

**TITLE 252. OKLAHOMA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
CHAPTER 641. INDIVIDUAL AND SMALL PUBLIC ON-SITE SEWAGE
TREATMENT SYSTEMS**

RULE IMPACT STATEMENT

**Appendix H. Size Charts for On-Site Sewage Treatment Systems [REVOKED]
Appendix H. Size Charts for On-Site Sewage Treatment Systems [NEW]**

Before the Water Quality Management Advisory Council on January 13, 2009, and before the Environmental Quality Board on February 27, 2009.

1. **DESCRIPTION:** Based on feedback from installers in Delaware County, DEQ staff discovered that Delaware County had been mistakenly categorized as being in Net Evaporation Zone 1 instead of 2 in Appendix H, Figure 25. This rulemaking re-categorizes Delaware County as being in Net Evaporation Zone 2.
2. **CLASSES OF PERSONS AFFECTED:** Citizens in Delaware County who utilize on-site sewage treatment systems.
3. **CLASSES OF PERSONS WHO WILL BEAR COSTS:** None anticipated.
4. **INFORMATION ON COST IMPACTS FROM PRIVATE/PUBLIC ENTITIES:** None submitted as of this date.
5. **CLASSES OF PERSONS BENEFITTED:** The citizens in Delaware County, particularly those who utilize on-site sewage treatment systems.
6. **PROBABLE ECONOMIC IMPACT ON AFFECTED CLASSES OF PERSONS:** None anticipated.
7. **PROBABLE ECONOMIC IMPACT ON POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS:** None anticipated.
8. **POTENTIAL ADVERSE EFFECT ON SMALL BUSINESS:** None anticipated.
9. **LISTING OF ALL FEE CHANGES, INCLUDING A SEPARATE JUSTIFICATION FOR EACH FEE CHANGE:** None.
10. **PROBABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS TO DEQ TO IMPLEMENT AND ENFORCE:** None.
11. **PROBABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS TO OTHER AGENCIES TO IMPLEMENT AND ENFORCE:** None.
12. **SOURCE OF REVENUE TO BE USED TO IMPLEMENT AND ENFORCE RULE:** No new revenue will be needed to enforce this rule.

13. **PROJECTED NET LOSS OR GAIN IN REVENUES FOR DEQ AND/OR OTHER AGENCIES, IF IT CAN BE PROJECTED:** None.
14. **COOPERATION OF POLITICAL SUBDIVISION REQUIRED TO IMPLEMENT OR ENFORCE RULE:** None.
15. **EXPLANATION OF THE MEASURES THE DEQ TOOK TO MINIMIZE COMPLIANCE COSTS:** There are no anticipated compliance costs associated with this rule change.
16. **DETERMINATION OF WHETHER THERE ARE LESS COSTLY OR NONREGULATORY OR LESS INTRUSIVE METHODS OF ACHIEVING THE PURPOSE OF THE PROPOSED RULE:** None.
17. **DETERMINATION OF THE EFFECT ON PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY AND ENVIRONMENT:** This rule change should not adversely affect the public health, safety or environment.
18. **IF THE PROPOSED RULE IS DESIGNED TO REDUCE SIGNIFICANT RISKS TO THE PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY AND ENVIRONMENT, EXPLANATION OF THE NATURE OF THE RISK AND TO WHAT EXTENT THE PROPOSED RULE WILL REDUCE THE RISK:** N/A.
19. **DETERMINATION OF ANY DETRIMENTAL EFFECT ON THE PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY AND ENVIRONMENT IF THE PROPOSED RULE IS NOT IMPLEMENTED:** N/A.
20. **PROBABLE QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE IMPACT ON BUSINESS ENTITIES (INCLUDE QUANTIFIABLE DATA WHERE POSSIBLE):** None.

THIS RULE IMPACT STATEMENT WAS PREPARED ON: October 21, 2008