

MINUTES
HAZARDOUS WASTE MANAGEMENT ADVISORY COUNCIL
April 15, 2015
Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality
Multipurpose Room
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

Official after Approved by HWMAC
On July 15, 2015

Notice of Public Meeting - The Hazardous Waste Management Advisory Council (HWMAC) convened for a Regular Meeting at 10:00 a.m. on April 15, 2015 at the Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ), 707 N. Robinson, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. The meeting was held in accordance with the Open Meetings Act, with notice of the meeting given to the Secretary of State on November 17, 2014. The agenda was posted at the DEQ at least twenty-four hours prior to the meeting. Mr. Lee Grater, Chair, called the meeting to order. Ms. Quiana Fields called roll and a quorum was confirmed.

MEMBERS PRESENT

Wesley Anderson
Michael Graves
Bob Kennedy
Ray Reaves
Marsha Slaughter
Debra Smith
Noble Stanfield
Terry Vandell
Lee Grater

DEQ STAFF PRESENT

Stephen Baldrige
Kelly Dixon
Quiana Fields
Traci Kelly
Mike Edwards
Jon Roberts
Hillary Young
Mary Johnson
Don Hensch
Martha Penisten

MEMBERS ABSENT

None

OTHERS PRESENT

Kerry Huff, Court Reporter

Chairperson's Report - Mr. Grater welcomed new Councilmember, Debra Smith.

See transcript page 4

Discussion, Amendment, and Roll Call Vote to Approve the Minutes of the October 9, 2014 meeting - Mr. Graves moved to approve and Mr. Kennedy made the second.

See transcript pages 5 - 6

Wesley Anderson	Yes	Debra Smith	Abstain
Michael Graves	Yes	Noble Stanfield	Yes
Bob Kennedy	Yes	Terry Vandell	Yes
Ray Reaves	Abstain	Lee Grater	Yes
Marsha Slaughter	Yes		

Presentation and Discussion – Mr. Don Hensch, Engineering Manager of the LPD gave an overview on the DEQ's proposed draft rule changes to Subchapter 15 of OAC 252:205, transfer stations.

See transcript pages 6 - 16

New Business – Mr. Edwards suggested dates to have a council meeting to discuss further on transfer stations. Following discussion by the Council, Mr. Grater made a motion to schedule a Council meeting on Wednesday, July 15, 2015 at the DEQ. Mr. Graves made the second.

See transcript pages 16 - 18

Wesley Anderson	Yes	Debra Smith	Yes
Michael Graves	Yes	Noble Stanfield	Yes
Bob Kennedy	Yes	Terry Vandell	Yes
Ray Reaves	Yes	Lee Grater	Yes
Marsha Slaughter	Yes		

Adjournment – Mr. Graves moved to adjourn and Mr. Kennedy made the second.

See transcript pages 23 - 24

Wesley Anderson	Yes	Debra Smith	Yes
Michael Graves	Yes	Noble Stanfield	Yes
Bob Kennedy	Yes	Terry Vandell	Yes
Ray Reaves	Yes	Lee Grater	Yes
Marsha Slaughter	Yes		

HWM Advisory Council Meeting 4/15/2015

<p>1 2 3 4 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS OF THE 5 6 HAZARDOUS WASTE MANAGEMENT ADVISORY COUNCIL 7 8 MEETING TAKEN ON APRIL 15, 2015, 9 10 AT 10:00 A.M., 11 12 IN OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 REPORTED BY KERRY L. HUFF, CSR #1725 25</p>	<p>1 2 * * * PROCEEDINGS * * * 3 MR. GRATER: Call the meeting of the Hazardous 4 Waste Advisory Council to order. Will the secretary 5 please call the roll? Sorry. Excuse me. The 6 April 15th, 2015, regularly scheduled meeting of the 7 Hazardous Waste Management Advisory Council is called 8 in accordance with the Open Meeting Act. 9 Notice was filed with the Secretary of State on 10 November 17, 2014. The Agenda was duly posted 24 11 hours prior to the meeting at the DEQ. 12 Only matters appearing on the posted agenda may 13 be considered at this regular meeting. In the event 14 that this meeting is continued or reconvened, public 15 notice of the date, time and place of the continued 16 meeting will be given by announcement at this 17 meeting. 18 Only matters appearing on the Agenda of a 19 meeting which is continued may be discussed the 20 continued or reconvened meeting. 21 MS. FIELDS: Mr. Anderson? 22 MR. ANDERSON: Here. 23 MS. FIELDS: Mr. Graves? 24 MR. GRAVES: Here. 25 MS. FIELDS: Mr. Kennedy? MR. KENNEDY: Here.</p>
<p>1 * * MEMBERS * * 2 3 PRESENT: 4 WESLEY ANDERSON 5 MICHAEL GRAVES 6 BOB KENNEDY 7 RAY REAVES, VICE-CHAIR 8 MARSHA SLAUGHTER 9 DEBRA SMITH 10 NOBLE STANFIELD 11 TERRY VANDELL 12 LEE GRATER, CHAIR 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25</p>	<p>1 MS. FIELDS: Mr. Reaves? 2 MR. REAVES: Here. 3 MS. FIELDS: Ms. Slaughter? 4 MS. SLAUGHTER: Here. 5 MS. FIELDS: Ms. Smith? 6 MS. SMITH: Here. 7 MS. FIELDS: Mr. Stanfield? 8 MR. STANFIELD: Here. 9 MS. FIELDS: Ms. Vandell? 10 MS. VANDELL: Here. 11 MS. FIELDS: Mr. Grater? 12 MR. GRATER: Here. 13 MS. FIELDS: We have a quorum. 14 MR. GRATER: We have a new chair member, Debra 15 Smith. If you'd like to introduce -- 16 MS. SMITH: Introduce myself? 17 MR. GRATER: Yes. 18 MS. SMITH: I'm with the City of Norman. I've 19 been in the environmental field for close to 30 20 years. I also teach at the University of Phoenix. I 21 teach environmental science classes. I work with the 22 Hazardous Waste Collection Event annually so maybe 23 that's my involvement here, but I'm excited to be on 24 board. Thank you. 25 MR. GRATER: Good to have you. Do we have a</p>

HWM Advisory Council Meeting 4/15/2015

<p>5</p> <p>1 discussion – any other discussion or amendments 2 relating to the minutes of the October 9th meeting? 3 Apparently there are none. Do we have a motion 4 to accept them? 5 MR. GRAVES: So moved. 6 MR. GRATER: Do we have a motion? 7 MR. KENNEDY: I'll second. 8 MR. GRATER: And seconded. Call the roll, 9 please. 10 MS. FIELDS: Mr. Anderson? 11 MR. ANDERSON: Yes. 12 MS. FIELDS: Mr. Graves? 13 MR. GRAVES: Yes. 14 MS. FIELDS: Mr. Kennedy? 15 MR. KENNEDY: Yes. 16 MS. FIELDS: Mr. Reaves? 17 MR. REAVES: Abstain. I wasn't here. 18 MS. FIELDS: Ms. Slaughter? 19 MS. SLAUGHTER: Yes. 20 MS. FIELDS: Ms. Smith? 21 MS. SMITH: Abstain. 22 MS. FIELDS: Mr. Stanfield? 23 MR. STANFIELD: Yes. 24 MS. FIELDS: Ms. Vandell? 25 MS. VANDELL: Yes.</p>	<p>7</p> <p>1 the year 2000 and then in 2006 there was an 2 adjustment to 15-2. 3 Prior to that it goes all the way back to 1999, 4 June 11th. There was a permanent rule change and 5 then there was an emergency rule proposal that was 6 approved in '98. And so that was about 20 years ago 7 going back to those. So it has been quite some time 8 since we have really done anything with these rules. 9 As you all probably know there is three 10 facilities in the state that currently utilize the 11 D&O plan under Subchapter 15. So it's a fairly minor 12 universe of facilities that we're talking about. 13 One facility that Mr. Grater may be familiar 14 with, the Avard facility, has been operating under a 15 plan for about 21 years. We have a facility in 16 Guthrie, EMI, which has been operating for about 15 17 years under a D&O plan and then the Stericycle 18 facility in Tulsa which was formerly Envirosolve has 19 been operating under a D&O plan for about 12 years. 20 So in all three cases they've been operating 21 for a fairly significant amount of time without any 22 significant – without us really taking a close look 23 at their overall D&O plan, evaluating it as far as 24 how well it matches the activities at the site and 25 that type of thing.</p>
<p>6</p> <p>1 MS. FIELDS: Mr. Grater? 2 MR. GRATER: Yes. 3 MS. FIELDS: Motion passed. 4 MR. GRATER: We have a presentation and 5 discussion on the DEQ's proposed draft rule changes 6 to Subchapter 15 of OAC 252-205 regarding transfer 7 stations. Mr. Edwards? 8 MR. EDWARDS: I should have figured this out 9 before I sat down. I was just going to do kind of a 10 brief introduction and then kind of hand it off to 11 Don. Our draft rule changes – emphasis on draft – 12 I just want to make sure everyone understands that if 13 we do whatever the final form that these rule changes 14 may take, that will be presented in the October 15 rule-making meeting. 16 So this is really just an opportunity to give 17 the Council a heads-up, what we're contemplating, 18 show you the current draft form of the changes that 19 we're thinking about and give you all an opportunity 20 to discuss it so that you won't be surprised in 21 October if we present these as proposed rule changes. 22 I did a little bit of checking, and these rules 23 were changed – actually the last time they were 24 changed there was a siting criteria change to 25 Subchapter 15-5 -- or yeah. That was an amendment in</p>	<p>8</p> <p>1 There have been modifications over the years 2 with all of these plans. I don't know how much 3 detail I should go into here, but the D&O plan is 4 similar to a hazardous waste permit, but it's not a 5 hazardous waste permit. 6 It follows some of the same types of rules, but 7 it's not technically a permit. So that's about all I 8 have for introduction. I think Don was going to go 9 into a little more details about our actual – the 10 specific changes that we're contemplating. So unless 11 there are any questions for me, I'll hand it off to 12 Don. 13 MR. HENSCH: Good morning. I'm Don Hensch. I 14 know some of you, but for those that don't know me, 15 I'm the engineering manager for the hazardous waste, 16 RCRA, permitting, the corrective action section. And 17 we're the ones that oversee the review and approval 18 of these D&O plans. 19 As Mike said, the last time we really took a 20 hard look at these rules was in '99. And so we've 21 had some inspections at some of the -- three 22 facilities we've had, and it raised questions about 23 what they were doing and how they were doing it and 24 did we more or less agree with how that was done and 25 their interpretations.</p>

HWM Advisory Council Meeting 4/15/2015

<p style="text-align: right;">9</p> <p>1 And so we looked at the rules, and one of the 2 first things we noticed was they don't have an 3 expiration date for the D&O plans. Hazardous waste 4 permits are five -- are ten years. I'm sorry. 5 Underground injection permits are five years. 6 So we thought it would be good to put some sort 7 of expiration date so we could revisit these D&O 8 plans on a periodic basis and see if they needed to 9 be updated, if they still accurately reflect what the 10 facility wants to do and if we agreed with that. 11 So that's really the biggest change in there is 12 it establishes a ten-year lifetime for these D&O plan 13 approvals. Now, we've got three facilities that are 14 already permitted, and obviously all of them are over 15 that ten-year mark. 16 So we have added revisions that they have 18 17 months to prepare a new D&O plan to submit to us. So 18 that will give them time to prepare those. One of 19 the other things we felt needed clarification was 20 that when some of these facilities -- they take 21 conditionally exempt small quantity of waste and 22 consolidate that into a larger quantity which is more 23 economical to dispose of at a hazardous waste 24 facility or a solid waste facility if it's treated so 25 it's no longer hazardous.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">11</p> <p>1 cover radioactive materials. We want to make it 2 clear in these rules that this D&O plan approval has 3 nothing do with nuclear radioactive material, and 4 there is separate permissions that have to get 5 through our radioactive waste section here -- or the 6 NRC is really what it is. 7 And if they wanted to handle the size of 8 materials, they could not do it under the cover of 9 this D&O plan. So we just want to clarify that. As 10 Mike said we really just started work on these a 11 month or so ago. 12 They're in very preliminary draft. The copy 13 you have of the packet, it is kind of our thoughts 14 today as we go into this -- and again we don't -- 15 we're not asking you for your consideration and 16 approval on this, but we would ask for your advice. 17 If you have any comments on these or 18 suggestions on the way they should go, please contact 19 Mike or myself or John any time. We would like to 20 hear these. We plan on having a number of public 21 meetings over the summer so we can get public 22 comment. 23 We plan on having some meetings with the three 24 operators who are in existence today and get their 25 input. So these will be vetted much further before</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">10</p> <p>1 But we wanted to make it clear that when this 2 happened, the facility became the new generator of a 3 regulated waste because conditionally exempt waste is 4 still hazardous waste. It's just exempt from rules 5 because it's a small quantity, but it's still 6 hazardous waste. 7 And we just want to make it clear that when 8 it's combined and, you know -- several loads are 9 combined so it's no longer a small quantity, it 10 becomes a regulated hazardous waste and that transfer 11 station that performed that actually becomes the 12 generator for that. 13 They initiate the manifest and send it off for 14 disposal. There is a couple other clerical-type 15 changes we're working on, and the last thing that 16 kind of stuck out is there is some confusion over how 17 the transfer station rules apply to radioactive 18 waste. 19 And that can be things like exit signs you see. 20 Those have a tritium component to them. There are 21 certain exemptions under the NRC and then there is 22 other things that -- slope ejectors have a 23 radioactive source. 24 So we want to make clear that it's already 25 clear in the hazardous waste rules that they don't</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">12</p> <p>1 they come to you October, if they come to you in 2 October. 3 So anyway, that's the status of these. If you 4 have any questions or comments, I'd sure like to hear 5 them. 6 MR. GRAVES: I have two comments. In 7 15-2 (a)(1) at the end of the first line you should 8 add something that says, The plans for transfer 9 stations already in operation shall expire. It's 10 not -- the transfer station is not going to expire in 11 continuance. 12 MR. HENSCH: That's true. 13 MR. GRAVES: And then down in the same section, 14 2 (b)(i), you need to add at the end of that a 15 semicolon and the word, And. 16 MR. HENSCH: Yes. You're correct. I think we 17 have already caught that one, like, yesterday. 18 MR. GRAVES: Those are my only comments. 19 MR. HENSCH: And again these are wide open for 20 discussion so if you think of something later on, 21 please contact us and let us know. 22 MS. SLAUGHTER: I'm curious about the ten 23 years, Don. I thought that was generous, and I can 24 appreciate that final construction with transfer 25 station is that it is complicated, and it may take</p>

HWM Advisory Council Meeting 4/15/2015

<p style="text-align: right;">13</p> <p>1 quite a while.</p> <p>2 Are you all comfortable with that? Does that</p> <p>3 feel like -- I like it and don't get me wrong. I'm</p> <p>4 getting smart about transfer stations because</p> <p>5 Oklahoma City is considering them in their future</p> <p>6 plans, but I'm just curious about -- compares well</p> <p>7 with other things for similar construction?</p> <p>8 MR. HENSCH: Well, like I said, the hazardous</p> <p>9 waste permits themselves are issued for ten years,</p> <p>10 and for those that involve land disposal, which these</p> <p>11 do not, there is a five-year reopen or if the rules</p> <p>12 change and if they become concerned about something,</p> <p>13 we can reopen the whole permit at five years if we</p> <p>14 wanted to.</p> <p>15 And, again, there is nothing to prevent us</p> <p>16 from -- say we do an inspection and find something</p> <p>17 wrong. We can, you know, ask or order changes at</p> <p>18 that point. In fact, what kind of drew this -- our</p> <p>19 attention to these was that we did an inspection at</p> <p>20 one facility, and as a result of that we asked them</p> <p>21 to resubmit their D&O plan.</p> <p>22 And we kind of refresh everything at that point</p> <p>23 because it was just out of date. So right now we're</p> <p>24 comfortable with ten years. But, again, that's not</p> <p>25 locked in by any means.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">15</p> <p>1 permits, and the public knows it's required and how</p> <p>2 you do modifications and what kind of notice is</p> <p>3 required for modifications.</p> <p>4 And those are also blended into our</p> <p>5 administrative rules in Subchapter 4 under DEQ rules</p> <p>6 on our tier process which is a little bit different.</p> <p>7 So there's an additional layer there that we have to</p> <p>8 follow. Those are --</p> <p>9 MR. GRATER: But substantively the tier process</p> <p>10 would be the same as for a hazardous waste disposal</p> <p>11 and storage permit -- substantively?</p> <p>12 MR. HENSCH: Very similar.</p> <p>13 MR. GRATER: Okay.</p> <p>14 MR. HENSCH: Very similar.</p> <p>15 MR. GRATER: Any other discussion from the</p> <p>16 Council? Comments from the public?</p> <p>17 MS. NEWTON: Jamie Newton with Environmental</p> <p>18 Management in Guthrie. And I took the liberty of</p> <p>19 typing up our comments. If I could --</p> <p>20 MR. GRATER: Certainly.</p> <p>21 MS. NEWTON: Do I hand them out, or do I give</p> <p>22 them to the secretary?</p> <p>23 MR. GRATER: Certainly.</p> <p>24 MS. NEWTON: I didn't plan on spelling it all</p> <p>25 out because we had several comments. So if after you</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">14</p> <p>1 MS. SLAUGHTER: Okay. Thank you. The</p> <p>2 understanding that there is an expiration process</p> <p>3 separately helped me a lot to understand that. My</p> <p>4 other question was I looked at hours and how you</p> <p>5 define hours pretty early in the permit process.</p> <p>6 That's not something that's changing. It's</p> <p>7 just for my own edification. Do you look for hours</p> <p>8 of operation for nuisance factors or variety of</p> <p>9 things? I know landfills have to be open to receive</p> <p>10 waste that's getting stopped at a transfer station.</p> <p>11 MR. HENSCH: That's correct. Mainly we just</p> <p>12 try to figure out if there is a nuisance factor</p> <p>13 there, and that also comes into how is the facility</p> <p>14 staffed. If they're going to operate 24 hours, what</p> <p>15 kind of a staff are they going to have from midnight</p> <p>16 to 8 00 or whatever. Yes.</p> <p>17 MS. SLAUGHTER: Thanks.</p> <p>18 MR. GRATER: Don, have you given any</p> <p>19 consideration to what tier classifications we attach</p> <p>20 to modifications or the permit status itself?</p> <p>21 MR. HENSCH: There is an existing provision in</p> <p>22 there that I don't think we're considering any</p> <p>23 changes to that say these will be issued, and any</p> <p>24 modifications will be done under the federal rules of</p> <p>25 the 40 CFR 270.42 which lays out how we issue</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">16</p> <p>1 read them you have any questions, please feel free to</p> <p>2 contact either myself or Terry Bobo, the President of</p> <p>3 Environmental Management.</p> <p>4 MR. GRATER: Thank you.</p> <p>5 MR. HENSCH: We will review these and prepare a</p> <p>6 response and make the council aware of that as well.</p> <p>7 MR. GRATER: Any other comments from the</p> <p>8 public?</p> <p>9 MR. HENSCH: For what it's worth, I'll be here</p> <p>10 the rest of the meeting. But if there is nothing</p> <p>11 else, thank you for your attention.</p> <p>12 MR. GRATER: There is no need for a -- nothing</p> <p>13 to vote on. No motions.</p> <p>14 So is there any new business presented to the</p> <p>15 Council?</p> <p>16 Comments from the public?</p> <p>17 Unless someone else has something, I would</p> <p>18 conclude that that covers today's agenda. Mike?</p> <p>19 MR. EDWARDS: Yeah. And I'll just mention real</p> <p>20 quick if -- like Don mentioned, we anticipate having</p> <p>21 at least one other council meeting to talk about this</p> <p>22 if you feel like it is necessary, and that's</p> <p>23 something we can decide later.</p> <p>24 But I did check a couple of dates if we want to</p> <p>25 go ahead and plan for that now for July -- July 15th.</p>

HWM Advisory Council Meeting 4/15/2015

<p style="text-align: right;">17</p> <p>1 16th or 17th. That's a Wednesday, Thursday or 2 Friday. So, like I said, we don't have to set that 3 now, but we can if you feel like these rules – that 4 we need more discussion about it before we actually 5 present them in October. So feel free to discuss 6 amongst yourselves on that. 7 MR. GRATER: I don't have any objection to 8 setting a tentative meeting date. Of course you have 9 the options to cancel it – 10 MR. EDWARDS: Right. 11 MR. GRATER: -- if it comes up. So anybody 12 have any schedule conflicts on those days that they 13 can perceive? Wednesday works. You guys have any -- 14 anybody have any problem with Wednesday? I'll make a 15 motion for Wednesday. 16 MR. EDWARDS: Okay. 17 MR. GRATER: Which would be -- what day would 18 that might be? 19 MR. EDWARDS: That's July 15th. 20 MR. GRATER: July 15th. I make a motion that 21 we schedule a meeting for July 15th. 22 MR. GRAVES: Second. 23 MR. GRATER: Motion has been seconded. 24 MS. FIELDS: Mr. Anderson? 25 MR. ANDERSON: Yes.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">19</p> <p>1 So I guess if anyone wants to have it somewhere else, 2 shoot me an email or call me, and we can talk about 3 it, but otherwise we'll plan to have it here. 4 One other brief topic -- Don just passed me a 5 note, and this is probably a good opportunity to talk 6 about this. Again, really just kind of a discussion 7 point relating to EPA funding. 8 I'm basically going to hand it off to Don, but 9 we've received notice that there is going to be a 10 reduction in the amount of money we get from EPA 11 spread out over the next five years at least at this 12 point. So having said that, Don will give you some 13 details on that. 14 MR. HENSCH: Yeah. We were notified by 15 Region 6 about two or three weeks ago that they're 16 revising their funding allocation formula nationwide, 17 and as a result it's called the STAG grant. State 18 Tribal Assistance Grants and RCRA moneys is part of 19 that. 20 But the Region will -- Region 6, which is 21 Oklahoma, New Mexico, Texas, Louisiana and Arkansas, 22 will get a 15-percent cut in funding over the next 23 five years. In real numbers -- and they're adjusting 24 things, and they're doing it equitably among the 25 region.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">18</p> <p>1 MS. FIELDS: Mr. Graves? 2 MR. GRAVES: Yes. 3 MS. FIELDS: Mr. Kennedy? 4 MR. KENNEDY: Yes. 5 MS. FIELDS: Mr. Reaves? 6 MR. REAVES: Yes. 7 MS. FIELDS: Ms. Slaughter? 8 MS. SLAUGHTER: Yes. 9 MS. FIELDS: Ms. Smith? 10 MS. SMITH: Yes. 11 MS. FIELDS: Mr. Stanfield? 12 MR. STANFIELD: Yes. 13 MS. FIELDS: Ms. Vandell? 14 MS. VANDELL: Yes. 15 MS. FIELDS: Mr. Grater? 16 MR. GRATER: Yes. 17 MS. FIELDS: Motion passed. 18 MR. GRAVES: I assume it will be here? 19 MR. GRATER: Yes. We assume that the likely 20 location for that meeting would be here. 21 MR. EDWARDS: If that's acceptable to you guys. 22 That's good for us, obviously, but, you know, if 23 there is any -- if somebody wants to have it 24 somewhere else, we can work that out too. So I think 25 that we scheduled the October meeting for Tulsa.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">20</p> <p>1 There are some regions that actually get an 2 increase, but Region 6 will be taking a 15-percent 3 decrease. In real numbers what that means is that 4 for our federal fiscal year '16, we will be cut 5 roughly \$10,000 in our funding which is not a 6 significant sum. 7 Total grant is roughly a million dollars per 8 year, but next year it will be cut by 10,000. The 9 following year it will be cut by an additional 40,000 10 and then there is 30,000 the year after that until 11 five years from now in fiscal year '20 we will have a 12 projected loss in funding of \$143,000. 13 That's assuming Congress makes the same 14 appropriations that they're making today. So we are 15 looking at a severe loss in funding. It will be -- 16 it is roughly one-and-a-half man-year equivalents 17 that we'll have to either make up or cut staff or 18 find other funds somewhere else. 19 But right now we don't know exactly how this is 20 going to happen, and we're still trying to figure out 21 how we react to it. But I just wanted to make you 22 aware that in the future that's one of the things we 23 will be facing. It doesn't require any action by the 24 Council at this time, but we just wanted to make you 25 aware of that.</p>

HWM Advisory Council Meeting 4/15/2015

21	<p>1 MR. GRATER: Thank you, Don.</p> <p>2 MR. HENSCH: Thank you.</p> <p>3 MS. VANDELL: Question.</p> <p>4 MR. GRATER: Yes.</p> <p>5 MS. VANDELL: What's the basis for EPA doing</p> <p>6 this?</p> <p>7 MR. HENSCH: The funding allocation for the</p> <p>8 states was first – last established in 1996, and</p> <p>9 it's based on things like number of facilities,</p> <p>10 number of generators, waste generated and things like</p> <p>11 that.</p> <p>12 In 2011 EPA started a study group internally to</p> <p>13 look at how that was funded. They came up with a</p> <p>14 different formula, and while we may not agree with</p> <p>15 the formula, that's how it came out. There are -- I</p> <p>16 said there are some regions that actually get a</p> <p>17 slight increase, and in that particular region one</p> <p>18 state will get that increase.</p> <p>19 It is just the way the formula worked out. But</p> <p>20 it is just one of the ironies of it that Region 6 has</p> <p>21 the most – is the highest, largest hazardous waste</p> <p>22 generator in the country for Region 6. That is not</p> <p>23 taken into consideration.</p> <p>24 We're not number one in number of facilities,</p> <p>25 but we are in waste generator. But anyway, the</p>	23
22	<p>1 formula just worked out that way and that's – it's</p> <p>2 being applied for FY16 for the first time.</p> <p>3 MR. STANFIELD: On the meeting in Tulsa -- I</p> <p>4 have never been to that facility nor has Debra. Can</p> <p>5 we get some directions for the October meeting?</p> <p>6 MR. EDWARDS: Yeah. We -- yeah. Definitely.</p> <p>7 We can send you a map, and it is pretty good --</p> <p>8 MR. STANFIELD: That would be nice.</p> <p>9 MR. EDWARDS -- directions. It is a little</p> <p>10 bit -- assuming we are going to use the same facility</p> <p>11 we have used in the past, which we probably will --</p> <p>12 it's a little bit confusing because ironically there</p> <p>13 is the exact same address in two different places in</p> <p>14 Tulsa County or something.</p> <p>15 MR. STANFIELD: Yeah.</p> <p>16 MR. EDWARDS: Surprise, surprise. If you've</p> <p>17 ever tried to find something in Tulsa, it's not a</p> <p>18 huge surprise, but we will definitely help you out</p> <p>19 with that.</p> <p>20 MR. GRATER: Mike, have you given any idea to</p> <p>21 any thought to where you're going to hold the public</p> <p>22 meetings?</p> <p>23 MR. EDWARDS: We really haven't talked about it</p> <p>24 yet, to be totally honest. I would assume here but</p> <p>25 we, you know -- we will have to figure that out</p>	24

1 ** CERTIFICATE **
2
3 STATE OF OKLAHOMA)
4) SS:
5 COUNTY OF MCCLAIN)
6
7 I, Kerry L. Huff, a Certified Shorthand Reporter
8 within and for the State of Oklahoma, do hereby
9 certify that I reported all of the foregoing matter,
10 and that I later reduced it to typewritten form, as
11 the same appears herein.
12 I further certify that I am not a relative of, nor
13 attorney for, nor clerk or stenographer for any party
14 to this matter and that I am not otherwise interested
15 in the event of the same.
16 I further certify that the above and foregoing
17 typewritten pages contain a full, true and correct
18 transcript of my stenograph notes so taken, during
19 said hearing.
20 WITNESS my hand and seal this 22nd day of April,
21 2015.
22 *Kerry Huff*
23 _____
24 KERRY L. HUFF, C.S.R.
25 Oklahoma Certified Shorthand Reporter
26 Certificate No. 1725
27 Expiration Date: December 31, 2015



HAZARDOUS WASTE MANAGEMENT ADVISORY COUNCIL

Attendance Record

April 15, 2015

Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

CHECK BOX TO COMMENT

NAME and/or AFFILIATION

Address and/or Phone and/or E-Mail

Quiana Fields

Jon Roberts DEQ jon.roberts@deg.ok.gov

Lee Grater HWAC lgrater18@yahoo.com

Ray REAVES SELF rreaves6@cox.net

Hillary Young DEQ hillary.young@deg.ok.gov

Bud Granda EFO Bud@envirofdok.org

Traci Kelly DEQ traci.kelly@deg.ok.gov

Debbie Smith HWMAC debora.smith@allmonde.com

Michael Graver HWAC mgraver@hallstijl.com

Tom Lazariski OGE lazaris1@oge.com

Terry Vandell HWAC terry.d.vandelle@p66.com

Mike Edwards DEQ

Jamie Newton EMT jnewton@emiok.com

Mae Snyda OKCubilitis mae@snyda.com okc.org

Steven Baldridge DEQ

DON HENSCH DEQ

Wesley Under HWAC

Bob Kennedy HWMAC northstar2@cox.net

Michelle Kemnitz DEQ

Kelly Dixon DEQ

Mary Johnson DEQ