity, the ease of soil dispersion, and other soil properties. The amount and kind of clay in a soil also affect tillage and earthmoving operations.

Moist bulk density is the weight of soil (ovendry) per unit volume. Volume is measured when the soil is at field moisture capacity, that is, the moisture content at $1 / 3$-bar moisture tension. Weight is determined after drying the soil at 105 degrees C. In the table, the estimated moist bulk density of each major soil horizon is expressed in grams per cubic centimeter of soil material that is less than 2 millimeters in diameter. Bulk density data are used to compute shrink-swell potential, available water capacity, total pore space, and other soil properties. The moist bulk density of a soil indicates the pore space available for water and roots. A bulk density of more than 1.6 can restrict water storage and root penetration. Moist bulk density is influenced by texture, kind of clay, content of organic matter, and soil structure.

Permeability ( $K_{\text {sat }}$ ) refers to the ability of a soil to transmit water or air. The estimates indicate the rate of downward movement of water when the soil is saturated. They are based on soil characteristics observed in the field, particularly structure, porosity, and texture. Permeability is considered in the design of soil drainage systems and septic tank absorption fields.

Available water capacity refers to the quantity of water that the soil is capable of storing for use by plants. The capacity for water storage is given in inches of water per inch of soil for each major soil layer. The capacity varies, depending on soil
properties that affect the retention of water and the depth of the root zone. The most important properties are the content of organic matter, soil texture, bulk density, and soil structure. Available water capacity is an important factor in the choice of plants or crops to be grown and in the design and management of irrigation systems. Available water capacity is not an estimate of the quantity of water actually available to plants at any given time.

Linear extensibility refers to the change in length of an unconfined clod as moisture content is decreased from a moist to a dry state. It is an expression of the volume change between the water content of the clod at $1 / 3$ - or $1 / 10$-bar tension ( 33 kPa or 10 kPa tension) and oven dryness. The volume change is reported in the table as percent change for the whole soil. Volume change is influenced by the amount and type of clay minerals in the soil.

Linear extensibility is used to determine the shrinkswell potential of soils. The shrink-swell potential is low if the soil has a linear extensibility of less than 3 percent; moderate if 3 to 6 percent; high if 6 to 9 percent; and very high if more than 9 percent. If the linear extensibility is more than 3 , shrinking and swelling can cause damage to buildings, roads, and other structures and to plant roots. Special design commonly is needed.

Organic matter is the plant and animal residue in the soil at various stages of decomposition. In the table, the estimated content of organic matter is expressed as a percentage, by weight, of the soil material that is less than 2 millimeters in diameter.

The content of organic matter in a soil can be maintained or increased by returning crop residue to the soil. Organic matter affects the available water capacity, infiltration rate, and tilth. It is a source of nitrogen and other nutrients for crops.

Erosion factors.-Soil erodibility (K) and soil-loss tolerance $(\mathrm{T})$ factors are used in an equation that predicts the amount of soil lost through water erosion in areas of cropland. The procedure for predicting soil loss is useful in guiding the selection of soil and water conservation practices.

Erosion factor $K$ indicates the susceptibility of a soil to sheet and rill erosion by water. Factor K is one of six factors used in the Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) to predict the average rate of soil loss by sheet and rill erosion in tons per acre per year. The soil properties that influence erodibility are those that affect the infiltration rate, the movement of water through the soil, and the water storage capacity of the soil and those that allow the soil to resist dispersion, splashing, abrasion, and the transporting forces of rainfall and runoff. The most important soil properties
are the content of silt plus very fine sand, the content of sand coarser than very fine sand, the content of organic matter, soil structure, and permeability. The estimates are modified by the presence of rock fragments. Values of K range from 0.02 to 0.64 . The higher the value, the more susceptible the soil is to sheet and rill erosion.

Erosion factor Kw indicates the erodibility of the whole soil. The estimates are modified by the presence or rock fragments,

Erosion factor Kf indicates the erodibility of the fineearth fraction, or the material less than 2 millimeters in size. This is one of the factors used in the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation.

Erosion factor $T$ is an estimate of the maximum annual rate of soil erosion by wind or water that can occur over a sustained period without affecting crop productivity. The rate is expressed in tons of soil loss per acre per year. Ratings of 1 to 5 are used, depending on soil properties and prior erosion. The criteria used in assigning a T factor to a soil include maintenance of an adequate rooting depth for crop production, potential reduction of crop yields, maintenance of water-control structures affected by sedimentation, prevention of gullying, and the value of nutrients lost through erosion.

Wind erodibility groups.-Wind erodibility is directly related to the percentage of dry, nonerodible surface soil aggregates larger than 0.84 millimeter in diameter. From this percentage, the wind erodibility index factor ( I ) is determined. This factor is an expression of the stability of the soil aggregates, or the extent to which they are broken down by tillage and the abrasion caused by windblown soil particles. Soils are assigned to wind erodibility groups (WEGs) having similar percentages of dry soil aggregates larger than 0.84 millimeter.

Wind erodibility groups are made up of soils that have similar properties affecting their resistance to soil blowing in cultivated areas. The groups indicate the
susceptibility to soil blowing. Soils are grouped according to the following distinctions:

WEG 1. Very fine sand, fine sand, sand, and coarse sand.

WEG 2. Loamy very fine sand, loamy fine sand, loamy sand, loamy coarse sand, ash, and sapric organic soil material.

WEG 3. Very fine sandy loam, fine sandy loam, sandy loam, and coarse sandy loam.

WEG 4. Clay, silty clay, and noncalcareous clay loam and silty clay loam with more than 35 percent clay.

WEG 4L. Calcareous loam, silt loam, clay loam, and silty clay loam characterized by a strongly effervescent or violently effervescent reaction to cold dilute (1N) HCl.

WEG 5. Noncalcareous loam and silt loam with less than 20 percent clay and sandy clay loam, sandy clay, and hemic organic soil material.

WEG 6. Noncalcareous loam and silt loam with more than 20 percent clay and noncalcareous clay loam with less than 35 percent clay.

WEG 7. Silt, noncalcareous silty clay loam with less than 35 percent clay, and fibric organic soil material.

WEG 8. Soils that are not susceptible to soil blowing because of rock fragments on the surface or because of surface wetness.

Wind erodibility index is a numerical value indicating the susceptibility of soil to soil blowing, or the tons per acre per year that can be expected to be lost to soil blowing. There is a close correlation between soil blowing and the size and durability of surface clods, rock fragments, organic matter, and a calcareous reaction. Soil moisture and frozen soil layers also influence soil blowing.

Additional information about wind erodibility groups and $\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{Kf}, \mathrm{T}$, and I factors can be obtained from local offices of the Natural Resources Conservation Service or the Cooperative Extension Service.
(Entries under "Erosion factors--T" apply to the entire profile. Entries under "Wind erodibility group" and "Wind erodibility index" apply only to the surface layer. Absence of an entry indicates that data were not estimated.)


Physical Properties of the Soils--Continued

| Map symbol and soil name | Depth | Clay | Moist <br> bulk <br> density | Permea- <br> bility <br> (Ksat) | $\left\|\begin{array}{c}\text { Available } \\ \text { water } \\ \text { capacity }\end{array}\right\|$ | Linear extensibility | Organic matter | \|Erosion factors| |  |  | Wind erodibility group | \|Wind erodibility index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Kw | Kf | T |  |  |
| CaaA: | In | Pct | g/cc | In/hr | In/in | Pct | Pct |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canadian--------------1 | 0-8 | 5-18\| | 1.40-1.65\| | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.10-0.15| | 0.0-2.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 20 | . 20 | 5 | 3 | 86 |
|  | 8-19 | 10-18\| | 1.35-1.70\| | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.10-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.0-2.0 | . 20 | . 20 |  |  |  |
|  | 19-30 | 10-18\| | 1.35-1.70\| | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.10-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.0-2.0 | . 20 | . 20 |  |  |  |
|  | 30-80 | 5-18\| | 1.40-1.70\| | 2.00-20.00 | \|0.07-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.0-1.0 | . 20 | . 20 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CaUB: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canadian-------------1 | 0-8 | 5-18\| | 1.40-1.65\| | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.10-0.15| | 0.0-2.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 20 | . 20 | 5 | 3 | 86 |
|  | 8-18 | 10-18\| | 1.35-1.70\| | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.10-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.0-2.0 | . 20 | . 20 |  |  |  |
|  | 18-28 | 10-18\| | 1.35-1.70\| | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.10-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.0-2.0 | . 20 | . 20 |  |  |  |
|  | 28-43 | 5-18\| | 1.40-1.70\| | 2.00-20.00 | \|0.07-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.0-1.0 | . 20 | . 20 |  |  |  |
|  | 43-52 | 5-18\| | 1.40-1.70\| | 2.00-20.00 | \|0.07-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.0-1.0 | . 20 | . 20 |  |  |  |
|  | 52-84 | 5-18\| | 1.40-1.70\| | 2.00-20.00 | \|0.07-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.0-1.0 | . 20 | . 20 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban land------------\| | 0-60 | --- | --- | 0.06-2.00 | \|0.00-0.00| | - | --- | --- | --- |  | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CoIC2: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coyle----------------1 | 0-10 | 15-26\| | 1.30-1.55\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 37 | . 37 | 3 | 6 | 48 |
|  | 10-13 | 18-26 | 1.40-1.70\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.11-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-2.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 13-24 | 20-35 | 1.40-1.70\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.11-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 24-31 | 18-35 | 1.40-1.70\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.07-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.0-0.5 | . 28 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 31-36 | --- \| | 1.85-2.00\| | 0.00-0.20 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ironmound-------------\| | 0-7 | 10-18\| | 1.30-1.60\| | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.11-0.15| | 0.0-2.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 20 | . 20 | 2 | 3 | 86 |
|  | 7-12 | 10-27\| | 1.40-1.70\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.11-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.0-0.5 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 12-15 | --- \| | 1.85-2.00\| | 0.00-0.20 | \| --- | | --- | -_- |  | --- |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CoUB : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coyle-----------------1 | 0-8 | 15-26\| | 1.30-1.55\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 37 | . 37 | 3 | 6 | 48 |
|  | 8-14 | 18-26\| | 1.40-1.70\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.11-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-2.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | $14-22$ | 20-35 | 1.40-1.70\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.11-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 22-30 | --- \| | 1.85-2.00\| | 0.00-0.20 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban land------------\| | 0-60 | - | - | 0.06-2.00 | \|0.00-0.00| | - | --- | - | --- |  | --- | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coyb: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coyle-----------------1 | 0-7 | 15-26 | 1.30-1.55\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 37 | . 37 | 3 | 6 | 48 |
|  | 7-10 | 18-26 | 1.40-1.70\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.11-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-2.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 10-20 | 20-35 | 1.40-1.70\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.11-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 20-27 | 18-35 | 1.40-1.70\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.07-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.0-0.5 | . 28 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 27-40 | --- \| | 1.85-2.00\| | 0.00-0.20 | --- | -- | --- | --- | --- |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dala: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dale-----------------1\| | 0-8 | 15-26 | 1.30-1.50\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.24| | 0.0-2.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 37 | . 37 | 5 | 5 | 56 |
|  | 8-14 | 15-26 | 1.30-1.50\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.24| | 0.0-2.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 14-21 | 18-35 | 1.40-1.70\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.24| | 3.0-5.9 | 1.0-2.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 21-27 | 18-35 | 1.40-1.70\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.24| | 3.0-5.9 | 1.0-2.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 27-53 | 18-35 | 1.40-1.70\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.24| | 3.0-5.9 | 0.5-2.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 53-84 | 18-35 | 1.40-1.70\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.24| | 3.0-5.9 | 0.5-2.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DAM: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dams-----------------1 | 0-80 | --- | --- | 0.06-2.00 | \|0.00-0.00| | --- | - | -- | --- |  | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DaUA: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dale-----------------1\| | 0-8 | 15-26 | 1.30-1.50\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.24| | 0.0-2.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 37 | . 37 | 5 | 5 | 56 |
|  | 8-22 | 15-26 | 1.30-1.50\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.24| | 0.0-2.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 22-30 | 18-35 | 1.40-1.70\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.24| | 3.0-5.9 | 1.0-2.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 30-38 | 18-35 | 1.40-1.70\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.24| | 3.0-5.9 | 1.0-2.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 38-52 | 18-35 | 1.40-1.70\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.24| | 3.0-5.9 | 0.5-2.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 52-84 | 18-35 | 1.40-1.70\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.24| | 3.0-5.9 | 0.5-2.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Map symbol and soil name | Depth | Clay | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Moist } \\ & \text { bulk } \\ & \text { density } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Permea- <br> bility <br> (Ksat) |  | Linear extensibility | Organic matter | \|Erosion factors |  |  | \|Wind |erodi|bility <br> group | \|Wind |erodi- <br> \|bility <br> \|index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Kw | Kf | T |  |  |
|  | In | Pct | g/cc | In/hr | In/in | Pct | Pct |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Konawa-------------1 | 0-7 | 8-18\|1 | 1.40-1.65\| | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.13-0.19| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 24 | . 24 | 5 | 3 | 86 |
|  | 7-18 | 18-30\| | \|1.45-1.70| | 0.60-6.00 | \|0.13-0.19| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.1-0.7 | . 24 | . 24 |  |  |  |
|  | 18-37 | 18-30\| | \|1.45-1.70| | 0.60-6.00 | \|0.13-0.19| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.1-0.7 | . 24 | . 24 |  |  |  |
|  | 37-80 | 7-30\|1 | 1.40-1.70\| | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.07-0.19| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.1-0.7 | . 20 | . 20 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| KowD4 : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Konawa------------ | 0-10 | 8-18\|1 | 1.40-1.65\| | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.13-0.19| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 24 | . 24 | 4 | 3 | 86 |
|  | 10-13 | 2-15\|1 | \|1.40-1.75| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.05-0.19| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.3-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 13-35 | 18-30\|1 | \|1.45-1.70| | 0.60-6.00 | \|0.13-0.19| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.1-0.7 | . 24 | . 24 |  |  |  |
|  | 35-53 | 18-30\|1 | \|1.45-1.70| | 0.60-6.00 | \|0.13-0.19| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.1-0.7 | . 24 | . 24 |  |  |  |
|  | 53-65 | 18-30\|1 | \|1.45-1.70| | 0.60-6.00 | \|0.13-0.19| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.1-0.7 | . 24 | . 24 |  |  | \| |
|  | 65-80 | 18-30\| | \|1.45-1.70| | 0.60-6.00 | \|0.13-0.19| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.1-0.7 | . 24 | . 24 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| KrdA : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kirkland---------- | 0-10 | 13-26 | 1.30-1.50\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.16-0.24| | 0.0-2.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 49 | . 49 | 5 | 5 | 56 |
|  | 10-22 | 40-60\| | \|1.35-1.60| | 0.00-0.06 | \|0.10-0.14| | 6.0-8.9 | 1.0-2.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 22-37 | 40-60\|1 | \|1.35-1.60| | 0.00-0.06 | \|0.10-0.14| | 6.0-8.9 | 1.0-2.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 37-63 | 40-60\|1 | \|1.35-1.60| | 0.00-0.06 | \|0.10-0.14| | 6.0-8.9 | 1.0-2.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 63-82 | 35-60\| | \|1.30-1.65| | 0.00-0.06 | \|0.10-0.18| | 9.0-25.0\| | 0.5-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| KrUA: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kirkland---------- | 0-11 | 13-26\| | 1.30-1.50\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.16-0.24| | 0.0-2.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 49 | . 49 | 5 | 5 | 56 |
|  | 11-28 | 40-60\| | \|1.35-1.60| | 0.00-0.06 | $\|0.10-0.14\|$ | 6.0-8.9 | 1.0-2.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 28-48 | 35-60\|1 | \|1.30-1.65| | 0.00-0.06 | \|0.10-0.18| | 9.0-25.0\| | 0.5-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 48-76 | 35-60\|1 | \|1.30-1.65| | 0.00-0.06 | \|0.10-0.18| | 9.0-25.0 | 0.5-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 76-84 | --- \|1 | \|1.85-2.00| | 0.00-0.20 | --- \| | --- | -- | --- | --- |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban land--------KUIC: | 0-60 | - | - | 0.06-2.00 | \|0.00-0.00| | -- | - | --- | --- |  | -- | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingfisher------- | 0-11 | 15-27\|1 | 1.30-1.55\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.16-0.24| | 0.0-2.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 37 | . 37 | 3 | 5 | 56 |
|  | 11-13 | 25-35 | 1.40-1.70\| | 0.20-0.60 | \|0.15-0.24| | 3.0-5.9 | 0.0-1.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 13-25 | 27-35 | \|1.45-1.70| | 0.20-0.60 | \|0.15-0.22| | 3.0-5.9 | 0.0-0.5 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 25-28 | --- \|1 | \|1.85-2.00| | 0.00-0.20 | --- | --- | -_- | --- | --- |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban land--------Ironmound-------- | 0-60 | --- | - | 0.06-2.00 | \|0.00-0.00| | - |  | - | --- | - | -- | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0-6 | 15-25 | 1.30-1.55\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 32 | . 32 | 2 | 5 | 56 |
| Ironmound--------- | 6-14 | 10-27\|1 | 1.40-1.70\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.11-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.0-0.5 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 14-18 | --- \|1 | \|1.85-2.00| | 0.00-0.20 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| KwUD: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Konawa------------- | 0-7 | 8-18\|1 | 1.40-1.65\| | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.13-0.19| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 24 | . 24 | 5 | 3 | 86 |
|  | 7-10 | 2-15 | \|1.40-1.75| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.05-0.19| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.3-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 10-25 | 18-30\|1 | \|1.45-1.70| | 0.60-6.00 | \|0.13-0.19| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.1-0.7 | . 24 | . 24 |  |  |  |
|  | 25-49 | 18-30\| | \|1.45-1.70| | 0.60-6.00 | \|0.13-0.19| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.1-0.7 | . 24 | . 24 |  |  |  |
|  | 49-80 | 7-30\| | 1.40-1.70\| | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.07-0.19| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.1-0.7 | . 20 | . 20 |  |  | \| |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban land------LarA: | 0-60 | --- | --- | 0.06-2.00 | \|0.00-0.00| | --- | -- | --- | - | --- | --- | -- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lawrie-----------1 | 0-9 | 15-26\|1 | 1.25-1.55\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.24| | 0.0-2.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 37 | . 37 | 5 | 5 | 56 |
|  | 9-24 | 15-26\| | \|1.25-1.55| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.24| | 0.0-2.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 24-40 | 18-35 | 1.30-1.75\| | 0.60-2.00 | $\|0.16-0.24\|$ | 3.0-5.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  | \| |
|  | 40-67 | 18-35 | \|1.30-1.75| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.16-0.24| | 3.0-5.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  | \| |
|  | 67-87 | 18-35 | \|1.30-1.75| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.24| | 3.0-5.9 | 0.5-2.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  | \| | \| |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Map symbol and soil name | Depth | Clay |  | Permea- <br> bility <br> (Ksat) | \|Available <br> water <br> capacity$\|$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Linear } \\ \text { \|extensi- } \\ \text { bility } \end{gathered}$ | Organic matter | \|Erosion factors |  |  | \|Wind |erodi-| |bility| group | \|Wind |erodi- <br> \|bility <br> index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Moist |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | bulk |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | density |  |  |  |  | Kw | Kf | T |  |  |
| LwfA: | In | Pct | $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cc}$ | In/hr | In/in | Pct | Pct |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lawrie----------------1 | 0-14 | 10-18\| | 1.30-1.60\| | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.11-0.15| | 0.0-2.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 24 | . 24 | 5 | 3 | 86 |
|  | 14-33 | 18-35 | 1.30-1.75\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.16-0.24| | 3.0-5.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 33-57 | 18-35 | 1.30-1.75\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.24| | 3.0-5.9 | 0.5-2.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 57-70 | 18-35 | 1.30-1.75\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.24| | 3.0-5.9 | 0.5-2.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 70-96 | 18-40 | 1.30-1.75\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.22| | 3.0-5.9 | 0.0-1.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LwUA : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lawrie-----------------\| | 0-9 | 15-26\| | 1.25-1.55\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.24| | 0.0-2.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 37 | . 37 | 5 | 5 | 56 |
|  | 9-17 | 18-35 | 1.30-1.75\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.16-0.24| | 3.0-5.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 17-24 | 18-35 | 1.30-1.75\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.16-0.24| | 3.0-5.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 24-33 | 18-35 | 1.30-1.75\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.24| | 3.0-5.9 | 0.5-2.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 33-45 | 18-35 | 1.30-1.75\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.24| | 3.0-5.9 | 0.5-2.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 45-84 | 18-40 | 1.30-1.75\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.22| | 3.0-5.9 | 0.0-1.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban land------------ | 0-60 | --- | --- | 0.06-2.00 | \|0.00-0.00| | - | - | -- | --- |  | --- | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \| |  |  |  |  |
| Mlfi : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miller---------------1\| | 0-19 | 10-18\| | 1.30-1.60\| | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.13-0.19| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.0-1.0 | . 37 | . 37 | 5 | 3 | 86 |
|  | 19-29 | 40-50 | \|1.25-1.45| | 0.00-0.06 | \|0.12-0.18| | 6.0-8.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 29-37 | 40-60\| | \|1.35-1.65| | 0.00-0.06 | \|0.12-0.19| | 6.0-8.9 | 0.5-2.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 37-96 | 35-60\| | \| $1.35-1.65 \mid$ | 0.06-0.20 | \|0.12-0.19| | 6.0-8.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M11A : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miller-----------------1 | 0-7 | 40-50 | 1.25-1.45\| | 0.00-0.06 | \|0.12-0.18| | 6.0-8.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 37 | . 37 | 5 | 4 | 86 |
|  | 7-12 | 40-50 | \|1.25-1.45| | 0.00-0.06 | \|0.12-0.18| | 6.0-8.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 12-24 | 35-60 | \|1.35-1.65| | 0.00-0.06 | \|0.12-0.19| | 6.0-8.9 | 0.5-2.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 24-70 | 35-60 | \|1.35-1.65| | 0.06-0.20 | \|0.12-0.19| | 6.0-8.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 70-84 | 25-50 | \|1.35-1.65| | 0.06-0.20 | \|0.12-0.19| | 6.0-8.9 | $0.5-1.0$ | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M-W : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous water. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NewB: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Newalla---------------1 | 0-4 | 7-17 | 1.40-1.65\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.13-0.19| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-3.0 | . 37 | . 37 | 4 | 3 | 86 |
|  | 4-8 | 7-17\| | 1.40-1.65\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.13-0.19| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-3.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 8-16 | 20-35 | 1.30-1.70\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.14-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 43 | . 43 |  |  |  |
|  | 16-32 | 40-60 | \|1.30-1.60| | 0.00-0.06 | \|0.12-0.18| | 6.0-8.9 | 0.0-0.5 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 32-42 | 40-60 | \|1.30-1.65| | 0.00-0.06 | \|0.04-0.18| | 6.0-8.9 | 0.0-0.5 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 42-48 | --- \| | 1.85-2.00\| | 0.00-0.06 | \| --- | | - | - | --- | --- |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NewC2 : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Newalla---------------1 | 0-6 | 7-17\| | 1.40-1.65\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.13-0.19| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-3.0 | . 37 | . 37 | 4 | 3 | 86 |
|  | 6-10 | 20-35 | 1.30-1.70\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.14-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 43 | . 43 |  |  |  |
|  | 10-38 | 40-60 | \|1.30-1.60| | 0.00-0.06 | \|0.12-0.18| | 6.0-8.9 | 0.0-0.5 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 38-55 | 40-60 | \|1.30-1.60| | 0.00-0.06 | \|0.12-0.18| | 6.0-8.9 | 0.0-0.5 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 55-60 | --- | 1.85-2.00\| | 0.00-0.06 | --- \| | --- | --- | --- | --- |  |  | \| |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NorB : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Norge------------------1 | 0-12 | 15-26\| | 1.30-1.50\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.24| | 0.0-2.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 37 | . 37 | 5 | 6 | 48 |
|  | 12-18 | 18-35 | 1.40-1.70\| | 0.20-2.00 | \|0.15-0.24| | 3.0-5.9 | 0.5-2.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 18-27 | 27-35 | 1.45-1.70\| | 0.20-0.60 | \|0.15-0.22| | 3.0-5.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 27-43 | 27-35 | 1.45-1.70\| | 0.20-0.60 | \|0.15-0.22| | 3.0-5.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 43-86 | 27-50 | \|1.45-1.70| | 0.20-0.60 | \|0.15-0.22| | 3.0-5.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  | \| |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \| |
| NorC: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Norge------------------- | 0-9 | 15-26\| | 1.30-1.50\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.24| | 0.0-2.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 37 | . 37 | 5 | 6 | 48 |
|  | 9-14 | 18-35 | 1.40-1.70\| | 0.20-2.00 | \|0.15-0.24| | 3.0-5.9 | 0.5-2.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 14-39 | 27-35 | 1.45-1.70\| | 0.20-0.60 | \|0.15-0.22| | 3.0-5.9 | $0.5-1.0$ | . 32 | . 32 |  |  | \| |
|  | 39-68 | 27-35 | 1.45-1.70\| | 0.20-0.60 | \|0.15-0.22| | 3.0-5.9 | $0.5-1.0$ | . 32 | . 32 |  |  | \| |
|  | 68-88 | 27-50 | 1.45-1.70\| | 0.20-0.60 | \|0.15-0.22| | 3.0-5.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  | \| |
|  |  |  | \| | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Map symbol and soil name |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Moist } \\ \text { bulk } \\ \text { density } \end{gathered}$ | Permea- <br> bility <br> (Ksat) | $\left\|\begin{array}{c}\text { Available } \\ \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c}\text { water }\end{array}\right. \\ \mid c a p a c i t y ~\end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Linear } \\ \mid \text { extensi- } \\ \text { bility } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Organic <br> matter | \|Erosion factors |  |  | \|Wind |erodi|bility group | \|Wind <br> \|erodi- <br> \|bility <br> lindex |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Kw | Kf | T |  |  |
|  | In | Pct | g/cc | In/hr | In/in | Pct | Pct |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PulA:Pulaski---_---_- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0-5 | 10-18\| | \|1.40-1.65| | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.13-0.19| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 20 | . 20 | 5 | 3 | 86 |
|  | 5-17 | 10-18 | \|1.30-1.70| | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.13-0.19| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.0-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 17-34 | 10-18\| | \|1.30-1.70| | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.13-0.19| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.0-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 34-72 | 5-18\| | \|1.30-1.70| | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.07-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.0-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RenB: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Renfrow----------- | 0-8 | 18-26\| | \|1.25-1.55| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.24| | 0.0-2.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 49 | . 49 | 5 | 6 | 48 |
|  | 8-15 | 22-40\| | \|1.30-1.75| | 0.20-0.60 | \|0.15-0.24| | 3.0-5.9 | 0.5-2.0 | . 43 | . 43 |  |  |  |
|  | 15-41 | 35-55 | \|1.30-1.75| | 0.00-0.06 | \|0.12-0.22| | 6.0-8.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 43 | . 43 |  |  |  |
|  | 41-68 | 35-55 | \|1.30-1.75| | 0.00-0.06 | \|0.12-0.22| | 6.0-8.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 43 | . 43 |  |  |  |
|  | 68-99 | 35-55 | \|1.30-1.75| | 0.00-0.06 | \|0.12-0.22| | 6.0-8.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 43 | . 43 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RinB: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Renthin----------- | 0-4 | 20-26\| | 1.30-1.50\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.16-0.24| | 0.0-2.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 49 | . 49 | 4 | 6 | 48 |
|  | $4-10$ | 32-40\| | \|1.45-1.70| | 0.20-0.60 | \|0.15-0.22| | 3.0-5.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 43 | . 43 |  |  |  |
|  | 10-29 | 35-55 | \|1.35-1.70| | 0.00-0.06 | \|0.12-0.22| | 6.0-8.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 43 | . 43 |  |  |  |
|  | 29-55 | 35-55 | \|1.30-1.70| | 0.00-0.06 | \|0.07-0.22| | 6.0-8.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 55-77 | --- \| | \|1.85-2.00| | 0.00-0.06 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RnnB : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Renthin----------- | 0-10 | 27-35 | \|1.30-1.60| | 0.20-0.60 | \|0.15-0.22| | 3.0-5.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 43 | . 43 | 4 | 6 | 48 |
|  | 10-16 | 32-40\| | \|1.45-1.70| | 0.20-0.60 | \|0.15-0.22| | 3.0-5.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 43 | . 43 |  |  |  |
|  | 16-26 | 35-55 | \|1.35-1.70| | 0.00-0.06 | \|0.12-0.22| | 6.0-8.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 43 | . 43 |  |  |  |
|  | 26-34 | 35-55 | \|1.35-1.70| | 0.00-0.06 | \|0.12-0.22| | 6.0-8.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 43 | . 43 |  |  |  |
|  | 34-44 | 35-55 | \|1.30-1.70| | 0.00-0.06 | \|0.07-0.22| | 6.0-8.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | $44-50$ | --- \| | \|1.85-2.00| | 0.00-0.06 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rnnc2 : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Renthin---------- | 0-8 | 27-35 | \|1.30-1.60| | 0.20-0.60 | \|0.15-0.22| | 3.0-5.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 43 | . 43 | 4 | 6 | 48 |
|  | 8-12 | 32-40\| | \|1.45-1.70| | 0.20-0.60 | \|0.15-0.22| | 3.0-5.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 43 | . 43 |  |  |  |
|  | 12-19 | 35-55 | \|1.35-1.70| | 0.00-0.06 | \|0.12-0.22| | 6.0-8.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 43 | . 43 |  |  |  |
|  | 19-55 | 35-55 | \|1.30-1.70| | 0.00-0.06 | \|0.07-0.22| | 6.0-8.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 55-60 | --- \| | \|1.85-2.00| | 0.00-0.06 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rnuc: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Renthin---------- | 0-10 | 20-26 | 1.30-1.50\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.16-0.24| | 0.0-2.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 49 | . 49 | 4 | 6 | 48 |
|  | 10-14 | 32-40\| | \|1.45-1.70| | 0.20-0.60 | \|0.15-0.22| | 3.0-5.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 43 | . 43 |  |  |  |
|  | 14-51 | 35-55 | \|1.35-1.70| | 0.00-0.06 | \|0.12-0.22| | 6.0-8.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 43 | . 43 |  |  |  |
|  | 51-58 | 35-55 | \|1.30-1.70| | 0.00-0.06 | \|0.07-0.22| | 6.0-8.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 58-72 | --- | \|1.85-2.00| | 0.00-0.06 | , |  | --- | - | --- |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban land-------SDGD4: | 0-60 | - |  | 0.06-2.00 | \|0.00-0.00| | - | - |  |  |  | - | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stephenvill | 0-4 | 10-20\| | \|1.40-1.65| | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.13-0.19| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 24 | . 24 | 2 | 3 | 86 |
|  | 4-20 | 18-35 | \|1.35-1.75| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.13-0.19| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 20-30 | 18-35 | \|1.35-1.75| | $0.60-2.00$ | \|0.13-0.19| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 30-40 | --- \| | \|1.85-2.00| | 0.20-0.60 | --- | --- | - | --- | --- |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Darsil----------1 | 0-6 | 1-10 | \|1.45-1.65| | 6.00-20.00\|0. | \|0.07-0.11| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-3.0 | . 17 | . 17 | 1 | 2 | 134 |
|  | 6-10 | 1-10 | \|1.50-1.75| | 6.00-20.00\|0. | \|0.04-0.11| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.0-0.5 | . 17 | . 17 |  |  |  |
|  | 10-15 | --- | \|1.85-2.00| | 0.20-2.00 | -- | - | - | -- | --- |  |  |  |
|  |  | \| |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gullied land-----SDND: | 0-60 | --- | \|1.30-1.70| | 0.20-20.00\| | --- | --- | --- | -_- | --- | - | 8 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stephenville | 0-3 | 10-20\| | \|1.40-1.65| | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.13-0.19| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 24 | . 24 | 3 | 3 | 86 |
|  | 3-10 | 5-15 | \|1.40-1.70| | 2.00-20.00 | \|0.07-0.19| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.0-0.5 | . 20 | . 20 |  |  |  |
|  | 10-21 | 18-35 | \|1.35-1.75| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.13-0.19| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 21-24 | --- \| | \|1.85-2.00| | 0.20-0.60 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |  |  |  |
|  |  | \| |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Map symbol and soil name | Depth | Clay | $\begin{gathered} \text { Moist } \\ \text { bulk } \\ \text { density } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Permea- <br> bility <br> (Ksat) | $\|$\| <br> Available <br> $\left\|\begin{array}{c}\text { water } \\ \text { capacity }\end{array}\right\|$ <br> $\mid$ | Linear extensibility | Organic matter | \|Erosion factors |  |  | Wind erodibility group | \|Wind |erodi|bility <br> index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Kw | Kf | T |  |  |
|  | In | Pct | g/cc | In/hr | In/in | Pct | Pct |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TlrD: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Telle | 0-12 | 10-18\| | 1.40-1.65\| | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.14-0.19| | 0.0-2.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 32 | . 32 | 5 | 3 | 86 |
|  | 12-17 | 18-30 | 1.30-1.70\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.14-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 17-30 | 18-30\| | 1.30-1.70\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.14-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 30-48 | 18-30 | 1.30-1.70\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.14-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 48-60 | 18-30 | 1.30-1.70\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.14-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 60-79 | 10-20\| | 1.30-1.70\| | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.13-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TIUD: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Teller----------1 | 0-11 | 10-18\| | 1.40-1.65 | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.13-0.19| | 0.0-2.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 24 | . 24 | 5 | 3 | 86 |
|  | 11-17 | 10-20\| | 1.30-1.70\| | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.13-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 17-27 | 18-30 | 1.30-1.70\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.14-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 27-43 | 18-30 | 1.30-1.70\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.14-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 43-58 | 10-20\| | 1.30-1.70\| | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.13-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 58-74 | 10-20\| | 1.30-1.70\| | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.13-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban land-------TriA: | 0-79 | --- \| | - | 0.06-2.00 | \|0.00-0.00| | - |  | - | --- |  | -- | -- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tribbey----------1 | 0-8 | 10-18\| | 1.40-1.65\| | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.13-0.19| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 24 | . 24 | 5 | 3 | 86 |
|  | 8-15 | 10-18\| | 1.65-1.75\| | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.07-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.0-0.5 | . 24 | . 24 |  |  |  |
|  | 15-62 | 5-18\| | 1.35-1.75\| | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.07-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.0-0.5 | . 24 | . 24 |  |  |  |
|  | 62-80 | 15-30 | 1.35-1.70\| | 0.60-6.00 | \|0.13-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.0-0.0 | . 24 | . 24 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| URB : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban land------VanA: | 0-80 | - | -- | 0.06-2.00 | \|0.00-0.00| | - |  | - | --- |  | -- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vanos | 0-8 | 15-26\| | 1.30-1.50\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.24| | 0.0-2.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 37 | . 37 | 5 | 5 | 56 |
|  | 8-14 | 18-30\| | 1.40-1.70\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.24| | 3.0-5.9 | 0.5-2.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 14-30 | 27-35 | 1.45-1.70\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.22| | 3.0-5.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 30-39 | 27-35 | 1.45-1.70\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.22| | 3.0-5.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 39-59 | 18-35 | 1.40-1.70\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.24| | 3.0-5.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 59-76 | 18-35 | 1.40-1.70\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.11-0.17| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.0-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VanB: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vanoss------------ | 0-8 | 15-26\| | 1.30-1.50\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.24| | 0.0-2.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 37 | . 37 | 5 | 5 | 56 |
|  | 8-14 | 18-30\| | 1.40-1.70\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.24| | 3.0-5.9 | 0.5-2.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 14-19 | 18-30 | 1.40-1.70\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.24| | 3.0-5.9 | 0.5-2.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 19-33 | 27-35 | 1.45-1.70\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.22| | 3.0-5.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 33-52 | 10-35 | 1.40-1.70\| | 0.60-2.00 | $\|0.11-0.24\|$ | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 52-80 | 18-35 | 1.40-1.70\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.11-0.17| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.0-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| W: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WauA: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Waurika----------- | 0-10 | 15-25 | 1.30-1.50\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.16-0.24| | 0.0-2.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 49 | . 49 | 5 | 6 | 48 |
|  | 10-13 | 15-25 | 1.30-1.60\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 49 | . 49 |  |  |  |
|  | 13-38 | 40-60\| | 1.35-1.60\| | 0.00-0.06 | \|0.10-0.17| | 6.0-8.9 | 1.0-2.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 38-69 | 30-50\| | 1.40-1.70\| | 0.06-0.20 | \|0.10-0.19| | 6.0-8.9 | 0.0-1.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 69-84 | 30-50\| | 1.35-1.70\| | 0.00-0.20 | \|0.10-0.19| | 3.0-8.9 | 0.0-1.0 | . 43 | . 43 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WtgA : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Watonga-----------1 | 0-9 | 40-60 | 1.25-1.45\| | 0.00-0.06 | \|0.12-0.18| | 6.0-8.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 37 | . 37 | 5 | 4 | 86 |
|  | 9-25 | 40-60 | 1.25-1.45\| | 0.00-0.06 | \|0.12-0.22| | 9.0-25.0 | 1.0-3.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 25-42 | 35-60\| | 1.35-1.70\| | 0.00-0.06 | \|0.12-0.20| | 9.0-25.0\| | 0.5-2.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 42-80 | 35-50\| | 1.35-1.70\| | 0.00-0.06 | \|0.12-0.20| | 6.0-8.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Map symbol and soil name | Depth | Clay | $\begin{gathered} \text { Moist } \\ \text { bulk } \\ \text { density } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Permea- <br> bility <br> (Ksat) | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|} \mid \text { Available } \\ \left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { water } \end{array}\right\| \\ \text { capacity } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | Linear extensibility | Organic matter | \|Erosion factors |  |  | Wind erodi\|bility group | \|Wind <br> \|erodi- <br> \|bility <br> index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Kw | Kf | T |  |  |
|  | In | Pct | g/cc | In/hr | In/in | Pct | Pct |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WuUA:Watonga----------- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0-13 | 40-60\| | \|1.25-1.45| | 0.00-0.06 | \|0.12-0.18| | 6.0-8.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 37 | . 37 | 5 | 4 | 86 |
|  | 13-34 | 40-60\| | \|1.25-1.45| | 0.00-0.06 | \|0.12-0.22| | 9.0-25.0\| | 1.0-3.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 34-54 | 35-60\| | \|1.35-1.70| | 0.00-0.06 | \|0.12-0.20| | 9.0-25.0\| | 0.5-2.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 54-80 | 35-50\| | \|1.35-1.70| | 0.00-0.06 | \|0.12-0.20| | 6.0-8.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban land-----------\| | 0-80 | --- | --- | 0.06-2.00 | \|0.00-0.00| | --- | --- | --- | --- |  | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| YaGA: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yahola------------ | 0-10 | 10-18\| | 1.40-1.65\| | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.13-0.19| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 20 | . 20 | 5 | 3 | 86 |
|  | 10-24 | 5-18\| | 1.30-1.70\| | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.13-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.0-0.5 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 24-42 | 5-18\| | 1.30-1.70\| | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.13-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.0-0.5 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 42-79 | 5-18\| | 1.30-1.70\| | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.07-0.19| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.0-0.5 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gaddy-------------- | 0-8 | 10-18 | 1.30-1.60\| | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.11-0.15| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.0-0.5 | . 20 | . 20 | 5 | 3 | 86 |
|  | 8-21 | 5-35 | 1.50-1.70\| | 6.00-20.00 | \|0.06-0.10| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 17 | . 17 |  |  |  |
|  | 21-80 | 5-35 | 1.50-1.70\| | 6.00-20.00\|0. | \|0.06-0.10| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 17 | . 17 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| YahA: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yahola------------- | 0-6 | 10-18 | 1.40-1.65\| | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.13-0.19| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 20 | . 20 | 5 | 3 | 86 |
|  | 6-11 | 5-18\| | 1.30-1.70\| | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.13-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.0-0.5 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 11-71 | 5-18\| | 1.30-1.70\| | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.07-0.19| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.0-0.5 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 71-96 | 5-18\| | 1.30-1.70\| | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.07-0.19| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.0-0.5 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| YaUA: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yahola------------ | 0-4 | 10-18\| | 1.30-1.55\| | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.13-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 | 5 | 5 | 56 |
|  | 4-22 | 5-18\| | 1.30-1.70\| | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.13-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.0-0.5 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 22-48 | 5-18\| | 1.30-1.70\| | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.13-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.0-0.5 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 48-80 | 5-18\| | 1.30-1.70\| | 2.00-6.00 | \|0.07-0.19| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.0-0.5 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban land-------ZanB: | 0-80 | - | - | 0.06-2.00 | \|0.00-0.00| | - | - | --- |  |  | -- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zaneis------------ | 0-9 | 15-26\| | 1.30-1.60\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 37 | . 37 | 4 | 5 | 56 |
|  | 9-14 | 18-30\| | \|1.40-1.70| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.12-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-2.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 14-35 | 20-38 | 1.45-1.70\| | 0.20-0.60 | \|0.12-0.20| | 3.0-5.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 35-54 | 20-38 | 1.45-1.70\| | 0.20-0.60 | \|0.12-0.20| | 3.0-5.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 54-59 | 18-30\| | \|1.40-1.70| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.11-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 59-72 | --- \| | \|1.85-2.00| | 0.00-0.20 | --- \| | --- |  | --- | - |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zaneis------------1 | 0-12 | 15-26\| | 1.30-1.60\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 37 | . 37 | 4 | 5 | 56 |
|  | 12-19 | 18-30\| | \|1.40-1.70| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.12-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-2.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 19-31 | 20-38 | 1.45-1.70\| | 0.20-0.60 | \|0.12-0.20| | 3.0-5.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 31-48 | 20-38 | 1.45-1.70\| | 0.20-0.60 | \|0.12-0.20| | 3.0-5.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 48-59 | 18-30\| | \|1.40-1.70| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.11-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 59-65 | --- \| | \|1.85-2.00| | 0.00-0.20 | --- \| | --- | --- | --- | --- |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zanc2 : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zaneis-----------1 | 0-12 | 15-26\| | 1.30-1.60\| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.15-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 1.0-3.0 | . 37 | . 37 | 4 | 5 | 56 |
|  | 12-30 | 18-30 | \|1.40-1.70| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.12-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-2.0 | . 37 | . 37 |  |  |  |
|  | 30-40 | 20-38 | 1.45-1.70\| | 0.20-0.60 | \|0.12-0.20| | 3.0-5.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 40-47 | 18-30 | \|1.40-1.70| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.11-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 47-55 | 18-30 | \|1.40-1.70| | 0.60-2.00 | \|0.11-0.20| | 0.0-2.9 | 0.5-1.0 | . 32 | . 32 |  |  |  |
|  | 55-65 | --- \| | \|1.85-2.00| | 0.00-0.20 | --- \| | --- | --- | --- | --- |  | \| |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4-1.
Values for topographic factor, LS, for low ratio of rill to interrill erosion. ${ }^{1}$

|  | Horizontal slope length (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Slope <br> (\%) | $<3$ | 6 | 9 | 12 | 15 | 25 | 50 | 75. | 100 | 150 | 200 | 250 | 300 | 400 | 600 | 800 | 1000 |
| 0.2 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| 0.5 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 |
| 1.0 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.17 |
| 2.0 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.28 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.35 |
| 3.0 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.29 | 0.33 | 0.36 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.44 | 0.46 | 0.48 | 0.52 | 0.55 | 0.57 |
| 4.0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.36 | 0.43 | 0.46 | 0.50 | 0.54 | 0.58 | 0.61 | 0.63 | 0.67 | 0.74 | 0.78 | 0.82 |
| 5.0 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.44 | 0.52 | 0.57 | 0.62 | 0.68 | 0.73 | 0.78 | 0.81 | 0.87 | 0.97 | 1.04 | 1.10 |
| 6.0 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.50 | 0.61 | 0.68 | 0.74 | 0.83 | 0.90 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.08 | 1.21 | 1.31 | 1.40 |
| 8.0 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.64 | 0.79 | 0.90 | 0.99 | 1.12 | 1.23 | 1.32 | 1.40 | 1.53 | 1.74 | 1.91 | 2.05 |
| 10.0 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.65 | 0.66 | 0.68 | 0.81 | 1.03 | 1.19 | 1.31 | 1.51 | 1.67 | 1.80 | 1.92 | 2.13 | 2.45 | 2.71 | 2.93 |
| 12.0 | 0.61 | 0.70 | 0.75 | 0.80 | 0.83 | 1.01 | 1.31 | 1.52 | 1.69 | 1.97 | 2.20 | 2.39 | 2.56 | 2.85 | 3.32 | 3.70 | 4.02 |
| 14.0 | 0.63 | 0.76 | 0.85 | 0.92 | 0.98 | 1.20 | 1.58 | 1.85 | 2.08 | 2.44 | 2.73 | 2.99 | 3.21 | 3.60 | 4.23 | 4.74 | 5.18 |
| 16.0 | 0.65 | 0.82 | ${ }^{1} 0.94$ | 1.04 | 1.12 | 1.38 | 1.85 | 2.18 | 2.46 | 2.91 | 3.28 | 3.60 | 3.88 | 4.37 | 5.17 | 5.82 | 6.39 |
| 20.0 | 0.68 | 0.93 | 1.11 | 1.26 | 1.39 | 1.74 | 2.37 | 2.84 | 3.22 | 3.85 | 4.38 | 4.83 | 5.24 | 5.95 | 7.13 | 8.10 | 8.94 |
| 25.0 | 0.73 | 1.05 | 1.30 | 1.51 | 1.70 | 2.17 | 3.00 | 3.63 | 4.16 | 5.03 | 5.76 | 6.39 | 6.96 | 7.97 | 9.65 | 11.04 | 12.26 |
| 30.0 | 0.77 | 1.16 | 1.48 | 1.75 | 2.00 | 2.57 | 3.60 | 4.40 | 5.06 | 6.18 | 7.11 | 7.94 | 8.68 | 9.99 | 12.19 | 14.04 | 15.66 |
| 40.0 | 0.85 | 1.36 | 1.79 | 2.17 | 2.53 | 3.30 | 4.73 | 5.84 | 6.78 | 8.37 | 9.71 | 10.91 | 11.99 | 13.92 | 17.19 | 19.96 | 22.41 |
| 50.0 | 0.91 | 1.52 | 2.06 | 2.54 | 3.00 | 3.95 | 5.74 | 7.14 | 8.33 | 10.37 | 12.11 | 13.65 | 15.06 | 17.59 | 21.88 | 25.55 | 28.82 |
| 60.0 | 0.97 | 1.67 | 2.29 | 2.86 | 3.41 | 4.52 | 6.63 | 8.29 | 9.72 | 12.16 | 14.26 | 16.13 | 17.84 | 20.92 | 26.17 | 30.68 | 34.71 |

${ }^{1}$ Such as for rangeland and other consolidated soil conditions with cover (applicable to thawing soil where both interill and rill erosion are significant).

Reference: USDA, Predicting Soil Erosion by Water: A guide to Conservation Planning with the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE), Agricultural Research Service, Agriculture Handbook Number 703, 1997.

TABLE 10.-Factor C for permanent pasture, range, and idle land ${ }^{1}$

| Vegetative canopy |  | Cover that contacts the soil surface |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type and height ${ }^{2}$ | Percent cover ${ }^{3}$ |  | Percent ground cover |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Type ${ }^{4}$ | 0 | 20 | 40 | 60 | 80 | 95+ |
| No appreciable canopy |  | G | 0.45 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.042 | 0.013 | 0.003 |
|  |  | W | . 45 | . 24 | . 15 | . 091 | . 043 | . 011 |
| Tall weeds or short brush with average drop fall height of 20 in | 25 | G | . 36 | . 17 | . 09 | . 038 | . 013 | . 003 |
|  |  | W | . 36 | . 20 | . 13 | . 083 | . 041 | . 011 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50 | G | . 26 | . 13 | . 07 | . 035 | . 012 | . 003 |
|  |  | W | . 26 | . 16 | . 11 | . 076 | . 039 | . 011 |
|  | 75 | G | . 17 | . 10 | . 06 | . 032 | . 011 | . 003 |
|  |  | W | . 17 | . 12 | . 09 | . 068 | . 038 | . 011 |
| Appreciable brush or bushes, with average drop fall height of $61 / 2 \mathrm{ft}$ | 25 | G | . 40 | . 18 | . 09 | . 040 | . 013 | . 003 |
|  |  | W | . 40 | . 22 | . 14 | . 087 | . 042 | . 011 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | + 50 | G | . 34 | . 16 | . 08 | . 038 | . 012 | . 003 |
|  |  | W | . 34 | . 19 | . 13 | . 082 | . 041 | . 011 |
|  | 75 | G | . 28 | . 14 | . 08 | . 036 | . 012 | . 003 |
|  |  | W | . 28 | . 17 | . 12 | . 078 | . 040 | . 011 |
| Trees, but no appreciable low brush. Average drop fall height of 13 ft | 25 | G | . 42 | . 19 | . 10 | . 041 | . 013 | . 003 |
|  |  | W | . 42 | . 23 | . 14 | . 089 | . 042 | . 011 |
|  | 50 | G | . 39 | . 18 | . 09 | . 040 | . 013 | . 003 |
|  |  | W | . 39 | . 21 | . 14 | . 087 | . 042 | . 011 |
|  | 75 | G | . 36 | . 17 | . 09 | . 039 | . 012 | . 003 |
|  |  | W | . 36 | . 20 | . 13 | . 084 | . 041 | . 011 |

Final
Cover (use 0.006)

[^1]Reference: USDA, Predicting Rainfall Erosion Losses, A Guide to Conservation Planning, Agriculture Handbook Number 537, 1978.

Table $3 \cdot \mathbf{P}$ Factors for Contouring, Contour Stripcropping and Terracing

| Land Slope | P values |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\%$ | Contouring $\dagger$ | Terracing $\dagger$ |
| 2.0 to 7 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| 8.0 to 12 | 0.60 | 0.60 |
| 13.0 to 18 | 0.80 | 0.80 |
| 19.0 to 24 | 0.90 | 0.90 |

(This table appeared in SCS (5), p.9)
$\dagger$ Contouring and terracing columns are suitable for MSWLF cover. Contour stripcropping $\longrightarrow$ is not suitable for the type of vegetative cover normally practiced at municipal landfills.

Table 4 Guide for Assigning Soil Loss Tolerance Values (T) to Solid Having Different Rooting Depths

| Rooting Depth | Soil Loss Tolerance Values <br> Annual Soil Loss - (Tons/Acre) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Inches | Non-Renewable Soil al |
| $10-20$ | 1 |
| $20-40$ | 2 |
| $40-50$ | 3 |
| $50-60$ | 4 |
| $60+$ | $<5$ |

(This table appears in SCS (6) p.4)
a/ Soils with unfavorable substrata such as rock or soft rock that cannot be renewed by economical means. Most of the MSWLF covers with constructed, or recompacted clay cap and/or flexible membrane should use this performance criteria.
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frequency is the dominant factor. For larger drainage basins, the response characteristics control, for drainage basins with few imperious surfaces (less urban development), antecedent moisture conditions usually govern, especially for rainfall events with a return period of 10 years or less.
3. Runoff. The fraction of rainfall that becomes runoff (C) is independent of rainfall intensity or volume. This assumption is reasonable for impervious areas, such as streets, rooftops and parking lots. For pervious areas, the fraction of runoff varies with rainfall intensity and the accumulated volume of rainfall. Thus, the art necessary for application of the Rational Method involves the selection of a coefficient that is appropriate for the storm, soil and land use conditions.
4. Peak Rate. The peak rate of runoff is sufficient information for the decision. Modern drainage practice often includes detention of urban storm runoff to reduce the peak rate of runoff downstream. With only the peak rate of runoff, the Rational method severely limits the evaluation of design alternatives available in urban and in some instances, rural drainage design. The use of the Rational triangular hydrograph is not recommended in the design of the detention/retention pond.

### 7.6.4 Equation

The Rational equation estimates the peak rate of runoff at any location in a watershed as a function of the drainage area, runoff coefficient and mean rainfall intensity for a duration equal to the time of concentration (the time required for water to flow from the most remote point of the basin to the location being analyzed). The Rational equation is expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=C_{f} C I A \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where:
$\mathrm{Q}=$ maximum rate of runoff, cfs
C = runoff coefficient representing a ratio of runoff to rainfall
$C_{f}=1.0$ for 10-year or less recurrence interval
1.1 for 25-year
1.2 for 50-year
1.25 for 100-year

I = average rainfall intensity for a duration equal to the time of concentration for a selected return period, in/hr
$\mathrm{A}=$ drainage area tributary to the design location, acres
Note: If the product of $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{f}}$ and C is greater than 1.0, use 1.0 in equation 7.6(1).

### 7.6.6.3 Minimum Time of Concentration

ODOT has adopted the following for minimum time of concentration for the Rational method only:

- use a minimum $t_{c}=5$ minutes for the densely populated, steep slopes ( $>4 \%$ ) urban areas; and
- use a minimum $t_{c}=10$ minutes for rural areas or well-developed, flat slopes (<1.00\%) urban areas.


### 7.6.7 Rainfall Intensity

The rainfall intensity (I) is the average rainfall rate (in/hr) for a duration equal to the time of concentration (subject to the minimum time of concentration) for a selected return period. Once the return period has been selected for design and a time of concentration calculated for the drainage area, the rainfall intensity can be determined from Intensity-Duration-Frequency (IDF) curves. Oklahoma IDF curves for 8 zones (see Figure $7.6-\mathrm{G}$ ) were developed by Te Ngo (4). The report contains the data used and equations for each curve for each of the following zones:

- Zone 1-8 counties (Atoka, Bryan, Choctaw, Latimer, Leflore, McCurtain, Pittsburg and Pushmataha), see Figure $7.6-\mathrm{H}$
- Zone 2-6 counties (Adair, Cherokee, Haskell, McIntosh, Muskogee and Sequoyah), see Figure 7.6-I
- Zone 3-7 counties (Craig, Delaware, Mayes, Nowata, Ottawa, Rogers and Wagoner), see Figure 7.6-J
- Zone 4-18 counties (Creek, Garfield, Grant, Hughes, Kay, Kingfisher, Lincoln, Logan, Noble, Okfuskee, Okmulgee, Osage, Payne, Pawnee, Pottawatomie, Seminole, Tulsa and Washington), see Figure 7.6-K
- Zone 5-19 counties (Caddo, Canadian, Carter, Cleveland, Coal, Comanche, Cotton, Garvin, Grady, Jefferson, Johnston, Love, Marshall, McClain, Murray, Oklahoma, Pontotoc, Stephens and Tillman), see Figure 7.6-L
- Zone 6-10 counties (Beckham, Blaine, Custer, Dewey, Harmon, Jackson, Kiowa, Roger Mills and Washita), see Figure 7.6-M
- Zone 7-7 counties (Alfalfa, Beaver, Ellis, Harper, Major, Woods and Woodward), see Figure 7.6-N
- Zone $8-2$ counties (Cimarron and Texas), see Figure 7.6-O

| Type of Drainage Area | Runoff Coefficient (C) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Business: |  |
| Downtown areas | 0.70-0.95 |
| Neighborhood areas | 0.50-0.70 |
| Residential: |  |
| Single-family areas | 0.30-0.50 |
| Multi-units, detached | 0.40-0.60 |
| Multi-units, attached | 0.60-0.75 |
| Suburban | 0.25-0.40 |
| Apartment dwelling areas | 0.50-0.70 |
| Industrial: |  |
| Light areas | 0.50-0.80 |
| Heavy areas | 0.60-0.90 |
| Parks, cemeteries | 0.10-0.25 |
| Playground | 0.20-0.40 |
| Railroad yard areas | 0.20-0.40 |
| Unimproved areas | 0.10-0.30 |
| Lawns: Steep Grased Area | 0.7 |
| Sandy soil, flat (<2\%) | $0.05-0.10$ |
| Sandy soil, average (2\% to 7\%) | 0.10-0.15 |
| Sandy soil, steep (> 7\%) | 0.15-0.20 |
| Heavy soil, flat (<2\%) | 0.13-0.17 |
| Heavy soil, average (2\% to 7\%) | 0.18-0.22 |
| Heavy soil, steep (> 7\%) | 0.25-0.35 |
| Agricultural land: |  |
| Bare, packed soil, smooth | 0.30-0.60 |
| Bare, packed soil, rough | 0.20-0.50 |
| Cultivated rows: |  |
| Heavy soil, no crop | 0.30-0.60 |
| Heavy soil, with crop | 0.20-0.50 |
| Sandy soil, no crop | 0.20-0.40 |
| Sandy soil, with crop | 0.10-0.25 |
| Pasture: |  |
| Heavy soil | 0.15-0.45 |
| Sandy soil | 0.05-0.25 |
| Woodland | 0.05-0.25 |
| Streets: |  |
| Asphalt | 0.70-0.95 |
| Concrete | 0.80-0.95 |
| Brick | 0.70-0.85 |
| Drives and walks | 0.75-0.85 |
| Roofs | 0.75-0.95 |

## Source: FHWA HDS 2 (2)

Note: Use lower values for large areas; use higher values for steep slope.

Figure 7.6-A — RUNOFF COEFFICIENTS FOR THE RATIONAL EQUATION


Figure 7.6-G - IDF CURVE ZONES IN OKLAHOMA

Zone 5


Source: (4)

Figure 7.6-L — ZONE 5 IDF CURVE (Caddo, Canadian, Carter, Cleveland, Coal, Comanche, Cotton, Garvin, Grady, Jefferson, Johnston, Love, Marshall, McClain, Murray, Oklahoma, Pontotoc, Stephens and Tillman Counties)

## Appendix F

## Construction Quality Assurance/Quality Control Plan

# NORTHEAST LANDFILL, INC. PERMIT NUMBER 3555050 

# Construction Quality Assurance/ Quality Control Plan 

Prepared by:
Cardinal Engineering, Inc.
1015 N. Broadway, Suite 300
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102
405-842-1066

December 2008

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION ..... 1
II. ORGANIZATION ..... 1
III. RESPONSIBILITIES ..... 2
IV. QUALIFICATIONS ..... 4
V. INSPECTION ACTIVITIES ..... 4
VI. PROJECT MEETINGS ..... 5
VII. DOCUMENTATION ..... 5APPENDIX A - Inspection ActivitiesAPPENDIX B - Documentation Forms

## I. INTRODUCTION

This Construction Quality Assurance/Quality Control (CQA/QC) document is to ensure that the construction of cells at the Northeast Landfill Facility comply with the Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality (ODEQ) regulations. The document is structured so that both construction and regulatory personnel can refer to it to determine proper CQA. The document discusses the project organization and the responsibilities of the various personnel, describes the qualifications for each position and defines the inspection activities associated with the project. Finally, it details the documentation required to provide evidence of adherence to this plan. When the various components of this plan are combined, the resulting efforts should produce a well constructed and operational project.

## II. ORGANIZATION

The Construction Manager is ultimately responsible for all activities associated with the successful construction of the landfill and closure cap. The construction quality control and assurance are carried out by individuals who function independently of each other, both of whom report to the Construction Manager. These individuals include the Construction Quality Control (CQC) Officer and the Construction Quality Assurance (CQA) Officer.

The Construction Manager may serve as the CQC Officer. The CQC Officer will be responsible for overseeing the CQC personnel and supplying the CQA Officer with all CQC documentation. The

CQC personnel, which include the soils laboratory, the surveyor, and the liner installer's off- site laboratory, will report to the CQC Officer. The CQC personnel will be responsible for conducting the various tests and observations within their assigned areas as specified in the CQA/CQC plan, for documenting those tests, and for reporting and reviewing the test results with the CQA personnel.

The CQA Officer or designate will ensure that all observation and testing activities required to ensure compliance with the plans and the specifications defined herein are being carried out by the CQC personnel. The CQA Officer will ensure that the designated frequency of testing is being accomplished and that all aspects of the CQA/CQC plan are being carried out. The primary responsibility of the CQA Officer will be to review the documentation obtained by the CQC personnel. The CQA Officer has the authority to stop work and require correction of any aspect of the work which is not in compliance with the CQA/CQC Plan. The CQA Officer may designate another individual to assume CQA responsibilities.

## III. RESPONSIBILITIES

Construction Manager: The Construction Manager will ensure that the cell design, specifications, and CQA/CQC plan fulfill all ODEQ regulations. He will provide the relevant regulations to the CQA Officer prior to project initiation. He will function as an advisor to the CQA Officer and will advise him of any regulatory problems he may identify during construction.

CQC Officer: $\quad$ The CQC Officer will be responsible for the overall construction of the project.

The CQA Officer or Construction Manager will provide him with the relevant ODEQ regulations. The CQC Officer will coordinate various project inspection and testing activities. He will supervise the CQC personnel.

CQA Officer: The CQA Officer will be responsible for reviewing the project design and specifications to ensure compliance with the CQA/CQC plan. He will ensure that inspection and testing activities during construction fulfills the CQA/CQC plan. Finally, he will be responsible for reviewing all test results to ensure compliance with the plan.

Laboratories: The laboratories will be responsible for performing their respective testing of clay liner materials and protective cover layers at the frequency required in the $\mathrm{CQA} / \mathrm{CQC}$ plan.

Surveyor: The surveyor will be responsible for measuring, recording and reporting to the Construction Manager; the constructed cell elevations and liner thickness. It is the Surveyors responsibility to ensure that the cell is constructed to the specified tolerance, and that the recompacted soil liner is the specified thickness.

## IV. QUALIFICATIONS

Individuals responsible for the CQA plan will possess the following qualifications.

1. Construction Manager
a. Undergraduate engineering degree
b. Three years of experience in the waste management industry
c. Three years of construction/supervisory experience
2. CQA Officer
a. Two years of construction management
b. Two years of project inspection or management
3. Surveyor
a. Registered land surveyor in State of Oklahoma
4. Soils Laboratory
a. Necessary equipment and personnel to conduct required testing

## V. INSPECTION ACTIVITIES

Appendix A details the inspection activities and describes the activities of the CQC and CQA Officer.

## VI. PROJECT MEETINGS

Meetings may be held periodically during the project to enhance communications between personnel responsible for design, inspection, and construction of the project. These meetings may include a preconstruction meeting and weekly or monthly meetings.

## VII. DOCUMENTATION

The Construction Manager will maintain the CQC records. The records will contain the CQC daily reports and all test data. These records will be maintained for prompt review by the CQA Officer and regulatory officials.

The CQC or CQA Officer will oversee the preparation of the "Liner Installation and Testing Report" upon project completion. The report will certify that the cell was constructed or closed in compliance with the design plans and specifications. The certification will be signed by a professional engineer registered in the State of Oklahoma. The CQA or CQC Officer will review and approve the final report. The "Liner Installation and Testing Report" will be submitted to for ODEQ approval.

## Appendix A

## Inspection Activities

APPENDIX A - LANDFILI CELI CONSTRUCTIUN AND CLOSURE INSPECTION ACTIVITIES

| WORK |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELEMENT | SPECIFICATIONS | CONSTRUCTION QUALITY | CONSTRUCTION QUALITY |
| CONTROL (CQC) | ASSURANCE (CQA) |  |  |

## RECONSTRUCTION EETING

ERIODIC ONSTRUCTION IEETING

## OUNDATION REPARATION

The meeting will be attended by the Construction Manager, the CQC officer, the CQA officer, and the soils contractor. The Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality ( $O D E Q$ ) will be invited to these meetings.

The meetings will be attended by the CQC officer, the CQA officer, and the Construction Manager. Project progress and CQA issues will be reviewed.

CLEARING \& GRUBBING: Remove vegetation, debris, organic, or deleterious material from below areas to receive foundation material.

Conduct and/or attend the meeting.

Conduct and/or attend the meeting.

Observe the cleared and grubbed area when complete. Advise contractor of deficiencies. Record observations and corrective actions taken on "Daily Construction Report" (see Appendix B). Provide CQA officer with copies of "Daily Construction Report". Ensure that corrective actions required by CQA officer are accomplished.

SCARIFICATION \& COMPACTION: Foundation area - Scarify to a depth of at least 6 inches and compact to $\geq 90 \%$.

Conduct or supervise in-place density tests. Record approximate test location. Approve densities $\geq 90 \%$. Require the soils contractor to bring the nonpassing area into compliance. Record all test results. Provide CQA officer with copies of the "Daily Construction Report".

Conduct and/or attend the meeting.

Conduct and/or attend the meeting.

Review reports generated by CQC personnel. Report deficiencies to CQC personnel. Countersign "Daily Construction Report" indicating documentation is adequate, correct, and has been accepted by CQA.
Review density test results.
Verify that densities in areas
accepted are $290 \%$. Report
deficiencies to CQC personnel.
Countersign the daily reports
indicating documentation is
adequate, correct, and has been
accepted by CQA. veriey that densities in areas deficiencies to CQC personnel. countersign the daily reports adequate, correct, and has been accepted by CQA.

## BORROW PREPARATION

## CLAY LINER

Remove vegetation, debris, organic, or deleterious material from borrow areas.

BORROW: Satisfactory clay liner material is defined as soils or blended soils with a plasticity index 210\%, a liquid limit 224 \%, a portion passing the \#200 sieve $230 \%$, a portion retained on the \# 4 sieve $520 \%$ and no particle

Conduct survey measurement at completion on a maximum 100 foot grid. Survey shall be conducted by a surveyor licensed in the state of Oklahoma. Surveyor shall indicate where the subgrade meets design line and grade. Deficiencies shall be noted by CQC personnel and rectified by the soils contractor. Once corrective action has been taken, the deficient area will be re-surveyed to verify line and grade. Final survey measurements will be documented and certified by the surveyor.

Observe the borrow area once it has been cleared and grubbed. Advise contractor of
deficiencies. Record observations and corrective actions taken on "Daily
Construction Report". Provide CQA officex copies of "Daily Construction Report". Ensure that corrective actions required by CQA officer are accomplished.

Collect clay sample initially and every 10,000 cubic yards and run the following tests:

1) Soils classification, ASTM D2487;
2) Particle size analysis, ASTM D422;

Review final survey data. Verify that the surveyor certified that the construction is to the specified line and grade. Report deficiencies (if any) to CQC personnel.

Review the reports generated by CQC personnel. Report
deficiencies to CQC personnel. Countersign "Daily Construction Report" indicating documentation is adequate, correct, and has been accepted by CQA.

## Review the reports generated by

 CQC personnel. Verify frequency of laboratory tests and compliance of test results. Reportdeficiencies (if any) to CQC personnel. Countersign "Daily Construction Report" indicating

APPENDIX A - LANDFIII CEIL CONSTRUCTION AND CLOSURE INSPECTION ACTIVITIES

| WORK |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELEMENT | SPECIFICATIONS | CONSTRUCTION QUALITY | CONSTRUCTIONQUALITY |

size >1 inches, which meets the permeability requirements.

CLAY LINER PLACEMENT: Prior to placement, the surface will be inspected, cleared of any foreign objects. The clay liner will be constructed in maximum six inch compacted lifts. Each lift shall be compacted to a minimum of $95 \%$ of the Standard Proctor Density. After compaction the moisture content shall be optimum or above the optimum.
3) Sieve analysis-\#4, \#10, \#40, \#200;
4) Percent fines -\#200, ASTM

1140;
5) Atterburg Limits, ASTM D4318;
6) Moisture Content ASTM D2216 or ASTM D4643;
7) Moisture Density, ASTM D698 or ASTM D1557;
8) Hydraulic Conductivity, ASTM D5084 or other method approved by ODEQ. Advise the contractor which materials may be used and which are unsuitable. Record compliance, deficiencies and corrective action in "Daily Construction Report." Provide CQA officer with copies of the "Daily Construction Report." Ensure that corrective actions required by CQA officer are accomplished.

Observe the clay liner placement. Conduct or supervise in-place moisture and density tests at a rate of 3 /acre/lift. Testing is to be conducted according to the nuclear density method (ASTM D2922), the drive cylinder method (ASTM 2937), the rubber balloon method (ASTM D2167, the sand-cone method (ASTM D1356, the microwave drying method (ASTM D4643, or the conventional oven drying method (ASTM D2216). If the nuclear density method is used, the conventional oven drying method
documentation is adequate, correct, and has been accepted by CQA.

Review density and moisture test results.

1. Verify the frequency of tests.
2. Verify that test results meet the specifications. 3. Verify that the moisture content in areas accepted are at or above the optimum moisture content.

| WORK ELEMENT | SPECIFICATIONS | CONSTRUCTION QUALITY CONTROL (CQC) | CONSTRUCTION QUALITY ASSURANCE (CQA) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

The Clay Liner will be 36 inches thick with a permeability equal to or less than $1 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{sec}$ ond.
shall be used once for every ten nuclear density tests.

Conduct or supervise laboratory permeability tests on the upper 12 inches at a rate of two per acre on the bottom and one per acre on the slopes. The test shall be performed on the upper twelve inches of finished liner according to ASTM D5084 and D1857, the sealed double ring infiltrometer test, or other method approved by ODEQ.

Accept lots with moisture tests indicating a moisture content at or above the optimum moisture content. Accept lots with density tests of greater than 95\% of the Standard Proctor Density. Accept lots with density tests of greater than $95 \%$ of the Standard Proctor Density. Accept lots with a permeability of equal to or less than $1 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{sec}$.

Require that the contractor bring the lot into compliance by reworking the lot, by removing and replacing the material, or by isolating and reworking the unacceptable portions of the lot. Retest all reworked areas.

Record all results and corrective actions taken. Provide CQA officer with copies of the test results and "Daily Construction

Review the reports generated by CQC personnel. Report deficiencies (if any) to CQC personnel. Verify that corrective action has been taken (where required) and recorded on CQC "Daily Construction Report." Countersign "Daily Construction Report" indicating documentation is adequate, correct, and has been accepted by CQA.

To prevent the clay surface from drying, water will be applied to the clay surface as directed by the Construction Manager.

Final grading and finishing efforts on the surface of the clay liner shall leave the surface free from cracking, abrupt breaks in grade, rocks, cobbles, boulders, debris and other foreign materials that would damage the liner. The surface of the compacted clay liner shall be smooth, uniform, free from cracks into which a pencil barrel can be inserted and abrupt changes in grade. The clay liner may be approved in increments.

Final grading shall be from zero to plus two tenths of a foot on the cell floor and zero to plus four tenths of a foot on the slope.

## Report."

Observe clay liner surface for drying. Advise contractor of deficiencies. Record
observations and corrective actions taken on the "Daily Construction Report." Provide CQA officer with copies of the "Daily Construction Report". Ensure that corrective actions required by $C Q A$ officer are accomplished.

Observe the final clay surface. Advise contractor of
deficiencies. Record
observations and corrective actions taken on the "Daily Construction Report." Provide CQA officer with copies of the "Daily Construction Report". Ensure that corrective actions required by CQA officer are accomplished.

Conduct survey measurement at completion on a maximum 100 foot grid to verify liner thickness. Survey shall be conducted by a surveyor licensed in the state of Oklahoma. Surveyor shall indicate where the clay liner meets design line and grade. Deficiencies shall be noted by

Review the reports generated by CQC personnel. Report deficiencies (if any) to CQC personnel. Countersign "Daily Construction Report" indicating documentation is adequate, correct, and has been accepted by CQA.

Review the reports. Report deficiencies (if any) to CQC personnel. Countersign "Daily Construction Reports" indicating documentation is adequate, correct, and has been accepted by CQA.

Review final survey data. Verify that the surveyor certified that the construction is to the specified line and grade. Report deficiencies (if any) to CQC personnel.
\(\left.\left.$$
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|}\hline \text { WORK } \\
\text { ELEMENT }\end{array}
$$\right] \begin{array}{c}CONSTRUCTION QUALITY <br>

CONTRICATIONS\end{array}\right]\)| CONSTRUCTION QUALITY |
| :---: |
| ASSURANCE (CQA) |

## PROTECTIVE WASTE COVER

After construction the liner will be protected by a 12-inch soil protective layer. Satisfactory protective cover materials are defined as materials that will not penetrate the liner.

No compaction or moisture requirements are specified for the protective cover.

The grading tolerance limit for the surface of the protective Cover is minus $1 / 10 \mathrm{ft}$. Grade for the protective cover will be established installing a minimum of five PVC grade poles per acre. After the grade for the cover has been checked and approved by CQC personnel, the poles must be removed.

CQC personnel and rectified by the soils contractor. Once corrective action has been taken, the deficient area will be resurveyed to verify line and grade. Final survey measurements will be documented and certified by the surveyor.

Observe placement of protective cover.

Verify that moisture content was maintained in the liner until placement of the protective cover.

Verify that the required grading tolerance is achieved.

Record observations and corrective actions taken on the "Daily Construction Report." Provide CQA officer with copies of the "Daily Construction Report". Ensure that corrective actions required by $C Q A$ officer are accomplished.

Review the reports generated by CQC personnel. Report deficiencies, if any, to CQC personnel. Countersign Daily Construction Report" indicating documentation is adequate, correct, and has been accepted by CQA.
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### 1.0 GENERALINFORMATION

This Operations Plan (Plan) is intended to assist the Owner/Operator of the Northeast Landfill (landfill) in operating the facility in accordance with the solid waste permit documents and Oklahoma Administrative Code (OAC) Rules and Regulations as promulgated by the Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ).

This Plan will provides operational guidance for the landfill. Any reference to "Owner/Operator" in this Plan will mean the individual responsible for the facility on any given day or shift. The individual in responsible charge may assign operational tasks to various personnel. In addition, this Plan will be available to employees for reference to operations of the facility. It is the Owner/Operator's responsibility to keep this information current. If changes to this Plan are to be made affecting the operations of the facility, then Owner/Operator will notify the DEQ within 5 working days prior to implementing the change.

### 1.1 OPERATING HOURS

The landfill will accept waste for disposal from 7:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Monday through Friday and Saturday from 7:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. The landfill will be closed on Sundays. Daily operations of equipment necessary for compaction and covering waste will normally begin one hour prior to acceptance of waste and cease within one hour after closing the landfill each operating day.

### 1.2 TRAFTC SGNS

Signs may be posted at the landfill, as necessary, to facilitate proper traffic flow at the facility. These signs may include:

- Directions to active face of landfill;
- Speed limits; and
- Cautionary signs.


### 1.3 PERSONNEL

The operation of the landfill will be under the direction of a certified solid waste operator. The typical staffing level is listed below:

- Landfill Manager/Operator,
- Equipment Operators,
- Scale house Attendant, and
- General Laborers.

Staff will be modified as necessary to accommodate changes to operations or to meet increased waste flows, as necessary. A properly trained equipment operator or other landfill employee will be present at the working face of the landfill to observe the unloading of waste and to perform and document random inspections of the waste.

### 1.4 EQUIPMENT

The equipment to be used on the site will include, but not necessarily be limited to, the following (or equivalent):

- Dozer;
- Backhoe or excavator;
- Haul truck;
- Compactor; and
- Water truck.

Available equipment will be modified as necessary to accommodate changes in operations or waste flows. All equipment will receive mechanical service on a routine basis. Fire extinguishers will be provided on all landfill equipment.

The manufacturer's recommendations on equipment maintenance will be followed for each piece of landfill equipment. Regularly scheduled equipment maintenance is essential if the landfill equipment is to be dependable. In addition, at the end of each operating day, the equipment operator will remove trash that may be lodged in the operating portion of the equipment tracks or the compaction equipment.

### 1.5 ACCESS CONTROL

The existing and proposed C\&D disposal areas are located in Spencer, Oklahoma, on North Midwest Boulevard and N.E. 23rd Street (State Highway 62). The landfill is accessed from Midwest Boulevard, which is located on the east side of the existing C\&D landfill. Owner/Operator will continue using this entrance into the facility for accessing the proposed expansion area.

In accordance with OAC 252:515-19-32, artificial and/or natural barriers will be used to discourage unauthorized traffic and uncontrolled dumping. Access to the landfill is controlled by a lockable entrance gate and perimeter fence. A natural dense vegetation is present along the north property boundary, which will be maintained as much as practical and will provide a natural screening barrier and will discourage access to unauthorized traffic along the northern property boundary of the proposed C\&D expansion area. Landfill personnel will place appropriate signs directing waste hauling vehicles to the working face of the disposal area. Scale house and other landfill operating personnel will prohibit any unauthorized access and will record all incidences of unauthorized access. At the conclusion of each operating day, the entrance gate will be locked to prohibit unauthorized vehicle access.

### 2.0 SOLD WASTE ACCEPIED/ EXCLUDED

This section outlines accepted and excluded wastes, waste screening procedures, waste measuring requirements, and quantity limitation requirements which are applicable to the landfill under OAC 252:515.

### 2.1 WASTE ACCEPIANCE AND EXCLUSION

In accordance with OAC 252:515-1-2, the landfill will only accept C\&D waste for disposal. Any waste that does not meet the criteria for acceptable waste for $C \& D$ will be rejected from disposal at this landfill. The following materials will be accepted at the landfill:

- Asbestos-free waste from construction and/or demolition projects that may include such materials as metals, concrete, brick, asphalt, glass, roofing materials, limited amounts of packing materials, sheetrock, or lumber;
- Wood waste that may include such materials as yard waste, lumber, woodchips, wood shavings, sawdust, plywood, tree limbs, or tree stumps;
- Yard waste that may include such materials as grass clippings, tree limbs, tree stumps, shrubbery, flowers, or other vegetative matter results from land clearing or landscaping operations; and
- Residential lead-based paint waste (dried and/or passing paint filter test according to testing procedures provided in Attachment D).

The following materials will not be accepted at the landfill:

- Municipal solid waste (MSW);
- Non-hazardous industrial solid waste (NHIW);
- Special waste;
- Regulated hazardous wastes;
- Regulated polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) wastes;
- Radioactive wastes;
- Regulated untreated infectious biomedical wastes;
- Bulk or non-containerized liquid; and
- Friable and non-friable asbestos.

Waste will be visually screened at the scale house and/or working face to determine if the shipment contains acceptable waste. Shipments received at the facility will be rejected if the waste is not deemed acceptable. Shipments of waste entering the State of Oklahoma that are subsequently rejected will be removed from the State by those persons who transported the waste into the State.

Additional information such as sources of waste, amount received, transporters used, and any special handling or management practices to be employed will be recorded at the scale house and filed within the Site Operating Record. Detailed information on acceptable and unacceptable wastes; screening procedures; recordkeeping and reporting requirements; and training requirements associated with waste acceptance at the site is detailed in the facility's approved Waste Exclusion Plan (WEP), which will be maintained in the Site Operating Record.

### 2.2 WASTE SCREENING

The scale house attendant and/or equipment operators at the working face will be responsible for screening incoming waste to make certain that prohibited wastes are identified and handled properly. If the scale house attendant or other landfill staff refuses such wastes, they will inform customers of the proper disposal alternatives, such as directing them to local facilities that would accept those wastes. This practice is intended to avoid illegal dumping of refused wastes.

Personnel at the site will conduct routine procedures for the screening and removal of wastes which are not acceptable for receipt at the landfill for disposal. These procedures consist of both routine load screening procedures and random load inspections. Routine load screening procedures include:

- Identifying incoming vehicles by company and vehicle number. Any placards will be noted.
- Review of paperwork included with incoming wastes by the scale house attendant.
- Visually inspecting each load as it is pushed into the working face by operators trained to recognize unacceptable waste.
- Notifying the DEQ if unacceptable waste is discovered at the site by the end of the next working day. The Waste Exclusion Plan (see Appendix I) will be referenced for information to include with the notification.

Random load inspections will consist of:

- Conducting random inspections of incoming loads for unacceptable wastes at a frequency of a minimum of three random load inspections per month. Inspection of vehicles which contain uncompacted or open top loads will primarily occur at the scale house. Enclosed vehicles, such as commercial refuse vehicles, will be inspected at the working face. Loads will be visually observed for unacceptable waste when deposited at the working face by a landfill employee.
- Confirming records are maintained on all random inspections that are performed. Information maintained in the records will include, at a minimum, the company or person delivering the waste, type of vehicle, date and time, type of waste delivered, and person performing the inspection. These records will be maintained in the Site Operating Record of the facility.

Should a particular hauler or waste from a particular waste generator be suspected of being a source of prohibited waste, routine or planned inspections will be made of the suspected waste at a pull-off area near the truck scale. The facility's Waste Exclusion Plan (see Appendix I) will be referenced for waste screening training requirements as well as additional information regarding the waste screening procedures at the landfill.

### 2.3 WASTE MEASURING

The landfill personnel operate and maintain truck scales used to determine the weight of waste disposed at the landfill. A permanent record will continue to be made of the weight of all waste that is disposed of in the landfill. The scales will continue to be tested and certified annually in accordance with the requirements of the Oklahoma Department of Agriculture, Food, and Forestry (ODAFF) in accordance with OAC 252:515-19-33(a)(2).

In accordance with OAC 252:515-19-33(a)(3), if the scales are inoperative, tonnage will be estimated on a volume basis where one cubic yard of waste will be calculated to weigh one-third $(1 / 3)$ ton.

In accordance with OAC 252:515-19-33(4), solid waste disposal fees will be collected and remitted to the DEQ, except for solid waste received from emergencies or other special events, with prior approval from the DEQ. Monthly reports will be filed in the operating record and submitted to the DEQ no later than the 15th of the month following the reporting period. A copy of the monthly and quarterly reporting forms to be submitted to the DEQ are included in Attachment A of this Plan. Copies of submitted forms should be maintained in the Site Operating Record.

### 2.4 UMITATIONS ON WASTE RECEVED

### 2.5 RECYCUNG OPERATIONS

In accordance with OAC 252:515-19-39(a), the Owner/Operator will submit a recycling and salvaging plan to DEQ for approval prior to conducting any salvage and/or recycling operations at the landfill. Once approved, salvage and/or recycling activities will be conducted away from the working face of the landfill, in accordance with OAC 252:515-19-39(b).

## SCS ENGINEERS

### 3.0 SURVEY CONIROL

Horizontal and vertical control will continue to be maintained at the landfill in order to construct the landfill according to the approved permit documents. Permanent boundary markers designating horizontal and vertical control are already in place at the landfill with surveyed, permanently stamped, information. Evidence of permanent boundary markers placed by a registered land surveyor are shown on boundary survey drawing provided in Appendix A, which will be maintained in the facility's operating record.

Boundary markers have been established designating the 73.2-acre expansion property. In the event a boundary marker is damaged or destroyed, a registered land surveyor shall re-establish it.

Construction staking will be used to mark individual phases where waste is to be placed. Staking will be utilized during landfilling operations to maintain slopes and check filling elevations, as necessary. Stakes will generally be made of wood or some other suitable material for use on a landfill. Construction stakes and temporary benchmarks will be replaced during the landfill operations, as needed. The landfill will comply with the requirements of OAC 252:515-19-50, pertaining to slope limits, as discussed in Section 7.

If established benchmark or horizontal control markers are disturbed over the life of the facility, these markers shall be replaced or re-established by or under the supervision of a registered land surveyor.

### 4.0 WETWEATHER MANAG EMENT

Wet weather should not adversely impact landfill operations due to all-weather access roads. Throughout the landfill operation, adequate temporary landfill roads will be constructed to ensure access to the working face of the landfill during all weather conditions. Crushed stone, concrete rubble, masonry demolition debris, or other similar material will be delivered to the site on an as-needed basis for use in maintaining passable onsite access roads during wet weather. All onsite access roads will be maintained in a clean and safe condition. Site access roads will be regraded on an as-needed basis, to minimize depressions, ruts and potholes.

Soil material from the borrow area or an approved alternate daily cover (ADC) will be utilized to meet weekly cover requirements. A copy of approved ADC for the landfill is provided in Attachment B of this Operation Plan.

### 5.0 LANDFШNG PROCEDURES

### 5.1 LANDFL PROGRESSION AND SEQUENCE OF RL

Waste haulers will deposit waste in the area identified as the working face. The working face will be a sloped surface upon which the waste is compacted in layers. The waste will be compacted by the landfill compactor as it is spread on ground. The interior waste slopes of the working face will be no more than three feet horizontal to one foot vertical (3:1) and exterior waste slopes of the working face will be no more than four feet horizontal to one foot vertical (4:1) in accordance with OAC 252:515-19-50. The waste will be spread and compacted in lifts or layers as it is received, and will be compacted by multiple passes over the waste lift by a landfill compactor. The height of each waste lift will generally be between fifteen (15) feet to twenty (20) feet in height. The width of the working face will be kept as small as practical.

Waste placement will generally follow the area fill method, where waste will be placed in rows corresponding to the working face size and lift thickness. Waste will continue to be placed next to the previous day's waste placement until an established row length is reached. Another row will then start parallel to the previously constructed row. As the rows form lifts over each area, the top of each landfill lift will slope in such a manner to allow surface runoff to drain away from the working face. After a number of rows have been constructed (creating a lift), subsequent lifts will be constructed over previously placed lifts until the landfill final grades are achieved.

Waste will not be placed in areas where the presence of water would prohibit proper spreading and compaction of the waste.

### 5.1.1 Placement of Initial Layer of Waste

The landfill may begin placing waste in a new disposal phase upon completion of landfill construction and receipt of approval from the DEQ. Filling will begin at the lowest elevations of each phase and work toward higher elevations to prevent excess leachate generation. The initial lift of waste placed in a phase will be comprised of "select" waste that will not damage the liner system and will provide an additional protective layer against freeze/thaw effects. This lift of select waste will be comprised of waste which does not contain long, sharp objects, or bulky material. When placing this select waste lift, a compactor will not be used until a minimum of five feet of select waste has been placed over the protective cover layer. A dozer or other suitable equipment will be used to spread waste into the phase while operating on already-placed waste.

### 5.1.2 Stom Water Management

In accordance with OAC 252:515-19-38(a), solid waste will not be placed or allowed to enter, accidentally or otherwise, waters that communicate with waters of the state located outside the permit boundary. Surface water run-on onto the working face will be controlled using temporary diversion berms and ditches. Diversion berms will be constructed on the up-hill side of the working face to divert stormwater away from the working face. Additionally, to promote runoff and prevent ponding, the landfill cover will be graded and maintained to divert surface water away from the working face of the landfill.

### 6.0 COVER AND BORROW SOIL

### 6.1 WEEKLY COVER

Weekly soil cover or ADC will be applied at the end of each operating week, regardless of weather, as required by DEQ, to deter disease vectors, fires, odors, and blowing litter in accordance with OAC 252:515-19-51. The weekly soil cover material will consist of nominally compacted earthen material free of garbage, trash, or other unsuitable material. The minimum thickness of the weekly soil cover will be six inches. The frequency of weekly cover application may need to be increased in order to provide adequate control of disease vectors, fires, odors, blowing litter, or scavenging.

Waste that is received at the landfill that may cause a nuisance with blowing litter, dust, or odors will be covered immediately rather than waiting for cover at the end of the week.

### 6.2 INTERMEDIATE COVER

In accordance with OAC 252:515-19-52(a), intermediate cover will be applied over all disposal areas in the landfill that are not protected by final cover meeting the requirements of OAC 252:515-19-53 or managed with runoff control structures meeting the requirements of OAC 252:515-17-2(2). The intermediate cover will consist of 12 inches of nominally compacted earthen material free of garbage, trash, or other unsuitable materials.

The landfill may submit a permit modification to the DEQ to approve the use of an alternative intermediate cover, demonstrating the alternative is capable of controlling fires, odors, and blowing litter without presenting a threat to human health or the environment. If an alternative intermediate cover is approved by the DEQ for use at the landfill, this Plan will be revised to discuss the use of the approved alternate intermediate cover.

### 6.3 FINAL COVER

When the landfill has been filled to final waste elevations, the final cover will be constructed in accordance with OAC 252:515-19-53(a) and 252:515-19-53(b). Stormwater management structures will be constructed at the same time that the final cover is installed. The final cover at the landfill will be constructed in accordance with the Closure and Post-Closure Care (C-PC) Plan (Appendix I) and Final Cover QA/QC Plan (Attachment B in Appendix I). Cover system material conformance testing, general construction procedures, and testing requirements are included in the Final Cover QA/QC Plan.

A description of various final cover system options are provided in the C-PC Plan. At a minimum, the final cover system options will consist of a barrier layer component and a 12-inch erosion layer (topsoil). The erosion layer will be constructed to support vegetative growth.

To prevent ponding, the final cover gradient on top of the fill (as measured from the center of the fill area to the break in slope between the top and sides of the fill) shall be four (4) percent (25:1), unless otherwise approved by the DEQ. The final side slope gradient will not exceed twenty-five (25) percent (4:1). Final cover surface contours will be graded to prevent ponding water and erosion of fill areas.

The DEQ shall be notified in writing prior to the beginning of final closure of the facility or closure of a disposal cell. Closure activities will begin no later than 90 days after final receipt of wastes at the facility. Closure activities shall be completed within 180 days after closure activities are initiated. Extensions of the closure period may be granted by the DEQ if the Owner/Operator demonstrates that
closure will, of necessity, take longer than 180 days and that all steps have been taken, and will continue to be taken, to prevent threats to human health or the environment from the cell or facility.

Upon closing the facility, a survey plat will be prepared by a registered professional land surveyor depicting, at a minimum, the following: final contours of the entire site; permit boundary and boundaries of the disposal areas; location of gas monitoring probes; location of groundwater monitoring wells; location of permanent surface drainage structures; aesthetic enhancements; and other relevant information. The facility's C-PC Plan will be referenced for additional information required for a Certification of Final Closure submittal.

### 6.4 VEGEIATIVE COVER

Final cover vegetation will be effective, long-lasting, and capable of self-regeneration and plant succession. Vegetation will consist of species that are equal or equivalent to native vegetation during each season of the year. Permanent or interim vegetation will be established in areas that have been undisturbed for 90 days or more.

Vegetation will be established during the first possible growing season. Maintenance of the permanent vegetation will typically consist of protection, replanting, maintaining existing grades, repair of erosion damage, and mowing. After the seeds have sprouted, the site will inspect the slopes for areas with no grass or with thin grass. These areas will be reseeded, watered, and fertilized to establish an acceptable permanent vegetation layer. If there are areas where establishing vegetation is unsuccessful, an alternative plan will be developed. Deep-rooted plants, trees, or other similar vegetation will not be used as vegetative cover.

### 6.5 BORROW SOURCE

Borrow areas for the landfill will be located within the area to be developed for landfill disposal and/or imported from off-site sources as needed. On-site borrow areas which are no longer active or not planned for future construction of a disposal area will be reshaped and re-vegetated or otherwise reclaimed to blend with surrounding terrain within 180 days of the date the area ceased being used. On-site borrow areas will be maintained as outlined in the facility's current Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP).

### 7.0 VECTORS AND AESTHEIICS

### 7.1 VECTORS

In general, vectors are not expected to be present within C\&D landfills due to the nature of the waste being disposed at the landfill. However, if a vector problem should arise, an assessment of the operating conditions will be made and necessary corrective actions will be implemented. If the vector problem persists after initial corrective action, a professional exterminator will be hired to mitigate the problem.

### 7.2 UTIERCONIROL

Windblown litter will be controlled by unloading waste to minimize scattering of waste; applying weekly soil cover during facility operation and as dictated by the nature of the waste; and the use of litter fences near the working face of the landfill.

Additionally, all landfill users will be required to adequately cover their loads to prevent blowing litter.
Landfill personnel will inspect the entire site and the approach roadways within one-half mile of the landfill entrance for litter at least once a week, and collect and dispose of litter at the working face of the landfill associated with the inspections.

### 8.0 ENVIRONMENTALMONITORING

### 8.1 SURFACE WATER MONITORNG

Surface water will be monitored in accordance with the facility's SWPPP. A copy of the SWPPP will be maintained within the Site Operating Record.

### 8.2 STORMWATER STRUCTURE MAINTENANCE

Stormwater drainage control structures will be constructed at the landfill in accordance with the Surface Water Management System Design Plan (Appendix E). These structures include perimeter drainage channels, downchutes (letdown channels), drainage swales, and detention basins. Routine maintenance will be conducted on these structures for proper operation. These drainage structures will be inspected in accordance with the facility's SWPPP. If erosion damage has occurred to a drainage structure, it will be repaired as soon as practical.

### 8.3 GROUNDWATER MONITORING

Groundwater will be monitored in accordance with the Groundwater Monitoring Plan, as described in Section 6 of the Hydrogeological and Geotechnical Investigation (Appendix B) for the site, and maintained in the Site Operating Record.

### 8.4 GAS MONIORING

Landfill gas will be monitored in accordance with the approved Explosive Gas Monitoring Plan (Appendix D) for the site. This plan and monitoring results will be maintained in the Site Operating Record.

### 9.0 AIR QUALTY

### 9.1 DUSTCONIROL

Open burning of solid waste at the landfill will be prohibited in accordance with OAC 252:515-19-36(b). Additionally, in accordance with OAC 252:515-19-36(c), the landfill will be operated to prevent the discharge of visible fugitive dust emissions beyond the property boundaries. Fugitive dust emissions will not damage or interfere with the use of adjacent properties or cause air quality standards to be exceeded. Landfill personnel will spray water on haul roads using a water truck, as needed, when the landfill is in operation.

### 10.0 SAFETY

### 10.1 RRES

Protection against fires will include providing fire extinguishers on landfill equipment and proper maintenance and cleaning of the equipment to remove trash that may be ignited by equipment exhaust.

Landfill personnel will be on alert for indication that an arriving load of solid waste may be smoldering or have the potential to ignite. If a smoking or smoldering load is observed, the solid waste will immediately be pushed or directed away from the active working face and spread out as much as possible. A thick layer of soil will then be spread over the solid waste and compacted to effectively smother the fire. The covered solid waste will be observed for several days, and if signs of smoke appear, more soil will be spread and compacted over the solid waste. It may be necessary to leave the "hot" solid waste covered for an extended period of time before incorporating it into the active working face.

If an area of the working face ignites or show signs of smoldering, the area will be excavated to ensure that all of the hot material is segregated from the active face. The excavated solid waste will be pushed as far as possible from the working face and coved with soil as described above.

### 10.2 EMERGENCY CONTACTS

In the event of an emergency at the landfill, personnel will dial 911 in order to direct the appropriate assistance to the site. Fire, police, and ambulance assistance is available to the site by dialing 911.

### 10.3 COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT

All vehicles, including the compactor, will have a two-way radio capable of communicating with the landfill office. Telephone service is available at the landfill office and can be used for calling emergency personnel (fire, police, or ambulance) in the event of an accident or other emergency. Additional emergency telephone numbers will be clearly posted at the landfill office.

### 11.0 RECORDKEEPING AND REPORIING

In accordance with OAC 252:515-19-40(a), the Owner/Operator will maintain a Site Operating Record at the facility and designated offsite location upon final closure. The Site Operating Record will contain records concerning the planning, construction, operation, monitoring, closing, and post-closure care monitoring of the facility. These records will be maintained until the end of post-closure care monitoring period. A list of recordkeeping and reporting that will be completed by the Owner/Operator is included in the DEQ Guidance on Recordkeeping and Reporting attached in Attachment C of this plan.

## Attachment A

## Monthly and Quarterly Reporting Forms

# Monthly Report for Solid Waste Disposal Facilities 

version 1.3
(Submission \#: HPR-4ARQ-Y6AE5, version 1)

## Details

Originally Started By Robert Starke
Alternate Identifier 3555050: Northeast C\&D Landfill January 2023
Submission ID HPR-4ARQ-Y6AE5

Status Draft

## Form Input

## Facility Information

Permit Number
3555050

## Facility Information

## Facility Name

Northeast C\&D Landfill
Facility Mailing Address
1001 S. Rockwell Ave.
Oklahoma City, OK 73128

County (Facility Location)
Oklahoma (55)
Point of Contact
First Name Middle Name Last Name
Charles NONE PROVIDED Woods
Title
Operations Supervisor
Phone Type Number Extension
Business 405-201-8099
Email
cwoods@gflenv.com

Would you like to revise the Point of Contact? No
Alternate Point of Contact

| First Name | Middle Name | Last Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Robert | NONE PROVIDED | Starke |
| Title |  |  |
| Operations Supervisor II |  |  |
| Phone Type | Number | Extension |
| Business | 405-317-3912 |  |
| Email |  |  |
| rstarke@gflenv.com |  |  |

Would you like to revise the Alternate Point of Contact? No

## Daily Waste Processed

Report Period

| Month | Year |
| :--- | :--- |
| January | 2023 |

Did you process waste under any of the following circumstances this period?
NONE PROVIDED

Weight Handled (tons)

| Day of <br> Month | Open? | Total Weight <br> Handled (tons) | Reused / <br> Recycled <br> (tons) | Emergency or Special Event <br> Fee Waiver (tons) | Large Industrial Generator <br> Exemption (tons) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | No |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Yes | 281.94 |  |  |  |
| 3 | Yes | 867.72 |  |  |  |


| Day of <br> Month | Open? | Total Weight <br> Handled (tons) | Reused $/$ <br> Recycled <br> (tons) | Emergency or Special Event <br> Fee Waiver (tons) | Large Industrial Generator <br> Exemption (tons) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | Yes | 837.77 |  |  |  |
| 5 | Yes | 924.51 |  |  |  |
| 6 | Yes | 793.73 |  |  |  |
| 7 | Yes | 381.49 |  |  |  |
| 8 | No |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | Yes | 907.93 |  |  |  |
| 10 | Yes | 832.63 |  |  |  |
| 11 | Yes | $1,130.05$ |  |  |  |
| 12 | Yes | 925.34 |  |  |  |
| 13 | Yes | 984.87 |  |  |  |
| 14 | Yes | 361.83 |  |  |  |
| 15 | No |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | Yes | 894.23 |  |  |  |
| 17 | Yes | 968.89 |  |  |  |
| 18 | Yes | 616.49 |  |  |  |
| 19 | Yes | 940.88 |  |  |  |
| 20 | Yes | 980.60 |  |  |  |
| 21 | Yes | 242.04 |  |  |  |
| 22 | No |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | Yes | 994.64 | Yes | 291.93 | 363.06 |
| 26 | Yes |  |  |  |  |


| Day of <br> Month | Open? | Total Weight <br> Handled (tons) | Reused / <br> Recycled <br> (tons) | Emergency or Special Event <br> Fee Waiver (tons) | Large Industrial Generator <br> Exemption (tons) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 27 | Yes | 861.24 |  |  |  |
| 28 | Yes | 460.34 |  |  |  |
| 29 | No |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | Yes | 81.41 |  |  |  |
| 31 | No |  |  |  | Sum: NaN |
|  |  | Sum: $17,340.02$ | Sum: NaN | Sum: NaN |  |

Were your scales out of service at any point within this reporting period?
No

# Monthly Report for Solid Waste Disposal Facilities 

version 1.3
(Submission \#: HPR-TBCQ-CJE99, version 1)

## Details

Originally Started By Robert Starke
Alternate Identifier 3555050: Northeast C\&D Landfill February 2023
Submission ID HPR-TBCQ-CJE99
Status Draft

## Form Input

## Facility Information

Permit Number 3555050

## Facility Information

Facility Name
Northeast C\&D Landfill
Facility Mailing Address
1001 S. Rockwell Ave.
Oklahoma City, OK 73128

## County (Facility Location)

Oklahoma (55)
Point of Contact
First Name Middle Name Last Name
Charles NONE PROVIDED Woods
Title
Operations Supervisor
Phone Type Number
Extension
Business 405-201-8099
Email
cwoods@gflenv.com

Would you like to revise the Point of Contact?
No

## Alternate Point of Contact

First Name Middle Name Last Name
Robert NONE PROVIDED
Starke
Title
Operations Supervisor II
Phone Type Number
Extension
Business 405-317-3912
Email
rstarke@gflenv.com

Would you like to revise the Alternate Point of Contact?
No

## Daily Waste Processed

Report Period

| Month | Year |
| :--- | :--- |
| February | 2023 |

Did you process waste under any of the following circumstances this period? NONE PROVIDED

Weight Handled (tons)

| Day <br> of <br> Month | Open? | Total <br> Weight <br> Handled <br> (tons) | Reused / <br> Recycled <br> (tons) | Emergency or <br> Special Event Fee <br> Waiver (tons) | Large Industrial <br> Generator <br> Exemption (tons) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Yes | 729.39 |  |  |  |


|  | Open? | Total Weight Handled (tons) | Reused / Recycled (tons) | Emergency or Special Event Fee Waiver (tons) | Large Industrial Generator Exemption (tons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Yes | 489.31 |  |  |  |
| 3 | Yes | 842.99 |  |  |  |
| 4 | Yes | 491.35 |  |  |  |
| 5 | No |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Yes | 1,042.51 |  |  |  |
| 7 | Yes | 623.77 |  |  |  |
| 8 | Yes | 306.83 |  |  |  |
| 9 | Yes | 337.42 |  |  |  |
| 10 | Yes | 749.30 |  |  |  |
| 11 | Yes | 355.97 |  |  |  |
| 12 | No |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | Yes | 1,017.67 |  |  |  |
| 14 | Yes | 459.46 |  |  |  |
| 15 | Yes | 972.06 |  |  |  |
| 16 | Yes | 856.07 |  |  |  |
| 17 | Yes | 869.26 |  |  |  |
| 18 | Yes | 298.20 |  |  |  |
| 19 | No |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | Yes | 874.78 |  |  |  |
| 21 | Yes | 1,120.86 |  |  |  |
| 22 | Yes | 702.56 |  |  |  |
| 23 | Yes | 868.30 |  |  |  |
| 24 | Yes | 855.09 |  |  |  |
| 25 | Yes | 217.56 |  |  |  |
| 26 | No |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | Yes | 697.39 |  |  |  |
| 28 | Yes | 898.95 |  |  |  |
| 29 | No |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | No |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | No |  |  |  |  |


| Day <br> of <br> Month | Open? | Total <br> Weight <br> Handled <br> (tons) | Reused / <br> Recycled <br> (tons) | Emergency or <br> Special Event Fee <br> Waiver (tons) | Large Industrial <br> Generator <br> Exemption (tons) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Sum: <br> $16,677.05$ | Sum: <br> NaN | Sum: NaN | Sum: NaN |

Were your scales out of service at any point within this reporting period? No

# Monthly Report for Solid Waste Disposal Facilities 

version 1.4
(Submission \#: HPS-M8Q3-DKX8X, version 1)

## Details

Originally Started By Robert Starke
Alternate Identifier 3555050: Northeast C\&D Landfill March 2023
Submission ID HPS-M8Q3-DKX8X
Status Draft

## Form Input

## Facility Information

Permit Number 3555050

## Facility Information

Facility Name
Northeast C\&D Landfill
Facility Mailing Address
1001 S. Rockwell Ave.
Oklahoma City, OK 73128

County (Facility Location)
Oklahoma (55)

## Point of Contact

First Name Middle Name Last Name
Charles NONE PROVIDED Woods
Title
Operations Supervisor
Phone Type Number
Extension
Business 405-201-8099
Email
cwoods@gflenv.com

Would you like to revise the Point of Contact?
No

## Alternate Point of Contact

First Name Middle Name Last Name
Robert NONE PROVIDED
Starke
Title
Operations Supervisor II
Phone Type Number
Extension
Business 405-317-3912
Email
rstarke@gflenv.com

Would you like to revise the Alternate Point of Contact?
No

## Daily Waste Processed

## Report Period

| Month | Year |
| :--- | :--- |
| March | 2023 |

Did you process waste under any of the following circumstances this period? NONE PROVIDED

Weight Handled (tons)

| Day <br> of <br> Month | Open? | Total <br> Weight <br> Handled <br> (tons) | Reused / <br> Recycled <br> (tons) | Emergency or <br> Special Event Fee <br> Waiver (tons) | Large Industrial <br> Generator <br> Exemption (tons) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Yes | 985.84 |  |  |  |


|  | Open? | Total Weight Handled (tons) | Reused / Recycled (tons) | Emergency or Special Event Fee Waiver (tons) | Large Industrial Generator Exemption (tons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Yes | 875.77 |  |  |  |
| 3 | Yes | 851.07 |  |  |  |
| 4 | Yes | 296.64 |  |  |  |
| 5 | No |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Yes | 1,325.52 |  |  |  |
| 7 | Yes | 1,150.73 |  |  |  |
| 8 | Yes | 426.16 |  |  |  |
| 9 | Yes | 322.64 |  |  |  |
| 10 | Yes | 659.99 |  |  |  |
| 11 | Yes | 582.31 |  |  |  |
| 12 | No |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | Yes | 882.79 |  |  |  |
| 14 | Yes | 1,118.20 |  |  |  |
| 15 | Yes | 1,207.15 |  |  |  |
| 16 | Yes | 815.88 |  |  |  |
| 17 | Yes | 476.94 |  |  |  |
| 18 | Yes | 344.72 |  |  |  |
| 19 | No |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | Yes | 987.26 |  |  |  |
| 21 | Yes | 925.37 |  |  |  |
| 22 | Yes | 922.57 |  |  |  |
| 23 | Yes | 903.79 |  |  |  |
| 24 | Yes | 466.94 |  |  |  |
| 25 | Yes | 253.40 |  |  |  |
| 26 | No |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | Yes | 977.99 |  |  |  |
| 28 | Yes | 1,083.73 |  |  |  |
| 29 | Yes | 1,097.32 |  |  |  |
| 30 | Yes | 1,024.08 |  |  |  |
| 31 | Yes | 587.82 |  |  |  |


| Day <br> of <br> Month | Open? | Total <br> Weight <br> Handled <br> (tons) | Reused / <br> Recycled <br> (tons) | Emergency or <br> Special Event Fee <br> Waiver (tons) | Large Industrial <br> Generator <br> Exemption (tons) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Sum: <br> $21,552.62$ | Sum: <br> NaN | Sum: NaN | Sum: NaN |

Were your scales out of service at any point within this reporting period? No

# Monthly Report for Solid Waste Disposal Facilities 

version 1.4
(Submission \#: HPT-ACCC-GV1CJ, version 1)

## Details

Originally Started By Charles Woods
Alternate Identifier 3555050: Northeast C\&D Landfill April 2023
Submission ID HPT-ACCC-GV1CJ

Status Draft

## Form Input

## Facility Information

## Permit Number 3555050

## Facility Information

Facility Name
Northeast C\&D Landfill
Facility Mailing Address
1001 S. Rockwell Ave.
Oklahoma City, OK 73128

County (Facility Location)
Oklahoma (55)

## Point of Contact

| First Name | Middle Name | Last Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Charles | NONE PROVIDED | Woods |

Title
Operations Supervisor
Phone Type Number
Extension
Business 405-201-8099
Email
cwoods@gflenv.com

Would you like to revise the Point of Contact?
No

## Alternate Point of Contact

First Name Middle Name Last Name
Robert NONE PROVIDED Starke
Title
Operations Supervisor II
Phone Type Number
Extension
Business 405-317-3912
Email
rstarke@gflenv.com

Would you like to revise the Alternate Point of Contact?
No

## Daily Waste Processed

Report Period

| Month | Year |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| April | 2023 |  |

Did you process waste under any of the following circumstances this period? NONE PROVIDED

Weight Handled (tons)

| Day of Month | Open? | Total Weight Handled (tons) | Reused/ Recycled (tons) | Emergency or Special Event Fee Waiver (tons) | Large Industrial Generator Exemption (tons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Yes | 356.50 |  |  |  |


| Day of Month | Open? | Total Weight Handled (tons) | Reused / Recycled (tons) | Emergency or Special Event Fee Waiver (tons) | Large Industrial Generator Exemption (tons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | No |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Yes | 966.15 |  |  |  |
| 4 | Yes | 917.31 |  |  |  |
| 5 | Yes | 978.45 |  |  |  |
| 6 | Yes | 1,102.61 |  |  |  |
| 7 | Yes | 1,016.08 |  |  |  |
| 8 | Yes | 300.39 |  |  |  |
| 9 | No |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | Yes | 857.13 |  |  |  |
| 11 | Yes | 1,146.92 |  |  |  |
| 12 | Yes | 1,311.69 |  |  |  |
| 13 | Yes | 1,214.97 |  |  |  |
| 14 | Yes | 1,194.22 |  |  |  |
| 15 | Yes | 308.89 |  |  |  |
| 16 | No |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | Yes | 1,044.43 |  |  |  |
| 18 | Yes | 1,012.30 |  |  |  |
| 19 | Yes | 975.02 |  |  |  |
| 20 | Yes | 848.36 |  |  |  |
| 21 | Yes | 1,514.57 |  |  |  |
| 22 | Yes | 553.06 |  |  |  |
| 23 | No |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | Yes | 1,021.50 |  |  |  |
| 25 | Yes | 552.62 |  |  |  |
| 26 | Yes | 315.80 |  |  |  |
| 27 | Yes | 266.98 |  |  |  |
| 28 | Yes | 433.75 |  |  |  |
| 29 | Yes | 162.53 |  |  |  |
| 30 | No |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | No |  |  |  |  |


| Day of <br> Month | Open? | Total <br> Weight <br> Handled <br> (tons) | Reused / <br> Recycled <br> (tons) | Emergency or <br> Special Event <br> Fee Waiver <br> (tons) | Large Industrial <br> Generator <br> Exemption <br> (tons) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Sum: <br> $20,372.23$ | Sum: NaN | Sum: NaN | Sum: NaN |

Were your scales out of service at any point within this reporting period? No

# Quarterly Return for Solid Waste Disposal Facilities 

version 1.6
(Submission \#: HPS-M9T2-NB4P2, version 1)

## Details

Originally Started By Robert Starke
Alternate Identifier 3555050: Northeast C\&D Landfill 1st Quarter (Jan - Mar) 2023
Submission ID HPS-M9T2-NB4P2

Status Draft

## Form Input

## Facility Information

Permit Number 3555050

## Facility Information

## Facility Name

Northeast C\&D Landfill
Facility Mailing Address
1001 S. Rockwell Ave.
Oklahoma City, OK 73128

County (Facility Location)
Oklahoma (55)

## Point of Contact

| First Name | Middle Name | Last Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Charles | NONE PROVIDED | Woods |

Title
Operations Supervisor
Phone Type Number
Extension
Business 405-201-8099
Email
cwoods@gflenv.com

Would you like to revise the Point of Contact?
No

## Alternate Point of Contact

First Name Middle Name Last Name
Robert NONE PROVIDED
Starke
Title
Operations Supervisor II
Phone Type Number
Extension
Business 405-317-3912
Email
rstarke@gflenv.com

Would you like to revise the Alternate Point of Contact?
No

## Quarterly Report Detail

Report Period

| Quarter | Year |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1st Quarter (Jan - Mar) | 2023 |

Number of operating days this quarter:
76
Total weight, in tons, of waste received during this quarter 55,569.69

Did you process waste under any of the following circumstances this period? NONE PROVIDED

Were your scales out of service at any point within this reporting period? No

Total weight received that is subject to state disposal fee (tons) 55,569.69

Are you utilizing a capital investment waiver this quarter? No

Total Capital Investment Fees Retained as of previous quarter (\$) 40,000.00

Allowed Capital Investment Fees Retained This Quarter (\$) 0.00

Capital Investment Fees Retained to Date (\$)
40,000.00
Handling Waiver (\$)
6,946.21
Total Allowable Waivers (\$)
6,946.21
Penalties (\$)
0.00

Total State Disposal Fee Due (\$)
62,515.90
Please indicate the planned payment method:
Mail-in Check

## Attachment B

Copy of Approved Alternate Daily Cover (ADC)

## Shephend engineering



May 11, 2017

By Electronic Mail Only

Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality
Land Protection Division.
P.O. Box 1677

Oklahoma City, OK 73101-1677

1 Attention: Ms. Hilary Young, P.E.
Chief Engineer

RĒ: $\quad$ Tier 1 Permit Modification for Alternative Daily Cover
Northeast Landfill
WCA of Oklahoma, LLC
Spencer, Oklahoma County, Oklahoma
ODEQ Permit No.: 3555050
SEDCo Project No.: 17035

Dear Ms. Young:

On behalf of our client, WCA of Oklahoma, LLC - Northeast Landfill, we are submitting this Tier I Permit Modification for the use of different types of material as alternative daily cover. As per OAC 252:515-19-51(a), all landfills shall cover solid waste with at least six inches of compacted earthen material. We are applying for a Tier I Permit Modification, under OAC 252:515-19-51(d), to use different types of materials as a replacement of the 6 -inches of earthen material for an alternative daily cover. These different types of materials that we are proposing to be used as alternative daily cover include the following:

- Tarps;
- Wood chips; and
- Hay/Barñ Waste.

[^2]Tarps

Northeast Landfill is proposing to use tarps as alternate daily cover. The tarps maybe deployed using the landfill equipment or by hand using the landfill personnel. The tarps would be removed prior to the placement of the next lift of waste.

Tarps will be constructed from woven PVC and shall be dense enough to keep the waste covered in windy conditions. Northeast Landfill may use whole tires as additional weight to keep the tarps anchored over the working face.

## Wood chips/compost

The Northeast Landfill accepts a large amount of wood debris waste per year. This waste would be separated and stockpiled at a location located within the permitted area. Approximately once per year, a grinding operator will be employed to grind the wood waste into wood chips or shredded wood. This material would then be stockpiled on-site within the permitted boundary and used for daily cover. Also, the wood chips or shredded wood might come from a large wood debris disaster such as an ice storm or tornado.

Once the material is ready to be used as ADC, Northeast Landfill will mix the wood chips or shredded wood with soil on a 1:1 ratio. This will be accomplished by placing one scope of wood chips/shredded wood into a dump truck and then placing one scope of soil into the same dump truck. This will be done on an equal basis until the dump truck is full. Once the dump truck is full it will haul the material to the working face, where it will be dumped and spread. The spreading operation will sufficiently mix the soil and wood chip/shredded wood.

## Hay/Barn Waste

The Northeast Landfill currently accepts hay/barn waste from the Remington Park Horse Racing Facility. This waste usually is transported to the landfill using roll-off containers. Northeast Landfill is proposing to use this waste as daily cover when it is brought to the site for that particular day. Northeast Landfill does not propose to stockpile this material but to only use on the day that it arrives from Remington Park. This material would be spread over the exposed waste in a 6 -inch thick layer to form the daily cover. The hay/barn waste will only be used as daily cover on interior slopes.

## Tier I Permit Modification for Alternative Daily Cover

Northeast Landfill
WCA of Oklahoma, LLC
Spencer, Oklahoma County, Oklahoma
ODEQ Permit No.: 3555050
SEDCo Project No.: 17035

We appreciate your review and approval of this Tier I Permit Modification. We trust that the information contained herein is complete. However, if you need additional information, please de not hesitate to contact the undersigned at (405) 996-5301.

Sincerely;
Shepherd Engineering Design Co., Inc.
getraskupld
Jeff A. Shepherd, P.E.
Senior Engineer

Cc: Mr. Joe Miller - Northeast Landfill General Manager
Mr. Ethan Shackelford - Region 1 Engineering and Compliance

## Attachment C

DEQ Guidance on Recordkeeping and Reporting

## DEQ Guidance on Recordkeeping and Reporting

Regulatory Reference: OAC 252:515-19-40
Applicability. All solid waste disposal facilities.
Purpose. To provide guidance on the records to be maintained in the facility operating record and submitted to the DEQ.

Technical Discussion. All solid waste disposal facilities are required to maintain an operating record containing all records concerning the planning, construction, operation, closing and, if applicable, post-closure monitoring of the facility. ${ }^{1}$ Preferably, the operating record should be maintained at the disposal facility; however, an off-site location near the facility which is under the direct control of the owner/operator and accessible during DEQ inspections can be used. For the purposes of this rule, facility records maintained by consultants cannot be considered part of the operating record.

Various Subchapters of OAC 252:515 identify records that must be maintained and/or submitted to the DEQ. This guidance will identify those records so that owner/operators can ensure all required records are being maintained and submitted in a timely manner.

## Subchapters 3 through 31 - Permit Applications and Related Documents

- All applications for new and modified permits must be submitted to the DEQ and maintained in the operating record. The permit application includes all text related to the application as well as all maps, drawings, construction plans, QA/QC reports, legal access documents, public notices, etc. required by other Subchapters.
- All correspondence to/from the DEQ related to the permit application must be maintained in the operating record.
- A copy of the approved permit and all associated modifications must be maintained in the operating record.


## Subchapter 9 - Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action

- Within 60 days of groundwater sampling, a copy of groundwater monitoring results and associated statistical analysis (or cumulative analysis data for C/D landfills) must be placed in the operating record and submitted to the DEQ.
- Within 14 days of determining there is a statistically significant increase (SSI) in one or more monitoring constituents, the DEQ must be notified of the SSI in writing and a copy of the notice placed in the operating record.
- Within 90 days of determining there is a statistically significant increase, either an assessment monitoring program, or a demonstration that the increase was not caused by the facility, must be submitted to the DEQ and placed in the operating record.

[^3]- Within 14 days of receiving the results from an assessment monitoring event, the DEQ must be notified of the constituents that were detected.
- Prior to a public meeting to discuss an assessment of corrective measures, the DEQ must be provided with:
$>$ an affidavit (with a copy of the published notice) showing that public notice of the meeting was published in a local newspaper;
$>$ copies of certified mail receipts showing that the entities identified in OAC 252:515-9113(b) were notified of the public meeting; and
$>$ property and mineral ownership maps covering the area within a 2 mile radius of the facility.
- Within 60 days of the public meeting to discuss an assessment of corrective measures, a proposed remedy must be submitted to DEQ for approval and a copy placed in the operating record.
- When the remedy is complete, a certification signed by the owner/operator and a qualified groundwater scientist must be submitted to the DEQ for approval and the approved certification placed in the operating record.


## Subchapter 13 - Leachate Collection and Management

- Documentation must be submitted to the DEQ and maintained in the operating record showing any underground storage tanks used to store leachate meet the requirements of the Oklahoma Corporation Commission at OAC 165:25, Subchapter 1, Part 8.
- Plans for leachate recirculation and/or irrigation must be submitted to the DEQ and maintained in the operating record, as well as all correspondence to/from DEQ related to those plans.
- Any testing results required by leachate recirculation/irrigation plans must be submitted to DEQ and maintained in the operating record.
- If leachate is discharged to a POTW, a copy of a letter from the POTW stating it will accept the leachate must be placed in the operating record and submitted to the DEQ.
- The results of any testing required by the POTW must be maintained in the operating record.
- If leachate is discharged under an OPDES permit, a copy of the permit must be maintained in the operating record.
- Any testing required by the OPDES permit must be submitted to DEQ and maintained in the operating record.

NOTE: Quarterly leachate reports are no longer required to be maintained or submitted.

## Subchapter 15 - Methane Gas Monitoring and Control

- Within 30 days of monitoring, gas-monitoring results should be submitted to the DEQ and placed in the operating record. ${ }^{2}$

[^4]- Within 7 days of detection of an exceedance, submit a written notice to the DEQ of the exceedance and the steps taken to protect human health. A copy of this notice must also be placed in the operating record.
- Within 30 days of detection of an exceedance, a remediation plan must be submitted to the DEQ and a copy placed in the operating record.
- Written notification must be provided to the DEQ when the remediation plan is implemented, and a copy of that notice placed in the operating record.


## Subchapter 17 - Stormwater Management

- A copy of the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan and OPDES Sector L permit must be maintained in the operating record.
- A copy of the OPDES stormwater permit for construction sites must be maintained in the operating record for any on- or off-site soil borrow areas of 5 acres or more in size.
- OPDES Sector L visual monitoring and Numeric Effluent Limitation Monitoring results must be maintained in the operating record.
- The Annual Comprehensive Site Compliance Evaluation Report must be submitted to the DEQ's WQD no later than December $1^{\text {st }}$ of each year.
- All NELM monitoring results must be submitted to the DEQ no later than October $29^{\text {th }}$ of each year for the period October $2^{\text {nd }}$ of the previous year to October $1^{\text {st }}$ of the current year.


## Subchapter 19-Operational Requirements

- Copies of random waste screening inspections must be maintained in the operating record. ${ }^{3}$
- Monthly waste receipt reports must be submitted to the DEQ and a copy placed in the operating record no later than the 15th of the month following the reporting month. ${ }^{4}$
- To avoid penalties, quarterly returns and fees for landfills must be submitted to the DEQ within 30 days of the end of the quarter. ${ }^{5}$ A copy of the quarterly return must be maintained in the operating record.
- Copies of approved out-of-state waste disposal plans must be on file with the DEQ and maintained in the operating record, as well as all correspondence to/from DEQ related to the development of the approved plan.
- The DEQ must be notified at least 5 working days in advance of any proposed changes to an approved out-of-state waste disposal plan.
- Copies of initial design capacity reports required by the New Source Performance Standards (NSPS), as well as required updates to the design capacity, must be submitted to the DEQ and placed in the operating record.
- Copies of all test results required by NSPS must be submitted to DEQ and maintained in the operating record.

[^5]- Landfills accepting asbestos must maintain the records identified in the Management of Friable Asbestos guidance document.
- Composting facilities must maintain records documenting when windrows were turned, windrow temperatures, and the amount of waste received, processed, and distributed.


## Subchapter 21 - Waste Tire Processing, Certification, Permits, and Compensation

## Waste tire facilities

- Records of gross and tare weights of each vehicle must be maintained in the operating record.
- A daily log for each load of tires received must be maintained in the operating record. The daily log must include the name and address of the hauler, the number of tires from each tire source, the name and address of each tire source, the number of tires processed each day, and the use and destination of each daily outbound load of processed tire material.
- No later than the 10th of each month, a monthly report must be submitted to the DEQ identifying the following for the previous month: the number of tires received, the number of tires from community-wide clean up events, the number of tires from PCL dumps, a summary of destinations and intended uses of processed tire material, the number of tons of processed tire material provided for each market category, and the number of tires provided to waste tire incinerators that were not useable by the incinerator. The monthly report must also be maintained in the operating record.
- No later than the 10th of the month following the end of each calendar quarter, a quarterly report must be submitted to the DEQ identifying the following for the previous quarter: statewide collection efforts and documentation the scales were certified in accordance with Department of Agriculture requirements. The quarterly report must also be maintained in the operating record.
- All copies of waste tire manifests must be maintained in the operating record.
- All records required by the Oklahoma Tax Commission for reimbursement purposes must be maintained in the operating record.


## Entities installing river bank stabilization or other conservation projects ${ }^{6}$

- A copy of the permit or other authorization for the project must be maintained.
- A copy of the project completion report must be submitted to the DEQ and retained by the installer.
- Copies of any letters to/from the DEQ related to the project must be retained by the installer.


## Waste tire baling entities ${ }^{7}$

- A copy of a waste tire baling plan must be submitted to the DEQ and maintained in the operating record.
- A copy of the project completion report must be submitted to the DEQ and retained by the entity.
- Copies of any letters to/from the DEQ related to the plan must be maintained in the operating record.

[^6]
## Subchapter 23 - Regulated Medical Waste Facilities

- A copy of the approved certificate of need must be in the operating record.
- Copies of emergency response agreements must be maintained in the operating record.
- The operating record must include records of when waste was placed into and removed from storage.
- Records of any tests done on a regulated medical waste incinerator must be maintained in the operating record.
- Incinerator monitoring data must be maintained for at least 2 years. Such data includes waste feed rates, fuel and combustion gas flows, oxygen and carbon monoxide, and temperature.
- Testing and disposal records for incinerator ash must be maintained in the operating record.
- For regulated medical waste incinerators, an NHIW notification/certification form and associated documentation showing the ash is non-hazardous must be submitted to the DEQ.


## Subchapter 25-Closure and Post-Closure Care

- Copies of closure and post-closure plans, all amendments, maps, drawings, construction plans, QA/QC reports, legal access documents, etc. required by the plans must be submitted to the DEQ and maintained in the operating record. All correspondence to/from the DEQ related to the permit application must also be maintained in the operating record.
- Documentation of all activities performed for closure must be submitted to the DEQ with the final closure report and placed in the operating record.
- A copy of the land records notice as recorded must be submitted to the DEQ at the conclusion of closure activities.
- All correspondence to/from the DEQ related to closure and/or post-closure activities must be maintained in the operating record.
- No later than April 1st of each year, a post-closure maintenance and monitoring report must be submitted to the DEQ, and a copy placed in the operating record.
- At the conclusion of post-closure, a Certification of Post-closure Performance must be submitted to the DEQ.


## Subchapter 27-Cost Estimates and Financial Assurance

- Copies of all cost estimates and financial assurance documents must be submitted to the DEQ and maintained in the operating record. This includes all correspondence to/from DEQ related to these documents.
- When a surety bond, letter of credit, certificate of deposit, or insurance ${ }^{8}$ is used as the financial assurance mechanism, an original and one copy of the instrument must be submitted to the DEQ.
- No later than April 1st of each year, life of site calculations must be submitted to the DEQ, identifying the life of the site as of December 31st of the previous year. The calculations must also be placed in the operating record. This includes all correspondence to/from DEQ related to this calculation.

[^7]- No later than April 1st of each year, cost estimates must be recalculated or adjusted for inflation, and the new figures submitted to the DEQ. The calculations must also be placed in the operating record. This includes all correspondence to/from DEQ related to this calculation.
- For all financial assurance mechanisms except the corporate test/guarantee and local government test/guarantee, no later than April 9th of each year, documentation must be submitted to the DEQ to demonstrate financial assurance mechanisms were updated and/or payments made based on the revised cost estimates. The documentation must also be placed in the operating record.
- If the corporate test/guarantee is used as a financial assurance mechanism, no later than 90 days after the end of the corporate fiscal year, the records identified in OAC 252:515-27-81(c) must be submitted to the DEQ and placed in the operating record.
- If the local government test/guarantee is used as a financial assurance mechanism, no later than 180 days after the end of the local government fiscal year, the records identified in OAC 252:515-27-82(h) must be submitted to the DEQ and placed in the operating record.


## Subchapter 29-Exclusion of Prohibited Wastes

- A copy of the Waste Exclusion Plan must be maintained in the operating record and submitted to the DEQ. This includes all correspondence to/from the DEQ related to the plan.
- Copies of all random inspections must be maintained in the operating record. Such records must include the date and time of the inspection, the name of the person conducting the inspection, and the results of the inspection. ${ }^{9}$
- No later than the next working day, the DEQ must be notified of any rejected loads. ${ }^{10}$ The notification must include: (1) the date of rejection; (2) the name, address, and phone number of the waste generator; (3) the name of the driver; (4) transporter tag number and (5) transporter name, address, contact name, and phone number. This information must also be maintained in the operating record.
- When necessary, documentation to verify proper disposal of rejected wastes must be maintained in the operating record.
- Copies of personnel training must be maintained in the operating record. ${ }^{11}$


## Subchapter 31-NHIW Management

- Generators disposing of more than 10 cubic yards of NHIW per calendar month off site in an Oklahoma landfill must submit an NHIW Notification/Certification to the DEQ for each NHIW to be disposed.
- No later than the last day of the month, commercial landfills accepting NHIW must submit a report to the DEQ itemizing the type, quantity, and source of NHIW received from persons disposing of more than 10 cubic yards of NHIW the previous month. This report must also be maintained in the operating record.

[^8]
## XYZ LANDFILL <br> RANDOM WEP INSPECTION CHECKLIST [EXAMPLE]

Use checklist for all suspicious loads and for $5 \%$ of all incoming loads of solid waste.
Date: $\qquad$ Time: $\qquad$ am/pm
Customer Name:
Vehicle License Plate \# and State: $\qquad$
Type of Waste (check all that apply):HouseholdCommercial
Construction / Demolition $\square$ Industrial Other $\qquad$
Identify All Unauthorized Wastes Present
$\square$ Hazardous
$\square$ Radioactive
$\square$ PCB
$\square$ Unauthoriz
$\square$ Other
aste accepted?Yes
No
If no, what was done with the waste?
Additional Comments

Inspector's Name (Print)
Driver's Name (Print)

Inspector's Signature
Driver's Signature

## Notification of unauthorized waste:

DEQ Land Protection Division (405.702.5100)
Name / DateWaste Hauler
Name / Date
$\square$ Waste Generator
Name / Date

## Attachment D

## Paint Filter Liquids Test Procedure

## METHOD 9095B

## PAINT FILTER LIQUIDS TEST

### 1.0 SCOPE AND APPLICATION

1.1 This method is used to determine the presence of free liquids in a representative sample of waste.
1.2 The method is used to determine compliance with 40 CFR 264.314 and 265.314.

### 2.0 SUMMARY OF METHOD

2.1 A predetermined amount of material is placed in a paint filter. If any portion of the material passes through and drops from the filter within the 5 -min test period, the material is deemed to contain free liquids.

### 3.0 INTERFERENCES

3.1 Filter media were observed to separate from the filter cone on exposure to alkaline materials. This development causes no problem if the sample is not disturbed.
3.2 Temperature can affect the test results if the test is performed below the freezing point of any liquid in the sample. Tests must be performed above the freezing point and can, but are not required to, exceed room temperature of $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 4.0 APPARATUS AND MATERIALS

4.1 Conical paint filter -- Mesh number $60+/-5 \%$ (fine meshed size). Available at local paint stores such as Sherwin-Williams and Glidden.
4.2 Glass funnel -- If the paint filter, with the waste, cannot sustain its weight on the ring stand, then a fluted glass funnel or glass funnel with a mouth large enough to allow at least 1 in . of the filter mesh to protrude should be used to support the filter. The funnel should be fluted or have a large open mouth in order to support the paint filter yet not interfere with the movement, to the graduated cylinder, of the liquid that passes through the filter mesh.

### 4.3 Ring stand and ring, or tripod.

4.4 Graduated cylinder or beaker -- 100-mL.

### 5.0 REAGENTS

### 5.1 None.

A $100-\mathrm{mL}$ or $100-\mathrm{g}$ representative sample is required for the test. If it is not possible to obtain a sample of 100 mL or 100 g that is sufficiently representative of the waste, the analyst may use larger size samples in multiples of 100 mL or 100 g , i.e., $200,300,400 \mathrm{~mL}$ or g . However, when larger samples are used, analysts shall divide the sample into $100-\mathrm{mL}$ or $100-\mathrm{g}$ portions and test each portion separately. If any portion contains free liquids, the entire sample is considered to have free liquids. If the sample is measured volumetrically, then it should lack major air spaces or voids.

### 7.0 PROCEDURE

### 7.1 Assemble test apparatus as shown in Figure 1.

7.2 Place sample in the filter. A funnel may be used to provide support for the paint filter. If the sample is of such light bulk density that it overflows the filter, then the sides of the filter can be extended upward by taping filter paper to the inside of the filter and above the mesh. Settling the sample into the paint filter may be facilitated by lightly tapping the side of the filter as it is being filled.
7.3 In order to assure uniformity and standardization of the test, material such as sorbent pads or pillows which do not conform to the shape of the paint filter should be cut into small pieces and poured into the filter. Sample size reduction may be accomplished by cutting the sorbent material with scissors, shears, a knife, or other such device so as to preserve as much of the original integrity of the sorbent fabric as possible. Sorbents enclosed in a fabric should be mixed with the resultant fabric pieces. The particles to be tested should be reduced smaller than 1 cm (i.e., should be capable of passing through a 9.5 mm ( 0.375 inch ) standard sieve). Grinding sorbent materials should be avoided as this may destroy the integrity of the sorbent and produce many "fine particles" which would normally not be present.
7.4 For brittle materials larger than 1 cm that do not conform to the filter, light crushing to reduce oversize particles is acceptable if it is not practical to cut the material. Materials such as clay, silica gel, and some polymers may fall into this category.
7.5 Allow sample to drain for 5 min into the graduated cylinder.
7.6 If any portion of the test material collects in the graduated cylinder in the 5-min period, then the material is deemed to contain free liquids for purposes of 40 CFR 264.314 and 265.314.

### 8.0 QUALITY CONTROL

8.1 Duplicate samples should be analyzed on a routine basis.
9.0 METHOD PERFORMANCE
9.1 No data provided.

### 10.0 REFERENCES

10.1 None provided.

FIGURE 1
PAINT FILTER TEST APPARATUS



## Appendix H

## Waste Exclusion Plan

dESIGN COMPANY INCORPORATED

January 6, 2011

By Hand Delivery on January 7, 2011
Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality
Land Protection Division
707 North Robinson
Oklahoma City, OK 73101

Attn: Mr. Saba Tahmassebi, Ph.D., P.E.<br>Chief Engineer - Land Protection Division<br>RE: $\quad$ Tier I Permit Modification<br>Waste Exclusion Plan<br>Northeast C\&D Landfill<br>Waste Corporation of Oklahoma, LLC<br>ODEQ Permit No.: 3555050<br>SEDCo Project No.: 10010032

Dear Sir:

On behalf of our client, Waste Corporation of Oklahoma, LLC - Northeast C\&D Landfill, we are submitting the attached Tier I Permit Modification in response to new Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality (ODEQ) regulations. This Tier I Permit Modification is for a Waste Exclusion Plan for the Northeast C\&D Landfill. Please review the attached and provide any comments you might have.

We hope the attached is sufficient for your approval. If you have any questions, please feel free to call me at the number listed below.

Sincerely,
Shepherd Engineering Design Co., Inc.


Senior Engineer/President

Cc: Jim Kent - Landfill General Manager (2 copies)


NORTHEAST C\&D Landfill
WASTE CORPORATION of OKLAHOMA, LLC
SPENCER, OKLAHOMA
TIER I PERMIT APPLICATION
ODEQ PERMIT NO.: 3555050

## WASTE EXCLUSION PLAN

Prepared for:<br>Northeast C\&D Landfill<br>Waste Corporation of Oklahoma, LLC<br>2601 N. Midwest Blvd.

Spencer, Oklahoma 73084

Prepared by:
Shepherd Engineering Design Co., Inc
4000 North Classen, Suite 110-S
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73118
405-996-5300
SEDCo Project No.: 10010032
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### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Purpose

This Waste Exclusion Plan (WEP) outlines the acceptance requirements which shall be used to ensure the Northeast Construction and Demolition (C\&D) Landfill (NELF) only accepts C\&D Waste. The Northeast C\&D Landfill is owned and operated by Waste Corporation of Oklahoma, LLC. This plan is designed to define procedures which will be followed in determining whether or not NELF is permitted to accept a specific C\&D material for disposal as well as outlining procedures for identifying and preventing the disposal of unacceptable waste which are delivered to NELF. This plan is prepared to meet the requirements of OAC 252:515-29.

The objectives of the WEP are as follows;

- Verify that the waste is not regulated Municipal Solid Waste (MSW);
- Verify that the waste is not a regulated hazardous waste;
- Verify that the waste meets permit criteria for acceptance at the NELF;
- Verify that the waste meets Waste Corporation of Oklahoma's (WCO) criteria for acceptance at the NELF; and
- Establish any conditions which may be necessary to ensure the safe and environmentally sound management (collection, storage, transportation, disposal) of the waste.

The Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality (ODEQ) rules specifically state that Construction and Demolition Waste landfills or processing facilities shall only accept the following waste: asbestos-free waste from construction and/or demolition projects that may include such materials as metal, concrete, brick, asphalt, glass, roofing materials, limited amounts of packing materials, sheetrock, or lumber; wood waste that may include such materials as yard waste, lumber, wood chips, wood shavings, sawdust, plywood, tree limbs, or tree stumps; yard waste that may include such materials as grass clippings, tree limbs, tree stumps, shrubbery, flowers, or other vegetative matter resulting from land clearing or landscaping operations; and/or residential lead-based paint waste. The WEP was developed to detect and prevent the disposal of other non-approved wastes.
Waste Exclusion Plan
Northeast C\&D Landfill
Waste Corporation of Oklahoma, LLC
Tier I Permit Modification
ODEQ Permit No.: 3555050
SEDCo Project No.: 10010032

### 1.2 General Site Information

The Northeast C\&D Landfill is submitting this WEP as part of a Tier I Permit Application as required by the new ODEQ regulations.

### 2.0 DEFINITIONS

Listed below are definitions of some common terms as used in this plan. Terms not defined below carry the common industry definition. Note that if any of the definitions listed below conflict with a definition listed in a federal, state or local regulation applicable to the NELF, the regulatory definition will govern.

Biosolid - Municipal waste water treatment plant Class A or B sludge.

Commercial Solid Waste - all types of solid waste generated by stores, offices, restaurants, warehouses, and other non-manufacturing activities, excluding residential and industrial wastes.

Construction and Demolition Waste - asbestos-free waste from construction and/or demolition projects that may include such materials as metal, concrete, brick, asphalt, glass, roofing materials, limited amounts of packing materials, sheetrock, or lumber; wood waste that may include such materials as yard waste, lumber, wood chips, wood shavings, sawdust, plywood, tree limbs, or tree stumps; yard waste that may include such materials as grass clippings, tree limbs, tree stumps, shrubbery, flowers, or other vegetative matter resulting from land clearing or landscaping operations; and/or residential lead-based paint waste.

Hazardous Waste - waste materials and by-products, either solid or liquid or containerized gas, which are:

- To be discarded by the generator or recycled; toxic to human, animal, aquatic or plant life; and generated in such quantity that they cannot be safely disposed of in properly operated, state-approved solid waste landfills or waste, sewage or wastewater treatment facilities.
- Defined as hazardous waste by the state hazardous waste management regulations (OAC 252:205).
- Defined as hazardous waste by the US EPA regulation 40CFR.

The term "hazardous waste" may include but is not limited to explosives, flammable liquids, spent acids, caustic solutions, poisons, containerized gases, sludge, tank bottoms containing heavy metallic ions, toxic organic chemicals, and materials such as paper, metal, cloth or wood which are contaminated with hazardous waste. The term "hazardous waste" shall not include domestic sewage.

Household Waste - any solid waste (including garbage, trash, and sanitary waste in septic tanks) derived from households (including single and multiple residences, hotels and motels, bunkhouses, ranger stations, crew quarters, campgrounds, picnic grounds, and day-use recreation areas).

Industrial Solid Waste - see non-hazardous industrial solid waste.

Leachate - a liquid that has passed through or generated by solid waste which contains soluble, suspended, or miscible materials leached from that waste.

Municipal Solid Waste Landfill (MSWLF) unit - a discrete area of land or an excavation that receives household waste and that is not a land application unit, surface impoundment, injection well, or waste pile. A MSWLF unit also may receive other types of RCRA subtitle D wastes, such as commercial solid waste, non-hazardous sludge, NHIW, special waste, and construction/demolition waste. Such a landfill may be publicly or privately owned. A MSWLF unit may be a new MSWLF unit, an existing MSWLF unit or a lateral expansion.

Non-Hazardous Industrial Solid Waste (NHIW) - any of the following wastes deemed by the Department to require special handling:

- Unusable industrial or chemical products;
- Solid waste generated by the release of an industrial product to the environment; and
- Solid waste generated by a manufacturing or industrial process.

The term "non-hazardous industrial solid waste" shall not include waste that is regulated as hazardous waste or is commonly found as a significant percentage of residential solid waste.

RCRA - Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976, as amended, 42 U.S.C. Section 6901 et seq.

Semi-Solid Waste - means any waste (for example, sludges, grease trap pumpings, or septic tank pumpings) which contains at least twenty (20) percent solids by weight. Liquid waste may be bulked with soil, kiln dust, fly ash, or other suitable material to become semisolid waste.

Sludge - any solid, semi-solid, or liquid waste generated from a municipal, commercial, or industrial wastewater treatment plant, water supply treatment plant, or air pollution control Facility exclusive of the treated effluent from a wastewater treatment plant.

Solid Waste - all putrescible and non-putrescible refuse in solid or semisolid form including, but not limited to, garbage, rubbish, ashes or incinerator residue, street refuse, dead animals, demolition wastes, construction wastes, solid or semisolid commercial and industrial wastes including explosives, biomedical wastes, chemical wastes, herbicide and pesticide wastes. The term "solid waste" shall not include the following.

- Scrap materials which are source separated for collection and processing as industrial raw materials, except when contained in the waste collected by or in behalf of a solid waste management system.
- Used motor oil, which shall not be considered to be a solid waste, but shall be considered a deleterious substance, if the used motor oil is recycled for energy reclamation and is ultimately destroyed when recycled.

Special Wastes - those wastes that are not hazardous wastes but which, because of their nature or volume, process-generating waste, require special or additional handling aside from that given to routine household refuse. This includes but is not limited to: sludge, septic tank pumpings, grease trap wastes, dead animals, packing house offal and tankage, waste fats and oils, hatchery wastes, cannery wastes, NHIW, tires, and asbestos wastes.

US EPA - United States Environmental Protection Agency.
Wastestream - A separate and distinct waste type generated from a particular process at a generating location.

### 3.0 EVALUATION GUIDELINES

Since Northeast C\&D Landfill only accepts C\&D waste, the Evaluation Guidelines are simple. The NELF only accepts C\&D Waste as defined by the ODEQ and listed in Section 2.0 definitions.

### 3.1 Solid Waste Determination

In accordance with the ODEQ regulations, municipal solid waste cannot be accepted at the Facility. Municipal solid waste is defined as the following:

- All putrescible and non-putrescible refuse in solid, semisolid, or liquid form including, but not limited to, garbage, rubbish, ashes or incinerator residue, street refuse, dead animals, solid or semisolid commercial and industrial wastes including explosives, biomedical wastes, chemical wastes, herbicide and pesticide wastes.
- scrap materials which are source separated for collection and processing as industrial raw materials; and/or
- used motor oil, which shall not be considered to be a solid waste, but shall be considered a deleterious substance, if the used motor oil is recycled for energy reclamation and is ultimately destroyed when recycled.


### 3.2 Other Wastes Not Permitted

Since the NELF is a C\&D Landfill other wastes that will not be accepted are household hazard wastes, hazardous wastes, liquid wastes, non-hazardous industrial wastes (NHIN), regulated medical wastes and special wastes. These wastes are defined in Section 2.0 Definitions of this WEP.

### 4.0 COORDINATION WITH GENERATOR AND HAULER, GATE ACCEPTANCE PROCEDURES, AND RECORDKEEPING

### 4.1 Coordination with Generator and Hauler

The C\&D Waste Generator will contact (e.g., phone, e-mail, fax or visit) the NELF for the NELF's operating requirements and restrictions prior to disposal of the C\&D Waste. Generator and hauler inquiries will be directed to the gate attendant, salesman or other NELF personnel who have been trained, as required in Section 7.0 of the WEP. NELF personnel will assist the generator or hauler to properly complete the required paperwork. The hauler will work directly with the generator and the NELF to understand the requirements of the WEP. A copy of the WEP will be available to further educate the generator and hauler of the requirements of the WEP. Additional NELF rules and requirements will also be available at the site.

### 4.2 Gate Acceptance Procedures

For each load of C\&D Waste that arrives at the site, the gate attendant responsibilities include the following:

- Verify that the waste is C\&D Waste only; and
- Verify load volume or weight.


### 4.3 Random Load Inspections

The NELF Manager is responsible for determining the random inspection schedule, but a minimum five (5) load inspection per week should occur. For each random inspection, NELF personnel performing the inspection will complete the Waste Inspection Report included in Appendix A. The driver of the randomly selected load will be notified at the Gate House and instructed to proceed to the evaluation area. NELF personnel will compare the material presented for disposal to verify that the physical characteristics (i.e., color, odor appearance) of the material match those detailed in this WEP. In the event that the physical characteristics of the waste differ from the approved waste stream or known prohibited waste are identified, the waste load will be rejected (see Section 6.0 - Waste Discrepancies and Rejected Loads). The hauler will be notified of the reasons for rejecting the load. Records of load inspections will be maintained in the Site Operating Record.

In addition, all incoming loads will be visually inspected by a spotter at the tipping face. Should any indication of prohibited waste be detected, appropriate NELF personnel will be summoned to conduct a thorough evaluation of the load. Properly trained NELF personnel will break up the waste pile and inspect the material for any hazardous or prohibited wastes. Known prohibited waste will be placed back into the vehicle and the driver will be instructed to depart the site. Should any regulated, hazardous waste be detected, the entire load will be refused.

### 4.4 Recordkeeping

NELF must keep records of all loads accepted and copies of all random waste inspection in the Site Operating Record.
Waste Exclusion Plan
Northeast C\&D Landfill
Waste Corporation of Oklahoma, LLC
Tier I Permit Modification
ODEQ Permit No.: 3555050
SEDCo Project No.: 10010032

### 5.0 DISPOSAL PROCEDURES

The NELF personnel will exercise appropriate care and safeguards when disposing of the C\&D Waste. Only onsite personnel who have appropriate training will be utilized for placing and compacting of the C\&D Wastes at the tipping face.

### 6.0 WASTE DISCREPANCIES AND REJECTED LOADS

Documentation for acceptable C\&D Waste that arrives for disposal is reviewed at the NELF. In the event that unacceptable wastes arrive they will be rejected. A Random Inspection Checklist (Appendix A) will be used as a guide for NELF personnel to evaluate the inspected load arriving at the gate and to ensure proper documentation. Discrepancies which will cause a load to be rejected include but are not limited to the following:

- A non-hazardous industrial solid waste arrives;
- A non-hazardous industrial solid waste arrives, and the waste does not match the description on the waste tracking document or manifest.
- A hazardous industrial waste arrives;
- A load of municipal solid waste arrives; and
- There is no signed Customer Service Agreement.

Regulated hazardous waste, PCBs (equal to or greater than 50 ppm ), infectious waste, radioactive, or other prohibited waste are not authorized for disposal. If such wastes are suspected or discovered, they will be isolated until the material can be adequately identified. Appropriate handling procedures will be used to manage the material.

If the suspect material is determined to be a regulated hazardous waste, a solid waste, contain regulated levels of PCB, radioactive or other prohibited material, the ODEQ will be notified within the next working day of the incident and the planned disposition/remediation of the material. The NELF will supply to the ODEQ reason and date for rejection, name of the driver, tag number of the vehicle, carrier name, address, telephone number, and contact person (if available). Also, the name, address, and phone number and contact person of the generator (if available) will be supplied to the ODEQ. A rejected load form will be completed for each rejected load.

In addition, the ODEQ will be notified within the next working day of any non-residential waste that is identified as hazardous or containing regulated PCB material prior to receipt at the NELF, based on information supplied by the generator.

The proper disposition/remediation of the prohibited waste will be specific to the waste and will be implemented upon ODEQ concurrence and approval. Verification of proper disposal of the prohibited waste will be submitted to the ODEQ and a copy maintained in the operating record.

### 7.0 PERSONNEL TRAINING

Appropriate NELF personnel will receive initial training on unacceptable waste identification, screening, and management procedures. Annual refresher training will be provided to appropriate personnel. The training will be conducted by either in-house staff or outside specialists familiar with proper management procedures and the requirements of this WEP. Documentation of the training will be retained in the site operating record. A discussion of the training requirements for the landfill Manager or Supervisor and onsite personeel are discussed below.

Landfill Manager/Supervisor - Prior to acceptance of C\&D Waste at the NELF, the owner/operator must document that the waste was evaluated by trained personnel and determined acceptable. The Landfill Manager or Supervisor will be responsible for such evaluation and will have training and/or experience in the following areas.

- The NELF's program and procedures for C\&D Waste acceptance including: the definition of C\&D Waste, waste evaluation, waste approval procedures, waste inspections, recordkeeping, and monthly report submission and other notifications to the ODEQ (i.e.; notification of receipt of restricted wastes, verification of proper disposal, etc.).
- Waste identification and evaluation. Training should include basic understanding of the definition of hazardous waste and exclusions, and basic chemistry related to the physical characteristics of wastes and waste constituents.
- The current regulatory procedures for notification, certification, and handling of unapproved wastes.
- The NELF's approved programs for PCB exclusion, radioactive waste exclusion, solid waste exclusion, untreated infectious biomedical waste exclusion, asbestos waste exclusion, and other special wastes that are excluded.

Onsite Personnel - Gate attendants and NELF operators shall receive basic training in waste exclusion, as related to the WEP. This shall include a minimum of 8 hours per the first year of training. The training curriculum will include at a minimum a review of regulatory definitions, (e.g., hazardous waste, etc.) recognition of solid waste, hazardous, radioactive, regulated PCBs, regulated infectious waste, and requirements for handling of waste as well as the NELF's WEP implementation procedures. A minimum of four hours of refresher training will be held at least annually with training documentation placed into the Site Operation Record. Trained personnel shall be on site during all hours the landfill is open to accept wastes.

### 8.0 MONTHLY REPORTS

Monthly reports shall be submitted to the ODEQ itemizing the type, quantity, and source of C\&D waste received the previous month from generators.

APPENDIX A WASTE EXCLUSION PLAN RANDOM INSPECTION REPORT AND REJECTED LOAD FORM

## RANDOM INSPECTION REPORT

1. Date: $\qquad$
2. Time: $\qquad$ a.m./p.m.
3. Generator: $\qquad$
4. Hauler: $\qquad$
5. Name of Driver:
6. Hauling Permit No. (if applicable): $\qquad$
7. Vehicle License No.:
8. Inspection:(Circle) Random or Scheduled
9. Does the waste meet the requirements of the disposal at this Facility? (Circle) Yes or No
10. Extraneous and/or unauthorized materials were found in the waste shipment. (Circle) Yes or No
11. Photograph or video tape identification No. $\qquad$

BASED ON MY EXAMINATION, LOAD WAS INSPECTED AND NO UNAUTHORIZED WASTE WAS FOUND.

## REJECTED LOAD FORM

1. Date of Rejection $\qquad$
2. Generator Name: $\qquad$
3. Generator Contact: $\qquad$ Phone No.: $\qquad$
4. Generator Address: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
5. Hauler Name: $\qquad$ Phone No.: $\qquad$
6. Hauler Contact: $\qquad$
7. Vehicle License Number: $\qquad$
8. Driver's Name: $\qquad$
9. Hauler Address: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
10. Reason(s) for Rejection:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Signature of Site Inspector
Date

## Appendix I

Closure and Post-Closure Care Plan

# Appendix <br> Closure and Post-Closure Care Plan 

## Northeast C\&D Landfill

Prepared for:
N.E. Land Fill, LLC

2601 North Midwest Blvd
Spencer, OK 73084
405-495-0800

Prepared by:

SCS ENGINEERS<br>1901 Central Drive, Suite 550<br>Bedford, TX 76021<br>817-571-2288

August 2023
File No. 16219107.00

## TABLE OF CONTENTS AND CERTIFICATION PAGE

## Table of Contents

Section Page
1.0 INTRODUCTION ..... 3
2.0 REGULATIONS ..... 4
2.1 Closure Requirements ..... 4
2.2 Post-Closure care Requirements ..... 4
3.0 FINAL COVER SYSTEM ..... 5
3.1 Cover System Design ..... 5
3.2 Cover System Installation ..... 5
4.0 CLOSURE PROCEDURES ..... 6
4.1 Closure Sequence ..... 6
4.2 Closure During Active Life ..... 6
4.3 Additional Closure Information ..... 6
4.4 Borrow Areas ..... 8
5.0 CLOSURE SCHEDULE ..... 9
5.1 Certification of Final Closure ..... 9
5.2 County Land Records Notice ..... 10
6.0 CLOSURE COST ESTIMATE ..... 11
7.0 POST-CLOSURE CARE ACTIVITIES ..... 12
7.1 Monitoring and Maintenance ..... 12
7.1.1 Drainage and Erosion Controls Structures ..... 12
7.1.2 Site Security and Access Control ..... 13
7.1.3 Groundwater Monitoring System ..... 13
7.1.4 Landfill Gas Monitoring System ..... 13
7.1.5 Final Cover ..... 13
7.1.6 Annual Post-Closure Care Report ..... 14
8.0 POST-CLOSURE USE OF PROPERTY ..... 15
9.0 CERTIFICATION OF POST-CLOSURE PERFORMANCE ..... 16
10.0 POST-CLOSURE CARE COST ESTIMATE ..... 17
11.0 ECONOMIC LIFE OF FACILITY ..... 18
12.0 FINANCIAL ASSURANCE MECHANISM ..... 19
Attachments
Attachment A Closure and Post-Closure Cost Estimates Attachment B Attachment C
Quality Assurance/Quality Control Plan for Final Cover System Installation Soil Mass Balance Calculations
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This Closure and Post-Closure Care Plan has been prepared in accordance with sound engineering practices, including consideration of industry standards and the requirements of the Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality, as defined in the Oklahoma Administrative Code (OAC) 252:51525 and 27.

Prepared by:


Sandeep Saraf, P.E. Senior Project Manager SCS Engineers

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

This Closure and Post-Closure Care (C-PC) Plan provides the criteria necessary to properly close and maintain the entire disposal area during post-closure for the Northeast landfill in accordance with OAC 252:515-25. The Closure Plan includes the necessary actions to be completed at the site before the facility can be certified closed and sets forth the maintenance and monitoring during the post-closure period.

The Post-Closure Plan will be in effect for a minimum 8 -year period to ensure that the closed Northeast landfill will retain its integrity and will not pose a threat to human health or the environment in accordance with OAC 252:515-25-51(b).

### 2.0 REGULATIONS

This C-PC Plan has been prepared pursuant to OAC 252:515-25, as promulgated by the Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ).

### 2.1 CLOSURE REQUIREMENTS

The facility will be closed in accordance with the provisions included in this Closure Plan and in a manner that minimizes the need for further maintenance and controls and minimizes post-closure escape of waste and waste constituents into the environment.

OAC 252:515-25 requires that all landfills install a final cover system that is designed to minimize infiltration and erosion. DEQ regulations require closure to begin a minimum of 90 days after final receipt of waste at the facility or for the disposal area, as applicable, in accordance with OAC 252:515-25-33(b). To comply with the requirements of OAC 252:515-25-33, GFL will provide a notice of intent to close the site prior to beginning final closure of the facility or closure of a disposal area at the landfill. Subsequently, Owner/Operator will comply with the requirements of OAC 252:515-25-33(c) by completing of closure activities within 180 days following the beginning of closure unless otherwise approved.

Consistent with the requirements of OAC 252:515-25-34(a), a Certification of Final Closure Report will be submitted to the DEQ after completion of final closure. This report will be prepared and sealed by an independent professional engineer licensed in the State of Oklahoma to comply with the requirements of OAC 252:515-25-34(c) and signed by Owner/Operator to comply with the requirements of OAC 252:515-25-34(a)(1).

Consistent with the requirements of OAC 252:515-25-36(a), a notice will be recorded in the property deed stating that the land has been used as a solid waste disposal facility, upon approval of final closure. The notice will specify the type, location, and quantity of wastes disposed of at the facility in accordance with OAC 252:515-25-36(b). In addition, the notice will state that a survey plat and a record of disposal area locations and elevations has been filed with the DEQ and with an identified city or county, and future uses may be restricted in accordance with OAC 252:515-25-57, as specified in 252:515-25-36(c)(2) and 252:515-25-36(c)(3). A file stamped copy of the notice will be provided to the DEQ as required by 252:515-25-36(d).

### 2.2 POST-CLOSURE CARE REQUIREMENIS

Consistent with OAC 252:515-25-51(b), the facility will comply with an 8 -year post-closure maintenance period, including maintenance of the integrity and effectiveness of the final cover, monitoring groundwater, and maintaining gas venting, collection, or monitoring systems.

Also in accordance with OAC 252:515-25-56(c), a Certification of Post-Closure Performance will be prepared and sealed by an independent professional engineer licensed in the State of Oklahoma.

### 3.0 RNALCOVER SYSTEM

### 3.1 RNALCOVER SYSTEM DESIGN

The final cover consists of the following:

- 12-inch vegetated erosion layer, and
- 24-inch-thick compacted clay barrier layer ( $\mathrm{k} \leq 1 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{sec}$ ).


### 3.2 RNALCOVER SYSTEM INSTAШATION

Testing, evaluation, and documentation of the installation of the final cover system materials will be performed in accordance with Attachment B - Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) Plan for Final Cover System Installation.

Individual areas of the landfill may be closed in phases. For construction of each final cover phase, project specific design plans will be prepared and sealed by an independent professional engineer licensed in the State of Oklahoma, in accordance with the site's current permit documents and QA/QC Plan for Final Cover Installation provided in Attachment B. At this time, it is anticipated the final cover will be constructed in individual phases. To reduce financial assurance for the disposal area closed in a phased closure scenario, a certification prepared and sealed by an independent professional engineer licensed in the State of Oklahoma will be submitted to DEQ. Financial assurance may be reduced after receiving approval from DEQ of the closure area. The certification will:

- Certify that the area was closed according to the approved permit documents, design plans, QA/QC Plan, and applicable rules and regulations; and
- Contain a closure report with related drawings, plans, or specifications describing how closure was performed.


### 4.0 CLOSURE PROCEDURES

### 4.1 CLOSURE SEQUENCE

The Owner/Operator of the landfill may conduct ongoing closure of the landfill throughout its active life. This procedure allows for successive closures of fill areas by placement of final cover, construction of drainage and erosion control features, and establishment of vegetative cover.

If the site needs to initiate premature closure, closure activities will be required for only the areas of the site that have been constructed and received waste. In the event final grades differ from the permitted final grades, a permit modification application will be submitted to DEQ showing the redesigned final contours and permanent stormwater structures in accordance with the OAC rules and regulations prior to premature closure of landfill.

### 4.2 CLOSURE DURING ACTIVE UFE

As described above, the final cover may be constructed as fill areas achieve the design final grades. Should closure of the landfill become necessary at any time during the active life of the landfill, the following steps will be taken:

- Engineering plans will be developed to address site closure at the time of discontinued waste filling;
- The final waste received will be placed and properly compacted;
- Excavations will be filled with suitable material, and the site will be graded to promote runoff and prevent ponding;
- The final cover system will be constructed according to specifications and QA/QC Plan (Attachment B);
- The top of the landfill will be graded and reshaped as needed to provide the proper slope for positive drainage;
- During the first growing season following installation of final cover, the landfill will be vegetated with permanent vegetation;
- A surface water management system will be constructed to minimize erosion consistent with Appendix E - Surface Water Drainage Design Plan;
- A closure certification will be prepared by an independent registered professional engineer and submitted to the DEQ for approval; and
- All proper notices and documentations will be filled with the appropriate agencies.


### 4.3 ADDITIONALCLOSURE INFORMATION

The area for waste disposal encompasses 53.5 acres, excluding buffer zones, drainage areas, and unsuitable areas. The estimated maximum capacity of the landfill is $10,277,000$ cubic yards, inclusive of weekly and intermediate cover. A final cover grading plan showing contours of the site at final closure is provided as Drawing 7 of the Permit Drawings.

The following procedures will be following to comply with OAC 252:515-25-32(a)(3) and (4)(A) and (F):

- Any temporary structures that are on-site at the time of final closure will be removed or decommissioned from the site. All equipment used during the operation and closure of the landfill will be decontaminated and removed from the site after final closure has been certified as complete.
- All ground and surface water monitoring and sampling, as applicable, will be conducted through the C-PC period in accordance with the approved groundwater sampling and analysis plan (GWSAP) and Oklahoma Discharge Elimination System (OPDES) general permit. Any groundwater monitoring wells and gas monitoring probes found to be defective during closure or post-closure period will be repaired or replaced in accordance with the approved GWSAP and explosive gas monitoring plan (EGMP).
- Site security and access control will be maintained throughout the active life and post-closure period of the landfill. Facilities at the site, including the perimeter fencing, will be maintained throughout the post-closure period.
- Final wastes or affected soils remaining on-site at the time final closure has been completed, will be transported to a facility permitted to handle the wastes and/or affected soils.
- Final closure certification and other required documents and notices will be prepared as discussed in Section 5.1.
- Prior to initiating closure, the existing conditions and applicable regulations will be re-evaluated to ensure that this C-PC Plan is still applicable.
- $\quad \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{PC}$ cost estimates for the largest area of the landfill that will require final cover during the active life is provided in Attachment A.
- A detailed description of the final cover design is provided in Section 3. Soil for use in the final cover installation will be obtained from excavation of stormwater system, unconstructed future phases, and/or from offsite borrow areas. This soil and other final cover system components will be tested in accordance with methods described in the QA/QC Plan for final cover installation, provided in Attachment B, to confirm that the soil and materials meet the requirements of the DEQ regulations and this plan.
- Soil Mass balance calculations, provided in Attachment C, demonstrate that the facility does not have sufficient soil available onsite for use in final cover installation from on-site borrow sources. As such, soil from offsite borrow sources will be obtained by the Owner/Operator to meet the soil needs of the facility and for final cover installation.
- On-site and off-site soil used in the final cover installation will comply and be placed in accordance with methods described in the QA/QC Plan for final cover installation (Attachment B).
- Any former improper closure or waste placement will be remedied at the time of the last phase of final cover installation at the landfill.
- A final closure survey will be performed by a surveyor, registered in the State of Oklahoma, and will be included with the final cover certification report submitted to the DEQ for approval.


### 4.4 BORROW AREAS

This section applies to on-site and off-site soil borrow areas that are not permitted and/or used for future waste disposal. On-site borrow areas are related to drainage features or future disposal areas excavated prior to a forced closure scenario. Off-site borrow areas may be acquired and owned by the Owner/Operator of the landfill in future.

To comply with OAC 252:515-19-55 and OAC 252:515-25-32(b)(3), on-site and off-site soil borrow areas will be re-shaped and re-vegetated to blend in with the surrounding terrain within 180 days of the time that excavation activities have been completed. Excess surface water flow into the borrow areas will be handled through means of pumping during development to allow continued excavation of solid material. All surface water discharge locations will be included in the facilitiy Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP).

After vegetation is established in the borrow areas, these areas will be routinely inspected throughout the life of the site and the C-PC periods. The vegetation cover will be capable of self-regeneration and will require no maintenance. If bare spots develop, then the area will be re-seeded and maintained (e.g., watered and fertilized) until the vegetation is re-established. Also during these inspections, the slopes will be inspected and if necessary re-shaped to maintain their grades.

### 5.0 CLOSURE SCHEDULE

The site will be closed in an orderly fashion, consistent with OAC 252:515-25-33. The final closure schedule will be as follows:

- DEQ will be notified in writing prior to beginning final closure of the landfill or closure of a disposal area at landfill;
- Closure activities will begin no later than 90 days after final receipt of wastes or final receipt of wastes into a disposal area;
- Closure activities will be completed according to the approved C-PC Plan within 180 days after closure activities are initiated; and
- Extensions of the closure period may be granted by DEQ if the Owner/Operator demonstrates that closure will, of necessity, take longer than 180 days, and that all steps have been taken, and will continue to be taken, to prevent threats to human health or the environment from the unclosed area or facility.


### 5.1 CERIIRCATION OF RNALCLOSURE

Upon completion of closure activities, a professional engineer registered in the State of Oklahoma will submit a certification of final closure to the DEQ, certifying that the facility or disposal area was closed in accordance with approved permit documents and this plan, in accordance with OAC 252:515-2534. The certification of final closure will:

- Be signed by the Owner/Operator;
- State the facility was closed according to the approved closure plan, permit documents, and applicable rules;
- Contain a closure report with related drawings, plans, or specifications describing how closure was performed;
- Indicate whether inspection of gas, groundwater, or surface water monitoring has shown the presence of elevated levels of any constituent or if any evidence of contamination related to site operations has been found and, if so, what corrective measures were taken; and
- Include a final closure map. The final closure map will show as-built conditions at the time of closure including but not limited to:
o Final contours of the entire site;
o The final permit boundary and boundaries of disposal areas;
o The location of gas monitoring probes;
o The location of groundwater monitoring wells;
o The location of permanent surface drainage structures;
o Aesthetic enhancements; and
o Other relevant information.


### 5.2 COUNTY LAND RECORDS NOTICE

Upon approval of the final closure of the facility, a notice will be recorded in the land records of the property for Oklahoma County giving notice in perpetuity that the site was used for the disposal of C\&D waste and is now closed, in accordance with 252:515-25-36. The notice will specify the type, location, and quantity of wastes disposed. The notice will also identify the required post-closure monitoring period and state that the facility will be monitored for at least 8 years; that a survey plat and record of the disposal area's locations and elevations have been filed with DEQ and with an identified city or county; and that future uses may be restricted in accordance with OAC 252:515-25-57. The Owner/Operator will be responsible for providing a file-stamped copy of the notice to DEQ.

### 6.0 CLOSURE COSTESTIMATE

A closure cost estimate, including costs for the activities described above, is provided in Attachment A. This closure cost estimate was approved by DEQ on March 9, 2023 and is based on 67.7 acres of permitted landfill footprint that will require final cover.

Closure estimates and the amount of financial assurance provided will be increased if, at any time during the active life, changes to the closure plan of the facility increase the maximum cost of closure. Proposals for reduction of closure cost estimates and the amount of financial assurance required will be submitted to DEQ for approval. To qualify for a reduction, the cost estimate must be demonstrated to exceed the minimum cost of closure during the remaining life of the facility, the amount of security remaining after the reduction must adequately cover the estimated closure cost yet to be performed, and financial assurance will not be reduced until DEQ approval has been granted.

At a minimum, cost estimates for closure will be adjusted no later than April 1st of each year; the adjustment will be submitted to DEQ for approval. In the adjustment, maximum costs of closure may be recalculated, in current dollars, in accordance with OAC 252:515-27-51. If there are no significant changes to the C-PC Plan, the cost estimate may be adjusted by use of an inflation factor derived from the most recent annual Implicit Price Deflator for Gross National Product or the Implicit Price Deflator for Gross Domestic Product published by the U.S. Department of Commerce in its Survey of Current Business in a year for which the adjustment is made. The approved adjusted cost estimate will be placed in the Site Operating Record.

### 7.0 POST-CLOSURE CARE ACTIVITIES

### 7.1 MONTIORING AND MAINTENANCE

In accordance with OAC 252:515-25-51(b), post-closure care maintenance will commence immediately upon DEQ approval of final closure. Post-closure activities will continue for a period of 8 years, unless the DEQ approves a post-closure period of a different duration. Documentation pursuant to OAC 252:515-3-34 is included in Appendix A of the permit application showing that N.E. Land Fill, LLC owns and has legal right to access all property subject to post-closure care requirements.

To comply with the requirements of OAC 252:515-25-53(3), the Owner/Operator of the landfill will perform post-closure inspections on a quarterly basis during the first three years of the post-closure period. Subsequent inspections will be performed semi-annually for the next two years and annually for the remainder of the post-closure period. Additional inspections may be conducted to observe repairs or evaluate problem areas discovered during prior inspections.

The quarterly, semi-annual, and annual post-closure inspections will consist of the inspection and evaluation of the final cover system and vegetative cover, drainage and erosion control structures, and site security. The frequency and specific inspections associated with the gas monitoring program is addressed in the EGMP provided in Appendix D. Frequency and specific inspections associated with groundwater monitoring will be addressed in the facility's updated Groundwater Monitoring and Sampling Program (GWSAP) that will be submitted to DEQ for approval once the final permit is issued.

In accordance with OAC 252:515-25-52, post-closure monitoring and care period may be extended by DEQ if:

- Sampling shows the presence of elevated levels of any constituent;
- Evidence of contamination resulting from site operations is found to exist;
- Prior maintenance or monitoring of the site is found to be inadequate; or
- If any other conditions are present that indicate a need for additional post-closure monitoring and care.


### 7.1.1 Drainage and Erosion Controls Structures

In accordance with OAC 252:515-25-53(4)(A), drainage and erosion controls will be inspected throughout the post-closure period to ensure that surface water is conveyed away from the landfill to the perimeter drainage system. Items or conditions to be examined include:

- Erosion;
- Settlement;
- Structural integrity of berms, letdown structures, and other drainage and erosion control structures; and
- Silt and sediment buildup.

Maintenance and repairs should be conducted as soon as practical, and may consist of the following activities:

- Replacement of riprap or other structural lining installed for erosion protection;
- Removal of obstructions to permit conveyance of surface water;
- Placement of fill and re-grading of drainage swales;
- Removal of silt and sediment;
- Repairs to berms; and
- Repair or replacement of stacked hay bales or silt fencing.


### 7.1.2 Site Sec urity and Access Control

Post-closure site security will be necessary to control unauthorized access and prevent illegal dumping of wastes. Inspection of site security will be performed during the post-closure inspections. Signs will be posted on the outer perimeter indicating the site is a closed landfill, as required by OAC 252:515-25-54(a)(1). The closed facility will be maintained as necessary to provide access to the closed areas throughout the post-closure period.

Maintenance and repairs will be implemented as soon as practical and may include the repair of access roads and repairs or replacement of fencing and locks.

### 7.1.3 Groundwater Monitoring System

To comply with the requirements of OAC 252:515-25-54(b)(1)(A), semi-annual groundwater monitoring of the monitoring network wells will be completed in accordance with the most recently approved GWSAP, unless reduced in accordance with OAC 252:515-9-73(c).

### 7.1.4 Landfill Gas Monitoring System

In accordance with OAC 252:515-25-54(b)(1)(B), monitoring of explosive gas monitoring probes located along the site boundary will be conducted on a semi-annual basis during the post-closure period as outlined in the EGMP (Appendix D).

### 7.1.5 Final Cover

In accordance with OAC 252:515-25-54(b)(3), the integrity and effectiveness of the final cover will be maintained in compliance with OAC 252:515-19-53. The following conditions will be examined during the inspection:

- Settlement;
- Cracking;
- Erosion;
- Animal burrows; and
- Other disturbances affecting either the thickness or configuration of the final cover.

Maintenance and repairs will be conducted as soon as practical and may consist of filling in areas of settlement, re-grading, and slope re-stabilization. In areas of substantial settlement of the final cover, the integrity of the cap will be re-evaluated and any necessary repairs made. The final cover will be maintained to provide the proper slope to promote surface water runoff and prevent ponding of water to minimize infiltration. Settlement that occurs on sideslopes of the landfill will generally not require re-grading or placement of additional cover to maintain surface drainage. Sideslopes are designed no
greater than 4:1 (horizontal: vertical) slope, and the crown of the landfill area slopes at a minimum of four (4) percent to minimize the effect of settlement. With these slope conditions, it is anticipated that minimal soil will be required during the post-closure care period for final cover maintenance.

As part of the final cover system inspection, the integrity of the vegetation and its ability to minimize infiltration and erosion will be examined. The following conditions will be examined during the inspection:

- Erosion;
- Overgrowth of shrubs, trees, and other deep-rooted vegetation; and
- Patches of dead vegetation.

Maintenance and repairs of the vegetative cover may consist of the following activities:

- Reseeding, fertilizing, liming, and mulching of washed out areas;
- Brush removal; and
- Mowing.

Reseeding will be conducted as necessary to ensure proper vegetative growth over all areas of the final cover. Mowing and removal of deep-rooted brush and vegetation will be performed as necessary during the growing season.

### 7.1.6 Annual Post-Closure Care Report

In accordance with OAC 252:515-25-54(a)(2), beginning one year after the DEQ's approval of the certification of final closure, Owner/Operator will submit an annual post-closure maintenance and monitoring report to DEQ until the post-closure period ends. This report will document the maintenance performed at the site and summarize all monitoring data for the previous year. The report will be submitted by April 1st of each year after DEQ's certification of final closure.

### 8.0 POST-CLOSURE USE OF PROPERIY

There are no current planned uses for the landfill after closure. Should use of the closed landfill not associated with solid waste activities be considered, plans will be prepared and submitted to the DEQ for review and approval as per OAC 252:515-25-55.

### 9.0 CERIIRCATION OF POST-CLOSURE PERFORMANCE

In accordance with OAC 252:515-25-56, Owner/Operator may submit a certification of post-closure period performance in lieu of the annual post-closure report. This certification will be prepared and sealed by a professional engineer registered in the State of Oklahoma certification and will indicate the following:

- That the landfill was maintained and monitored in accordance with the approved post-closure plan, the permit, and applicable regulations.
- Whether monitoring throughout the post-closure period has shown the presence of elevated levels of any constituent or if any evidence of contamination related to site operations has been found and, if so, what corrective measures were taken.
- That the certification will be maintained in the Site Operating Record.


### 10.0 POST-CLOSURE CARE C OSTESTIMATE

A cost estimate for post-closure care of the landfill, including costs for the activities described above, is provided in Attachment A. This estimate has been prepared in accordance with OAC 252:515-2732, OAC 252:515-27-51(a), and include the following costs:

- Quarterly site inspection,
- Site security and access control,
- Final cover erosion and seeding repair,
- Semi-annual groundwater monitoring,
- Surface water control structure maintenance,
- Semi-annual explosive gas monitoring,
- Annual reporting, and
- Certification and recordkeeping.

Post-closure estimates and the amount of financial assurance provided will be increased if, at any time during the active life, changes to the closure plan of the facility increase the maximum cost of post-closure. Proposals for reduction of post-closure cost estimates and the amount of financial assurance required will be submitted to the DEQ for approval. To qualify for a reduction, the cost estimate will be demonstrated to exceed the minimum cost of post-closure during the remaining postclosure care period, the amount of security remaining after the reduction must adequately cover the estimated post-closure cost yet to be performed, and financial assurance will not be reduced until DEQ approval has been granted.

At a minimum, cost estimates for post-closure will be adjusted no later than April $1^{\text {st }}$ of each year; the adjustment will be submitted to the DEQ for approval. In the adjustment, maximum costs of postclosure may be recalculated, in current dollars, in accordance with OAC 252:515-27-51. If there are no significant changes to the post-closure plan, the cost estimate may be adjusted by use of an inflation factor. The inflation factor can be derived from the most recent annual Implicit Price Deflator for Gross National Product or the Implicit Price Deflator for Gross Domestic Product published by the U.S. Department of Commerce in its Survey of Current Business in a year for which the adjustment is made. The approved adjusted cost estimate will be placed in the Site Operating Record.

If corrective action is required at the landfill, cost estimates for corrective action will be submitted to the DEQ for approval. The cost estimates will be a detailed written estimate, in current dollars, of the cost of hiring a third party to perform the corrective action in accordance with an approved corrective action plan. The corrective action cost estimate will be set by the DEQ and account for the total costs of corrective action activities as described in an approved corrective action plan for the entire corrective action period. The amount of financial assurance provided must be increased to account for corrective action costs.

### 11.0 ECONOMIC U® OF FACIUTY

In accordance with OAC 252:515-27-8, the economic life of the proposed landfill has been calculated as follows:
$L=\frac{\{[V-(P \times V)] \times D}{W}$
where, $\mathrm{L}=$ Life of the disposal facility, in years;
$\mathrm{V}=$ Total volume of air space in cubic yards available for waste, weekly, and intermediate cover,
$P=$ Anticipated percentage of $V$ that will be taken up by weekly and intermediate cover,
$D=$ Anticipated density of waste compacted in place in pounds per cubic yard,
$\mathrm{W}=$ Amount of waste expected to be received during one year of operation in pounds per year
In accordance with OAC 252:515-27-8(a)(2), the following values were used to estimate the life of the landfill:
$V=10,302,689 \mathrm{cy}$
$P=0.15$
$\mathrm{D}=1,000 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{cy}$
$\mathrm{W}=269,261.4$ tons received in 2022.
Using these parameters, life of the landfill is estimated as follows:

$$
L=\frac{\left\{[10,302,689 \mathrm{cy}-(0.15 \times 10,302,689)] \times 1,000 \frac{l b}{c y}\right.}{269,261.4 \frac{\text { tons }}{\text { year }} * 2,000 \frac{l b}{c y}}
$$

Therefore, $\mathrm{L}=$

$$
L=16.3 \text { years }
$$

### 12.0 RNANCIALASSURANCE MECHANISM

At a minimum, the Financial Assurance Mechanism (FAM) will be updated no later than April 9th of each year. Updates will address modifications to the landfill's C-PC requirements, if any, and the associated cost estimates. If there are no significant changes to the C-PC Plan, the cost estimate may be adjusted by use of an inflation factor. The inflation factor can be derived from the most recent annual Implicit Price Deflator for Gross National Product or the Implicit Price Deflator for Gross Domestic Product published by the U.S. Department of Commerce in its Survey of Current Business in a year for which the adjustment is made. The current FAM will be placed in the Site Operating Record.

## Attachment A

## Closure and Post-Closure Cost Estimates

## Saraf, Sandeep

| From: | Eduardo Choquis [echoquis@gflenv.com](mailto:echoquis@gflenv.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Thursday, March 9, 2023 12:51 PM |
| To: | Kaylee Daneshmand; Robert Starke |
| Cc: | Saraf, Sandeep; Marcos Elizondo; David Bahrenburg |
| Subject: | RE: Northeast C\&D Landfill 2023 C-PC Cost Estimates |

This email originated from outside of SCS Engineers. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Good afternoon Kaylee - thank you for the approval. Original hardcopy of the bonds were submitted to:

Carol Bartlett<br>Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality<br>707 North Robinson<br>P.O. Box 1677<br>Oklahoma City, OK 73101-1677

Rob - please go ahead and start using Cell 9B-10 West for waste disposal.

Eduardo Choquis | Region Field Engineer
GFL Environmental
2050 W. Sam Houston Parkway S, Houston, TX 77042
| C (281) 910-0593 |
echoquis@gflenv.com \| www.gflenv.com
Confidentiality Notice: This email message (including attachments, if any) is intended for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, proprietary, confidential and exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender and erase this email message immediately.

From: Kaylee Daneshmand [Kaylee.Daneshmand@deq.ok.gov](mailto:Kaylee.Daneshmand@deq.ok.gov)
Sent: Thursday, March 9, 2023 10:14 AM
To: Eduardo Choquis [echoquis@gflenv.com](mailto:echoquis@gflenv.com)
Cc: Saraf, Sandeep [SSaraf@scsengineers.com](mailto:SSaraf@scsengineers.com); Robert Starke [rstarke@gflenv.com](mailto:rstarke@gflenv.com); Marcos Elizondo
[melizondo@gflenv.com](mailto:melizondo@gflenv.com); David Bahrenburg [dbahrenburg@gflenv.com](mailto:dbahrenburg@gflenv.com)
Subject: RE: Northeast C\&D Landfill 2023 C-PC Cost Estimates

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Eduardo,

Northeast may begin placing waste in the new cell. Please submit the original hardcopy of the bond as well.

Thank you,
Kaylee

From: Eduardo Choquis [echoquis@gflenv.com](mailto:echoquis@gflenv.com)
Sent: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 1:56 PM
To: Kaylee Daneshmand [Kaylee.Daneshmand@deq.ok.gov](mailto:Kaylee.Daneshmand@deq.ok.gov)
Cc: Saraf, Sandeep [SSaraf@scsengineers.com](mailto:SSaraf@scsengineers.com); Robert Starke [rstarke@gflenv.com](mailto:rstarke@gflenv.com); Marcos Elizondo [melizondo@gflenv.com](mailto:melizondo@gflenv.com); David Bahrenburg [dbahrenburg@gflenv.com](mailto:dbahrenburg@gflenv.com)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Re: Northeast C\&D Landfill 2023 C-PC Cost Estimates

Good afternoon Kaylee- attached are the updated closure and post closure bonds for Northeast landfill. Could you please confirm we're able to use the recently constructed cell 9B-10? We're just about out of airspace. Thank you

Sent from my iPhone

```
Eduardo Choquis | Region Field Engineer
GFL Environmental
2050 W. Sam Houston Parkway S, Houston, TX }7704
| C (281) 910-0593 | echoquis@gflenv.com | www.gflenv.com
```

Confidentiality Notice: This email message (including attachments, if any) is intended for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, proprietary, confidential and exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender and erase this email message immediately.

On Feb 23, 2023, at 15:46, Kaylee Daneshmand [Kaylee.Daneshmand@deq.ok.gov](mailto:Kaylee.Daneshmand@deq.ok.gov) wrote:

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Thank you for the submittal Sandeep. I will get this reviewed and approved ASAP.

Thanks,

Kaylee

From: Saraf, Sandeep [SSaraf@scsengineers.com](mailto:SSaraf@scsengineers.com)
Sent: Tuesday, February 21, 2023 7:01 PM
To: Eduardo Choquis [echoquis@gflenv.com](mailto:echoquis@gflenv.com); Kaylee Daneshmand [Kaylee.Daneshmand@deq.ok.gov](mailto:Kaylee.Daneshmand@deq.ok.gov)
Cc: Robert Starke [rstarke@gflenv.com](mailto:rstarke@gflenv.com); Marcos Elizondo [melizondo@gflenv.com](mailto:melizondo@gflenv.com); David Bahrenburg
[dbahrenburg@gflenv.com](mailto:dbahrenburg@gflenv.com) [dbahrenburg@gflenv.com](mailto:dbahrenburg@gflenv.com)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Northeast C\&D Landfill 2023 C-PC Cost Estimates

Kaylee,

Attached, for your review/approval, are the 2023 closure/post-closure cost estimates for Northeast C\&D Landfill which include addition of Phases 9B and 10 and removal of GP-5.

Please feel free to contact me if you need additional information related to the attached.

Regards,

February 21, 2023
File No. 16223015.00

Ms. Kaylee Daneshmand, E.I.
Land Protection Division,
Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality,
707 N. Robinson Avenue,
Oklahoma City, OK 73102

## Subject: 2023 Updated Closure and Post Closure Cost Estimates Northeast C\&D Landfill; N.E. Land Fill, LLC DEQ Permit No.: 3555050

Dear Ms. Daneshmand:
On behalf of N.E. Land Fill, LLC (a subsidiary of WCA of Oklahoma, LLC, a GFL Environmental [GFL] company), please find the attached updated Closure and Post-Closure (C-PC) cost estimates for the Northeast C\&D Landfill (landfill).

The C-PC cost estimates have been updated in accordance with the requirements of Oklahoma Administrative Code (OAC) 252:515-27, using the Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) 2023 Worksheet for calculating C-PC cost estimates, and are included in Attachment A. C-PC care activities will be performed in accordance with the landfill's approved permit and C-PC Plans. The updated surety bonds will be submitted by GFL separately.

If you have any questions regarding the information contained in this report, please contact me at telephone number (407) 923-7013.

Sincerely,


Sandeep Saraf, P.E.
Senior Project Manager
SCS Engineers

Attachments: A - Closure and Post-Closure Cost Estimates for 2023

```
cc: Marcos Elizondo - GFL
    David Bahrenburg - GFL
    Eduardo Choquis - GFL
    Robert Starke - GFL
```

Attachment A

Closure and Post-Closure Cost Estimates

Table H. 12023 Site Data
Facility Name: Northeast C\&D Landfill
Facility Owner: N.E. Land Fill, LLC
Permit Number: 3555050

| Description | Quantity | Units | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Permitted Area | 85.00 | acres |  |
| Active Portion |  |  |  |
| Composite Lined | - | acres | Not Required for a C\&D Landfill |
| Phase I (Clay Lined) | 8.58 | acres | Cardinal Eng. LIT Report 2000 |
| Phase II and III (Clay Lined) | 19.30 | acres | Carel Corp. LIT Report December 2002 |
| Phase 4 (Clay Lined) | 5.00 | acres | Golder Associates Report June 2009 |
| Phase 5 (Clay Lined) | 5.00 | acres | SEDCo Report September 2010 |
| Phase 6 (Clay Lined) | 10.30 | acres | SEDCo Report June/ August 2013 |
| Phase 7 (Clay Lined) | 3.65 | acres | SEDCo CQA Report dated June 2016 |
| Phase 8 (Clay Lined) | 3.90 | acres | SEDCo Tier I Permit Mod Feb. 2018 |
| Phase 9A (Clay Lined) | 5.00 | acres | SCS Engineers LIT Report March 12, 2020 |
| Phases 9B and 10 (Clay Lined) | 8.00 | acres | Phase 9B and 10 West LIT prepared by SCS in February 2023. Phase 9B and 10 East ( 4.0 ac ) currently under construction. C-Plans for Phase 9B and 10 prepared by SCS in September 2022 |
| Total Liner Constructed | 68.73 | acres | Total permitted waste disposal footprint |
| Area of Lagest Cell/Phase Requiring Final Cap |  |  | Using C-P/C Plan Created by Cardinal Engineers in October 2005 |
| Composite Lined | - | acres | Not Required for a C\&D Landfill |
| Soil Lined | 68.73 | acres | See above |
| Perimeter Fencing | 10,500 | LF |  |
| Groundwater Monitoring Wells | 347.1 | VLF | Stuever \& Associates Permit Mod 10/01 |
| Methane Gas Probes | 553.0 | VLF | None specified in original permit. Reflects GP-5 removal in November 2022 |
| Terraces | - | LF | None specified in original permit |
| Letdown Channels | - | LF | None specified in original permit |
| Perimeter Drainage Ditches | 7,100.0 | LF | SCS Engineers Phase 9A October 2019 |
| 2022 Tons Received | 269,261.4 | tons | Based on incoming waste report for 2022 |
| Operating Days/Year | 286.0 | days | 5 full days and 1 half day per week |
| Average Daily Waste Tonnage | 941.5 |  |  |
| Landfill Disposal Cost | \$ 20.38 | \$/ton | Average Gate Rate |

VLF= Vertical Linear Feet. The sum of the depths of all monitoring wells.

Table H. 22023 Closure Cost Estimate
Facility Name: Northeast C\&D Landfill
Facility Owner: N.E. Land Fill, LLC
Permit Number: 3555050

| Task/Service |  | Quantity | Units | Multiplier | Unit Cost for 2023 | Subtotal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | PRELIMINARY SITE WORK |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.1 | Conduct Site Evaluation | 1.00 | LS | 1 | \$4,222.39 | \$4,222.39 |
| 1.2 | Dispose Final Waste |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Average Daily Flow | 941.50 | tons/day |  |  |  |
|  | Disposal Cost | 941.50 | tons/day | 5 | \$20.38 | \$95,938.85 |
| 1.3 | Remove Temporary Building(s) | 1.00 | LS | 1 | \$3,871.95 | \$3,871.95 |
| 1.4 | Remove Equipment | 1.00 | LS | 1 | \$3,160.64 | \$3,160.64 |
| 1.5 | Repair/Replace Perimeter Fencing | 10,500.00 | LF | 0.25 | \$4.14 | \$10,867.50 |
| 1.6 | Clean Leachate Line(s) | 0.00 | LS | 1 | \$1,912.44 | \$0.00 |
| 2 | MONITORING EQUIPMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.1 | Rework/Replace Monitoring Well(s) | 347.10 | VLF | 0.25 | \$88.78 | \$7,703.88 |
| 2.2 | Plug Abandoned Monitoring Well(s) | 347.10 | VLF | 0.25 | \$35.54 | \$3,083.98 |
| 2.3 | Rework/Replace Methane Probe(s) | 553.00 | VLF | 0.25 | \$76.68 | \$10,601.01 |
| 2.4 | Plug Abandoned Methane Probe(s) | 553.00 | VLF | 0.25 | \$28.02 | \$3,873.77 |
| 2.5 | Rework/Replace <br> Remediation and/or Gas <br> Control System | 1.00 | LS | 0.05 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 3 | CONSTRUCTION |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.1 | Complete Site Grading | 68.73 | AC | 1 | \$1,674.07 | \$115,058.83 |
| 3.2 | Construct Final Cap |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Compacted On-Site Clay or | 221,768.80 | CY | 1 | \$6.01 | \$1,332,830.49 |
|  | Compacted Off-Site Clay or |  | CY | 1 | \$9.77 | \$0.00 |
|  | Install Geosynthetic Clay Liner Cap |  | SF | 1 | \$0.63 | \$0.00 |
| 3.3 | Construct Landfill Gas Venting Layer |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Place Sand or | 0.00 | AC | 1 | \$44,762.88 | \$0.00 |
|  | Install Net and Geotextile | 0.00 | SF | 1 | \$0.44 | \$0.00 |
| 3.4 | Install Passive Landfill Gas Vents | 0.00 | AC | 1 | \$1,072.36 | \$0.00 |
| 3.5 | Install Flexible Membrane Liner | 0.00 | SF | 1 | \$0.49 | \$0.00 |

NORTHEAST C \& D LANDFILL

| Task/Service |  | Quantity | Units | Multiplier | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Unit Cost for } \\ 2023 \end{gathered}$ | Subtotal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.6 | Drainage Layer |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Place Sand or |  | AC | 1 | \$44,762.88 | \$0.00 |
|  | Install Net and Geonet | 0.00 | SF | 1 | \$0.44 | \$0.00 |
| 3.7 | Place On-Site Topsoil | 110,884.40 | CY | 1 | \$2.59 | \$287,190.60 |
|  | Place Off-Site Topsoil | 0.00 | CY | 1 | \$20.69 | \$0.00 |
| 3.8 | Establish Vegetative Cover, Including On- and Off-Site Borrow Areas | 68.73 | AC | 1 | \$1,193.06 | \$81,999.01 |
| 4 | DRAINAGE/EROSION CONTROL |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.1 | Construct Terrace (Swale) | 0.00 | LF | 1 | \$10.84 | \$0.00 |
| 4.2 | Construct Letdown Channels (Downchute) | 0.00 | LF | 1 | \$118.51 | \$0.00 |
| 4.3 | Clean Perimeter <br> Drainage Ditches (Channels) | 7,100.00 | LF | 0.50 | \$8.26 | \$29,323.00 |
| 5 | TASK NOT IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.1 | Grinding Wood Waste | 1.00 | LS | 1 | \$116,500.22 | \$116,500.22 |
| 6 | SUBTOTAL |  |  |  |  | \$2,106,226.12 |
| 7 | ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES | 1.00 | LS | 0.10 | \$2,106,226.12 | \$210,622.61 |
| 8 | TECHINCAL and PROFESSIONAL SERVICES | 1.00 | LS | 0.12 | \$2,106,226.12 | \$252,747.13 |
| 9 | CLOSURE CONTINGENCY | 1.00 | LS | 0.10 | \$2,106,226.12 | \$210,622.61 |
| 10 | TOTAL FINAL CLOSURE |  |  |  |  | \$2,780,218.48 |

Table H. 32023 Post-Closure Cost Estimate
Facility Name: Northeast C\&D Landfill
Facility Owner: N.E. Land Fill, LLC
Permit Number: 3555050

| Task/Service |  | Quantity | Units | Multiplier | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Unit Cost for } \\ 2023 \end{gathered}$ | Subtotal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | SITE MAINTENACE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.1 | Site Inspection | 4.00 | LS | 8 | \$768.10 | \$24,579.20 |
| 1.2 | General Maintanace | 1.00 | LS | 8 | \$2,302.81 | \$18,422.48 |
| 1.3 | Remediation and/or Gas Control Equipment | 0.00 | LS | 0.30 | \$0.00 |  |
| 2 | MONITORING EOUIPMENT | 0.00 |  |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 2.1 | Rework/Replace <br> Monitoring Well(s) | 347.10 | VLF | 0.25 | \$88.78 | \$7,703.88 |
| 2.2 | Plug Abandoned <br> Monitoring Well(s) | 347.10 | VLF | 0.25 | \$35.54 | \$3,083.98 |
| 2.3 | Final Plugging of Monitoring Well(s) | 347.10 | VLF | 1 | \$35.54 | \$12,335.93 |
| 2.4 | Rework/Replace Methane Probe(s) | 553.00 | VLF | 0.25 | \$76.68 | \$10,601.01 |
| 2.5 | Plug Abandoned Methane Probe(s) | 553.00 | VLF | 0.25 | \$28.02 | \$3,873.77 |
| 2.6 | Final Plugging of Methane Probe(s) | 553.00 | VLF | 1.00 | \$28.02 | \$15,495.06 |
| 2.7 | Final Plugging of Piezometer(s) | 0.00 | VLF | 1.00 | \$28.02 | \$0.00 |
| 3 | SAMPLING and ANALYSIS | 0.00 |  |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 3.1 | Groundwater Monitoring Wells | 6.00 | Wells | 16 | \$204.44 | \$19,626.24 |
| 3.2 | Methane Gas Probes | 17.00 | Probes | 60 | \$53.75 | \$54,825.00 |
| 3.3 | Surface Water <br> Monitoring Points | 0.00 | Points | 60 | \$99.81 | \$0.00 |
| 3.4 | Leachate | 0.00 | Sample | 60 | \$160.84 | \$0.00 |
| 4 | FINAL COVER MAINTENANCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.1 | Mow and Fertilize Vegetative Cover | 68.73 | AC | 8 | \$254.10 | \$139,714.34 |
| 4.2 | Repair Erosion, Settlement, and Subsidence for On-Site Soils | 68.73 | AC | 16 | \$3.69 | \$4,057.82 |
| 4.2 | Repair Erosion, Settlement, and Subsidence for Off-Site Soils | 0.00 | AC | 8 | \$22.04 | \$0.00 |
| 4.3 | Re-Seed Vegetative Cover | 68.73 | AC | 0.20 | \$1,193.06 | \$16,399.80 |


| Task/Service |  | Quantity | Units | Multiplier | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Unit Cost for } \\ 2023 \end{gathered}$ | Subtotal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | LEACHATE MANAGEMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.1 | Clean Leachate Line(s) | 0.00 | Per Year | 30 | \$1,969.62 | \$0.00 |
| 5.2 | Maintain Leachate Collection System and Equipment | 0.00 | Per Year | 30 | \$3,059.88 | \$0.00 |
| 5.3 | Collect, Treat, Transport and Dispose Leachate | 0.00 | Gallons/Year | 30 | \$0.39 | \$0.00 |
| 6 | TASK NOT IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | SUBTOTAL |  |  |  |  | \$330,718.52 |
| 8 | ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES | 1.00 | LS | 0.06 | \$330,718.52 | \$19,843.11 |
| 9 | TECHINCAL and PROFESSIONAL SERVICES | 1.00 | LS | 0.07 | \$330,718.52 | \$23,150.30 |
| 10 | POST-CLOSURE CONTINGENCY | 1.00 | LS | 0.10 | \$330,718.52 | \$33,071.85 |
| 11 | TOTAL POST-CLOSURE |  |  |  |  | \$406,783.78 |

Total Closure Cost Estimate (from Closure Worksheet) = \$2,780,218.48 Total Post-Closure Cost Estimate (from PC Cost Estimate Worksheet) $=\mathbf{~ \$ 4 0 6 , 7 8 3 . 7 8}$

Total Closure and Post-Closure Cost Estimate $=\mathbf{\$ 3 , 1 8 7 , 0 0 2 . 2 6}$

February 2023

## Attachment B

Quality Assurance/Quality Control Plan for Final Cover System Installation

Kevin Sit

October 13, 2022
Mr. David Bahrenburg
WCA Waste Corporation - Northeast Landfill
1001 S. Rockwell Ave.
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73128
Re: Tier I Permit Modification Application for a QA/QC Plan for Final Cover System Installation Northeast C\&D Landfill, Oklahoma County Solid Waste Permit No. 3555050

Dear Mr. Bahrenburg:
The Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) received the Tier I Permit Modification Application for a QA/QC Plan for Final Cover System Installation via email from SCS Engineers on behalf of WCA Waste Corporation - Northeast Landfill on September 2, 2022.

The submittal includes revisions to reference the QA/QC plan during completion of final closure installation activities and add the QA/QC plan into the permit application. The QA/QC Plan was prepared in accordance with OAC 252:515-32(b)(2)(F). DEQ approves the QA/QC Plan for Final Cover System Installation and revisions to the Closure Plan.

Thank you for the submittal. This letter serves as the Tier I Modification. If you have any questions, please contact Kaylee Shiplet at (405) 702-5196 or Kaylee.shiplet@deq.ok.gov.

Sincerely,


Hillary Young, P.E.


Chief Engineer
Land Protection Division
HY/ks
cc: Sandeep Saraf, P.E., SCS Engineers
Mr. Eduardo Choquis, P.E., WCA Engineer

September 2, 2022
File No. 16222045.00
Ms. Hillary Young
Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality
Division of Land Protection
707 N. Robinson
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73101-1677

## Subject: Tier I Permit Modification Application for a QA/QC Plan for Final Cover System Installation <br> Northeast Landfill <br> ODEQ Permit No. 3555050

Dear Ms. Young:
On behalf of N.E. Land Fill, LLC. (a subsidiary of WCA of Oklahoma, LLC., a GFL Environmental company), SCS Engineers is submitting two (2) copies of the attached Tier I permit modification application for the Northeast Landfill to incorporate a Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) Plan for final cover system installation into the permit application in accordance with Oklahoma Administrative Code (OAC) 252:515-25-32(b)(2)(F). This Tier I permit modification application has been prepared in accordance with OAC 252:515-3-41-7, and includes the following information:

1. Permit Application Form \#515-020
2. Permit Modification Application, which includes the following attachments to address the above-mentioned revisions to the approved permit application:
a. Attachment 10 - Closure and Post-Closure Plan was revised to reference the QA/QC plan during completion of final closure installation activities.
b. Attachment 10, Attachment 2 - QA/QC for Final Cover System Installation was added into the permit application.

Information that was previously submitted and is being modified with this Tier I permit modification application has been included as marked (Attachment B) and unmarked version (Attachment C) for ease of review. Where possible, we have identified proposed changes from the approved permit application in a redline/strike-out version (i.e. marked version).

We trust that you will find this permit application to be satisfactory. If you have any questions regarding the information contained in this report, please contact Sandeep Saraf, P.E. at telephone number (407) 923-7013.

Ms. Hill ry Young
September 2, 2022
Page 2

Sincerely,


Sandeep Saraf, P.E. Senior Project Manager SCS Engineers


Brett DeVries, Ph.D.
Project Manager
SCS Engineers

Attachments: Attachment A - Permit Application Form \#515-020
Attachment B - Permit Revisions (Marked)
Attachment C - Permit Revisions (Unmarked)
cc: David Bahrenburg - GFL
Eduardo Choquis - GFL
Robert Stark - GFL
Matt Sanders - GFL

# Attachment 2 <br> Quality Assurance/Quality Control Plan for Final Cover System Installation 

Northeast Landfill<br>Permit No. 3555050

Prepared for:

N.E. Land Fill, LLC.<br>2601 North Midwest Blvd<br>Spencer, OK 73084 405-495-0800

Prepared by:

## SCS ENGINEERS

1901 Central Drive, Suite 550
Bedford, TX 76021
817-571-2288

August 2022
File No. 16222045.00

Offices Nationwide
www.scsengineers.com
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## Certification

This Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) Plan for final cover system installation has been prepared in accordance with good engineering practice, including consideration of industry standards and the requirements of the Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality, as defined in the applicable sections of Oklahoma Administrative Code (OAC) 252:515-25-32(b)(2)(F), related to the QA/QC for final cover system components.

Prepared by:

Saraf Sandeep, P.E.
Senior Project Manager
SCS Engineers

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 PURPOSE

The purpose of this Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) Plan is to describe the quality control and assurance procedures to be used during construction of the final cover system components at the Northeast Landfill (landfill) in accordance with OAC 252:515-25-32(b)(2)(F) as promulgated by the Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ). The primary goals of the quality assurance program are to:

- Determine if proper construction techniques, materials, and procedures are used;
- Determine if the intent of the construction documents and project design reports are met; and
- Identify construction problems and provide a mechanism for resolution.

Upon completion of construction, information generated through the quality assurance program will be used to prepare a Final Cover Certification Report (FCCR).

The final cover will consist of the following components from top to bottom:

- 12-inch vegetated erosion layer;
- 24-inch-thick earthen material barrier layer ( $k \leq 1 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{sec}$ )
- Intermediate cover (foundation layer).

This QA/QC Plan, which will be followed during the installation and testing of the final cover system components, outlines materials selection and evaluation, laboratory test requirements, field test requirements and treatment of problems for the components described above. This QA/QC Plan also includes reporting requirements for the FCCR for the construction quality assurance of the erosion layer and barrier layer.

### 1.2 DERNTIONS

Whenever the terms listed below are used, the intent and meaning shall be interpreted as indicated.

### 1.2.1 ASTM

This means the American Society for Testing and Materials.

### 1.2.2 Construction Quality Assurance (CQA)

A planned system of activities that provides the Landfill Owner/Operator and permitting agency assurance that the final cover will be constructed as specified in the design, construction plans and technical specifications (collectively referred to as the Contract Documents) prepared for the cover construction. CQA includes observations and evaluations of materials and workmanship necessary to assess and document that construction has been performed consistent with the applicable contract and permit documents. CQA refers to measures taken by the CQA professional of record and/or CQA
monitor to assess if the final cover system construction has been in compliance with the permit drawings and this QA/QC Plan for the site.

### 1.2.3 CQA Professional of Record (POR)

The POR is an authorized representative of the owner (but is not an employee of the owner/operator or construction company) and has responsibility for construction quality assurance reporting and confirming that the facility was constructed in general accordance with construction drawings and specifications approved by the permitting agency. The POR is identified as the "Engineer" in the project specifications. The POR must be registered as a Professional Engineer in the State of Oklahoma. The POR may also be known in applicable regulations and guidelines as the CQA Engineer, Resident Project Representative, or Geotechnical Professional (GP).

### 1.2.4 CQA Monitors

These are representatives of the POR who work under direct supervision of the POR. The CQA Monitor is responsible for quality assurance monitoring and performing on-site tests and observations. Any references to monitoring, testing, or observations to be performed by the GP should be interpreted to mean the POR or CQA Monitor working under the POR's direction.

### 1.2.5 Construction Quality Control (CQC)

These actions provide a means to measure the characteristics of an item, material, or service to comply with the requirements of the contract or permit documents. CQC actions will be performed by the Contractor or manufacturer of materials. All quality control testing shall be performed prior to or during construction of the final cover. In no instance shall quality control field or laboratory testing be undertaken after completion of final cover system construction.

### 1.2.6 Contract Doc uments

These are the official set of documents provided by the Owner. The documents include bidding requirements, contract forms, contract conditions, technical specifications, construction plans, addenda, and contract modifications.

### 1.2.7 Technic al Spec ific ations (or Spec ific ations)

These are the qualitative requirements for products, materials, and workmanship upon which the construction contract is based.

### 1.2.8 Contractor

This is the person or persons, firm, partnership, corporation, or any combination, who as an independent contractor, has entered into a contract with the Owner.

### 1.2.9 Design Engineer

These individuals or firms are responsible for the design and preparation of the project construction drawings and technical specifications; also referred to as "designer" or "engineer."

### 1.2.10 Earthwork

This is a construction activity involving the use of soil materials as defined in the technical specifications.

### 1.2.11 Nonconformance

A deficiency in characteristic, documentation, or procedure that renders the quality of an item or activity unacceptable or indeterminate. Examples of nonconformance include, but are not limited to, physical defects, test failures, and inadequate documentation.

### 1.2.12 Operator

The organization that will operate the landfill disposal unit.

### 1.2.13 Operator's Representative

This is the person that is an official representative of the operator responsible for planning, organizing, and controlling the construction activities.

### 1.2.14 Procedure

A document that specifies or describes how an activity is to be performed.

### 1.2.15 Project Doc uments

Contractor submittals, construction drawings, record drawings, specifications, shop drawings, construction quality control and quality assurance plans, safety plan, and project schedule.

### 1.2.16 Record Drawings

Drawings recording the constructed dimensions, details, and coordinates of the project (also referred to as "as-builts").

### 1.2.17 Surveyor

The individual or firm responsible for grade staking to establish required elevations to construct the project in accordance with the drawings and specifications.

### 1.2.18 Testing

Verification that materials meet specified requirements by subjecting that material to a set of physical, chemical, environmental, or operating conditions.

### 1.2.19 Testing Laboratory

A laboratory capable of conducting the tests required by this QA/QC Plan and the specifications. Testing may be done by the same laboratory or by a separate soils testing laboratory and a geosynthetics testing laboratory.

### 1.2.20 USCS

Unified Soil Classification System (ASTM D2487).

### 2.0 CONSTRUC TION QUALTY ASSURANCE FOR EARTHWORK

### 2.1 INTRODUCTION

The overall goal of the earthwork quality assurance program is to assure that proper construction techniques and procedures are used and that the project is built in accordance with the project construction drawings and specifications. Another function of the quality assurance program is to identify problems that may occur during construction and to verify that these problems are avoided or corrected before construction is completed.

Construction must be conducted consistent with the project construction drawings and specifications. To monitor conformance, a quality assurance testing program will be implemented that includes:

- A review of the contractor's quality control submittals,
- Material evaluation,
- Construction testing, and
- Construction observation.

Activities will be conducted in accordance with this plan, and the project construction drawings and specifications.

The following subsections describe general construction procedures to be used for the barrier and erosion layers of the final cover system construction at the landfill.

### 2.2 BARRIER LAYER

For this landfill, the soil used within the barrier layer will be a 2 -foot of earthen material, as described in Section 1.1.

In accordance with OAC 252:515-19-53(a)(1)(A) and (B), soil for the barrier layer will achieve an installed permeability of $1 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}$ or less.

During construction, the CQA Monitor will:

- Verify that grade control construction staking is performed prior to work;
- Verify that structures (e.g. surface water drainage components, etc.) are not damaged during placement operations; and
- Verify corrective action measures as determined by the verification survey. (The POR will coordinate with the project surveyor to perform a thickness verification survey of the barrier layer materials upon completion of placement operations).


### 2.2.1 Pre-Construction Testing

Pre-construction testing will be performed for each soil material borrow source and for each identifiable change in material from an individual borrow source (i.e., change in color and plasticity or
gradation based on visual observation by the POR or CQA Monitor). A change in color only (with same gradation and plasticity characteristics) will not be considered a change of material. Density test results will be reported as a percentage of the maximum dry density at a corresponding optimum moisture content. Pre-construction testing for barrier layer will be performed at a frequency summarized in Table 2-1.

For each soil sample, correlations will be developed based on moisture-density tests and permeability tests (performed on soil samples at a calculated density) to demonstrate that the soils will have the required permeability at the specified level of compaction. Soil density test results will be reported as a percentage of the maximum dry density at the corresponding optimum moisture content. Correlation testing will be provided to POR and CQA Monitor for use in the field during soil barrier layer construction.

Table 2-1. Soil Ba mier Layer Pre-Construction Testing Schedule

| Test | Method | Frequency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Soil Classifications | ASTM D2487 |  |
| material or borrow area |  |  |

1. Field testing of permeability (in accordance with ASTM D5093) is optional, and may be replaced by laboratory testing.
2. Testing procedures in Appendix VII of the Corps of Engineers Manual EM 1110-2-1906, November 30, 1970, Laboratory Soils Testing, may be used as an alternative method.
3. Permeability tests will be conducted with tap water or 0.05 N solution of CaS04. Distilled water will not be allowed.

### 2.2.2 Construction Testing

Construction quality assurance for the soil barrier layer will consist of both laboratory and field testing, as specified in Table 2-2. The POR or CQA Monitor will be on-site during all construction activities. Laboratory testing (conventional oven drying method, and hydraulic conductivity) will be performed by an independent geotechnical laboratory on representative samples of the constructed barrier layer. This testing program will be conducted to verify that the barrier layer complies with the specification provided herein.

Table 2-2. Soil Ba mier La yer C onstruction Testing Schedule

| Test | Method | Minimum Frequency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Density of Soil In-Place | ASTM D2922 ${ }^{(1)}$ | acre for each approximate 6- <br> inch compacted lift |
| Hydraulic Conductivity | ASTM D5084(2)(3) | 1 per acre (evenly distributed through |
| all lifts) ${ }^{(4)}$ |  |  |$|$| Thickness | N/A | 100 -foot square grid with a minimum <br> of 2 reference points with a vertical <br> tolerance of 0.0 feet to +0.4 feet on <br> the top of the barrier surface |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

1. Driven-cylinder method (ASTM D2937); rubber balloon method (ASTM D2167); sand-cone method (ASTM D1556); microwave drying method (ASTM D4643); or conventional oven dry method (ASTM D2216) may be used as an alternative for ASTM D2922.
2. Hydraulic conductivity tests will be run using tap water or a 0.05 N solution of $\mathrm{CaSO}_{4}$. Distilled water will not be allowed.
3. Field testing of permeability (in accordance with ASTM D5093) is optional, and may be replaced by laboratory testing.
4. The requirement of 1 permeability test per surface acre of cover should be met by testing each lift for permeability at a frequency of 1 test per 4 acres.

### 2.2.3 Thic kness Verification

The thickness (minimum 2-foot) of constructed barrier layer will be verified by surveying methods. As described in Table 2-2, thickness verification will be performed for every 100-foot square grid with a minimum of 2 reference points. Elevation calculations necessary for thickness verification will be included in the FCCR submittal.

### 2.2.4 Construction Procedures

The following guidelines apply during placement of the barrier layer:

- Remove existing vegetation (if necessary);
- The intermediate cover should be scarified (roughened) prior to placing the first lift of the barrier layer, thus providing adequate bonding between the clay and underlying foundation soils. The CQA Monitor will inspect the adequacy of the scarification and compaction effort in providing good lift bonding (i.e., no smooth interface between lifts) during the initial stages of layer installation.
- The soil barrier Layer will be constructed in compacted lifts not exceeding 6 inches. The top of each subsequent lift should be scarified (roughened) to a shallow depth (approximately 1-inch) prior to the spreading and compaction of successive lifts, thereby providing bonding between the lifts.
- The barrier layer material will not be compacted with a bulldozer or any track-mobilized equipment unless it is used to pull a pad-footed roller. The barrier layer material will be compacted with a pad-footed or prong-footed roller only. The maximum clod size of the barrier layer material will be approximately one-inch in diameter. In all cases, soil clods will be reduced to the smallest size necessary to achieve the coefficient of permeability reported by the testing laboratory and to destroy any macrostructure evidenced after the compaction of the clods under density-controlled conditions.
- No loose lift will be thicker than the pads of the compactor so that complete bonding with the top of the previous lift is achieved.
- The barrier layer material will consist of relatively homogeneous cohesive materials, which are free of debris, rock greater than 1-inch in diameter, plant materials, frozen materials, foreign objects, organics, and other deleterious materials. The barrier layer material will be placed in maximum 9 -inch loose lifts to produce a compacted lift thickness of approximately 6 inches. The material will be compacted to a minimum of 95 percent of the maximum dry density as determined by standard Proctor (ASTM D698), at a moisture content ranging from 0\% to $+4 \%$ above optimum moisture content in order to achieve a permeability of less than or equal to $1 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}$.
- Water will be applied as necessary to the material and worked evenly into the material. Water used for the barrier layer material will be clean and not contaminated by waste or any objectionable material. Storm water collected on-site may be utilized if it has not come into contact with solid waste.
- Construction of barrier layer will not be conducted in adverse weather conditions (heavy rain, freezing temperatures, etc.).
- Although not anticipated since design grades are not greater than $4 \mathrm{H}: 1 \mathrm{~V}$, equipment and safety limitations prohibit finished grades with slopes greater than $3 \mathrm{H}: 1 \mathrm{~V}$ if the barrier layer is constructed parallel to the surface. Compaction equipment placing sideslope barrier layer material on slopes steeper than $3 \mathrm{H}: 1 \mathrm{~V}$ results in reduced stability of compaction equipment, and reduction in compaction efficiency.
- Any perforations required for obtaining laboratory samples will be repaired by backfilling the hole with bentonite chips or 50/50 powdered/granulated bentonite/soil/sand mixture handtamped into place. If the hole is in the upper lift of barrier layer, the upper 2 inches will be backfilled by clayey soil which will be hand-tamped sufficiently to blend the backfill into the adjacent soil layer lift.
- Construction of barrier layer will be conducted in a systematic and timely manner, such that the soil is not left exposed for an extended period of time. The Contractor will be required to maintain exposed soil in a condition acceptable to the CQA Monitor through the completion and approval of the barrier layer.
- The barrier layer will be prevented from losing moisture prior to placement of the erosion layer. Preserving the moisture content of the barrier layer will be dependent on the earthwork contractor's means and methods and is subject to the POR approval.
- The top of the barrier layer will be surveyed on a 100 -foot grid with a minimum of two reference points to provide verification of layer thickness.


### 2.3 EROSION LAYER

As discussed in Section 1.1, the erosion layer material will consist of a 12-inch thick layer of soil that will be capable of supporting vegetative growth. The erosion layer material will consist of soil materials
that have not previously come in contact with solid waste and do not contain materials detrimental to the underlying barrier layer.

The thickness of the erosion layer will be verified with surveying procedures. Thickness will be determined using the same 100 -foot grid used for the barrier layer thickness verification with a minimum of two reference points with a vertical tolerance of 0.0 feet to +0.4 feet on the top of the barrier surface. The test results for the erosion layer will be included in the FCCR Report.

During construction, the CQA Monitor will:

- Verify that grade control construction staking is performed prior to work;
- Verify that structures (e.g. gas system, surface water drainage components, etc.) are not damaged during placement operations; and
- Verify corrective action measures as determined by the verification survey. (The POR will coordinate with the project surveyor to perform a thickness verification survey of the erosion layer materials upon completion of placement operations).


### 2.4 ESTABLSHMENTOF VEGETATION

Vegetation will be established and maintained in accordance with the requirements of OAC 252:515-19-54. Permanent vegetation will be established within 90 days of completion of construction activities. Permanent vegetation will be effective, long-lasting and capable of self-generation and plant succession. Deep-rooted plants, trees, or other noxious vegetation will not be planted. The type of vegetation used will be that of equal or superior utility to native vegetation during each season of the year.

### 2.5 SUMMARY OF EARIHWORK TESTING SCHEDULE

A summary of testing schedule for barrier layer and erosion layer is provided in Table 2-3.
Table 2-3. Minimum Earthwork Construction Testing Schedule

| Test (ASTM No.) | Barrier Layer | Erosion layer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Density of Soil In-Place (D2922) ${ }^{(1)}$ | 3 per acre for each approximate 6-inch compacted lift | N/A |
| Hydraulic Conductivity (D5084) ${ }^{(2)(3)}$ | 1 per acre (evenly distributed through all lifts)(4) | N/A |
| Thickness Verification (N/A) | 100-foot square grid with a minimum of 2 reference points with a vertical tolerance of 0.0 feet to +0.4 feet on the top of the barrier layer surface | 100-foot square grid with a minimum of 2 reference points with a vertical tolerance of 0.0 feet to +0.4 feet on the top of the erosion layer surface |

1. Driven-cylinder method (ASTM D2937); rubber balloon method (ASTM D2167); sand-cone method (ASTM D1556); microwave drying method (ASTM D4643); or conventional oven dry method (ASTM D2216) may be used as an alternative for ASTM D2922.
2. Hydraulic conductivity tests will be run using tap water or a 0.05 N solution of $\mathrm{CaSO}_{4}$. Distilled water will not be allowed.
3. Field testing of permeability (in accordance with ASTM D5093) is optional, and may be replaced by laboratory testing.
4. The requirement of 1 permeability test per surface acre of cover should be met by testing each lift for permeability at a frequency of 1 test per 4 acres.

## Attachment C

## Soil Mass Balance Calculations

|  | SOIL BORROW SOURCES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. | Landfill Base Grade Excavation ${ }^{1}$ <br> Landfill Excavated Soil Volume | 1,384,545 | cy |
| B. | Stormwater Detention Pond ${ }^{2}$ <br> Pond Excavated Soil Volume | $31,359$ | cy |
| c. | Perimeter Drainage Channel and Road ${ }^{2}$ Channel and Road Excavated Soil Volume | 44,927 | cy |
|  | Total Soil Borrow = | 1,460,831 | cy |
|  | SOIL USAGE ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| D. <br> (a). $\\|(b) .$ | Liner/Cover Systems <br> Area of Landfill <br> Bottom Liner, Structural Fill, Clay Liner and Protective Cover <br> Subtotal Bottom Liner Soil Volume <br> Final Cover Area <br> Final Cover, Vegetation/Erosion Layer <br> Subtotal Final Cover Soil Volume | $\begin{gathered} 53.47 \\ 4 \\ 345,060 \\ 121.17 \\ 3 \\ 586,463 \end{gathered}$ | acres ft cy acres ft cy |
|  | Total Bottom Liner, Overliner, and Final Cover Soil Volume = | 931,523 | cy |
| E. | Additional Soil Requirements <br> Stormwater Ponds <br> Drainage Swales <br> Perimeter Road and Channels | $\begin{gathered} 6,864 \\ 52,920 \\ 18,572 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cy } \\ & \text { cy } \end{aligned}$ cy |
|  | Total Additional Soil Volume = | 78,356 | cy |
| F. | Weekly Cover Soils <br> Gross Airspace Volume of the Landfill (Permitted + Expansion) Adjusted Gross Airspace Volume (Permitted + Expansion) Soil Usage Factor (assuming 15:1 refuse to cover ratio) | $\begin{gathered} 13,073,695 \\ 12,142,173 \\ 0.15 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cy } \\ & \text { cy } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Weekly Cover Soil Volume = | 1,821,508 | cy |
|  | Total Soil Usage = | 2,831,387 | cy |
|  | Soil Balance $=$ | -1,370,556 | cy |

## Notes:

1. The landfill excavation volume is based on the comparison of existing topography as of January 23, 2023 and proposed excavation grades for the entire conceptual 53.5 acre waste disposal footprint.
2. The volume of soil excavation for stormwater ponds, channels, and roads is based on the comparsion of existing topography as of January 23, 2023 and proposed design grades.
3. The soil usage provided in this soil balance assumes that the material excavated from the waste disposal footprint (see Item A above) will contain sufficient quantities of clay, sandy clay, etc. for construction of the respective landfill components (i.e., clay liner, final cover, berms, etc.).

[^0]:    KINEMATIC WAVE METHOD/VELOCITY METHOD - REFERENCE FORMULA

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The listed $C$ values assume that the vegetation and mulch are randomly distributed over the entire area.
    ${ }^{2}$ Canopy height is measured as the average fall height of water drops falling from the canopy to the ground. Canopy effect is inversely proportional to drop fall height and is negligible if fall height exceeds 33 ft .
    ${ }^{3}$ Portion of total-area surface that would be hidden from view by canopy in a vertical projection (a bird's-eye view).
    ${ }^{4} \mathbf{G}$ : cover at surface is grass, grasslike plants, decaying compacted duff, or litter at least 2 in deep.
    W: cover at surface is mostly broadleaf herbaceous plants (as weeds with little lateral-root network near the surface) or undecayed residues or both.

[^2]:    Shepherd Engineering Design Co., Inc.
    510 East Memorial Road, Suite C-1 Oklahoma City, $\mathrm{OK}_{73}{ }^{114}$
    (405) 996-5301 T $\mid$ ieff@shepherd.design $\mathbf{E}$

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ This includes all correspondence to/from the DEQ.

[^4]:    ${ }^{2}$ While the rules don't give a specific time to submit the gas monitoring results, the rules require a remediation plan to be submitted within 30 days of detecting an exceedance. Therefore, it would make sense that the gas monitoring results would be submitted at the same time.

[^5]:    ${ }^{3}$ An example of a waste screening checklist is included with this guidance.
    ${ }^{4}$ Monthly reports are not required to be submitted to the DEQ for large NHIW generator landfills, generator owned and operated NHIW monofills, transfer stations, and processing facilities (including incinerators and regulated medical waste facilities). However, records identifying the amount of waste received must be maintained in the operating record and made available to DEQ upon request.
    ${ }^{5}$ Returns and fees submitted later than this are subject to penalties and are not eligible for the handling waiver.

[^6]:    ${ }^{6}$ Records must be maintained by the entity for at least 3 years after completion of the project.
    ${ }^{7}$ Records must be maintained by the entity for at least 3 years after completion of the project.

[^7]:    ${ }^{8}$ The actual policy, not a certificate, must be submitted to DEQ.

[^8]:    ${ }^{9}$ Attachment 1 is an example of a random inspection sheet that will meet the requirements of the rule.
    ${ }^{10}$ Telephone notification will suffice.
    ${ }^{11}$ This includes the training dates, curriculum, and attendees.

