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Notice of Public Meeting - The Hazardous Waste Management Advisory Council (HWMAC) convened for a Regular Meeting at 10:00 a.m. on October 12, 2017 at the Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality, 707 North Robinson, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. The meeting was held in accordance with the Open Meetings Act, with notice of the meeting given to the Secretary of State on October 16, 2016. The agenda was posted at the DEQ at least twenty-four hours prior to the meeting. Mr. Lee Grater, Chair, called the meeting to order. Ms. Quiana Fields called roll and a quorum was confirmed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEMBERS PRESENT</th>
<th>DEQ STAFF PRESENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Michael Graves</td>
<td>Stephen Baldridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Kennedy</td>
<td>Mike Edwards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ray Reaves</td>
<td>Mike Stickney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marsha Slaughter</td>
<td>Kelly Dixon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debra Smith</td>
<td>Hillary Young</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noble Stanfield</td>
<td>Clark Packard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terry Vandell</td>
<td>Clifton Hoyle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee Grater</td>
<td>Dianne Wilkins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEMBERS ABSENT</td>
<td>Quiana Fields</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wesley Anderson</td>
<td>OTHERS PRESENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Debra Garver, Court Reporter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chairperson’s Report – None

Discussion, Amendment, and Roll Call Vote to Approve the Minutes of the October 13, 2016 meeting - Mr. Graves moved to approve and Mr. Kennedy made the second.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Member</th>
<th>Vote</th>
<th>Member</th>
<th>Vote</th>
<th>Member</th>
<th>Vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Michael Graves</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Debra Smith</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Kennedy</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Noble Stanfield</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ray Reaves</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Terry Vandell</td>
<td>Abstain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marsha Slaughter</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Lee Grater</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Presentation and Discussion – Mr. Mike Edwards, Environmental Programs Manager of the LPD gave an overview on the DEQ budget as it applies to the Hazardous Waste Program.

Presentation, Discussion and Roll Call Vote to Approve – Mr. Mike Edwards stated that the incorporation by reference of federal rule changes from the year that ended July 1, 2017. These include revisions to the generator rules and necessitate changing certain parts of the state rules to ensure equivalency due to citation changes. Following questions and comments by the Council and none by the public, Mr. Grater called for a motion. Mr. Graves moved to approve and Ms. Slaughter made the second.
Presentation, Discussion and Roll Call Vote to Approve – Mr. Edwards stated that the revocation of parts 17-1 through 17-6 of Subchapter 17 “Tax Credits” are no longer supported by statute. Hearing no questions or comments by the Council or the public, Mr. Grater called for a motion. Mr. Reaves moved to approve and Mr. Graves made the second.

Director’s Report – Ms. Kelly Dixon, Division Director of the LPD, provided an update on other division activities.

Election of Officers for 2018 – Mr. Reaves made a motion that Mr. Grater remain as Chair and that he remain as Vice-Chair. Mr. Kennedy made the second.

Set Meeting Dates for 2018 – Mr. Edwards proposed that the HWMAC meeting set for 2013 will be Thursday, October 11 and will contact the Council via email regarding the time. Mr. Graves moved to approve and Mr. Reaves made the second.

New Business – None

Adjournment – Mr. Graves moved to adjourn and Ms. Smith made the second. The meeting was adjourned at 10:50 a.m.
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PROCEEDINGS

10.00 a.m.

CHAIRMAN GRATER: Call the meeting of the Hazardous Waste Management Advisory Council to order. The Thursday, October 12, 2017, regularly scheduled meeting of the Hazardous Waste Management Advisory Council is called in accordance with the Open Meeting Act. Notice was filed with the Secretary of State on October 16, 2016. The agenda was duly posted 24 hours prior to the meeting at the DEQ. Only matters appearing on the posted agenda may be considered at this regular meeting. In the event that this meeting is continued or reconvened, public notice of the date, time, and place of the continued meeting will be given by announcement at this meeting. Only matters appearing on the agenda of the meeting which is continued may be discussed at the continued or reconvened meeting.

Ms. Fields, call the roll.
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MS. SLAUGHTER: Yes.

MS. FIELDS: Ms. Smith.

MS. SMITH: Yes.

Ms. Fields: Mr. Stanfield.

MR. STANFIELD: Yes.

MS. FIELDS: Ms. Vandell.

MS. VANDELL: Yes.

MS. FIELDS: Mr. Grater.

CHAIRMAN GRATER: Yes.

MS. FIELDS: We have a quorum.

CHAIRMAN GRATER: Chairperson's report. I don't really have much of anything to say today except thank you for being here. I know some of you had to make a special effort to be here this morning. We appreciate that. I believe the next item on the agenda is the discussion, amendment, and roll call vote on the meeting of October 13th, 2016. Does the Hazardous Waste Management Advisory Council meeting have any questions and discussion of the minutes by members of the council?

Do not appear to be any.

Any questions or comments been by the public?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN GRATER: Do we have a motion to accept?

MR. GRAVES: So move.
 serviços up about 8,000. Travel expenses, 20. Indirect
costs went up 60,000, and then a couple other changes.
Overall, the budget increases about 150,000, so
hopefully our STAG grant will at least maintain its current
status. And I don't think we anticipate any problems at
this point with the budget. So that's all I have on the
budget.
Feel free to ask any questions which I may or may not
be able to answer.

CHAIRMAN GRATER: Any questions from the council?

MS. VANDELL: Just curious what the prior federal
grant amount was?

MR. EDWARDS: It was traditionally -- for most of
my career, it was a million dollars. I don't know if it
was -- if that was -- if there was some calculation that
arrived at a million or if they just chose that number, but
traditionally that's what it was up until three or four
years ago when they reduced it 14 percent over four years.

CHAIRMAN GRATER: Any other questions from the
council?

Other questions from the public?

Doesn't appear to be.

Do we have a motion to approve?

MR. KENNEDY: It's just a presentation.

CHAIRMAN GRATER: Okay. Next item on the agenda

And the federal grant was $921,000. You may remember we had
a reduction in the federal grant, I want to say two or three
years ago, by about, I believe, 14 percent spread out over
four years. So we're still dealing with that as far as
reducing what we were used to for many, many years.

As far as know right now, any further reductions that
may come down the pipe on our STAG grant are unknown. I
think the government's under a continuing resolution I want
to say until December. I may be wrong about that time
frame, but I would think we may hear something different
after that as far as possible additional reductions on the
STAG grant.

So looks like we get the documents. I'll pause for a
second.

(Documents provided to council members.)

MR. EDWARDS: So everything I just said is on the
first page. That's basically covering the fiscal year that
just ended.

The second page is the projected budget for the fiscal
year that we're in currently. I tried to do some quick math
a few minutes ago. Math is not my strong suit, but it looks
like we have an increase of about $150,000.

The overall, as you can see, went from 2.5 million to
2.6 million. And salaries and compensation are up about
60,000, which I assure you is not my salary. Professional
<table>
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| went through the rule change -- the citation changes very carefully. Fortunately, EPA has a crosswalk of changes, reorganized regulations where they list the previous citation and the new citation, so that made it much easier, although it was still fairly difficult. So that's why there's these additional subchapter changes compared to normal. So that's one point. The other main point, as you know, I think, is that the revised generator rules, the generator improvement rules, are the key change that happened during the last year that we were hoping to incorporate. And I can go through some of that if you want to ask specific questions about it. I have a PowerPoint that I did at EFO a week or two ago, so I'm fairly familiar with much of it. It's extensive. In my career, it's the most extensive changes to the generator rules that I've ever seen. There's 60 or so changes to the rules, and there was an additional 20 clarifications or organizational changes that are part of it. So it's pretty - it's pretty broad, it's pretty extensive, but it's, I think, beneficial and it's been needed for a long time. It's really made some pretty good improvements. It's adding flexibility for generators. And most of it procedure change and so forth?
| MR. EDWARDS: There was not a specific action, but I'll just give you a quick rundown on the reasons that EPA thought that these revisions were needed. The regulations are confusing. Everyone knows that who's been dealing with RCRA. That's a no-brainer. They're still going to be confusing, but hopefully they'll be less confusing after these changes.
| There was a lack of flexibility for operators of facilities that changed their generator status. What that's really getting at is, if, let's say, a small quantity generator does a one-time cleanup or they have off-spec products that they need to dispose of, under the current regulations they would have to remanufacture, or, say, a large quantity generator, which comes with all kinds of burdens, additional fees. It makes them subject to the entire large quantity generator set of regulations.
| So this new generator improvement rule will allow for episodic generation once a year. So if a facility knows that something's coming, say, they want to clean out a tank, they can let us know that they're going to do that and they don't have to change the generator size, which is a huge benefit for them. And it's a big benefit for us too, because we have to do a lot of paperwork, invoice for fees, things like that; |
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| is more stringent, so we're required to incorporate it to maintain our program. There are a few that are less stringent, that we are actually not technically required to incorporate although those three parts that are less stringent I actually think are some of the more valuable aspects of the rule. So we like all of it, and we would recommend that we incorporate all of it. I think -- like I alluded to, I think it's going to be beneficial for most industries once they get used to it. It's going to be a burden on us because we're going to have to change checklists, web pages, guidance documents. There's going to be a lot of changes as a result of it. CFRs are going to change, correcting documents, et cetera, et cetera. So definitely a little bit of a burden there. But some of the rule changes implement into the future. Like, for instance, there's one that's the small quantity generators have to remanufacture every four years. That's one rule change, but it doesn't actually implement next year. So there's some delays in some of the implementation. So, having said all that, if there are any specific questions about it, I can try to answer related to those rule changes. MR. REAVES: One question. Well, I guess I have two. One is: Was there some action that prompted this any time someone changes generator size.
| So, to me, that's the best aspect of the new rules both for the regulated community and the regulators. Another main issue was there were lengthy contingency plan requirements and a lack of recordkeeping requirements, so these rule changes are an attempt to address that. And then EPA thought that there was a lot of mismanagement of waste from generators. And that's probably true in New Jersey or I don't really feel like it's that true in Oklahoma. We find a few every year, you know, that have issues, but overall in Oklahoma I think our generators do a pretty good job.
| But that's one of the rules that they said -- or one of the reasons that they said that these rules were needed, so.
| MR. REAVES: Was this in the Federal Registry as a single item? And has the time limit that it's supposed to be before voted upon, is that over?
| MR. EDWARDS: Yeah. Like the comment period? Is that what you mean? Yeah, that's over. The final rule was published November 28. Mr. Baldridge -- 2016 -- has a lengthy Federal Register Notice. It's about a hundred pages, front and back, very small type. I haven't actually gotten through all of it yet, but I'm working on that.
| So, yes, it was published. There was a proposed rule |
that came out before. There was a comment period. Some
changes were made. And then a final rule November 28.

MR. KENNEDY: With an implementation date of? You
said some things are delayed, but what's the soonest?

MR. EDWARDS: Well, I think --

MR. BALDRIDGE: The effective date of the federal
regulations is -- according to the Federal Register, is
May 30th, 2017. So that's why, as an authorized program, we
have to upgrade our authorization. So it's already
effective technically.

MR. EDWARDS: At the federal level, it's already
effective. But probably September 15th next year, when the
governor signs our rule change, that's when it would be
effective in Oklahoma.

So that's really good. You know, gives us more time to
get used to it and, you know, change documents as needed,
that kind of thing. Some of them are implemented farther
into the future, like I mentioned.

CHAIRMAN GRATER: Mr. Edwards, would it be
possible for you to, if you haven't already, put that
PowerPoint on the ODEQ website where we can access it?

MR. EDWARDS: Sure. I will.

CHAIRMAN GRATER: Thank you.

Any additional questions from the council?

Are there any questions regarding this matter from the
public?

Next item would be -- do we have a motion to approve?

MR. GRAVES: So move.

MS. SLAUGHTER: Second.

CHAIRMAN GRATER: Ms. Fields.

MS. FIELDS: And I forgot to mention, for the
record, Mr. Anderson is absent.

Mr. Graves.

MR. GRAVES: Yes.

MS. FIELDS: Mr. Kennedy.

MR. KENNEDY: Yes.

MS. FIELDS: Mr. Reaves.

MR. REAVES: Mr. Reaves.

MS. FIELDS: Ms. Slaughter.

MS. SLAUGHTER: Yes.

MS. FIELDS: Ms. Smith.

MS. SMITH: Yes.

MS. FIELDS: Mr. Stanford.

MR. STANFIELD: Yes.

MS. FIELDS: Ms. Vandell.

MS. VANDELL: Yes.

MS. FIELDS: Mr. Grater.

CHAIRMAN GRATER: Yes.

MS. FIELDS: Motion passed.

CHAIRMAN GRATER: Next item on the agenda is
presentation, discussion, and vote to approve the revolution
of part 17-1 through 17-6 of subchapter 17, Tax Credits
which are no longer supported by statute.

Mr. Edwards.

MR. EDWARDS: You just said it. There's really
not a whole lot else to add. Part of that subchapter was
previously revoked, which I wish I could tell you exactly
when that was. I don't remember doing that in a previous
rule-making so it may have been before I was involved in
rule-making.

But, anyway, the 17-1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 were not
revoked previously, but as you mentioned, there was a
statutory change in 2013 that made that subchapter
especially obsolete.

And so this is really just a housekeeping exercise
where we're revoking those parts of the tax credit
subchapter in accordance with the statutory change.

MR. BALDRIDGE: That created some confusion
because people were actually calling about tax credits and
the law no longer supported it.

MR. EDWARDS: That's all I have. Again, just a
housekeeping exercise for the state rules.

CHAIRMAN GRATER: Any questions by the council?

Doesn't appear to be.

Any questions from the public?

Do we have a motion to approve?

MR. REAVES: Make a motion.

MR. GRAVES: So move.

CHAIRMAN GRATER: Motion's been made and approved.

Ms. Fields.

MS. FIELDS: Mr. Graves.

MR. GRAVES: Yes.

MS. FIELDS: Mr. Kennedy.

MR. KENNEDY: Yes.

MS. FIELDS: Mr. Reaves.

MR. REAVES: Yes.

MS. FIELDS: Ms. Slaughter.

MS. SLAUGHTER: Yes.

MS. FIELDS: Ms. Smith.

MS. SMITH: Yes.

MS. FIELDS: Mr. Stanford.

MR. STANFIELD: Yes.

MS. FIELDS: Ms. Vandell.

MS. VANDELL: Yes.

MS. FIELDS: Mr. Grater.

CHAIRMAN GRATER: Yes.

MS. FIELDS: Motion passed.

CHAIRMAN GRATER: Next item on the agenda is the
director's report.

Ms. Dixon.
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<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. MS. DIXON: Good morning. I think I've said Hi to most of you this morning. We don't normally do a director's report in these HazWaste council meetings, but I think since we meet only once a year, I thought it might be a good idea to sort of give you some updates on some of the things that are going on in our agency. As Mike mentioned, there is a lot of uncertainty in our budget, both from the state perspective and the federal perspective. The RCRA program is in good shape right now mostly due to our fees, which are statutory. I think that's a good thing that they're statutory. So as long as our fee income stays pretty constant and Congress doesn't do anything crazy, I think we'll be in good shape. But we're just, you know, watching and waiting and continuing to work as we can. The rest of the agency, the biggest impact we've had agency-wide is in our general revenue appropriations that come from the state legislature. Our division is not -- doesn't get any appropriations. There's a few divisions that don't. But some key divisions rely on state-appropriated dollars, and those have been cut for the past three years. So that's in our lab, our water program, and in our local services program. So we're trying to, you know, become more lean and more efficient. It looking at what we can do to stay afloat and manage the cuts and still provide service to everybody. You guys all know that we have a new EPA administrator. Scott Pruitt is the EPA administrator. And there's some really good things that are coming out of this. There's a new -- there's several new initiatives that are going on. And one of them they've labeled &quot;Cooperative Federalism,&quot; which basically means that they're trying to hit EPA's trying to hit the reset button on how EPA works and engages with the states. And one of the primary focuses is where you have a delegated or authorized program, the state should have the primacy and should have the lead, and so there's a lot of dialogue and things going on with EPA and between states to identify how we can partner better, how the states can be a more significant partner when it comes to EPA and especially with delegated programs, how we can work together to identify where there's duplications or where we're not duplicating efforts anymore, because EPA has to be more lean as well. So we're -- I think it's going to be a good thing. I'm hopeful anyway. And one of the good things that's come out of that is Region VI recently has engaged with the states in the region on how do they -- how do we redefine how we look at enforcement and compliance. And they've talked with us and we've shared some ideas. and we're working to come up with sort of a new paradigm that sets in stone these ideas that when the state has primacy for a program, that we have more of a say in how things happen. And I'll give you a few examples what that might look like. So EPA sometimes will come up with the -- identify things that have national -- issues that have national significance. And they put that out to the states and tell the states, You have to do X-Y-Z, maybe look at a certain sector, industrial sector or something. So what we're working with Region VI on is, when EPA finds these issues, instead of telling us what to do, they will consult with us, and we would tell them whether we believe we have that issue in Oklahoma. And if we don't, we will take a pass and not do something that they're doing in other states because we don't have a need. That's one example. Another example is enforcement approaches. We have delegation, we do our inspection enforcement. EPA also will sometimes do inspections in the state, and especially if it's a program that if they found a violation with a facility that's nationwide. So we have recently started some dialogue with Region VI when they identified, let's say, a nationwide program with companies that had facilities in Oklahoma, that they'll work with us in Oklahoma to, instead of fighting a company in a punitive way, maybe look at doing a supplemental environmental project that makes sense for the violations. So an example of that might be if you had a retail outlet that was -- that had a paperwork violation, they might have sent waste under the wrong notification status, but it didn't cause any environmental harm, that might be a good case where, instead of a fine, you want to do a supplemental environmental project. So maybe -- and we've suggested this to EPA -- instead of fining a company for that kind of violation, why don't you have them conduct training for other retail outlets and increase compliance rates through training and education and outreach. And so Region VI is listening to us, paying attention to us, and so we're hopeful that these kind of initiatives will take hold and, I think, will be a good thing for Oklahoma. The other thing I wanted to talk to you guys are about are some of the DEQ initiatives. And you might have heard about some of these before, so these are just updates. While we recently engaged in doing a strategic plan for the agency, it's been many years since we've been an agency and we haven't stepped back to look at what's our mission, how are we doing.</td>
<td>1. and we're working to come up with sort of a new paradigm that sets in stone these ideas that when the state has primacy for a program, that we have more of a say in how things happen. And I'll give you a few examples what that might look like. So EPA sometimes will come up with the -- identify things that have national -- issues that have national significance. And they put that out to the states and tell the states, You have to do X-Y-Z, maybe look at a certain sector, industrial sector or something. So what we're working with Region VI on is, when EPA finds these issues, instead of telling us what to do, they will consult with us, and we would tell them whether we believe we have that issue in Oklahoma. And if we don't, we will take a pass and not do something that they're doing in other states because we don't have a need. That's one example. Another example is enforcement approaches. We have delegation, we do our inspection enforcement. EPA also will sometimes do inspections in the state, and especially if it's a program that if they found a violation with a facility that's nationwide. So we have recently started some dialogue with Region VI when they identified, let's say, a nationwide program with companies that had facilities in Oklahoma, that they'll work with us in Oklahoma to, instead of fighting a company in a punitive way, maybe look at doing a supplemental environmental project that makes sense for the violations. So an example of that might be if you had a retail outlet that was -- that had a paperwork violation, they might have sent waste under the wrong notification status, but it didn't cause any environmental harm, that might be a good case where, instead of a fine, you want to do a supplemental environmental project. So maybe -- and we've suggested this to EPA -- instead of fining a company for that kind of violation, why don't you have them conduct training for other retail outlets and increase compliance rates through training and education and outreach. And so Region VI is listening to us, paying attention to us, and so we're hopeful that these kind of initiatives will take hold and, I think, will be a good thing for Oklahoma. The other thing I wanted to talk to you guys are about are some of the DEQ initiatives. And you might have heard about some of these before, so these are just updates. While we recently engaged in doing a strategic plan for the agency, it's been many years since we've been an agency and we haven't stepped back to look at what's our mission, how are we doing.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
And you guys might have been -- might have received a survey. We sent out surveys to citizens, the regulated community, municipalities, and received the responses about, you know, what are we doing well, what are we not doing so well, what are your ideas about how we can improve. And so our external affairs office is compiling this information and looking at it. And we've received all of the information now from the surveys, and we're going to look at that in a procedural way and try to identify areas that we can make changes and become more modern and more responsive and improve things. So that's underway.

And I don't know what the timeline is on that, but I think it might be another year before we're completed with that process.

Self-certification program, we've started that in the RCRA program several years ago. Ryan Kirk is now working on that for our staff, and this is where we have small quantity generator voluntary self-certification.

So that universe, as you guys know, is pretty big. It's about 600 entities. And that's the universe that tends to be -- you know, they're smaller companies, their environmental person may also be the CEO, so they may not know a lot of regulations or, even if they do, that may be the first thing that goes out the window when times get hard.

So, you know, that's where we have a lot of problems, so we're trying to do more outreach to them through this voluntary self-certification program. So that's been going on five years.

Started in '14?

MR. EDWARDS: Started in '14.

MS. DIXON: We've been a little disappointed in the participation. We've tried several different things to target these entities. And I think it's one of those situations where we're saying, "Trust us, we're going to help you help yourselves, you know, come join our program, tell us that you're in compliance."

But they basically go through a checklist, and when they finish that checklist that walks through the regulations, they submit that to us and they voluntarily have self-certified.

And we provide technical assistance and customer reviews to make sure -- if they want us to, to make sure that they've filled out their forms correctly.

But we recently, to try to enhance our outreach efforts, have partnered with OSU to provide some training -- we've done training in Tulsa and Oklahoma City, and I think we're going to repeat it again in Tulsa -- to have people come in and talk about our regulations and talk about the program. And I think that's been successful. We've gotten more attention because of that. It's just a one-day training.

The other thing that we've been doing, and you guys may or may not know this, but all of the administrative penalties that we collect are, by statute, required to go into the hazardous waste fund.

And that fund is restricted for specific uses that are related to assisting Oklahoma in being prepared for emergency response. So we are required to provide assistance to communities.

We've historically used money from the penalty fund to pay for collection of mercury from households and then bring it here and have it recycled and reorted off-site. And recently Cliff Hyke, who's in our risk management program, that's -- basically, does Tier 3 work and he works a lot with the local emergency response -- commissions? Wasn't sure what that C was. Every county has one.

And so he -- through some outreach, we've reached out and have been able to provide some monetary assistance to 18 county governments to purchase things like radios or gas meters, things that you would need in an emergency response.

We also -- that was in 2017. And we also last year provided mercury collection for 61 households across the state.

The other thing that our division does, and we have several people engaged in this, is we are associated with national groups like ASTSWMO and ECOS. We had people in work groups, RCRA groups. Mike Stickney serves on a work group.

And our participation in these national organizations are how we have a state voice in forming national policies, so we think it's really important and we're going to continue to do that work.

And then one last thing I wanted to mention is that another staff member of Mike's, Christina Coffel, she's a data manager and deals with disposal plans and invoicing and notifications, she's been working really hard to make some improvements in how we collect and exchange data in trying to automate it and streamline things.

We have some issues in the fire. They're not quite ready for prime time, but we're working behind the scenes to help streamline how we collect data, how we share data, how you guys interact with us to make it more streamlined.

And so we're hoping that we can roll that out in the next year, and we will provide training and outreach to make sure that the regulated communities know what we're up to.

So that's about it. Thank you. I'll take questions if you have any.

CHAIRMAN GRATER: Ms. Dixon, you referred to EPA Region VI willingness to cooperate on enforcement actions.
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<td>1. And you guys might have been -- might have received a survey. We sent out surveys to citizens, the regulated community, municipalities, and received the responses about, you know, what are we doing well, what are we not doing so well, what are your ideas about how we can improve. And so our external affairs office is compiling this information and looking at it. And we've received all of the information now from the surveys, and we're going to look at that in a procedural way and try to identify areas that we can make changes and become more modern and more responsive and improve things. So that's underway. And I don't know what the timeline is on that, but I think it might be another year before we're completed with that process. Self-certification program, we've started that in the RCRA program several years ago. Ryan Kirk is now working on that for our staff, and this is where we have small quantity generator voluntary self-certification. So that universe, as you guys know, is pretty big. It's about 600 entities. And that's the universe that tends to be -- you know, they're smaller companies, their environmental person may also be the CEO, so they may not know a lot of regulations or, even if they do, that may be the first thing that goes out the window when times get hard.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. more attention because of that. It's just a one-day training. The other thing that we've been doing, and you guys may or may not know this, but all of the administrative penalties that we collect are, by statute, required to go into the hazardous waste fund. And that fund is restricted for specific uses that are related to assisting Oklahoma in being prepared for emergency response. So we are required to provide assistance to communities. We've historically used money from the penalty fund to pay for collection of mercury from households and then bring it here and have it recycled and reorted off-site. And recently Cliff Hyke, who's in our risk management program, that's -- basically, does Tier 3 work and he works a lot with the local emergency response -- commissions? Wasn't sure what that C was. Every county has one. And so he -- through some outreach, we've reached out and have been able to provide some monetary assistance to 18 county governments to purchase things like radios or gas meters, things that you would need in an emergency response. We also -- that was in 2017. And we also last year provided mercury collection for 61 households across the state. The other thing that our division does, and we have several people engaged in this, is we are associated with national groups like ASTSWMO and ECOS. We had people in work groups, RCRA groups. Mike Stickney serves on a work group. And our participation in these national organizations are how we have a state voice in forming national policies, so we think it's really important and we're going to continue to do that work. And then one last thing I wanted to mention is that another staff member of Mike's, Christina Coffel, she's a data manager and deals with disposal plans and invoicing and notifications, she's been working really hard to make some improvements in how we collect and exchange data in trying to automate it and streamline things. We have some issues in the fire. They're not quite ready for prime time, but we're working behind the scenes to help streamline how we collect data, how we share data, how you guys interact with us to make it more streamlined. And so we're hoping that we can roll that out in the next year, and we will provide training and outreach to make sure that the regulated communities know what we're up to. So that's about it. Thank you. I'll take questions if you have any.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page 23</th>
<th>Page 25</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. So, you know, that's where we have a lot of problems, so we're trying to do more outreach to them through this voluntary self-certification program. So that's been going on five years. Started in '14? MR. EDWARDS: Started in '14. MS. DIXON: We've been a little disappointed in the participation. We've tried several different things to target these entities. And I think it's one of those situations where we're saying, &quot;Trust us, we're going to help you help yourselves, you know, come join our program, tell us that you're in compliance.&quot; But they basically go through a checklist, and when they finish that checklist that walks through the regulations, they submit that to us and they voluntarily have self-certified. And we provide technical assistance and customer reviews to make sure -- if they want us to, to make sure that they've filled out their forms correctly. But we recently, to try to enhance our outreach efforts, have partnered with OSU to provide some training -- we've done training in Tulsa and Oklahoma City, and I think we're going to repeat it again in Tulsa -- to have people come in and talk about our regulations and talk about the program. And I think that's been successful. We've gotten</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. several people engaged in this, is we are associated with national groups like ASTSWMO and ECOS. We had people in work groups, RCRA groups. Mike Stickney serves on a work group. And our participation in these national organizations are how we have a state voice in forming national policies, so we think it's really important and we're going to continue to do that work. And then one last thing I wanted to mention is that another staff member of Mike's, Christina Coffel, she's a data manager and deals with disposal plans and invoicing and notifications, she's been working really hard to make some improvements in how we collect and exchange data in trying to automate it and streamline things. We have some issues in the fire. They're not quite ready for prime time, but we're working behind the scenes to help streamline how we collect data, how we share data, how you guys interact with us to make it more streamlined. And so we're hoping that we can roll that out in the next year, and we will provide training and outreach to make sure that the regulated communities know what we're up to. So that's about it. Thank you. I'll take questions if you have any.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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and some other things.

Has there been any discussions regarding their role in oversight for new permits? When ODEQ writes a draft and it's submitted to Region VI?

MS. DIXON: I don't know that we've had a lot of discussion about that. It's been focused primarily on the enforcement and compliance section.

Is there a concern that you have?

CHAIRMAN GRATER: Just curious.

MS. DIXON: Okay. Well, I think there's one other thing that I forgot to mention that is noteworthy, and you guys may or may not know about this, but Don Henshaw, who was chief engineer in our RCRA permitting group for many years, retired in July and we haven't yet filled the position.

Hillary Young, who is our chief engineer, is stepping in to supervise that group right now. And we intend to fill the position. So it's not currently filled, but we will be working on that pretty soon.

So I think things are fine.

MS. SMITH: I have a strange request. When you have the training on the different rules that you were talking about in Oklahoma City, Tulsa, could we be notified and possibly attend? I'd like to be better educated.

MS. DIXON: Absolutely. The target audience is small quantity generators, but we can definitely let you know.

CHAIRMAN GRATER: Questions from the council?

Are there any questions regarding this matter from the public?

The next item on the agenda is the election of officers for 2018. Do we have nominations from the council for the chairman and vice chairman positions?

MR. REAVES: Could I use the old, "If it ain't broke, don't fix it."

CHAIRMAN GRATER: Are there any other comments or suggestions from the -- I'm not totally sure it's not broke.

It may have a few squawks.

No other comments?

MR. REAVES: I would be a lot more direct and say I recommend no changes.

CHAIRMAN GRATER: Is there a motion?

MS. FIELDS: That was a motion.
Well, on the time, I can communicate on that by email too. So far now we'll just leave it at 10:00, and then maybe consider changing it. Do 1:00 maybe. So, anyway, we'll figure that out.

CHAIRMAN GRATER: So Mr. Edwards has proposed a meeting on the 11th of October, time to be determined.

Communication by email.

Are there any other comments or suggestions from the board?

There are not.

Do we have a motion to adjourn?

MR. GRAVES: So move.

MR. REAVES: I'll second.

CHAIRMAN GRATER: Ms. Fields.

MS. FIELDS: Mr. Graves.

MR. GRAVES: Yes.

MS. FIELDS: Mr. Kennedy.

MR. KENNEDY: Yes.

MS. FIELDS: Mr. Reaves.

MR. REAVES: Yes.

MS. FIELDS: Ms. Slaughter.

MS. SLAUGHTER: Yes.

MS. FIELDS: Ms. Smith.

MS. SMITH: Yes.

MS. FIELDS: Mr. Stanfield.

MR. STANFIELD: Yes.

MS. FIELDS: Ms. Vandell.

MS. VANDELL: Yes.

MS. FIELDS: Mr. Grater.

CHAIRMAN GRATER: Yes.

MS. FIELDS: Motion passed.

CHAIRMAN GRATER: The meeting is formally adjourned.

(Proceedings adjourned 10:50 a.m.)
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